

International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

Journal homepage: www.ijrpr.com ISSN 2582-7421

Artificial Intelligence in Female Cancers Screening, Diagnosis and Treatment

Minakshi J. More¹, Shubhangi D. Sonawane¹, Rupali S. Golait²

¹.B. Pharmacy Student. Swami Vivekanand Sanstha and institute of pharmacy mungse Malegaon. 423201 ²Prof. Pharmaceutical Dept. Swami Vivekanand Sanstha and institute of pharmacy mungse Malegaon. 423201

ABSTRACT :

Each year, more than 2 million women are diagnosed with breast or cervical cancer, yet where a woman lives largely determines whether she will develop one of these cancers, have access to timely and effective diagnostic and treatment services, and ultimately survive. Premature death and disability from cancer is a preventable tragedy for hundreds of thousands of women and their families every year. In regions with limited resources, and a fragile or fragmented health system, cancer contributes to the cycle of poverty. This is most striking for cervical cancer, as more than eight in ten women diagnosed and nine in ten women who die from cervical cancer live in a low- or middle-income country. There are proven and cost-effective approaches to reduce these disparities, yet for most women there are few opportunities to access life-saving interventions such as HPV vaccination, and cervical cancer screening with timely treatment of pre-cancerous lesions. These longstanding inequities highlight the urgent need for substantive and sustainable investments in cancer control more broadly, including prevention and early detection programs, capacity building for health service infrastructure and human resources for cancer management including all disciplines: pathology, surgery, radiotherapy, systemic therapy as well as palliative care. Equally vital is the development of high-quality population-based cancer registries, particularly in low- and middle-income countries. In this first Series paper we describe the burden of breast and cervical cancer, with an emphasis on global and regional trends in incidence, mortality and survival; the social and economic impact on women and their families; and the disparities in cancer survival among socioeconomically disadvantaged women in different settings.

Introduction :

Cancer is a leading cause of premature death and disability worldwide, particularly in women1,2. It is a rapidly growing crisis in low- and middleincome countries (LMICs), where the epidemiological transition continues to shift the burden of disease from predominantly infectious causes to chronic, non-communicable diseases (NCDs)3. Many countries, particularly those with weak health systems, are struggling to cope with the rapid rise in non-communicable diseases while still experiencing high maternal and child mortality rates, and high mortality rates from infectious diseases (including malaria, TB, HIV/AIDS) as well as malnutrition.

Globally, more than 2 million women are diagnosed with breast or cervical cancer each year, but where a woman lives, in which country, in areas remote from health care services, and how she lives - poor or otherwise socially disenfranchised - largely determines whether she will develop one of these cancers, how early she presents, and then whether she has access to safe and affordable diagnostic and treatment services. This is particularly striking for cervical cancer, as approximately 85% of women diagnosed and 88% of women who die from cervical cancer live in a LMIC3. There are proven approaches to reduce these disparities, including HPV vaccination to prevent cervical cancer, yet for most women there are few opportunities to access these life-saving interventions. In many countries, and in many lower-resource areas within countries, implementation of HPV vaccination is limited4, as is the availability of, and access to early detection programmes, cancer surgery5, essential cancer medicines6, radiotherapy7, palliative care8, as well as to support for those who survive, sometimes called "survivorship care".

Disability and premature death from breast or cervical cancer is a preventable tragedy for hundreds of thousands of women and their families each year. In 2012, breast and cervical cancer took the lives of 522 000 and 266 000 women respectively3; as such, close to half a

million more women died from these two cancers alone than from complications of pregnancy or childbirth (303 000 maternal deaths in 2015, according to UNFPA)9. A further 152 000 women died from ovarian cancer and 76 000 women from endometrial cancer3. But where do women's cancers fit on the global health agenda? In high-income countries there is noteworthy advocacy, media attention and funding for research and treatment of cancer, but in many lower-resource settings, breast, cervical and other gynaecological cancers are effectively "neglected diseases"10. That these diseases cause considerable disability, premature death, disruption of family life and loss to the national economy, thus exacerbating the cycle of poverty11, has been largely ignored.

Only 5% of global spending on cancer is directed toward the majority of countries where the greatest burden exists 12. Health inequities are differences in health "...that are unnecessary, avoidable, unfair and unjust" 13. Poor health within countries and inequities between countries reflect an unequal distribution of power, income, goods, and services that result from "ineffective social policies, unfair economic arrangements, and bad politics" 14.

Cancers that primarily affect women present particular challenges in terms of achieving health equity. Elevating the status of women will be one of the key drivers in reducing disparities in cancer outcomes within and between countries.

The Lancet Series on women's cancers seeks to provide an advocacy and action framework for radically improving progress toward closing the "global cancer divide"11 for women. The three papers will focus on the global burden of breast and cervical cancer (Series paper 1), the untapped potential of proven and promising interventions, the challenges and opportunities to take these to scale while strengthening health systems (Series paper 2), and the provision of recommendations for translating evidence to policy, in order to reduce inequities and improve cancer survival for women (Series paper 3). In this first paper we describe the burden of breast and cervical cancer, with an emphasis on global and regional trends in incidence, mortality and survival; the social and economic impact on women and their families, and the disparities in cancer survival among socioeconomically disadvantaged women. Endometrial, ovarian, and other gynaecological cancers are important contributors to cancer mortality, but this Series will focus primarily on breast and cervical cancer, will continue to pose particularly important challenges, as well as opportunities to strengthen health systems in most countries, for decades to come. Cervical cancer is largely preventable through public health interventions (HPV vaccination and screening with treatment of pre- cancerous lesions), and HPV vaccination of girls is among the few cancer-related so-called "Best Buys" or "very cost effective strategies" according to the World Health Organization's Global Action Plan for the Prevention and Control of Noncommunicable Diseases (2013-2020)15. HPV vaccination and screening and treatment of pre- cancerous cervical lesions are both under consideration for the updated Global Action Plan and are included in the package of essential interventions for cancer control in LMIC in the new Cancer Volume of the World Bank

