



RUSSIA CAN AFFORD TO WAIT” The Geopolitical Landscape in a Post-Zelenskyy Ukraine and the Possible Demise of the NATO, and Possibly the EU, Amidst the Declination of U.S. Involvement in Europe.

Antony D. Miller Ph.D., Ed.D.

Professor - Department of International Relations and Journalism.

Concentrations: International Relations Geopolitics, Political Economy, Public Policy Management, Chaos & Game Theory Mathematics, Political History and Educational Leadership. Otgontenger University – Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia.

E-mail: miller@otgontenger.edu.mn, <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7827-9716>

DOI : <https://doi.org/10.55248/gengpi.6.0325.11152>

This substantive essay emanates from this author’s previous writings from 2021 to present-day events incurred by ordinary citizens of the fractured European region, will illustrate the political ambiguity on the continent of Europe’s ideological sphere, that has attempted to blend biased left-leaning policy and simultaneously wishing the support of the United States under the newly formed Trump administration.

In addition and being coherent throughout, it is quite evident that NATO has not a common purpose anymore. In both hindsight and military evolution, the North Atlantic Treaty Organisation (NATO), has had no purpose since the end of the Cold war except obvious globalist expansion. - if logic and indeed the truth were to prevail. Since fiscal and border clarity has finally prevailed in the U.S, since the recent election on November 5, 2024, it is with the realm of coherence that the United States is not seeking the same that Estonia, Lithuania and Poland are looking for. Germany's focus is on something other than what Estonia, Lithuania and Poland are looking for. France has alternate concerns, Italy is worried about different variables. Actually, Italy’s incumbent Prime Minister Giorgia Meloni is what we call a confused conservative. She is on the right of politics and wishes EU principles.¹ Have you visited Milan and Rome recently, then you would have witnessed a further migrant camp. To highlight EU confusion further, France and Germany do not agree, Italy does not agree with France and does not agree with Germany. Britain is not a part of the European equation anymore and its current left-leaning administration and predominantly its own and other Euro-houses of royalty do not agree somewhat with any current policies and populist individuals in the United States, and remarkably, still cite the U.S. for stability. Thus, the concept of a unified Europe, and a unified NATO is ambiguous and antiquated to say the least. In brief, there are no commonalities that serve the foundations for respectful relationships anymore, especially from the Eurozone.

Synopsis: The Disastrous Meeting: *Zelenskyy's Oval Office Performance with Trump*: In a highly anticipated meeting in the Oval Office, Ukrainian President Volodymyr Zelenskyy sought to solidify support from the United States amid ongoing tensions with Russia.² However, the encounter quickly unravelled into a series of miscommunications, awkward moments, and political blunders that left observers perplexed and concerned, however, unsurprising by Zelenskyy, as he needs the media generally to promote his propaganda from the advice from democrats in a meeting prior to this event and to send a message to his European allies.

Upon entering the Oval Office, Zelenskyy was met with a seemingly unenthusiastic President Donald Trump. The atmosphere was tense from the outset, as Trump was focussed and undistracted, merely preoccupied with his own agenda in which he promised his people in his 2024 election campaign. Aiming to communicate the urgency of Ukraine’s situation, Zelenskyy struggled to articulate his points clearly, often stumbling over his words and failing to maintain the focus of the conversation.

As the dialogue progressed, Trump had to respond in his interjection with off-topic remarks, often pivoting the discussion towards his own political narratives, including reported allegations against Zelenskyy’s political opponents and a focus on his unfounded impeachment inquiry. This led to significant confusion and frustration for Zelenskyy, who aimed to present a united front and secure more military aid for Ukraine. The absence of a clear message and rapport between the two leaders further deepened the sense of diplomatic discord. The American population, however, are dissatisfied with this continued conflict thousands of kilometres away. In fact, over 70% of the U.S. population on both sides of this political sphere were in favour of a peace agreement before the said Oval Office debacle, this was in fact the same percentage under the Biden administration in pole in February 2024.³

¹ Malingre, V. (2024). “Giorgia Meloni’s European double bluff...” Le Monde.

² Clarke, C. (2024). “Inside the extraordinary Oval Office showdown...” ABC.

³ Echols, C. (2024). “New poll: Nearly 70% of Americans want talks to end war in Ukraine...” Responsible Statecraft

The meeting culminated in a public statement from Trump, which belittled Zelenskyy's requests, (deservedly so) framing Ukraine's needs in a dismissive light. The media fallout was immediate, with pundits expressing alarm over the implications for U.S.-Ukraine relations and the international community's new perception of America's commitment to supporting its allies. In summary, Zelenskyy had forgotten that there is a new administration in town, and he was and is not being molly coddled any more by America. Additionally, Zelenskyy was artificially put onto a pedestal as the Prince of Ukraine by very liberal Western states His 30 liberal leaning parliament speeches inflated his ego so-much-so, that it led to his infantile outburst toward J.D. Vance and President Trump at the Oval Office rare earth signing event.

Historically, the Church historically known as the first estate⁴ and once a purveyor of Europe, no longer exists in its original form, and one of the main reasons attributed to this, is that Europe has had relationships that are contingent upon a military Alliance and resulting in its royal houses gaining wealth from the spoils. One feels that in the end, following only a few years no less, we could envisage a European continent in itself which is going to become a far more attractive model, compared to sustaining this failed military Alliance - which has lost all reason to exist and has inevitably become unaffordable by which by verifiable documented reality that in itself within collective political suicide, are we actually witnessing Europe's destruction?

In reference to further antagonistic EU nation France, the actions and unnecessary statements from President Macron regarding his unhelpful posturing towards Russia and the EU – Ukraine conflict pertaining the notion of a new European Army, will in-effect, exacerbate Trump's move to possibly remove the U.S. from NATO. Let's be clear, Macron cannot enact any plan or any form of foreign policy, as he is experiencing fragility with his own government, and the effects of debt for his nation. The data gathered also illustrates that it's beyond any certainty that Marine Le Pen will become France's next president. Therefore, Macron is a talker not a doer and is hanging by a proverbial thread.

Referring to the BRICS+ groups of nations, there's no military aspect to it whatsoever, it is purely geopolitically and economically focused and that's the future going forward is not sustainment of a military-industrial complex, rather that the future is sustainment of Global Supply chains, and we are aware that developing economic relationships which are mutually beneficial, is what the United States should be working on, while the U.S. still has its sway in Europe, this could in affect attain influence with Russia, this is what it should have its focus on - in creating a stable Europe or in other words a post conflict theatre on peaceful intent.

A Europe that is not reliant upon the United States for security has realistic security aspirations that do not view Russia as an imminent adversary, therefore, military spending could be brought under control and a predominant focus on economic recovery, this is what really the U.S. ought to be doing or advising. However, in clarification, the United States has emboldened Poland with its 10,000 U.S. troops presence on their soil. Poland took over the presidency of the European Council and has made increasing irrational statements against the Russian Federation and in so doing, it is failing to see that what it is doing thus, creating the conditions for Europe to become even more chaotic in its strategic decision making. Moreover, we are all aware that an anarchy-ridden region and aggressive unprotected dialogue, paves the way for the potential of European Wars to be one day reality.

