WWW.IJRPR.COM

International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

Journal homepage: www.ijrpr.com ISSN 2582-7421

Effectiveness of Online Learning Vs Traditional Classroom

Thomas Philip

24MCFA39, Kristu Jayanti College -560077, India
24mcfa39@kristujayanti.com

ABSTRACT

The rapid advancement of technology has significantly transformed the education landscape, with online learning emerging as a prominent alternative to traditional classroom-based education. The COVID-19 pandemic further accelerated this shift, compelling schools, universities, and institutions worldwide to adopt online learning platforms. However, the effectiveness of online learning compared to the traditional classroom remains a subject of debate among educators, students, and policymakers. This research seeks to explore the comparative effectiveness of online learning versus traditional classroom instruction by examining various aspects such as student engagement, academic performance, learning outcomes, and overall satisfaction.

Online learning, which utilizes digital platforms to deliver educational content, offers flexibility in terms of location and schedule, making it an attractive option for many students. On the other hand, traditional classroom learning, characterized by face-to-face interaction between students and instructors, is often considered the more conventional and established method. Despite the advantages of flexibility, online learning faces challenges related to technology access, limited social interaction, and the need for self-discipline. Traditional classrooms, while offering direct interaction, can present issues such as rigid schedules, travel time, and potential for distraction in larger class settings.

This research investigates these two methods of learning in terms of their academic effectiveness, including their impact on knowledge retention, critical thinking, and problem-solving abilities. A key component of the study is the assessment of student engagement, as active participation is often considered an essential factor in the learning process. While some studies suggest that online learning offers personalized learning experiences through adaptive technologies, others argue that traditional classrooms foster a more interactive and collaborative environment. The impact of online learning on student engagement is therefore closely analyzed, taking into account variables such as class size, course content, and teaching methods.

Additionally, the research delves into the academic performance of students in both learning formats. Online learning platforms often provide instant feedback, quizzes, and assessments, allowing students to monitor their progress in real-time. However, critics suggest that the lack of in-person interaction may hinder students' ability to seek immediate clarification or receive personalized feedback. In contrast, traditional classrooms provide a more structured environment where students can benefit from immediate interaction with instructors and peers, contributing to a more comprehensive understanding of the subject matter.

Furthermore, the study examines the overall satisfaction of students with both online learning and traditional classroom settings. Factors such as convenience, ease of access to resources, and the quality of instruction are taken into account. Students who have experienced both methods report varying levels of satisfaction, with some expressing a preference for online learning due to its convenience, while others value the social and academic benefits of face-to-face interaction in traditional classrooms.

The research also considers the effectiveness of hybrid learning models, which combine elements of both online and traditional learning. Hybrid models are seen as a potential solution to bridge the gap between flexibility and interaction, offering students the best of both worlds. By analyzing the strengths and weaknesses of each mode of learning, the research aims to provide a balanced understanding of how both online learning and traditional classrooms impact student outcomes.

In conclusion, the findings of this research suggest that both online learning and traditional classroom education have their unique strengths and weaknesses. While online learning offers flexibility and personalized experiences, it also poses challenges related to engagement and social interaction. Traditional classrooms, while fostering collaboration and face-to-face communication, may face limitations in terms of flexibility and accessibility. The research underscores the importance of choosing the right mode of learning based on the needs, preferences, and circumstances of students. Ultimately, a hybrid approach may prove to be the most effective way to address the diverse needs of learners, combining the advantages of both online and traditional methods to create a more inclusive and adaptive learning environment.

INTRODUCTION

In the world of education, learning methods have evolved significantly over the years. The traditional classroom, a familiar space where students and instructors interact face-to-face, has long been considered the gold standard in education. However, in recent years, the rapid advancement of

technology has introduced alternative learning methods, with online learning emerging as a highly popular choice. This shift, propelled further by the global COVID-19 pandemic, has sparked debates on the effectiveness of online education versus the traditional classroom experience. As education systems worldwide adapt to new technological advancements, it becomes crucial to assess whether online learning can truly match or even surpass the quality and effectiveness of traditional face-to-face instruction.

