# International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

# Comparative Study of Performance Appraisal Methods

*Mahwish Khan[1], Dr. Himanshu Rastogi[2]*

[1](Student, Amity Business School, Amity University, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh)
[2](Professor, Amity Business School, Amity University, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh)

**A B S T R A C T**

This study provides a comprehensive comparative analysis of traditional and modern methods of performance appraisal and their suitability across various industries, analyzing these methods give insights to identify and highlight the most effective appraisal technique for particular organization or sector, the comparative analysis reveals the gaps and inefficiencies of particular methods to help organizations adopt more transparent and equal appraisal practices, the study emphasizes that organizations should focus on goal-alignment by aligning individual goals with organizational objectives and on more skill-based evaluation and future potential rather than being stagnant and evaluating employees on their past-performance in order to increase overall development, a good appraisal system positively impacts employee motivation and satisfaction. This study provides valuable insight to HR professionals and managers for successful optimization of their performance appraisal systems and will help in facilitating the process of implementation of the most effective appraisal system according to the dynamics of organization.

Keywords: Performance Appraisal, Human Resource Management, Performance Appraisal Methods.

## 1.INTRODUCTION

Performance Appraisal (PA) is a systematic process used to evaluate an employee's performance, skills, and contribution to the organization. It is an important function of Human Resource Management (HRM) as it facilitates the process of assessing an employee's strengths and weaknesses, providing constructive feedback to identify areas for improvement and taking developmental initiatives accordingly. Performance appraisals contribute to individual and overall organizational development, it facilitates decision making regarding succession planning, promotions and compensation adjustments like bonuses and incentives, by various methods of performance appraisal, and their suitability depends upon the size and type of organization, therefore for organizations it is essential to integrate an appraisal system that aligns with their needs.

## 2.OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

The primary objectives of this study include:

- To analyze and evaluate the effectiveness, advantages and disadvantages of various performance appraisal methods in organizational contexts.

- To understand which performance appraisal method works best for a particular industry or organization.

- To examine and understand the relevance of various HR theories in the process of performance appraisal.

- To understand the importance of performance appraisal in increasing organizational productivity and efficiency.

- To provide insights to organizations that are looking to optimize their performance appraisal process.

- To understand the impact of performance appraisal on employee performance and motivation.

## 3.ANALYSIS OF VARIOUS PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL TECHNIQUES

Organizations utilize various methods for evaluation of employee performance. There are two types of performance evaluation methods- traditional methods and modern methods, traditional methods of performance appraisal are more structured and hierarchical, these methods have also been essential when it comes to shaping the process of performance evaluation, some of these methods include ranking method, paired comparison, checklist method and rating scale while contemporary methods of performance appraisal are more employee-centric and provides a holistic view of employees performance, they pay more attention to an effective feedback mechanism, some of these tools are 360-degree feedback, Management by Objective (MBO) and Behaviourally Anchored Rating Scales (BARS). The aim of both approaches is to increase employee performance and organizational development.

*3.1 Methods of Performance Appraisal*

**Traditional methods of performance appraisal-** involve a more structured approach to performance evaluation, focusing on evaluating employee's past performance based on predetermined metrics. These methods typically rely on hierarchical approach and on the manager's subjective evaluation of an employee's performance, these review sessions are conducted at the end of performance period, often annually. Some traditional methods of performance appraisal are-

- **Ranking method**- It is the simplest and most uncomplicated method of performance evaluation. This method involves comparing two or more employees and arranging them in the order of best to worst performer. It is a comparative evaluation method where employees are assessed by manager against each other according to their overall performance.

- **Paired comparison**- is a performance appraisal technique in which employees are directly compared in pairs against each other to identify the "best" performer, the employees are ranked based on their performance from highest to lowest.

- **Critical incident method**- is the qualitative approach that is used to identify employee's behavioural strength in specific work-related situations. This method keeps a track of positive or negative incident that has impacted an employee's job performance, when it comes to development and performance management this method has proven to be an effective tool as it is used across various industries for tracking employee performance instead of using annual or semi-annual review method this evaluation method focuses on evaluating real-time employee performance.

- **Checklist method**- is the most structured and simple performance appraisal technique that is used for the evaluation of employee performance. This method involves a list of job-related questions which the appraiser simply checks "yes/no" to indicate the presence of behaviour or task.

- **Graphic rating scale**- is the most used performance appraisal method, it involves evaluating employees based on pre-defined criteria with the help of a structured and systematic approach, typically ranging from unsatisfactory to outstanding or low to high performance levels, these levels are represented using a scale that provides a visual representation.