There is genuine animosity between Germany and Poland also, this has been exacerbated recently when the European Union's head of the foreign Ministry Kaja Kallas regrettably - due to her lack of insight and youth, is talking about the "breakup of Russia in its tiny little parts".⁵ Russia has already issued a warrant for her arrest. One feels from substantial evidence that if one were in Russia shoes, it would be well advised to ensure that Europe itself becomes a broken project and merely allow Europe to be Europe and from this author's contention that the Russian Federation drop the price of oil way below the current price as a countermeasure, therefore, collapse the new oil production Market in the United States and within a few years could lose 45% of U.S. oil production.

If the European continues to intimidate the U.S. over foreign and security policy, the Americans could potentially impose tariffs on the EU. Trump has publicly stated that there is a trade deficit against America of some \$300bn. As he directly says it "The EU is an atrocity" in his oval office press conference in March, 2025.

Currently, one feels that we are potentially headed towards a rupture between the United States, Europe, and Britain leading towards the dissolve of NATO. However, you never know what Donald Trump may decide; as we have seen his peace through strength agenda and owing to Trump's campaign promises, the U.S. as a nation has to be viewed as strong, thus, America has to realistically double down on Europe but on the other hand that this 47th President has recently committed to building a Iron Dome (similarly to Israel) on an obviously larger scale and the money that is needed for this endeavour, means that the U.S. in realism, is not going to be operating much more strategic ballistic missile defence activities with boots on the ground in Europe. Within this new mandated Trump administration, cost-cutting is very much on the agenda. USAID has gone, the U.S. pays the most funds for propping up both the UN and NATO.

As my being located in Mongolia, one has had previously stated on many occasion as a keynote speaker at Mongolian academic conferences, to request its organisations and its government, re-think the strategic relationship with USAID. As it is quite the norm here, the recipients of one's address did not heed to calculated, verified and empirical warnings in reference to this nations' manipulative nature of this agency, even though, this author was trying to prepare this country academically over a four-year period.

From the U.S. Treasury standpoint, one can contest that it would be of benefit to America's 35 trillion-dollar debt erosion plan by which it will eventually decide to leave the UN and NATO in the forceable future. This is additionally due to the values of these two institutions have swayed

⁴ LSD Law, (2025). "Estates of the realm"

⁵ One India News, (2024) 'Break Russia into Pieces': Estonian PM Kaja Kallas Expected to Be EU's Foreign Policy Chief.

towards socialism and public comments against this new administrations U.S. foreign policy especially regarding Israel and a pro-war stance. Moreover, Elon Musk and Rep. U.S. Senator Mike Lee, have petitioned for the U.S. to exit both the UN and NATO forthwith.⁶

This 47th President, has previously intimated withdrawing 20% of American forces from Europe in the near future. It is this author's contention – from research conducted since the outset of the Ukraine-Russia crisis in 2022, and a previous article published by oneself entitled "The Acronyms Club" 2022, detailing the first year of the Ukraine conflict.⁷

This experienced second-term U.S. president, is to pull all the troops out of Poland and we will watch what Poland does? You, the readers are aware from the past that American troops were the statement for a 'New Germany. To pull them all out inclusive of Poland and by ceasing all American operations with base closures, both Poland's and Germany's reality will be to understand the real world by being on their own, therefore, will not only lose economically, but will not have the opportunity to hide behind the shield of America anymore. Subsequently, all the nonsense that they are currently uttering directly towards the Russian Federation alone, will result in consequences.

Individually speaking, Germany in its political and international relations composition following its recent 2025 election, has proclaimed it is against publicly the U.S., Russia and China.⁸ This can be said for many of the EU block under its unelected leadership. Recession, migrant overload, industry leaving and recession, have seen this nation becoming the joke of Europe as it was once the powerhouse of the continents industrial base. Simply put, Zelenskyy has nothing to offer, nor does Germany.

Furthermore, this draft can also predict the same scenario for the Baltic states, America gone, the readers would not have to peer into a crystal ball to see how quickly these nations change their political rhetoric and stance aimed at Russia, as they too will realize they do not have an American cum NATO Shield anymore. These likeminded nations would immediately have to learn how to live in solitude. This act of Trumps's potential military withdrawal from both Europe and the possibly of the United Kingdom being ignored under its current Starmer government, would lead to a cardiac arrest on the continent of Europe – in affect, it's heartbeat will stop. However, it is seemingly possible that UK's Sir Kier Starmer will not last beyond 2025, his form of Communism in a poverty-ridden country of disunity, has political pundits hypothesising that he could lose 238 parliamentary seats while rendering Britain an impotent nation, that cut winter energy support to old, aged pensioners. Conjoined with a weak Macron, both leaders opposite the English Channel will become irrelevant and consigned to the infamous characters of history, by the time of this Ukrainian conflict's end. On the other hand, Trump has continually remarked on his being against unnecessary wars. He in one's estimation, wishes the kind of healthy environment Europe needs not an unhealthy left of politic agenda which has stifled the continent and created internal derision, with vague, inconclusive electoral systems and unhelpful platitudes which only favour coalitions rather than outright winners voted by their populous for change, with their respective non-adoption of one-party being victors via a 'the first by past the post' system.

American presence on the ground in Europe [in Trump's eyes] the bloc has to pay for it, as many of these states have shirked their fair share of financial contributions for their very own respective national security. This president is purportedly pulling out 20,000 servicemen and women from Europe and he has stipulated that the troops that will remain behind, that Europe will honour its obligations by funding them - not the U.S. In by doing so, President Trump is basically stipulating that by doing this, he is engaging in this policy, he is doing Europe and the collective NATO a favour, and he is in effect, creating the conditions and exposing Europe's ability not to meet their nation's security requirements and therefore, empowers the U.S. to say it is unplugging the line of safety apparatus and it is not going to engage in your protection forever. This has been some of the reasoning behind Donald Trump that if you want America's assistance to be present in your country, you ought to pay for it. However, if these nations were not to facilitate the fees required – the U.S. will leave without any reservation. The reader must also refer to the history of this 47th U.S. President as being a wealthy businessman with his notion of "The art of the deal". Moreover, he was elected to office with a clear mandate of protecting both the borders and returning strength to the American economy - which has been the opposite in most of Western Europe. The EU has become the crime ridden migrant camp of Western civilisation – honestly speaking, and their respective economies are in tatters. In short, they cannot assist themselves and indigenous people, so, how are they going to assist Ukraine. A fallacy indeed from a political economic viewpoint.