Action Plan, and are included in the package of essential interventions for cancer control in LMIC, in the new Cancer Volume of the World Bank Group's Disease Control Priorities, Third Edition (DCP3)16. Although breast cancer screening continues to generate significant debate regarding the magnitude of benefits and harms, opportune ages and screening intervals, cost effectiveness, and relevance

to lower resource settings (See Series Paper 2), improving access to early diagnosis and treatment for breast cancer is also being considered for the updated Plan, and promotion of breast cancer early diagnosis and treatment is listed in the DCP3 essential package16. We therefore address only these two cancers in this Series, as they fall under the domains of public health and public policy most relevant to women's cancers.

Actiology of breast and cervical cancer :

The major known risk factors for breast cancer include female sex, age, and family history, as well as reproductive factors, including early age at menarche, later menopause, nulliparity, and first childbirth after age 30, all of which are independent risk factors17.

Breastfeeding is independently associated with a reduced risk, with longer duration associated with a greater relative risk reduction)18. Overweight and obesity are associated with increased risk for post-menopausal breast cancer, while the effect on pre-menopausal breast cancer is not as clear and remains an area of active study19.

The most important risk factor for invasive cervical cancer is the human papilloma virus (HPV), of which there are several major oncogenic subtypes20 (discussed further in Series paper 2). Other independent risk factors include immunosuppression, particularly HIV21, and smoking 22.

Breast and cervical cancer: incidence, mortality and survival :

Each year 1.7 million women are diagnosed with breast cancer, making it the most common cancer in women globally3. The highest incidence rates are reported from countries in Northern and Western Europe (e.g. Denmark, Belgium, UK), North America, Australia and New Zealand (Figure 1a), but breast cancer is not confined to high-income countries, and it is the most commonly diagnosed cancer among women in 140 countries. With an estimated 522 000 deaths in 2012, breast cancer is the leading cause of cancer death in women (15% of all cancer deaths), ahead of lung cancer (491 000 deaths). Breast cancer survival is lower in many LMIC, and mortality rates vary more widely than incidence (Figure 1b). For example, estimated breast cancer mortality rates in the Pacific Islands (Fiji), the Caribbean (The Bahamas), Sub-Saharan Africa (Nigeria) and Southern Asia (Pakistan) are among the highest in the world3.

It is estimated that 530 000 women were diagnosed with cervical cancer in 20123. It is the fourth most common cancer in women worldwide, but in 38 countries, including many in Sub-Saharan Africa, it is the most common cancer among women. The highest incidence rates are observed in this region (Malawi and Zimbabwe, Figure 1c), although rates are also high in parts of Central and South America (Guyana and Bolivia). Unlike breast cancer, the global map of cervical cancer mortality rates is more similar to incidence (Figure 1d).

Global surveillance of cancer survival trends was recently initiated by the CONCORD-2 study23 which analysed individual data from 279 populationbased registries in 67 countries for over 25 million adults (15-99 years) diagnosed with one of 10 common cancers during the 15-year period 1995-2009. Net survival up to 5 years after diagnosis was estimated after correction for death from other causes. Data were available from 59 countries for almost 5.5

Breast cancer

Chest muscles Rb Tumor Milk duct Fat Lobules million women diagnosed with breast cancer. For women diagnosed during 2005–2009, 5- year net survival was 80% or higher in 34 countries, but much lower in India (60%), Mongolia (57%) and South Africa (53%) (Figure 2). In the 10 years between 1995-1999 and 2005-2009, 5-year survival from breast cancer increased in Central and South America (e.g. from 66% to 76% in Colombia). Data were available from 61 countries for 602 000 women diagnosed with cervical cancer. The global range in 5-year net survival was very wide. For women diagnosed during 2005-2009, 5-year net survival was 70% or higher in 7 countries, in the range 60–69% in 34 countries, but below 60% in a further 20 countries.

A large international study followed up cancer patients diagnosed 1990-2001 in 12 transitioning countries and noted similarly wide variations in cancer survival24. They describe 5-year survival for breast cancer by extent of disease: "localized" versus "regional" (indicating larger tumours or local spread to skin, chest wall or regional lymph nodes), for countries with more-developed or less-developed health services. Information on the extent of disease was not available for the African countries in this study. Survival for women with localized disease was reported to be around 90% for countries with highly developed health services (Singapore and Turkey), compared to 76% in countries where they were less developed (Thailand, India and Costa Rica), with a greater disparity for women with regional disease (75.4% versus 47.4% for more- and less-developed health services, respectively).

While each of these studies have certain limitations, they highlight the urgent need for investment in population-based cancer registration, in improving awareness, in early detection programmes, in health-services infrastructure, and increasing capacity including human resources23,24.