Online learning, also known as e-learning, refers to the use of digital platforms to deliver educational content to students over the internet. It offers the convenience of accessing lessons and resources from anywhere and at any time, making it an appealing option for many learners. This method has grown rapidly, particularly as schools and universities sought to maintain continuity during lockdowns and other restrictions. Despite its flexibility, online learning comes with its own set of challenges, such as limited personal interaction, reliance on technology, and a need for strong self-discipline. These factors raise questions about the depth of student engagement, the quality of learning outcomes, and whether students are truly absorbing the material in the same way they would in a traditional classroom setting.

On the other hand, traditional classroom education remains deeply rooted in educational practices across the world. This method emphasizes face-to-face interaction between students and instructors, offering a structured environment for learning. The benefits of traditional classrooms include immediate feedback, in-person collaboration with peers, and the chance for instructors to observe and adjust to students' understanding of the material. However, traditional learning comes with its own drawbacks, such as rigid schedules, commuting challenges, and the limited ability to cater to students' diverse learning paces. These limitations can hinder students' ability to engage fully and can create barriers for those who may need more flexible learning options.

Both online learning and traditional classroom education have their advantages and disadvantages. As educators and students continue to navigate the pros and cons of each approach, the need for a clear understanding of which method offers the most effective learning experience becomes even more important. The question remains: Which of these learning methods truly delivers better academic performance, engagement, and satisfaction for students?

This research project aims to compare the effectiveness of online learning and traditional classroom instruction. By examining factors such as academic performance, student engagement, interaction with peers and instructors, and overall learning outcomes, the study seeks to provide a comprehensive analysis of the two educational methods. Moreover, it will consider hybrid models that blend both online and traditional classroom elements, as these have gained popularity due to their potential to combine the best features of each method.

As we delve into this research, the ultimate goal is to better understand the strengths and weaknesses of both online learning and traditional classrooms. The findings will help educators, institutions, and policymakers make more informed decisions about the most effective ways to deliver education in an increasingly digital world. This research is crucial not only for students but also for the future of education itself, as it will shed light on how best to adapt educational strategies to meet the evolving needs of learners across the globe.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Several studies have explored the effectiveness of online learning versus traditional classroom education, examining factors such as student performance, engagement, satisfaction, and accessibility.

A study by Means et al. (2013) conducted a meta-analysis comparing online and face-to-face instruction. Their findings indicated that students in online learning environments performed slightly better than those in traditional classrooms when courses were well-designed and incorporated interactive elements. This suggests that the quality of instructional design plays a significant role in determining the effectiveness of online education.

In contrast, research by Xu and Jaggars (2014) found that students enrolled in online courses had higher dropout rates and lower performance compared to their counterparts in traditional classrooms. The study emphasized that students who lacked self-discipline and time management skills struggled in online environments. This highlights the importance of student readiness and self-regulation in determining the success of online learning.

Another study by Bernard et al. (2014) analysethe impact of student engagement in different learning environments. Their research suggested that traditional classrooms foster higher levels of engagement due to direct interactions with instructors and peers. Online learning environments, while offering flexibility, often require additional strategies such as gamification, discussion forums, and interactive content to maintain student engagement.

Furthermore, the accessibility and inclusivity of online learning have been widely discussed in recent literature. According to Bolliger and Inan (2012), online education has the potential to provide learning opportunities for students in remote areas, working professionals, and individuals with disabilities. However, the effectiveness of online learning depends on access to technology, digital literacy, and reliable internet connections. In low-resource settings, traditional classroom learning may still be the more viable option.