We must also consider Türkiye's role and indications in this Ukraine peace deal equation. This is where the tension thickens Erdoğan isn't just Trump's friend he has also sat beside from Putin more times than almost any other NATO leader. Their relationship is part rivalry part necessity a cold calculation between two men who are aware they need each other, but never fully trust each other in fact, endless wars caused too much loss even as an outsider but some one always profits, both of these leaders are aware of this fact. Türkiye has stated that it would place its own troops in Ukraine as peacekeepers, this has neither been accepted nor denied by the concerned parties. Türkiye has been given some trust in its prior mediation efforts in this conflict, and Ukrainian grain logistical development that had eased potential African famine and also the global futures' market. So, that being said, why does Türkiye's peacekeeping gamble matters, we would we need to zoom out and see the full geopolitical chessboard the war between Russia and Ukraine which began in realistically in 2014 and escalated into a full-scale special operation on behalf of the ethnic Russian in habitants in the Donbass in 2022. This has dragged dozens of Nations into its orbit some like Poland Germany and the Baltic states have become Frontline logistical hubs funneling weapons and Aid into Ukraine others like China and India, have played quieter roles buying discounted Russian oil while avoiding direct confrontation with the West at the centre of it all stands the United States and Russia two Powers whose rivalry has defined the entire conflict. In early 2025 under President Trump's new Administration backchannel diplomacy resume with surprising urgency and according to a February 2025 year State Department briefing senior American and Russian diplomats gathered in Riyadh away from cameras to explore the terms of a potential ceasefire and

⁶ Kilander, G. (2025). "US senator joins Elon Musk in calling for withdrawal from NATO"

⁷ Miller, A.D. (2022). "The Acronyms Club" Has the Ukraine Conflict Exposed the West and its History?

⁸ Psara, M. (2025). "Germany's Merz marks shift by betting on an EU less dependent on US" Euro News.

one that might pause the fighting without fully resolving the deeper territorial disputes but these talks were tense fragile and built on mistrust one U.S. official who spoke anonymously to Reuters in February 2025. This official stated that Moscow would never accept a deal that makes them look weak, and we know Kyiv would never accept a deal that gave up land. This author knows from all the data provided from reliable sources known since university days that any conditions for every agreement could collapse with one wrong syllable not word, therefore, redefining this whole negation process as not diplomacy, but brinkmanship - where the wrong move could restart the war at full force. Failed negotiations does not only mean more war in Ukraine but additionally means economic shocks across Europe energy crisis from Parris to Berlin and onto Beijing, and the risk that smaller conflicts like those simmering in Moldova and the Caucasus could explode overnight according to the European commission's 2025 economic Outlook and EU member states spent over \$87 billion in Military and humanitarian Aid to Ukraine in 2024 alone at the same time the International Energy agency recently reported that over 30% of Europe's natural gas still flows from Russia despite the sanctions this war isn't just about territory it's about who writes how the rules are therefore rewritten on behalf of the next Global Order this is where Türkiye's sudden announcement to send peacekeepers into Ukraine shattered The Diplomatic script the US wanted quiet talks Russia had wanted controlled outcomes Europe wanted unity and Türkiye wanted none of the above by stepping forward independently as Erdoğan forced every player to rethink their strategy, thus, a NATO member sending troops into Ukraine but not under NATO command threw legal military and political assumptions into chaotic mode. One believes that in light of Türkiye's gargantuan inflation and cost of living crisis, its recent statement in support for Ukraine and the return of its land as part of the peace agreement, will be unfounded and not taken seriously, due to over a century of Türkiye's historical animosity towards the Russian Federation. Following this Erdoğan Kyiv support statement, it subsequently negates Türkiye from being a player for both sides. Is this a further error in calculation or simply put, a further example of irrational thinking by the Turkish premier? You can decide.

Returning to America and its own reported observations - Germany, France, and the UK have removed free speech rights thus, stifling any comments deemed opposite viewpoints, confirming their respective government policies in an Orwellian fashion.⁹ To state clearly, the European Union and Britain have separate social values which is abhorred by this new revamped Trump administration. As a consequence, the U.S. has halted sharing intelligence data with the Ukraine, due to in part, Zelenskyy cosying up to the EU.

NATO has created a situation where Western Europe has been empowered into believing in its myopic way that they have carte blanche to dictate security for all of Europe omitting the Eastern regions which are presently rejecting the European Union on certain policy prescribed by its undemocratic elected leader - German born Ursula von der Leyen, as she came to power twice as the European Commission head, without any form of general election, i.e., the populations of all the remaining twenty-seven nation's states were not permitted to vote. Von der Leyen was re-elected President of the European Commission in a secret ballot by the European Parliament. This author also contends that France, Germany and Italy are not also playing this scripted euro game anymore by refuting the EU's immigration, border security provisions and asylum stance which has been a mitigated disaster since Merkel in 2015. To add insult to injury, the commission's rejecting of Russian energy resources that had served the European economy well for decades, thus creating severe hikes in energy prices for EU consumers, culminating in many manufacturers fleeing Germany.¹⁰ Germany is experiencing a third year of recession, and terrorist knife and vehicle ramming attacks, all attributed to illegal immigration. If an ordinary citizen were to complain in Eurozone regarding immigration, they would be labelled as far right. In fact, the reality shows that if a political commentator is slightly to the right of Marx, he or she is deemed on propagandised social media, and cancel culture as far right.

We ought to refer to annals of history to ascertain the damage politically, Germany has done to Europe, only two names matter: Hitler in 1933 and Merkel 2015. We also ought to ask ourselves in the first instance, how did two countries in WWII that raised white flags in surrender - namely Germany and France, ever came to dictate policy in Europe in both the twentieth and this first quarter of twenty-first century? Additionally, how do you resolve these tensions without having Europe break down or for better active verbs: collapse and promote conflict between Old Europe and New Europe?

To comprehend this conflict that the U.S. assisted to create (and has done so for over a century globally) the academic world refuses to write on this substantively owing to the political manipulation of both academic and scientific research, due to corruptive entities being of industry and government. This author on average reads 150 articles a year, and regrettably, only a tiny percentage contributes positively to political science, International Relations and Diplomacy.

Case-in-point: The U.S. military brass, do not express themselves when detailing certain information to their commander-in-chief i.e., America does not have any way to solve the Ukraine issue when there's nothing that can be brought to the table. The only thing the U.S. can do is making the Ukrainians to pay the price higher by continuing the conflict and the U.S. does not have the military power to intervene meaningfully, and it would be in total opposition to the policy direction that Donald Trump claims he wishes to disentangle the nation from unnecessary wars. For this to unravel, he needs direct, unambiguous advisors. All in all, this has led to the Ukrainian minerals' agreement in which Zelenskyy will eventually agree to - as he has no other choice in the matter. Trump's assertion during his 2024 election campaign of a quick end to this conflict, was uninformed to say the least. There are many variables in President Putin's peace equation that have to be negotiated first.