Women's cancers and human development :

Breast and cervical cancer are indicative of the late phase of the epidemiological transition that characterises the rise of NCDs generally. Increasing life expectancy and declines in infection-related diseases (including cervical cancer) are offset by an upsurge in cancers more frequent in wealthier countries and associated with a "western" environment and lifestyle25,26 referred to as the " cancer transition"25. The Human Development Index (HDI) is a composite index of three basic dimensions of human development27: a long and healthy life measured by life expectancy at birth, access to knowledge based on a combination of adult literacy rate and primary to tertiary education enrolment rates, and a decent standard of living, based on GDP per capita adjusted for purchasing-power parity (PPP US\$). It therefore places an emphasis on societal values and capabilities within a country as well as economic growth. Comparing the national incidence burden of the two diseases by level of (HDI), the inequity is clear: cervical cancer comprises up to one-third of all neoplasms diagnosed (in both sexes) in many low HDI settings, compared with less than 10% in most very high HDI countries (Figure 3). In many low (and some medium) HDI countries, the combined burden of breast and cervical represents one-third of the total cancer burden in women; reductions in cervical cancer to rates observed in very HDI countries would effectively reduce this proportion to 10-15%.



Breast cancer is the most common cancer among women in most countries, including many where cervical cancer is endemic. This suggests that breast cancer incidence is largely unrelated to national averages of the HDI. Yet the relative magnitude and the extent to which breast cancer incidence is rising and cervical cancer incidence falling are markers of the extent of social and economic transition in a given country25. Changing reproductive patterns, including earlier age at menarche, later first childbirth, lower parity and shorter duration of breast-feeding are considered to be the major causes for the uniformly rising incidence of breast cancer in transitioning countries26 with overweight and obesity becoming increasingly important factors in post-menopausal breast cancer19. In some high- income countries, manmographic screening has generated transient rises and subsequent falls in breast cancer incidence while declines in the use of hormone replacement therapy (following publication of the Women's Health Initiative study in 200228) was associated with a period of declining breast cancer incidence, a phenomenon which seems to have stabilized since 200729. Meanwhile, survival has been improving and mortality has been declining in many high-income countries, probably due to a combination of more effective treatments23,30,31 as well as earlier presentation and improved access to care.

Declining cervical cancer incidence and mortality rates in many countries over the last decades are associated with the implementation of effective population-based screening programmes in high-income countries or, in transitioning countries, a diminishing prevalence of factors associated with persistent infection with oncogenic subtypes of the human papilloma virus (HPV)32. However, there are notable exceptions. For example, steady

increases in cervical cancer incidence have been observed in the high-risk populations in Uganda33 and Zimbabwe34. Increasing premature cervical cancer mortality is clearly evident from the cohort-specific mortality trends in some countries in Eastern Europe, and Central Asia including former republics of the Soviet Union35.

This "overlapping challenge" whereby breast cancer incidence and mortality are increasing while the burden of cervical cancer is not yet declining is explored with an equity lens in a study from Mexico, using subnational, time series data36. The report emphasizes the need for integrated programmes that consider both prevention and treatment "underpinned by a life cycle approach to effectively respond to the burden of cancer faced by women globally"36.

Singh et al37 reported on global inequities in cervical cancer incidence and mortality as a function of variations in HDI, socioeconomic factors, healthcare expenditures, and the Gender Inequality Index (GII) for 184 countries. GII is a composite score that includes reproductive health (maternal mortality ratio and adolescent birth rates), empowerment (proportion of women in parliament and those with secondary education), and economic status (labour force participation). Incidence and mortality were both correlated with human development and gender inequality. A 0.2 unit increase in GII was associated with a 24% increased risk of developing cervical cancer and a 42% increased risk of dying from the disease. While GII as a composite measure has its limitations, this study suggests that not only poverty reduction but increasing access to preventive health services, and elevating the status of women are essential to reducing cervical cancer disparities.

Predicting the future burden :

Figure 4 shows the predicted global burden of breast and cervical cancers in 2030. Data available from long-standing high-quality population-based cancer registries from medium, high or very high HDI countries38 suggest that if these average changes continue and become applicable to all countries of the world, the number of women diagnosed with breast cancer will increase to almost 3.2 million per year. Even if incidence rates can be maintained at 2012 levels, a rise to 2.4 million new cases of breast cancer is predicted on the basis of demographic changes alone. For cervical cancer, even if the average decline were observed in all countries globally, almost the same number of women diagnosed in 2030 as today, around 510 000 cases. If the cervical incidence rates were to remain unchanged, however, the number of women diagnosed annually is predicted to rise to over 700 000 by 2030.

The societal impact of women's cancers :

A comparative analysis of epidemiologic data on breast cancer in the United States, Canada, India, China, Taiwan, Japan, South Korea and Sweden39 showed striking differences in the median age at diagnosis. The peak age at diagnosis was 40-50 years in Asian countries, and 60-70 years for Western countries. Breast cancer has also been shown to occur earlier in life in African countries where such data have been reported, with a median age of approximately 4540–46. It remains unclear to what degree the earlier age at diagnosis is related to variations in the patterns of risk factors and breast cancer subtypes, or simply a reflection of the differences in population structures between countries of low, middle, and high income (for example, the median age of women in The Gambia is 20.5 years, and 41.6 in the UK47).

The median age at diagnosis for invasive cervical cancer depends on the timing of exposure to oncogenic HPV subtypes, and on the latency between exposure, cervical dysplasia and other factors which can affect the likelihood of progressing to invasive disease, as described in the multistage model of carcinogenesis48. Once exposed to an oncogenic HPV subtype, the risk of developing invasive cervical cancer is greatly influenced by immune status, which in turn is related to age at exposure and general immunocompetence. Women who are HIV positive are especially at risk of progression to invasive cervical cancer21, particularly in the absence of screening programmes.