The COVID-19 pandemic further accelerated research on online learning effectiveness. A study by Dhawan (2020) explored the rapid transition to online education during the pandemic and highlighted key challenges such as the digital divide, lack of student motivation, and the need for enhanced instructional strategies. The research suggested that a blended learning approach, combining both online and traditional methods, might be the most effective way to maximize learning outcomes.

Overall, existing literature indicates that both online and traditional learning have their strengths and weaknesses. The effectiveness of each method depends on factors such as student motivation, Rinstructional design, accessibility, and the nature of the subject being taught. While online learning

offers flexibility and expanded access, traditional classrooms provide structured learning and direct engagement, making them more suitable for certain students and disciplines. As education continues to evolve, future research should focus on optimizing blended learning models to enhance the strengths of both approaches.

STATEMENT OF PROBLEM

Education has undergone significant transformations with the advent of technology, leading to a growing debate on the effectiveness of online learning versus traditional classroom education. While online learning offers flexibility, accessibility, and a personalized learning experience, traditional classrooms provide structured environments with direct teacher-student interactions and hands-on learning experiences. Despite the increasing popularity of online education, questions remain regarding its ability to fully replace conventional learning methods in terms of academic performance, student engagement, and long-term knowledge retention. One of the primary concerns surrounding online education is the lack of direct interaction between students and teachers. Traditional classrooms facilitate real-time communication, immediate feedback, and a sense of community among learners, which plays a crucial role in knowledge retention and motivation. Online learning, on the other hand, often relies on pre-recorded lectures, digital assessments, and asynchronous discussions, which may lead to feelings of isolation and reduced engagement among students. Another challenge is the variation in students' self-discipline and motivation levels. Online education requires learners to be highly self-motivated and manage their time effectively. However, many students struggle with procrastination, distractions, and a lack of accountability, which can negatively impact their academic performance. In contrast, the structured schedule of traditional classrooms ensures a consistent learning routine, which helps students stay on track with their studies. Accessibility and technological barriers also play a significant role in determining the effectiveness of online learning. While digital education allows students from remote locations to access quality education, disparities in internet connectivity, availability of digital devices, and digital literacy skills can hinder learning outcomes. Many students, particularly in developing countries like India, face challenges in accessing reliable technology, making online education less effective compared to traditional learning models.

The quality of instructional methods also varies between the two modes of learning. Traditional classrooms provide opportunities for hands-on activities, lab experiments, and interactive learning, which are difficult to replicate in online settings. Although advancements in technology, such as virtual simulations and augmented reality, have enhanced digital learning experiences, they may not fully substitute practical learning approaches.

Furthermore, online education raises concerns regarding assessment and academic integrity. In a traditional classroom, teachers can monitor students' progress closely and provide immediate clarification of doubts. However, online assessments often rely on multiple-choice quizzes and automated grading systems, which may not accurately measure students' understanding. Issues such as plagiarism and cheating in online exams also pose challenges to maintaining academic integrity. The COVID-19 pandemic highlighted both the strengths and limitations of online learning, as educational institutions worldwide were forced to shift to digital platforms. While online education proved to be a valuable alternative during the crisis, many educators and students reported difficulties in adapting to remote learning. Research from India and other countries indicates that a blended learning approach, which combines online resources with traditional face-to-face instruction, may be the most effective model for achieving optimal learning outcomes.

OBJECTIVE OF STUDY

- To compare the academic performance of students in online learning and traditional classroom settings.
- To evaluate student engagement and motivation levels in both learning environments.
- To analyse the impact of online and traditional learning on knowledge retention and understanding
- To identify challenges and limitations faced by students and educators in both learning environments.