American limitations was pre-eminently down to Trump's forces were thinly spread all over the world, however, an informed advisor could avail the president of these facts from the beginning as U.S. Military Recruitment has fallen immensely. In 2022 and 2023, the Army missed its recruitment goal by about 15,000 troops each year. In 2023, the Air Force and Navy also missed their recruiting goals. Now they have increased recruitment owing to DEI being removed from the U.S. military and leaders fired. These figures still fall below the global coverage required for U.S. forces' operations.

⁹ Ramage, S. (2008). "The right to silence in France, Germany, US and the UK" Current Criminal Law. Volume 1 Issue No 2.

¹⁰ Karnitschnig, M. (2023). "Rust on the Rhine: The deindustrialization of Germany. Politico - Berlin.

In illustration of Trump's advisor misguided incompetence, is Keith Kellogg. He has been superficially, culturally insensitive and ignorant in recent activities. He actually put forward a 100-day plan that has the Russians signing an agreement on May 9th this is the day Russia says we buy into this agreement. Culturally insensitive means that May 9th, for the Russian Federation, is actually Victory Day, - not just any Victory Day, but the 80th anniversary of Soviet and Allied victory over Nazi Germany.

This researcher being based in Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia - by invitation, attended an emotional/mournful event commemorating this 80-year anniversary which was a Russian and Mongolian collaborative moving occasion. Therefore, Keith Kellogg was described by known commentator William Scott Ritter Jr. an American former United States Marine Corps intelligence officer, and former United Nations Special Commission (UNSCOM) weapons inspector, by stating that: "Kellogg is truly the stupidest man to ever populate the planet" If this plan were to be implemented, the Trump advisors such as Kellogg would be deemed as ignorant in reference to the realities of Russia even if pertaining to the Russian casualties of which in this researcher's estimates via Game Theory Mathematical models, to be of ~50 million, inclusive of both the military and civilians. However, this differs from values officially cited globally in literature that the Soviet Union lost around 27 million people during the war, including 8.7 million military and 19 million civilian deaths. The largest portion of military dead were 5.7 million ethnic Russians, followed by 1.3 million ethnic Ukrainians. To be clear, in one's estimations, unambiguously, a quarter of the people in the Soviet Union were wounded or killed. These were grossly more substantial to all allied forces combined in WWII, therefore, Russia would never accept his thoughtless plan especially on May 9th and Russia feels and illustrates today it is not defeated. The logical path President Trump could do is to explain to President Putin, that on May 9th the U.S. to send a delegation of American Service Officers and men to march in the parade in Red Square.

From the developments that have been both catalogued and documented over the decades, President Vladimir Vladimirovich Putin, a former intelligence officer, has not displayed naivety and is very strategic in his prudence in business within his political and military stance. Thus, one does not envisage Russia will not have, any false illusions alluding to the West. Russia is aware of who Donald Trump is, and they also comprehend the vagaries of the American political system - as it knows it cannot trust the United States, since the false promises of NATO expansion by James Baker prior to the end of the Cold War on February 9, 1990. It has been discerned fervently from this analysis that Russians have always been in the game of strategy, and it will allow the Americans to weaken Europe even further - especially the agent provocateurs against the Russian Federation namely Ukraine, UK, Poland, France and Germany. The data also shows that Russia will sit back and provide Trump a chance to rebalance Western and Central Europe in an attempt to form a harmonious parity. The latter in fact, would (if this miracle occurred) would resemble a somewhat version of a C.S. Lewis fable.

Following the policies over the past four years researched, the Russians, however, under Putin, will not change anything in what they are currently involved in geopolitically and within national security frameworks. What President Putin has already undertaken in foreign policy. will be in short. relatively unchanged as they are winning, he will neither yield nor give anything up. Conversely, the Russian Federation will diplomatically furnish Trump the time to negotiate peace. However, if this fails, one believes that President Vladimir V. Putin will go his own way and accelerate his 'Special Operation' by using less land troop involvement, only resort to the use his new hypersonic weapon 'Oreshnik' to hit major military targets to end this conflict swiftly and to acquire the much talked of rare earth natural resources located beneath Ukraine's soil for himself. This above statement is theoretically possible as the implementation of Trump's rare earth material's plan will take a while implement, due to the contractual practicalities involved. We must recall that Putin has entered this war of attrition deliberately to facilitate dialogue in the West, to force Zelenskyy into a peace agreement attempted by Trump. When we as academic researchers apply data to our drafts, we also must consider and imagine what if we were these two leaders? What would you do if you were Putin or Trump?

Referring to NATO specifically, it has nothing to stop the Ukraine conflict. President Trump inherited Biden's both myopic and globalist instruction plan and its shrapnel within its odious residue. Trump is certainly not seeking another Mike Pompeo, John Bolton or HR McMaster and also anyone like these in a policy mindset. Trump is in fact, is seeking continued personnel who will just say yes sir and tell him what he wants to hear. As a strong-arm business man, and tough negotiator, this U.S. President believes in individual performances and results. He views NATO as virtual parasitic entity. Thus, this leader expresses no loyalty to any man or woman in his charge - who especially fails or reneges on his election's policies to the country. That being said, Keith Kellogg will fail and subsequently be removed. With this loyalty, it is this author's contention from all data and reports publicised thus far, that the U.S. will create a new reality where Poland and Germany will not be allies for much longer, as a consequence, these post WWII emboldened nations will become more than competitor' adversaries and one feels that the Russians are not ignorant to this development. In addition, the Russian Federation will actually redraw the map of Ukraine with no chance of Western Europe's participation in this dialogue with regard to their boots being on the ground in post-conflict Western Ukraine.

The Western nations primarily Europe's reduced involvement in this conflict - in one's estimation, will revive feelings in Germany about territories that were seeded to Poland at the end of the second world war The ceded territories included parts of Silesia, Pomerania, and East Prussia which covered 40,000 kilometres. This is an exemplar of what the United States needs to stop seeing, or revisiting; this is where strategic thinking on the part of the United States needs to come in and needs to step up its game to diplomatically allow Russia to win swiftly, if talks were to fail with Zelenskyy with unnecessary European interference, Ukraine and Trump would following a new leader realistically, would arrange a rare metals deal if contracts are processed in time. In essence, Germany's new leader Friedrich Merz has already expressed his wishes to have no remaining ties in European decisions with U.S. countenances, as stated earlier p.5. So, in this reality, the Russian Federation has already won, but a the EU's creation of a European security framework albeit nonsensical in nature, will encourage a form of delusion in war cry emotional modalities, that will hinder any peace deal with Putin. Conversely, the U.S. administration have stressed that it is contingent upon the U.S., to pre-empt the Russian effort to divide Western Ukraine where it could have some leverage. One is not referring to the placing of Western troops on the ground but advising the Russians that the issue

of the inevitability concerning the borders and the rights of people, by implementing a mechanism that is applied that prohibits a creation of emotions that will eventually run out of control. This is very much the EU's gravest issue in 2025. As the organisation has become 'fem-centric' to say, the leadership of many of its members are not really masculine anymore. In other words, emotion is suicide in the theatre of battle, therefore, it would be advisable for the continent itself and Baltic nations, to refrain from peace talks' involvement - inclusive of Britain.