The age-specific profile in high-resource countries exhibits an increase in the incidence rates up until the age of 30-35 years, remaining stable thereafter throughout older ages, most likely as a consequence of the delivery of effective cervical cancer screening programmes. In the United States, the median age at diagnosis of invasive cervical cancer is 47-48 years49, compared with 55-59 years in India50. In one multi-centre study in Limpopo, South Africa, the mean age at diagnosis was 41.3 and 59.1 years for HIV-positive and HIV-negative women, respectively51.

Breast and cervical cancer are key contributors to the overall burden of disease in women. Breast cancer is the leading cause of life years spent with disability (YLD) in 119 countries

while cervical cancer is the leading cause in 49 countries2. As a cause of premature death however – as estimated through years of life lost (YLL) – cervical cancer leads breast cancer in 23 countries, mainly in Sub-Saharan Africa and parts of Central and South America. In Figure 5, the DALYs (a composite measure of YLL and YLD) for cervical and breast cancer by four-level HDI are in opposing directions by HDI, while the relative contribution of YLL and YLD to DALYs also differ substantially. Breast cancer is a major contributor to the high overall cancer DALYs in very high HDI countries, with a relatively large contribution of YLD. For cervical cancer, the overall magnitude of DALYs and the large YLL component in low-HDI countries are striking2.

The impact of a mother's death on child survival and wellbeing :

Breast and cervical cancer in lower-resource settings disproportionately affect women in the prime of life, resulting in significant economic and societal impact. A mother's death has complex effects on the children and families she leaves behind. Women are central not only in direct care-giving for their own children, but more broadly in society, playing key roles in the socialization, education and health of children52,53. Women also make relevant, albeit underrecognized, contributions to the health-care labour force. Langer and colleagues have described women's "crucial roles in the health care of

1161

families and communities [as] drivers of the wealth and health of nations"53. The Lancet Commission on Women and Health53in relation to policy for women's cancers is explored further in the third paper in this Series.

While a close link between maternal mortality and newborn health is established53–55, most studies have focussed on the early weeks and months of a child's life, highlighting the direct impact of inadequate nutrition from breastfeeding. It is also important to consider the impact of a mother's death on child survival at other key periods over the life course, including adolescence. Death from untreated and unpalliated cancer is slow, agonizing, and traumatic for patients and families. To our knowledge there are no published studies that specifically address the impact of a woman's death from cancer on her child's survival. However, given the rising mortality from cancer in LMIC, and the young ages at which many so women are affected, we suggest that more research is needed to understand the nature and scope of the impact of a mother's death from cancer on the health and well-being of her children.

Economic impact of women's cancers :

There is overwhelming evidence that investing in women's health provides significant economic returns 53, 56–59. As the economic cost of cancer is estimated at up to 4% of global GDP60, addressing the global burden of women's cancers should be considered a sound investment by governments 11,61,62. The case is compelling given the profound impact of these cancers on premature death and disability, with substantial and long-lasting social, financial and economic consequences for the affected women, their immediate family and their wider community.

Beyond evaluating the cost effectiveness of interventions for breast and cervical cancer control (Series Paper 2), there is a critical need for more evidence on the macroeconomic burden of women's cancers. Of the 1,676,255 studies on cervical and breast cancer

examined by this Series only 3% included an economics component. Furthermore, only one in 10 of these was from a low or middle-income setting. The majority of economic studies identified were based in high-income countries and concerned economic evaluations of the costs and benefits of specific interventions, often under trial conditions, rather than in resource-poor settings or on the wider micro- and macro-economic impacts in resource-poor settings. There is very little information on the economic impact of advocacy or the long- term impact on women with cancer ("survivorship"). If we exclude cost-effectiveness studies focused on specific interventions and concentrate on studies exploring the wider economic impacts of the two cancers, the cervical cancer studies are quite evenly spread across all World Bank income country classifications. However, there were no studies on the wider economic impact of breast cancer specifically from low-income countries.

At the macro-economic level, cancer impacts the national economy and society at large through increased health expenditure, labour and productivity losses, and reduced investment in human and physical capital formation. At the micro-economic level there are profound effects on women, their families, individual firms [companies?] and governments63,64. It is important to note that much of the work undertaken by women is not associated with monetary transactions and is therefore unlikely to be reflected in conventional macro-economic indicators53. Any calculations aiming to assess the economic burden associated with breast and cervical cancer should therefore capture non-income- generating work, such as gathering water and firewood, preparing food, tending to livestock, and caring for children.

If we go beyond the individual impact on women who are diagnosed with, or die from, cancer of the breast or cervix, and include their families, catastrophic expenditure is often more stark65,66. Families are likely to face large medical and non-medical costs, forced to sell assets and accrue debts67–70. This is frequently exacerbated by employment-related complications such as decreased productivity, job loss, dismissal, and reduction of work- related benefits71. A 2015 report of 9513 adults with cancer from eight countries in Southeast Asia66 found that one year after diagnosis, 29% had died, 48% experienced financial catastrophe, and just 23% were alive with no financial catastrophe. Low income was an independent predictor for financial catastrophe, as was education and stage at diagnosis.