- **Modern methods of performance appraisal-** involve providing continuous feedback and a holistic view of employee performance. They are more focused on assessing future potential rather than past-performance, contemporary methods integrate digital tools like data analytics and AI to reduce biases and subjectivity in performance evaluation and get real-time insights, these methods are more focused on the development and growth of employees by setting personalized and clear goals. Some modern methods of performance appraisal are-

- **Management by Objectives (MBO)-** focuses on establishing and achieving specific goals and objectives within a time period. This method was first introduced by Peter Drucker in his book The Practice of Management (1954), it has evolved into a strategic framework that is used by organizations and businesses around the world.

- **360-Degree Feedback-** is the most widely used and comprehensive performance appraisal method due to its holistic view of an employee's performance. It is also known as multi-rater feedback as it comprises of insights from various sources including an employee's peers, subordinates, managers, supervisors and self-assessment.

- **Behaviorally Anchored Rating Scales (BARS)-** is one of the most objective and structured performance appraisal methods, this method mitigates subjectivity and biases by using behavioural examples or anchors that are representations of various performance levels to assess employee performance.

- **Continuous feedback-** is a modern performance appraisal method that provides employees with real-time, ongoing feedback rather than annual or semi-annual reviews. This approach is best for dynamic and agile work environments where employee development, learning and performance are essential throughout the year.

- **Objective and key results (OKRs)-** is a modern performance appraisal method that allows employees to set ambitious yet achievable goals (objectives) that can be easily measured with the help of metrics to see the employee is progressing (key results) towards the objective.

*3.2 Theoretical Framework*

The study incorporates HR theories to understand the conceptual framework for evaluating the effectiveness of performance evaluation methods:

- "Goal-Setting Theory" by Locke & Latham (1990)- The goal setting theory given by Edwin Locke and Gary Latham put forward the fact that employee performance increases when they have set goals that are specific, clear and challenging. According to this theory individuals put more effort into achieving their goals when they think that they are meaningful and attainable as clear set goals motivate them into working towards achieving these goals.

- "Expectancy Theory" by Vroom (1964)- States that the motivation of an individual depends on three main perceptions: Expectancy, Instrumentality and Valence. Expectancy is the belief that their efforts will result in desired level of performance; instrumentality is the belief that if the desired level of performance is achieved it will be followed by a reward and valence is the value an individual places on the outcome or reward.

- "Equity Theory" by Adams (1965)- States that employees tend to compare their input and output with those of their peers, they compare their effort and reward with their coworkers. If employees perceive that there is an inequity like biased promotion and unfair rating, it will lead to decreased motivation and increased turnover rates.

- "Reinforcement Theory" by Skinner (1953)- The reinforcement theory given by BF Skinner put forward the fact that consequences shapes behaviour, positive reinforcement like reward and recognition increases desired behaviour while undesirable behaviour is discouraged by negative reinforcement or punishment.

- "Social Learning Theory" by Bandura (1977)- This theory put forward the fact that employees learn by observing and imitating others, modelling the behaviours of high performing peers positively impact and improve their performance in the workplace.

- "Human Capital Theory" by Becker (1964)- States that to enhance productivity and organizational success it is essential to invest in the development of employee's skills, knowledge and competencies, this theory is relevant to performance appraisal as these systems evaluates employee's performance and facilitate the process of identifying skill gaps and developmental needs.

### 3.3 Traditional vs Modern Appraisal Methods:

The following comparison provides an overview of the effectiveness of traditional and modern appraisal methods:

- **Focus area**- Modern methods are more focused on aligning individual goals with overall organizational objectives, focuses on competency-based evaluation and establishes a culture of continuous development whereas traditional methods are more focused on evaluating past performance of employees and follows a hierarchical ranking system.

- **Flexibility**- Contemporary appraisal methods are more flexible than traditional methods due to their tailored approach to individual and organizational needs, also traditional approaches are more rigid and standardized.

- **Feedback mechanism**- The feedback mechanism of modern appraisal systems involves providing continuous, frequent and real-time feedback to establish a culture of continuous improvement whereas in classic appraisal systems there is an inconsistency and lack of real-time feedback.

- **Subjectivity and biases**- The number of biases and subjectivity is high in traditional appraisal system due to the presence of rigid rating scales and personal opinions whereas there is less amount of bias assessment when it comes to modern appraisal system as they are data-driven and consist of holistic assessments.

- **Impact on motivation**- In modern methods of performance appraisal there is an increase in transparency, job satisfaction and employee engagement which has a positive impact on employee motivation whereas classic methods can lead to dissatisfaction, stress and anxiety as they compare and associate reward and punishment with performance appraisal.

- **Developmental approach**- The classic methods of performance appraisal have limited development opportunities due to their focus on past performance whereas contemporary methods focus on enhancing the skill, knowledge and competencies of employees by identifying areas for improvement and providing constructive feedback for curating personalized training programs.

- **Best suited for**- The contemporary methods are best suited for a dynamic work environment where there is an increased number of remote work and knowledge based industries whereas traditional methods are more suitable for organizations that consist of structured roles and manual labor environments that are large scale.