Within historical contextualisation and its norms, Trump is not fully aware of European history, he does not comprehend the foundational elements in national competition. Every aspect of this comes down to the economy of individual countries. As an exemplar, if Poland becomes isolated, and its economy disintegrates the politicians responsible who are deemed responsible, will likewise fall, and a new breed of political representation will prevail and will do what is necessary and remaining viable. As a result, focussing on Poland's economic health can be furthered by not being alienated further. Its neighbour Germany will evolve into isolation together with the Poles - especially if it expands infrastructures that transcend respective German and Polish soil. The price paid for re-emerging into the world is an arduous one; pertaining to energy and security, Germany itself has now become quite cognizant of the fact that its future European Innovation Council (EIC) health - would not be viable unless they had access to cheap Russian Gas. German industry is fleeing the country, owing to soaring energy costs. Furthermore, other nations like Hungary would be more than happy to take control of the Carpathian region, so would Romania as they are currently articulating a plausible end to this geographical issue, as Ukrainian nationalism is deeply grounded in the West, this is both the reality and the facts as you are all aware, it has been always the victors that decide how the spoils are divided, and Russia is the victor.

President Putin is a well-read and a strategically astute man thus, aware of the discord and likelihood of both the potential breakup of Europe and less involvement on this continent by America, Therefore, Russia can wait. President Trump could assist and play a role of salvation, however, the question remains – Does he wishes both NATO, the EU and additionally, the UN to survive? Donald Trump has previously set a minimum standard of 5% NATO contribution by the member states. These nations of NATO in macroeconomics GDP terms, will not be able to afford this, if especially, the United States decides to withdraw. If so, this fee contribution of protection, will rise to 10 to 15%. To be clear once more, Europe would require a further 300,000 troops and an annual defence spending rise of €250 billion in the short term to deter Russian aggression.¹¹ If truth be told, the Russians care not for Europe's internal squabbles, as it has been deceived before by James Baker (as mentioned earlier) uttering falsehoods on NATO's expansion to Gorbachev following negotiations amidst Germany's reunification. This is one the fundamental reasons why Russia seeks no Western boots on the ground in Western Ukraine, and to be clear, it is only President Vladimir V. Putin who will decide to agree upon a peace deal or not. If any of his preconditions for peace are not adhered to, he will go it alone. In that infamous theatrical meeting, Trump inferred this very point to Zelenskyy in the Oval Office - by saying directly to him that if the U.S. pulled out of these negotiations, Ukraine would have to fight it out. Subsequently, it seems that Zelenskyy has changed his tune and will agree this deal.

In addition to NATO's future woes, its contribution by the U.S. accounts for 64% and other nations' spending equates to a meagre 36%. It goes to show that in reality once more, if the U.S. were to withdraw from this organisation, the remaining nations would need to replenish the balance as stated previously. This will be a true testament and finality to NATO's continued operation and expansion since the conclusion of the "Cold War". As we are all aware, it was created as a barrier to the former Soviet Union, only.

To address the Russian people, this author being originally from the West, one feels [upon observation] that the Russian people are not this superficial Slavic populous, and shallow in nature, as the West projects, and how it naively portrays them. Russia is aware of Donald Trump and the state is also cognizant of the totality of his posturing when it is pertaining to their nation. Deep down throughout the Kremlin, it is also known that the U.S. cannot be trusted throughout via the annals of history.

Therefore, a message to many other notable academics out there. You ought to return to a balanced approach to academic discourse, in publication. To be clear, omitting yourselves from damaging ideologies that have engulfed Western university campuses and additionally, within their political science faculty members, will be a step forward. Science is not empirical science anymore, merely, a messenger service or vessel for the media and supported by biased governments. These were and are presently, the key issues in higher education today. This Western sentiment had originally exacerbated the Ukraine conflict even further. Without verifiable evidence and citing credible sources on the ground, academic judgement became propaganda, and disgraceful prose to lay eyes upon. This all coincided with Victoria Nuland's orchestrated "Cookies Euromaidan" revolution in Kyiv in November 2013, in which a wave of large-scale protests prefacing this conflict. So, replacing scientific method with despicable propagandised rhetoric - as noted at many global academic conferences by me in the past decade. To conclude this paragraph, the reader ought to note that both Putin and the Russian people will never forget America's and West's betrayal even if it aids the agreement in the peace process. This why? Take a look at these accurate statistics: Total Russia Sanctions by Source - the U.S. (7,384); Canada (3,639); Switzerland (3,266); EU (2,482); and France (2,423). If one poses a question, do you think Russia will ever forget these country's actions?¹² Incredibly, these were at the start of the special operations. In addition, President Putin has experienced the failures of Minsk 1,2 and Istanbul summits. The former UK Prime Minister Boris Johnson thwarted the last deal. Therefore, Putin does not have short memory issues and is well-read/versed on historical fact as commentator Tucker Carlson experienced in his interview with the Russian premier.

As for the Disunited Kingdom, Sir Keir Starmer's Britain, has become a failing state, hence, Putin's disinterest of UK participation in these Ukrainian conflict peace negotiations. This author was once taught as a child that the actions of a country actually define it. Observers, inclusive of the U.S. and the Russian Federation, have obviously noted the decline of Britain as it has become very noticeable. First of all, all parties in its parliament are

¹¹ Burilkov, A., and Wolff, G. (2025). "Defending Europe without the US: first estimates of what is needed" Bruegel. Feb. 2025.

¹² Castellium, (2022). "Russia Sanctions Dashboard" Statistics from all sources globally by Castellium.

socialist leaning. This has led to the economic erosion from successive governments coupled with an inflated version of multiculturalism. Therefore, destroying indigenous generations. This could have only occurred if a) the administration has no respect for the indigenous voter base, b) a stifling of freedom and expression of speech, c) DEI with honest opinionated social media posts which are subject to arrest and d) solely a police state with cultural protocol divisions. Fortunately, a warning of a UK societal collapse was highlighted in a paper this researcher published in 1987 named "The Worst is Yet to Come" this paper forewarned all the citizenry that the nation would become unrecognisable over the prevailing decades. As a staunch critic of the UK and its conduct over at least sixty-five years of continued immigration, and all that has been elucidated in this paragraph, - no wonder, other nations of power, would ever heed to British advise – especially alluding to foreign security. If Britain cannot save Kent, how could it save Kyiv, as Kent - an English coastal county, has been besieged by migrant boats traversing the English Channel from France. If we were to add the continent of Europe to the mix, all the EU minus, hitherto a few member states, we would see a demasculinised region of people who have succumbed to weakness resulting in huge flows of migrants rendering these countries effectively in the third world pertaining crime rate tabulations. Dear readers, one always recounts this statement expressed by me at a conference last year: "If you take in the third world, your country will eventually become the third world." The obvious question from all of this, is how could two nationalist presidents, representing two major superpowers, ever listen to Western Europe?