Research conducted in Argentina72, at that time a middle-income country, found a marked socio-economic impact on women with cervical cancer, with negative consequences on radiotherapy treatment compliance, despite the fact that 96% of patients reported their radiotherapy was free of charge, either covered by social security, the hospital or other agency. Moreover, study participants reported work interruption (28%), a reduction in hours worked (45%), loss of household income (39%) with nearly one in five families reporting a loss of 50% or more, a reduction in the daily amount of food consumed (37%), delays in paying for essential services such as electricity or telephone (43%), and the sale of property or use of savings (38%).

It is crucial to understand the social, economic, and financial impact of breast and cervical cancer on the health system71–75. How the health system is structured and financed16,76

dictates many of the socio-economic determinants and inequities of access to health services. In addition, the efficacy and cost-effectiveness of interventions for breast and cervical cancer control must be critically evaluated to help inform and prioritise evidence- based, resource-appropriate programs and policy-making. Comprehending the political economy in which decisions on resource allocation are made, both nationally and internationally, is essential if we are to address the macro- and micro-economic impact of breast and cervical cancer77,78. The policy implications are examined in greater depth in paper 3 of this Series (ref).

Conclusions :

There are huge global disparities in cancer survival for women[ref]. In lower-resource settings, breast and cervical cancer disproportionately affect women in the prime of life, resulting in significant economic and societal impact. A woman's country, region of residence, income level, socioeconomic, ethno-cultural or migration status should no longer be allowed to[?] influence the likelihood of dying from these common cancers. Effective, affordable, cost-effective and life-saving interventions exist.

Several global initiatives are broadening their approach to women's health along the life- course79,80. The UN Secretary General's Every Woman Every Child (EWEC) Global Strategy 2.0 aims to accelerate efforts to end preventable maternal, newborn, child and adolescent deaths by 2030. At the

2016 World Economic Forum, [a?] new EWEC high-level advisory group was announced to move the new strategy forward, and to ensure that "every women, child, and adolescent not only survives, but thrives" 81. On World Cancer Day, 2016, UN Secretary General Ban Ki Moon released a statement that addressed the global inequities in women's cancers, and called for action to eliminate cervical cancer as a public health concern82.

International efforts have recently led to major improvements in maternal health outcomes: a similar global drive is urgently needed to reduce the impact of breast and cervical cancer, which currently take the lives of some 800 000 women every year. Cancer control for women may be introduced through the new high-priority health and development goals that are beginning to take shape. These topics are explored further in the second and third papers in this Series.

Acknowledgements

We thank Mathieu Laversanne, IARC, for development of selected figures in this report. We also thank Sabiha Merchant, Women's College Hospital for her assistance planning and coordinating the Toronto author's meeting. OG had partial funding from the Canadian Institute for Health Research and the Dalla Lana School of Public Health, University of Toronto.

REFERENCES :

- Vos T, Barber RM, Bell B, et al. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 301 acute and chronic diseases and injuries in 188 countries, 1990–2013: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. Lancet. 2015; [accessed online August 8, 2015] ePub June 7. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(15)60692-4
- Soerjomataram I, Lortet-Tieulent J, Parkin DM, et al. Global burden of cancer in 2008: a systematic analysis of disability-adjusted life-years in 12 world regions. Lancet. 2012 Nov 24; 380(9856):
- 3. 1840-50. [PubMed: 23079588]
- Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Dikshit R, et al. Cancer incidence and mortality worldwide: sources, methods and major patterns in GLOBOCAN 2012. Int J Cancer. 2015 Mar 1; 136(5):E359–E386. [PubMed: 25220842]
- Bosch FX, Robles C, Díaz M, et al. HPV-FASTER: Broadening the scope for prevention of HPV- related cancer. Nat Rev Clin Oncol. 2015 Sep 1.doi: 10.1038/nrclinonc.2015.14
- Sullivan R, Olusegun IA, Anderson BO, et al. Cancer surgery: delivering safe, affordable and timely cancer surgery. The Lancet Oncology. 2015; 16:1193–1224. [PubMed: 26427363]
- 7. WHO. EML. http://www.who.int/selection_medicines/committees/expert/20/applications/cancer/en/
- Atun R, Jaffray DA, Barton MB, et al. Expanding global access to radiotherapy. The Lancet Oncology. 2015; 16:1153–1186. [PubMed: 26419354]
- 9. Worldwide Palliative Care Alliance and WHO. [accessed Aug 8, 2015] Global atlas of palliative care at the end of life. 2014. Jan, http://www.who.int/nmh/Global_Atlas_of_Palliative_Care.pdf
- 10. United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA). http://www.unfpa.org/maternal-health
- 11. Ginsburg OM, Love RR. Breast cancer: a neglected disease for the majority of affected women worldwide. Breast J. 2011 May 1; 17(3):289–95. [PubMed: 21410589]
- Knaul FM, Adami HO, Adebamowo C, Arreola-Ornelas H, Berger AJ, Bhadelia A. The global cancer divide: an equity imperativeClosing the cancer divide: an equity imperative. Knaul FM, Gralow R, Atun R, Bhadelia A, editorsHarvard Global Equity Initiative; Cambridge, MA: 2012. 29–60.
- 13. Editorial. Breast cancer in developing countries. Lancet. 2009 Nov 7.374(9701):1567. PubMed PMID: 19897110. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(09)61930-9 [PubMed: 19897110]
- 14. Whitehead M, Whitehead M. The concepts and principles of equity and health. Int J Health Serv. 1992; 22(3):429–45. PubMed PMID: 1644507. [PubMed: 1644507]
- Marmot M, Friel S, Bell R, Houweling TA, Taylor S. Closing the gap in a generation: health equity through action on the social determinants of health. Lancet. 2008 Nov 8; 372(9650):1661–9. [PubMed: 18994664]
- 16. World Health Organization; 2013. Global Action Plan for the Prevention and Control of Noncommunicable Diseases. http://www.who.int/nmh/events/ncd_action_plan/en/ [Accessed online April 11, 2016]
- Gelband H, Jha P, Sankaranarayanan R, GauvreauHorton S. Chapter 1, CancerDisease Control Priorities in Developing Countries. 3rd. Jamison Dean T, Gelband HellenHorton SueJha PrabhatLaximinarayan RamananNugent Rachel, editorsUnited States: World Bank; 2015. Nov 18, Coauthor. http://dcp-3.org/cancer [Accessed online Apr 11, 2016]
- Collaborative Group on Hormonal Factors in Breast Cancer. Menarche, menopause, and breast cancer risk: individual participant metaanalysis, including 118 964 women with breast cancer from 117 epidemiological studies. The Lancet Oncology. 2012; 13(11):1141–1151. [PubMed: 23084519]
- Collaborative Group on Hormonal Factors in Breast Cancer. Breast cancer and breastfeeding: collaborative reanalysis of individual data from 47 epidemiological studies in 30 countries, including 50302 women with breast cancer and 96973 women without the disease. The Lancet. 2002; 360:187–195.
- Arnold M, Pandeya N, Byrnes G, et al. Global burden of cancer attributable to high body-mass index in 2012: a population-based study. The Lancet Oncology. 2015; 16:36–46. [PubMed: 25467404]
- 21. Bosch FX, Lorincz A, Muñoz N, Meijer CJ, Shah KV. The causal relation between human papillomavirus and cervical cancer. J Clin Pathol. 2002; 55:244–65. [PubMed: 11919208]