SCOPE OF STUDY

This study focuses on assessing the effectiveness of online learning versus traditional classroom education in terms of student engagement, academic performance, and knowledge retention. The research will consider various factors such as accessibility, technological challenges, instructional design, and motivation levels to determine which method is more beneficial for learners

The study will include students from different academic levels, ranging from high school to higher education, to provide a comprehensive analysis of the effectiveness of both learning modes across various age groups. Additionally, it will take into account the perspectives of educators, examining their experiences with teaching in both online and traditional classroom environments. Geographically, the research will focus on both developed and developing countries, with a special emphasis on India, where digital education is still evolving. By incorporating studies and data from Indian educational institutions, the research aims to highlight the specific challenges and advantages of online learning in a country with diverse socio-economic conditions.

The study will also consider different types of online learning platforms, such as self-paced courses, instructor-led virtual classrooms, and hybrid models that combine both online and offline elements. The findings from this study will help policymakers, educators, and institutions make informed decisions about the future of education and the integration of digital learning technologies into traditional teaching methods. Geographically, the

research will focus on both developed and developing countries, with a special emphasis on India, where digital education is still evolving. By incorporating studies and data from Indian educational institutions, the research aims to highlight the specific challenges and advantages of online learning in a country with diverse socio-economic conditions.

The study will also consider different types of online learning platforms, such as self-paced courses, instructor-led virtual classrooms, and hybrid models that combine both online and offline elements. The findings from this study will help policymakers, educators, and institutions make informed decisions about the future of education and the integration of digital learning technologies into traditional teaching methods.

DATA AND METHODOLGY

This study employs a quantitative research approach, using questionnaires as the primary tool for data collection. The questionnaire will be designed to assess the effectiveness of online learning compared to traditional classroom education by gathering data on student engagement, academic performance, accessibility, and perceived learning outcomes. It will include both closed-ended and open-ended questions to allow for a comprehensive understanding of participants' experiences.

The data sources for this study include students from secondary and higher education institutions, as well as educators who have experience in both online and traditional teaching environments. A random sampling method will be employed to select participants to ensure diverse perspectives across various academic levels and backgrounds. The selection criteria will focus on individuals who have spent at least one semester in both learning environments, allowing for an informed comparison of their experiences.

Ethical considerations are an essential part of this research to maintain integrity and credibility. Participants will be fully informed about the study's objectives, and their anonymity and confidentiality will be ensured. Informed consent will be obtained from all respondents before participation, and they will have the right to withdraw from the study at any point without any consequences. The collected data will be used strictly for academic purposes, and all responses will be analyzed objectively to avoid bias or misrepresentation of findings. Additionally, efforts will be made to ensure that the research process adheres to ethical guidelines set forth by academic and institutional bodies, ensuring fairness, transparency, and reliability in the study's outcomes.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

TABLE 1: AGE

AGE	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	ACCUMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
UNDER 18	1	1.8%	1.8%
18-21	12	21.4%	23.2%
22-26	32	57.1%	80.3%
26-30	9	16.1%	96.4%
ABOVE 30	2	3.6%	100%
TOTAL	56	100%	

The age distribution data reveals that the majority of respondents, accounting for **57.1%**, fall within the **22-26** age range, making this the most dominant group in the study. This suggests that the sample is largely composed of young adults. Additionally, **21.4%** of the respondents are aged **18-21**, bringing the cumulative percentage of individuals aged **18-26** to **78.5%**. This highlights a predominantly youthful demographic in the study.

Furthermore, respondents aged **26-30 years** make up **16.1%** of the sample, increasing the cumulative percentage to **96.4%**. Meanwhile, individuals **above 30 years** constitute only **3.6%**, indicating minimal representation of older age groups. Additionally, only **1.8%** of respondents are under **18**, suggesting that minors were rarely included in the dataset.

TABLE 2: GENDER

GENDER	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
MALE	30	52.6%	52.6%
FEMALE	27	47.4%	100%
PERFER NOT TO SAY			
TOTAL	57	100%	

The gender distribution data reveals that the sample consists of **52.6% males** and **47.4% females**, indicating a relatively balanced representation of both genders. While males make up a slight majority, the near-equal proportion of females suggests that the study includes diverse perspectives from both groups. The cumulative percentage reaches **100%**, confirming that all respondents identified as either male or female, with no individuals selecting "Prefer not to say."