In short the United States and the European Union actually began this War by the Maidan coup's colour revolution implanting a Western backed President. This resulted in the Kyiv government's shelling upon innocent farmer's houses in the Donbass region in 2014.¹³ Moreover, following the Odessa Trade Union Build massacre the same year leading to a vote in a referendum in the East of the country by the mainly ethnic Russian population to leave Kyiv's rule over them. This led to barbaric hostilities by Kyiv by the bombing of civilians as no Russian servicemen were in the vicinity. Additionally, the Russian language was banned and its books burned – resembling Mao's decade-long cultural revolution from 1966 to 1976 and led to pitiful starvation and oppression of its citizens.

Continuing this Kyiv led legacy, the then government and Nazi sympathizer coalition's continuous bombardment, ultimately led to a plea by the Lugansk and Donetsk Republics to request protection from President Putin by form of an eloquently drafted letter. Subsequently, Russia announced these two regions annexation from Ukraine and commenced its "Special Operation" not invasion as the communist leaning western media has led you to believe.; it was in fact, an invitation by Ukrainian passport and ID holders. Hopefully, this has clarified the left's ignorant media reports and has hopefully placed the correct premise of Russian logical intent.

As for the puppet regime de facto president Zelenskyy, will have to eventually end Marshall law in his country thus, paving the way for new presidential elections. He, in fact, enacted this provision as he knew that elections could not take place under the Marshall law provisions which is reflective within the nation's constitution. As this paper goes to press, Zelenskyy has a favourability rating of only 4%, trust being an unverified value of 54%.¹⁴ Combined with a general in exile who doubles his number and all the former ministers and presidents who will participate in the next election. These figures and disparities could be aligned with the political pressures in Kyiv on journalists to improve Zelenskyy's credibility, as he is now facing weakness from within, as the conversation has moved from war to future leadership of the country. The Ukrainian Ambassador to Great Britain, the former army chief general Valerii Zaluzhnyi's popularity has soared for a future candidate in the next presidential race. He was sent to London by Zelenskyy due to political calculations or in reality, was sidelined to be in exile. This is reportedly due to his increasing favourability and a former military architect in strategy during combat while leading the national army. Moreover, Zaluzhnyi's trust within the people is approximately 80%¹⁵ far outweighing the de facto president, as Zelenskyy's term of office expired in May 2024. It is clear from all the data that Zelenskyy has a zero-percentile chance of retaining his presidency. He will attempt to keep the War going or eventually remove Marshall Law. If he were to manipulate this future election, he would damage himself further in many odious formats. It would be therefore, be wise for Trump to exile him to the U.S. why? According to Lee Brown of the New York Post in 2024, Zelenskyy has survived many assassination attempts – dozens in fact, as this was reported. His policies of dragging young and old citizens from the street to be sent to the front lines has not gone down well – this action alone, would put his life in danger once more – may be, with unambiguous possibility, these future assailants will not miss next time.¹⁶ Within the nations corrupt history and the placing of the country high on the transparency index, this author believes from documented records that if Zelenskyy does not leave, or manipulate the next general election, his life will certainly come to an end. One also contests that the Americans and Europeans know this well. As they were behind his becoming president and manipulated by deceiving the population via propaganda to be the first Jewish Ukrainian Prince in the first place. Only these aforementioned countries of responsibility would be apportioned the blame if Zelenskyy were to succumb.

A further factor, if these Euro nation purveyors and Ukraine advocates are losing their own ethnic identities owing to years of Islamisation, and increased debt how will they ever assist Kyiv if it wished to? This is why Russia once again, can sit and merely observe western sovereignty destroy its own unions and Zelenskyy could be destroyed from within or externally as remarked earlier. Finally, following one's own calculations: As of the end of September 2024, the European Union's (EU) debt stock was €547 billion. This debt is expected to increase by €448 billion based on further reported analysis and projections undertaken. Indeed, they can throw money to Ukraine. We all must recall in a century's economic history that successive socialist governments ruin entire nations. This, ladies and gentlemen, is an immutable fact.

In conclusion, we must endeavour to ask ourselves this valid question: *What is main difference between Presidents Trump and Putin?* Without the mainstream media's ambiguity, the data and funding exposure indicates that the United States is totally controlled by the Jewish Lobby, AIPAC (Both

¹³ Miller, A.D. (2022). "The Acronyms Club" Has the Ukraine Conflict Exposed the West and its History?

¹⁴ Fornusek, M. (2025). "Zelensky's trust rating increases to 57%, survey shows, contradicting Trump's claims. The Kyiv Independent.

¹⁵ GESIS, (2025). "Shift of Political Trust in Ukraine during the War" Leibniz Institute of Social Sciences

¹⁶ Brown, Lee (9 March 2022). "Zelensky has survived 'more than a dozen' assassination attempts, aide says". New York Post. Archived from the original on 16 March 2022.

sides – House and Senate) and President Trump is deeply connected to Judaism or today's modern Zionism.¹⁷ His daughter Ivanka actually converted to the faith before marrying her Jewish husband Jared Kushner in 2009. He is a Businessman and former aid in the first Trump administration. He was actually said to be the architect of the Abraham Accords a bilateral treaty recognizes each state's sovereignty, obliges the two states to exchange ambassadors and conclude bilateral agreements on several topics including visa agreements, and it has not been ratified in light of the Gaza situation. Kushner was raised in a Modern Orthodox Jewish family, and graduated from the Frisch School, a Modern Orthodox yeshiva high school, in 1999 and enrolled at Harvard University in the same year.¹⁸

Conversely, President Putin actually commands his own country and has been trained to answer to no one of insignificant interest. – a real vested patriot. He is neither a philosemite nor antisemite, he is one of the only leaders in the world who currently believes in the preservation of his nation: i.e., its border security, history, culture, religion, ethnicities and language. The reader ought to ask how many nations have this form of leadership quality - with security experience without external interference? The Russian readers ought to ask this question themselves also.

President Putin is very much aware of many topics and clinically astute as premier. He is aware that America has no cards, owing to the sanctions imposed on Russia and historical deceptions. The data has also shown that the EU is merely a debt-ridden Islamic identified group of nations and has no care for its citizens minus may be three Poland, Hungary and Slovakia. Slovakia's Prime Minister Robert Fico for example, has said that he will veto plans for more funds for Ukraine due to Ukraine blocking of cheaper Russian gas.¹⁹ He has accused the leadership for not taking national interests of individual nations into account. President has already stated in an interview by asking: "what role does the EU have in bilateral relations, what role do they have in this process?" It is clear that this Russian premier has no interest in the EU within any mediation process as it does not belong. Additionally, the U.S. Secretary of State Marco Rubio refused to meet the EU High Representative for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy and Vice-President of the European Commission Kaja Kallas when²⁰ she flew to Washington. This goes to show that the two superpowers show little interest in the European Union and its future role especially within security and foreign affairs. The usual reaction of EU leadership continuously vanquishing Russia and depositing fear of misinformation in relation to Russian military intent, in its members, is an old ploy to keep its diminishing union together. The EU has a cost-of-living crises and the 800 billion Euro military funding plan announced by its unelected head Ursula von der Leyen, is deemed by this author's own calculations as mathematically unviable. To raise this money, budgets of individual members will be disseminated. Climate, and other liberal activist funding and its ERASMUS educational programme, will be dipped into to furnish this 800 billion military fund. One's broken record and continued writings on Kissinger, and the Madman Strategy during Vietnam War,²¹ as the EU needs to save face and to make a noise, and this author disagrees with the contention that this is all a smokescreen or diversion. If you do not know where you come from, you don't know where to go. The EU itself was an ill-conceived idea of globalism, and it's evident that it will remain so.