- Denslow SA, Rositch AF, Firnhaber C, Ting J, Smith JS. Incidence and progression of cervical lesions in women with HIV: a systematic global review. International Journal of STD & AIDS. 2014; 25(3):163–177. [PubMed: 24216030]
- Castellsagué X, Muñoz N. Chapter 3: Cofactors in human papillomavirus carcinogenesis--role of parity, oral contraceptives, and tobacco smoking. J Natl Cancer Inst Monogr. 2003; (31):20–8. [PubMed: 12807941]
- Allemani C, Weir HK, Carreira H, et al. CONCORD Working Group. Global surveillance of cancer survival 1995-2009: analysis of individual data for 25,676,887 patients from 279 population-based registries in 67 countries (CONCORD-2). Lancet. 2015; 385:977–1010. [PubMed: 25467588]
- 25. Sankaranarayanan R, Swaminathan R, Brenne H, et al. Cancer survival in Africa, Asia, and Central America: a population-based study. Lancet Oncol. 2010; 11:165–73. [PubMed: 20005175]
- 26. Bray F. Transitions in human development and the global cancer burdenWorld Cancer Report 2014. Wild CP, Stewart B, editorsLyon, France: International Agency for Research on Cancer; 2014.
- 27. Porter P. Westernizing' women's risks? Breast cancer in lower income countries. NEJM. 2008; 358(3):213-216. [PubMed: 18199859]
- 28. United Nations Development Programme. [Last accessed 08 June 2015] Human development report. 2013. Available at: http://hdr.undp.org/en
- Writing group for the Women's Health Initiative Investigators. Risks and benefits of estrogen plus progestin in healthy postmenopausal women: Principal results from the Women's Health Initiative randomized controlled trial. JAMA. 2002; 288(3):321–333. [PubMed: 12117397]
- DeSantis C, Howlader N, Cronin KA, Jemal A. Breast cancer incidence rates in U.S. women are no Longer declining. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention. 2011; 20(5):733–9.
- Burstein HJ, Prestrud AA, Seidenfeld J, et al. American Society of Clinical Oncology clinical practice guideline: update on adjuvant endocrine therapy for women with hormone receptor- positive breast cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2010; 28(23):3784–3796. [PubMed: 20625130]
- Early Breast Cancer Trialists' Collobrative Group (EBCTCG). Peto R, Davies C, Godwin J, et al. Comparisons between different polychemotherapy regimens for early breast cancer: meta-analyses of long-term outcome among 100,000 women in 123 randomised trials. The Lancet. 2012; 379(9814):432–44.
- Gustafsson L, Ponten J, Bergstrom R, Adami HO. International incidence rates of invasive cervical cancer before cytological screening. Int J Cancer. 1997 Apr 10; 71(2):159–65. [PubMed: 9139836]
- Wabinga HR, Nambooze S, Amulen PM, Okello C, Mbus L, Parkin DM. Trends in the incidence of cancer in Kampala, Uganda 1991-2010. Int J Cancer. 2014; 135(2):432–9. [PubMed: 24615279]
- Chokunonga E, Borok MZ, Chirenje ZM, Nyakabau AM, Parkin DM. Trends in the incidence of cancer in the black population of Harare, Zimbabwe 1991-2010. Int J Cancer. 2013; 133(3):721–9. [PubMed: 23364833]
- Bray F, Lortet-Tieulent J, Znaor A, Brotons M, Poljak M, Arbyn M. Patterns and trends in human papillomavirus-related diseases in Central and Eastern Europe and Central Asia. Vaccine. 2013 Dec 31; 31(Suppl 7):H32–45. [PubMed: 24332296]
- Knaul FM, Bhadelia A, Arreola-Ornelas H, et al. Women's Reproductive Health in Transition: The Overlapping Challenge of Breast and Cervical Cancer. Cancer Control. 2014; 11:50–59.
- Singh G, Azuine RE, Siahpush M. Global Inequalities in Cervical Cancer Incidence and Mortality are linked to deprivation, low socioeconomic status, and human development. International Journal of MCH and AIDS. 2012; 1:17–30. [PubMed: 27621956]
- Bray F, Ferlay J, Laversanne M, Brewster DH, Mbalawa CG, Kohler B, Piñeros M, Steliarova- Foucher E, Swaminathan R, Antoni S, Soerjomataram I, et al. Cancer Incidence in Five Continents: Inclusion criteria, highlights from Volume X, and the global status of cancer registration. Int J Cancer. 2015 Jul 1.
- 40. Leong SPL, Shen Z-Z, Liu T-J, et al. Is breast cancer the same disease in Asian and Western women? World J Surgery. 2010; 34:2308–2324.
- Abdulrahman Jnr GO, Rahman GA. Epidemiology of breast cancer in Europe and Africa. J Cancer Epidemiology. 2012; Article ID 915610. doi: 10.1155/2012/915610
- 42. Adesunkanmi ARK, Lawal OO, Adelusola KA, Durosimi MA. The severity, outcome and challenges of breast cancer in Nigeria. Breast. 2006; 15(3):399–409. [PubMed: 16085418]
- Rambau PF, Chalya PL, Manyama MM, Jackson KL. Pathological features of Breast Cancer seen in Northwestern Tanzania: A nine years retrospective study. BMC Research Notes. 2011:214–219. [PubMed: 21696617]
- 44. Kantelhardt EJ, Zerchel P, Mathewos A, et al. Breast cancer survival in Ethiopia: A cohort study of 1,070 women. Int J Cancer. 2014; 135(3):702–709. [PubMed: 24375396]
- 45. Bewtra C. Clinicopathologic features of female breast cancer in Kumasi, Ghana. International Journal of Cancer Research. 2010; 6(3):154–160.
- Abbass F, Bennis S, Znati K, et al. Epidemiological and biologic profile of breast cancer in Fez- Boulemane, Morocco. Eastern Mediterranean health journal. 2011; 17(12):930–936. [PubMed: 22355946]
- 47. Othieno-Abinya NA, Wanzala P, Omollo R, et al. Comparative study of breast cancer risk factors at Kenyatta National Hospital and the Nairobi Hospital. Journal Africain du Cancer. 2015; 7(1):41–46.
- 48. CIA. [accessed online Aug 2, 2015] World Factbook. https://www.cia.gov/library/publications/the-world-factbook/
- 49. Plummer M, Peto J, Franceschi S, on behalf of the International Collaboration of Epidemiological Studies of Cervical Cancer. Time since first sexual intercourse and the risk of cervical cancer. Int J Ca. 2012; 130:2638–2644.
- 50. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. [accessed Aug 8, 2015] HPV-associated Cancer Diagnosis by Age. http://www.cdc.gov/cancer/hpv/statistics/age.htm