This balance in gender representation enhances the reliability of the study, especially if gender-related factors play a role in the research. The absence of respondents who preferred not to disclose their gender suggests that gender identity was not a sensitive issue within this sample. If the project requires a deeper analysis of gender differences, further segmentation of the data might be necessary.

TABLE 3: EDUCATION

CURRENT EDUCATIONAL LEVEL	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
ELEMENTARY PRIMARY	2	3.1%	3.1%
HIGHSCHOOL	4	6.3%	9.4%
UNDER GRADUATE	19	29.7%	39.1%
GRADUATE/POST GRADUATE	38	59.4%	98.5%
OTHERS	1	1.6%	100%
TOTAL	64	100%	

The educational level distribution indicates that the majority of respondents have attained higher education, with **29.7%** being undergraduate students and **59.4%** having completed graduate or postgraduate studies. This brings the cumulative percentage to **98.5%**, highlighting that the sample is largely composed of individuals with advanced academic qualifications. As a result, the study's findings will primarily reflect perspectives from those with higher education backgrounds.

A smaller percentage of respondents, **6.3%**, have only completed high school, while **3.1%** have attained only elementary or primary education. This shows that individuals with lower educational qualifications are significantly underrepresented in the study. Additionally, **1.6%** of respondents fall under the "Others" category, bringing the cumulative percentage to **100%**.

TABLE 4: EXPERIENCE

EXPERIENCE	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
ONLINE LEARNING	12	18.8%	18.8%
TRADITINALEARNING	31	48.4%	67.2%
HYBIRD	21	32.8%	100%
TOTAL	64	100%	

The experience distribution data reveals that the majority of respondents, **48.4%**, have primarily engaged in traditional learning methods. This indicates that nearly half of the sample has been exposed to in-person education as their main mode of learning, making it the most dominant approach in the study. At this stage, the cumulative percentage reaches **67.2%**, suggesting that a significant portion of the respondents have not primarily relied on online or hybrid learning.

A considerable **32.8%** of respondents have experienced hybrid learning, which combines both traditional and online methods. This highlights a growing shift towards flexible learning approaches that integrate digital platforms with face-to-face instruction. Meanwhile, **18.8%** of respondents have had exclusive experience with online learning, making it the least common learning mode among the participants.

TABLE 5: MODE OF LEARNING

MODE OF LEARNING	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
ONLINE LEARNNING	12	18.8%	18.8
TRADITIONAL LEARNING	32	50%	68.8
BOTH EQUALLY	18	28.1%	96.9
NEITHER	2	3.1%	100
TOTAL	64	100%	

The mode of learning distribution reveals that **50%** of respondents prefer traditional learning, making it the most dominant mode in the study. This suggests that half of the participants favour in-person education, likely due to its structured environment, direct interaction, and familiarity. At this stage, the cumulative percentage reaches **68.8%**, indicating that more than two-thirds of respondents do not primarily rely on online learning.

A significant portion, **28.1%**, reported using both online and traditional learning equally, reflecting a growing shift toward blended education. This suggests that many learners find value in combining digital and face-to-face instruction for a more flexible and comprehensive learning experience. Meanwhile, **18.8%** of respondents rely primarily on online learning, making it the least common preference among participants.

Additionally, **3.1%** of respondents indicated that they do not engage in either mode, which may suggest alternative learning methods such as self-directed study or experiential learning. Overall, the findings highlight that while traditional learning remains the most preferred, there is an increasing trend toward hybrid and online education. If the project aims to assess learning preferences or effectiveness, it should consider the advantages and challenges of each mode and how they impact the overall educational experience.