### 3.4 Case study insights:

The research incorporates various case studies from leading companies to understand the effectiveness of performance appraisal methods in organizational context, these include:

- **Google-** uses the Objective and Key Results (OKRs) systems to align individual goals with overall organizational objectives, employees are encouraged to set ambitious yet achievable goals which are then measured by tracking their progress, this enhances the overall productivity.

- **Deloitte-** shifted towards real-time feedback mechanism from annual performance review methods as the employees felt disconnected and demotivated due to lack of constructive feedback, incorporating real-time feedback systems improved employee engagement as it focused on providing ongoing and frequent feedback to employees.

- **Microsoft-** shifted towards more contemporary approaches of continuous feedback model from ranking systems, as the feedback approach establishes a more collaborative work environment which facilitated and allowed Microsoft to create a culture of development rather than unhealthy competition, which was the cause of increased employee dissatisfaction and decreased morale.

- **General Electric (GE)-** The company has adopted a more agile performance appraisal method that focuses on establishing an employee-centric evaluation system that facilitates growth, its focus shifted from forced ranking method after realizing that the classic method is promoting toxic work environment.

- **Starbucks**- implemented a contemporary performance appraisal method of structured feedback systems that facilitates the process of providing constructive feedback to employees by manager, this helped employees in career growth which led to increased employee satisfaction and retention.

## 4.FINDINGS

**Table 1 - The table provides an overview of the comparative study:**

| Performance appraisal methods: | Advantages: | Limitations: | Ideal for organizations: |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Ranking method** | Highlights the top performers, simple and easy to use. | Subjective, creates a toxic competitive work environment. | Structured roles and small organizations. |
| **Paired comparison** | Mitigates biases, efficient for small teams. | Time consuming, ineffective for larger teams. | Small-scale organizations. |
| **Critical incident method** | Evaluates actual events, key behaviour identification. | Extensive record keeping requirement, time intensive. | High-stake organizations. |
| **Checklist method** | Time-efficient, mitigates biases. | Oversimplification, lack of depth. | Large workforce organizations. |
| **Graphic Rating Scale (GRS)** | Efficient data analysis, structured. | Rater's error, lack of feedback. | Large organizations. |
| **360-Degree feedback** | Comprehensive, increases fairness. | Needs training, information overload. | Creative roles and service industries. |
| **Management by Objectives (MBO)** | Employee engagement, goal alignment. | Time consuming, clear objective setting. | Metric-driven organizations. |
| **Behaviourally Anchored Rating Scale (BARS)** | Increased objectivity provides detailed feedback. | It is complex to implement and very time-intensive | Specific competency roles. |
| **Continuous Feedback** | Increases engagement, facilitates growth. | Takes time, structured implementation. | Fast moving work environments. |
| **Objectives and Key Results (OKRs)** | Increases transparency, focused on outcomes. | Requires regular tracking, overly optimistic goals. | Innovation driven organizations and tech companies. |

## 5.CONCLUSION

In conclusion, performance appraisal methods have evolved from rigid top-down approaches to more dynamic and employee centric evaluation systems, earlier only past-performance was evaluated with rigid, hierarchical and structured annual reviews with managerial supervision, limited employee involvement and lack of real-time feedback which led to biased assessments with little to no growth opportunities. Organizations recognized the limitations of traditional methods and shifted towards more flexible, adaptable and developmental methods, the study provides a comprehensive comparative analysis of traditional and modern methods of performance appraisal to facilitate the process of implementation of the most effective performance appraisal system.

**REFERENCES:**

1. Locke, E. A., & Latham, G. P. (1990). *A theory of goal setting and task performance*. Prentice Hall.

2. Vroom, V. H. (1964). *Work and motivation*. Wiley.

3. Adams, J. S. (1965). Inequity in social exchange. In L. Berkowitz (Ed.), *Advances in experimental social psychology* (Vol. 2, pp. 267-299). Academic Press.

4. Skinner, B. F. (1953). *Science and human behavior*. Macmillan.

5.	Bandura, A. (1977). *Social learning theory*. Prentice Hall.

6.	Becker, G. S. (1964). *Human capital: A theoretical and empirical analysis, with special reference to education*. University of Chicago Press.

7.	Drucker, P. F. (1954). *The practice of management*. Harper & Row.

8.	Doerr, J. (2018). *Measure what matters: How Google, Bono, and the Gates Foundation rock the world with OKRs*. Portfolio.

9.	Buckingham, M., & Goodall, A. (2015). Reinventing performance management. *Harvard Business Review*, 93(4), 40-50.

10.	Gallo, A. (2011). Making performance reviews relevant. *Harvard Business Review*.

11.	Nisen, M. (2015). GE's real-time performance reviews draw inspiration from Instagram. *Quartz.*

12.	Talent Management Institute. (2023). *Inside Starbucks: A Case Study on Talent Management*