- 51. Sreedevi A, Javed R, Dinesh A. Epidemiology of cervical cancer with special focus on India. International Journal of Women's Health. 2015; 7:405–414.
- 52. van Bogaert L-JJ. Age at Diagnosis of Preinvasive and Invasive Cervical Neoplasia in South Africa HIV-Positive Versus HIV-Negative Women. Int J Gynecol Cancer. 2011; 21:363–366. [PubMed: 21270617]
- 53. Bazile J, Rigodon J, Berman L, Boulanger VM, Maistrellis E, Kausiwa P, Yamin AE. Intergenerational impacts of maternal mortality: qualitative findings from rural Malawi. Reprod Health. 2015; 12(Supp 1):S1.
- Langer A, Meleis A, Knaul FM, et al. Women and Health: the key for sustainable development. The Lancet. 2015 Jun 5. ePub accessed online August 2, 2015. doi: 10.1016/ S0140-6736(15)60497-4
- 55. Braitstein P, Ayaya S, Nyandiko WM, et al. Nutritional Status of Orphaned and Separated Children and Adolescents Living in Community and Institutional Environments in Uasin Gishu County, Kenya. PLoS One. 2013; 8(7):e70054. [PubMed: 23922900]
- Moucheraud C, Worku A, Molla M, Finlay JE, Leaning J, Yamin AE. Consequences of maternal mortality on infant and child survival: a 25year longitudinal analysis in Butajira Ethiopia

(1987-2011). Reprod Health. 2015; 12(Suppl 1):S4.