TABLE 6: TECHNOLOGY

COMFORTABLE WHILE USING TECHNOLOGY	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMULATIVE PERCENTAG
VERY COMFORTABLE	32	56.3%	56.3%
SOME WHAT COMFORTABLE	22	34.4%	90.7%
NOT COMFORTABLE	6	9.4%	100%
TOTAL	60	100%	

The data on comfort levels while using technology suggests that the majority of respondents are confident in using digital tools. With **56.3%** reporting that they are **very comfortable** and an additional **34.4%** feeling **somewhat comfortable**, a total of **90.7%** of participants demonstrate at least a moderate level of ease with technology. This indicates that most individuals in the study can effectively engage with technology-based activities such as online learning, virtual collaboration, and digital communication.

However, **9.4%** of respondents indicated that they are **not comfortable** using technology. This highlights a small but significant portion of individuals who may struggle with digital tools, potentially requiring additional support, training, or simplified technological interfaces to ensure inclusivity and accessibility.

TABLE 7: DISTRACTED DURING ONLINE LEARNING

DISTRACTED DURING ONLINE LEARNING	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
ALWAY	10	15.6%	15.6
OFTEN	17	26.6%	42.6
SOMETIME	22	34.4%	76.8
RARELY	11	17.2%	94
NEVER	4	6.3%	100
TOTAL	64	100	

The data on distractions during online learning reveals that a significant portion of respondents face challenges in maintaining focus. **15.6%** of participants reported being **always** distracted, while an additional **26.6%** stated they are **often** distracted. This means that over **42%** of respondents struggle with frequent distractions, which could negatively impact their engagement and overall learning effectiveness in an online setting.

The largest group, **34.4%**, mentioned that they are **sometimes** distracted, bringing the cumulative percentage to **76.8%**. This suggests that while distractions are common, their intensity varies, with many learners experiencing occasional rather than constant interruptions. Meanwhile, **17.2%** of respondents indicated that they are **rarely** distracted, showing that some individuals can maintain focus more effectively in an online learning environment.

Only **6.3%** of participants reported that they are **never** distracted during online learning, highlighting that very few individuals can fully concentrate without disruptions. This emphasizes the challenge of maintaining attention in virtual settings, where external factors such as social media, household responsibilities, and environmental disturbances may interfere with learning.

TABLE 8: DISTRACTED DURING TRADITINAL CLASSROOM

DISTRACTED DURING TRADITINAL CLASSROOM	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
ALWAY	6	9.4%	9.4%

OFTEN	13	20.3%	29.7%
SOMETIME	21	32.8%	62.5%
RARELY	21	32.8%	95.3%
NEVER	3	4.7%	100%
TOTAL	64	100%	

The data on distractions during traditional classroom learning reveals that while distractions still occur, they may be less frequent compared to online learning. **9.4%** of respondents reported being **always** distracted, while **20.3%** stated they are **often** distracted. This means that nearly **30%** of participants experience frequent distractions in a traditional classroom setting, which could impact their ability to focus and retain information.

The largest group, **32.8%**, mentioned that they are **sometimes** distracted, bringing the cumulative percentage to **62.5%**. This suggests that while distractions are common, they occur intermittently rather than consistently. Another **32.8%** of respondents reported being **rarely** distracted, indicating that a significant portion of learners can maintain concentration in a physical classroom environment.

Only **4.7%** of participants reported that they are **never** distracted during traditional learning, highlighting that while distractions exist, they may be more manageable in a structured, face-to-face setting. The presence of a controlled environment, direct supervision by instructors, and fewer digital interruptions may contribute to improved focus compared to online learning.

TABLE 9: ONLINE LEARNING ENVIRONMENT

INTERACT WITH INSTRUCTION IN AN ONLINE LEARNING	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
YES	28	43.8%	43.8%
NO	23	35.9%	79.7%
IT DEPEND ON THE PLATFORM	13	20.3%	100%
TOTAL	64	100%	

The data on interaction with instructors in an online learning environment reveals varying levels of engagement among students. **43.8%** of respondents reported that they actively interact with instructors during online learning. This suggests that nearly half of the participants find digital platforms effective for communication, allowing them to ask questions, seek clarification, and engage in discussions.