As for NATO, it is falling apart due to funding issues and U.S. threats to abstain. The UN, however, has become a vehicle of socialism. It is as if NATO, against politically conservative governments and the right to retain sovereignty in its 193 nations. This bias was clearly illustrated amidst the Biden Socialist government, and UN security council decisions. BRICS+ nation framework will also be used to counter. This topic was noted in a previous essay by this author in 2024 entitled: *The End of The United Nations...? An Elongated, but Expository Essay on 'Capitalglobalism'*.²²

An additional factor - Putin will never forget misleading past foreign policy: In 1994, when President Clinton endorsed the eastward expansion of NATO, it is important to recall the discussions that took place on February 7, 1991, between Hans-Dietrich Genscher, former Vice-Chancellor of Germany, and James Baker III with Mikhail Gorbachev. Following these discussions, Genscher held a press conference wherein he articulated that NATO would not advance eastward and emphasized that this commitment was made in a legal framework, rather than a casual one. This was a critical moment in the negotiations surrounding the end of World War II and the reunification of Germany, culminating in an explicit agreement that NATO would not extend its reach even by a single inch to the east. This understanding is well-documented in numerous sources, including the National Security Archive at George Washington University, which provides access to a plethora of relevant documents detailing what Gorbachev understood regarding this agreement.²³ Furthermore, it is essential to scrutinize the narratives provided by the United States, which are often misleading, as the archival evidence presents a clear picture of the events. The decision made in 1994 to expand NATO to include Ukraine reflects a long-standing U.S. government initiative that has been in development for over three decades. In 1997, Zbigniew Brzezinski published "The Grand Chessboard,"²⁴ which should not be viewed merely as individual conjecture, but rather as a formal articulation of U.S. policy decisions intended for public consumption. This text discusses the simultaneous progression of NATO's and Europe's eastward expansion, including a significant chapter addressing the potential responses of Russia to this geopolitical shift.²⁵ The aforementioned exemplifies Putin's caution with regard to this attempted Trump led Ukrainian – Russian agreement. The reader also must not ignore the contributions by the U.S. Pentagon's think tank and strategists, the Rand Corporation.

¹⁷ Anadolu Agency, (2024). "US election: How AIPAC shapes American politics" Anadolu Agency. Istanbul.

¹⁸ Aizin, R. (2025). "Ivanka Trump and Jared Kushner's Relationship: A Look at Their 15-Year Marriage" People Magazine.

¹⁹ Fornusek, M. (2025) "Hungary, Slovakia threaten EU unity on Russia, Ukraine ahead of key summit" The Kiev Independent.

²⁰ Liboreiro, J. (2025). "Kaja Kallas meeting with Marco Rubio cancelled due to scheduling issues" Euro News.

²¹ Burr, W., and Kimball, J.P. (2015). "Nixon, Kissinger, and the Madman Strategy during Vietnam War" U.S. National Security Archive – Nuclear Vault.

²² Miller, A.D. (2024). "THE END OF THE UNITED NATIONS...? An Elongated, but Expository Essay on 'Capitalglobalism'", Journal of Emerging Trends and Novel Research ISSN:2984-9276, Vol.1, Issue 12,

²³ The Print Uncut, (2025). 'Europe needs an independent foreign policy': Professor Jeffrey Sachs at European Parliament' February 21, 2025.

²⁴ Brzezinski, Z. (1998). "The Grand Chessboard: American Primacy and Its Geostategic Imperatives." Basic Books; Later Printing Used edition (September 18, 1998). (pages 248)

In brutal with academic honesty, the EU, Britain inclusive of China, have no recent experience within modern digital warfare - no matter of their troop numbers. i.e., dealing with drone attacks and so on. Simply put, there are only two nations in the world who are experienced in digital combat, these being Russia and Ukraine. The EU is intoxicated with war cries as it has been involved with the only corporations of whom profit from conflicts i.e., Lockheed Martin, RTX, Northrop Grumman, Boeing, General Dynamic and Elbit Systems – itself, is heavily involved in Israel. The European Union has no care for its respective member state’s populous as 95 million of them, are frightened as they sleep being impoverished to eat, and some citizens are in jail in the Baltic states for speaking the Russian language. This is in lockstep with EU biased media reporting apparatus. Malcolm X once aptly described this very well by saying that the media could make an innocent person guilty and the guilty made innocent. One would ask you the readers, would Putin be blind to such disturbing conduct? – of course not. He will be observing, calculating and recording as one does as an academic researcher. This Russian premier has borne witness to a NATO demised and the feminised EU that has deliberately created enemies both externally and being divisive internally. War is big commerce, and a soldier’s sacrifice neither bears significance nor meaning, as they are only cannon fodder - only the Bitcoins, Euros and the U.S. Dollars indeed matter.

In conclusion, within the realms of finality, the U.S. and a failing EU ought not to be ignorant of these previously explained historical and present observations, (recent and the latter) in its attempt to broker this peace deal. It is, therefore, woefully uncorrected of Trump to have articulated in public that Putin does not have any choice but to agree to a deal. As academics of note of whom take their vocations seriously, are very much in agreement, inclusive of this author. In postulation, this conflict has been merely a proxy war initiated by the United States and Ukraine as its satellite in its original intent, shape and form - pre-Donald John Trump. America and the West coalitions in many of its guises, are also losing hegemonic status, and they know it. We have had two world wars to prevent the Germans being in alliance with Russia -which has worked culminating with a final act as it were by the illegal removal of the Ukraine government in February 2014. Conversely, we as both commentators and readers, have to be open to the fact that the West has not been doing well. Their nations are in recession or closely approaching it. Many have their citizens queueing at food banks, energy prices have quintupled, crime is rampant in major cities, 1000% home price increases in over a decade, and finally, homelessness has become the norm. This economic situation outlined, was the main contributing factor in initiating this Ukraine proxy conflict, and this researcher sincerely believes that whatever the outcome post-Ukrainian conflict, the evidence suggests that we will bear witness to a renaissance especially in European collective policy thus, leading to the end of the EU. All these nations will be affectively sovereign again.