- Stenberg K, Axelson H, Sheehan P, et al. Study Group for the Global Investment Framework for Women's Children's Health. Advancing social and economic development by investing in women's and children's health: a new Global Investment Framework. Lancet. 2014; 383:1333–54. [PubMed: 24263249]
- 58. ILO. Global employment trends for women. Geneva: International Labour Organization; 2008.
- 59. Jamison DT, Summers LH, Alleyne G, et al. Global health 2035: a world converging within a generation. Lancet. 2013; 382:1898–955. [PubMed: 24309475]
- 60. Hausman R, Tyson LD, Zahidi S. The global gender gap report 2012. Geneva: World Economic Forum; 2012.
- 61. Bloom DE, Cafiero ET, Jané-Llopis E., et al. The global economic burden of non-communicable diseases. Geneva, Switzerland: World Economic Forum; 2011.
- 62. Beaulieu N, Bloom D, Bloom R, Stein R. Breakaway: the global burden of cancer-challenges and opportunities. Economist Intelligence Unit. 2009
- 63. John RM, Ross H. The Global Economic Costs of Cancer. Livestrong and the American Cancer Society;
- 64. WHO guide to identifying the economic consequences of disease and injury. WHO; 2009. ISBN 978 92 4 159829 3
- 65. Pakseresht S, Ingle GK, Garg S, Singh MM. Expenditure audit of women with breast cancer in a tertiary care hospital of Delhi. Indian Journal of Cancer. 2011; 48(4):428–37. [PubMed: 22293256]
- 66. Woodward M, Kimman M, Jan S, Mejri AA. The economic cost of cancer to patients and their families in Southeast Asia. Asia-Pacific Journal of Clinical Oncology. 2014; 10:43.
- Kimman M, Jan S, Yip CH, Thabrany H, Peters SA, Bhoo-Pathy N, Woodward M. ACTION Study Group. Catastrophic health expenditure and 12-month mortality associated with cancer in Southeast Asia: results from a longitudinal study in eight countries. BMC Med. 2015; 13:190. [PubMed: 26282128]
- Hailu A, Mariam DH. Patient side cost and its predictors for cervical cancer in Ethiopia: A cross sectional hospital based study. BMC Cancer. 2013; 13(69)
- 69. Hoang Lan N, Laohasiriwong W, Stewart JF, Tung ND, Coyte PC. Cost of treatment for breast cancer in central Vietnam. Global health action. 2013; 6:18872. [PubMed: 23394855]
- 70. Zaidi AA, Ansari TZ, Aziz K. The financial burden of cancer: estimates from patients undergoing cancer care in a tertiary care hospital. International Journal for Equity in Health. 2012; 11(60)
- 71. Zeeshan Y, Muhammad Y, Ahson M. A Pilot study the socio-economic impact of cancer on patients and their families in a developing country. European Journal of Medical Research. 2010; 15:197.
- 72. Alliance for Cervical Cancer Prevention. Cervical Cancer Prevention Issues in Depth, No. 3. Seattle: ACCP; 2004. The Case for Investing in Cervical Cancer Prevention.
- Arrossi S, Matos E, Zengarini N, Roth B, Sankaranayananan R, Parkin M. The socioeconomic impact of cervical cancer on patients and their families in Argentina, and its influence on radiotherapy compliance. Results from a cross-sectional study. Gynecol Oncol. 2007; 105(2):335–

40. [PubMed: 17258801]

- Berraho M, Najdi A, Mathoulin-Pelissier S, Salamon R, Nejjari C. Direct costs of cervical cancer management in Morocco. Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention: Apjcp. 2012; 13(7):3159–63. [PubMed: 22994727]
- 75. Boncz I, Endrei D, Agoston I, Kovacs G, Vajda R, Csakvari T, et al. Annual health insurance cost of breast cancer treatment in Hungary. Value in Health. 2014; 17(7):A735.
- 76. Goldhaber-Fiebert JD, Goldie SJ. Estimating the cost of cervical cancer screening in five developing countries. Cost Effectiveness and Resource Allocation. 2006; 4
- Davari M, Yazdanpanah F, Aslani A, Hosseini M, Nazari AR, Mokarian F. The direct medical costs of breast cancer in Iran: Analyzing the patient's level data from a cancer specific hospital in Isfahan. International Journal of Preventive Medicine. 2013; 4(7):748–54. [PubMed: 24049592]

- 78. Boutayeb S, Boutayeb A, Ahbeddou N, Boutayeb W, Ismail E, Tazi M, et al. Estimation of the cost of treatment by chemotherapy for early breast cancer in Morocco. Cost Effectiveness and Resource Allocation. 2010; 8(16)
- Gelband H, Sankaranarayanan R, Gaureau C, et al. Costs, affordability and feasibility of an essential package of cancer control interventions in low- and middle-income countries: key messages from Disease Control Priorities, Third Edition. The Lancet. 2015 Nov 11. epub ahead of print. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(15)00755-2
- Bustreo F, et al. Women's health beyond reproduction: Meeting the challenges. Bulletin of the World Health Organization. 2012; 90:478–478A. [PubMed: 22807588]
- Atun R, Jaffar S, Nishtar S, et al. Improving responsiveness of health systems to non- communicable diseases. Lancet. 2013; 381(9867):690– 7. [PubMed: 23410609]
- 82. [Accessed online Apr 11, 2016] Every Woman Every Child Global Strategy 2.0. http:// www.who.int/life-course/partners/global-strategy/en/ [Accessed online Apr 11, 2016] UN Secretary General Statement World Cancer Day. 2016. Feb 4, http://www.un.org/en/events/cancerday/sgmessage.shtml
- Coleman MP, Forman D, Bryant H, et al. Cancer survival in Australia, Canada, Denmark, Norway, Sweden, and the UK 1995–2007 (the International Cancer Benchmarking Partnership): an analysis of population-based cancer registry data. Lancet. 2011; 377:127–38. [PubMed: 21183212]
- 84. Walters S, Benitez-Majano S, Muller P, et al. Is England closing the international gap in cancer survival? BJC. 2015; 1-13doi: 10.1038/bjc.2015.265