However, **35.9%** of respondents stated that they do not interact with instructors in online learning. This lack of engagement could be due to several factors, including limited real-time discussions, a lack of encouragement to participate, or difficulties in reaching instructors through digital platforms. This finding highlights a potential challenge in online education, where some students may feel disconnected or hesitant to interact.

Additionally, **20.3%** of participants mentioned that their interaction with instructors depends on the platform being used. This indicates that the features, accessibility, and design of online learning platforms play a significant role in determining student engagement. Some platforms may offer better tools for interaction, such as live chat, discussion forums, or video conferencing, while others may have limitations that hinder communication.

TABLE 10: FEED BACK

GET FEEDBACK IN A TRADITIONAL CLASSROOM	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
YES	42	65.6	65.6
NO	11	17.2	82.8
IT DEPEND ON THE INSTRUCTOR	11	17.2	100
TOTAL	64	100	

The data on receiving feedback in a traditional classroom setting indicates that the majority of students benefit from instructor feedback. **65.6%** of respondents reported that they **receive feedback**, suggesting that traditional classrooms provide direct opportunities for students to gain guidance, corrections, and support from their instructors. This highlights the effectiveness of face-to-face learning environments in facilitating communication and academic improvement.

However, **17.2%** of participants stated that they **do not receive feedback** in a traditional classroom. This could be attributed to factors such as large class sizes, time constraints, or instructors not prioritizing individualized feedback. The lack of feedback may hinder student progress, as it prevents them from understanding their mistakes or areas that need improvement.

Additionally, another **17.2%** mentioned that receiving feedback **depends on the instructor**. This suggests inconsistencies in how feedback is delivered, as some educators may provide regular feedback while others may not. The teaching style and approach of the instructor play a crucial role in determining how often and effectively students receive feedback in a traditional learning environment.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, both online learning and traditional classroom learning have distinct advantages and challenges. Online learning offers flexibility, accessibility, and convenience, making it an attractive option for many students, especially those with busy schedules or those who live in remote areas. It allows learners to study at their own pace, access resources globally, and balance personal and academic responsibilities. However, it requires strong self-motivation, discipline, and reliable technology.

On the other hand, traditional classroom learning fosters direct interaction between students and teachers, which can enhance understanding, engagement, and immediate feedback. It also encourages collaboration among peers, creating a more social and structured learning environment. For many, face-to-face learning builds a sense of community and provides a more immersive experience.

The effectiveness of either approach largely depends on the subject matter, the learner's preferences, and the resources available. A blended approach that combines both online and traditional methods seems to be the most effective strategy, allowing students to benefit from the strengths of both learning environments. Ultimately, the success of either method hinges on the quality of content, the teaching approach, and the learner's commitment to the process.

In the future, as educational technologies continue to evolve, hybrid learning models may become the norm, offering an ideal balance between flexibility, engagement, and accessibility.

REFRENCES

B., Toyama, Y., Murphy, & Jones, K. (2010) – Their meta-analysis on online learning concluded that students in online learning conditions performed better on average than those in traditional classroom settings.

Singh, V., & Thurman, A. (2019) – This study highlighted that while online learning offers flexibility, students in traditional classrooms tend to engage better due to face-to-face interactions.

Gupta S., & Saxena, K. (2020, India) – This study focused on Indian students and found that while online learning is effective for theoretical subjects, practical-based subjects are better suited for traditional classroom learning.

Dhawan, S. (2020) – The study discussed the **challenges and benefits** of online learning during the COVID-19 pandemic, stating that digital learning works best when complemented by interactive activities.

Allen, I. E., & Seaman, J. (2017) – Their survey on online education in the U.S. showed that students appreciate the flexibility of online learning but still value face-to-face interactions for deeper understanding.