The Russian premier has been observing and tactically planning or preparing economically and militarily for many years. As this essay has detailed with many exemplars and further unambiguous exemplifications, in effect, the Russian President Vladimir Putin, can always afford to reject any deal, as it is his decision and his decision alone. This Russian premier has raised and extricated a broken post-cold war Russia alike raising the proverbial phoenix from the ashes, then into a superpower once more. With this experience inclusive of previous NATO deceit, Putin is neither going to be interested in a truce nor a ceasefire, irrespective of the recent Turkish notions. Trump has various cards on Europe, however, collectively, these Western parties vying to be a part of brokering a peace agreement, do not possess the necessary cards, against Russia militarily, politically economically, and without the applicability of unity. Even having received 21,000 plus sanctions imposed upon it, The Russian Federation and stores are full of produce and possess many natural resources beneath its *terra firma*.

Yet, once more, “Russia can afford to wait.”

References

- [1] Malingre, V. (2024). “Giorgia Meloni’s European double bluff...” *Le Monde*. By Virginie Malingre (Brussels, Europe bureau) and Allan Kaval (Rome, Italy,). https://www.lemonde.fr/en/international/article/2024/05/30/giorgia-meloni-s-european-double-bluff_6673183_4.html
- [2] Clarke, C. (2024). “Inside the extraordinary Oval Office showdown that could cost Ukraine the war — and its future” *Australian Broadcasting Corporation*. <https://www.abc.net.au/news/2025-03-01/donald-trump-volodymyr-zelenskyy-oval-office-spat-ukraine-war/104991402>
- [3] Echols, C. (2024). “New poll: Nearly 70% of Americans want talks to end war in Ukraine...” *Responsible Statecraft*. <https://responsiblestatecraft.org/ukraine-peace-talks-poll/>
- [4] LSD Law, (2025). “Estates of the realm” <https://www.lsd.law/define/estates-of-the-realm>
- [5] One India News, (2024) ‘Break Russia into Pieces’: Estonian PM Kaja Kallas Expected to be EU’s Foreign Policy Chief. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=HzhVE4FnEBU>
- [6] Kilander, G. (2025). “US senator joins Elon Musk in calling for withdrawal from NATO. *The Independent*. <https://www.independent.co.uk/news/world/americas/us-politics/elon-musk-nato-donald-trump-b2707635.html>
- [7,13] Miller, A.D. (2022). “The Acronyms Club” Has the Ukraine Conflict Exposed the West and its History? The Most Comprehensive Study into How U.S. Hegemony Denies Sovereignities of Nations. *International Journal of Scientific Research and Management*. Volume 10 Issue 06 Pages 44-85. <https://doi.org/10.18535/ijssrm/v10i6.ps02>
- [8] Psara, M. (2025). “Germany’s Merz marks shift by betting on an EU less dependent on US” *Euro News*. <https://www.euronews.com/my-europe/2025/02/24/germanys-merz-marks-shift-by-betting-on-an-eu-less-dependent-on-us>
- [9] Ramage, S. (2008). “The right to silence in France, Germany, US and the UK” *Current Criminal Law*. Volume 1 Issue No 2 December, 2008 ISSN: 1758-8405. <https://philarchive.org/archive/RAMRTS-3>

- [10] Karnitschnig, M. (2023). "Rust on the Rhine: The deindustrialization of Germany: If Europe's economic motor stalls, the Continent's already polarized political will shudder." <https://www.politico.eu/article/rust-belt-on-the-rhine-the-deindustrialization-of-germany/>
- [11] Burilkov, A., and Wolff, G. (2025). "Defending Europe without the US: first estimates of what is needed" *Bruegel*. Feb. 2025. <https://www.bruegel.org/analysis/defending-europe-without-us-first-estimates-what-needed>
- [12] Castellium, (2022). "Russia Sanctions Dashboard" Statistics from all sources globally by *Castellium*. <https://www.castellium.ai/russia-sanctions-dashboard>
- [14] Fornusek, M. (2025). "Zelensky's trust rating increases to 57%, survey shows, contradicting Trump's claims." *The Kyiv Independent*. <https://kyivindependent.com/author/martin/>
- [15] GESIS, (2025). "Shift of Political Trust in Ukraine during the War" *Leibniz Institute of Social Sciences* .<https://doi.org/10.34879/gesisblog.2025.89>
- [16] Brown, Lee (9 March 2022). "Zelensky has survived 'more than a dozen' assassination attempts, aide says". *New York Post*. Archived from the original on 16 March 2022. Retrieved 15 March 2022. <https://nypost.com/2022/03/09/zelensky-has-survived-more-than-a-dozen-assassination-attempts-aide-says/>
- [17] Anadolu Agency, (2024). "US election: How AIPAC shapes American politics" *Anadolu Agency* <https://www.aa.com.tr/en/2024-us-presidential-election/us-election-how-aipac-shapes-american-politics/3384046>
- [18] Aizin, R. (2025). "Ivanka Trump and Jared Kushner's Relationship: A Look at Their 15-Year Marriage" *People Magazine – Political Families*. <https://people.com/all-about-ivanka-trump-jared-kushner-relationship-8645222>
- [18] Fornusek, M. (2025) "Hungary, Slovakia threaten EU unity on Russia, Ukraine ahead of key summit" *The Kiev Independent*. <https://kyivindependent.com/hungary-slovakia-threaten-eu-unity-on-russia-ukraine-ahead-of-key-summit/>
- [20] Liboreiro, J. (2025). "Kaja Kallas meeting with Marco Rubio cancelled due to scheduling issues" *Euro News*. <https://www.euronews.com/my-europe/2025/02/26/kaja-kallas-meeting-with-marco-rubio-cancelled-due-to-scheduling-issues>
- [21] Burr, W., and Kimball, J.P. (2015). "Nixon, Kissinger, and the Madman Strategy during Vietnam War" *U.S. National Security Archive – Nuclear Vault*. <https://nsarchive2.gwu.edu/nukevault/ebb517-Nixon-Kissinger-and-the-Madman-Strategy-during-Vietnam-War/>
- [22] Miller, A.D. (2024). "THE END OF THE UNITED NATIONS...? An Elongated, but Expository Essay on 'Capitalglobalism'", *Journal of Emerging Trends and Novel Research* ISSN:2984-9276, Vol.1, Issue 12, page 320-331, January 2024, <https://rjpn.org/JETNR/papers/JETNR2312038.pdf>
- [23] The Print Uncut, (2025). 'Europe needs an independent foreign policy': *Professor Jeffrey Sachs at European Parliament* February 21, 2025. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=u4c-YRPXDoM&ab_channel=ThePrint
- [24] Brzezinski, Z. (1998). "The Grand Chess board: American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives." *Basic Books*; Later Printing Used edition 0465027261 SBN-13 : 978-0465027262. <https://www.amazon.com/Grand-Chessboard-American-Geostrategic-Imperatives/dp/0465027261>