International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

Journal homepage: www.ijrpr.com ISSN 2582-7421

Mediating Role of Employee Engagement on the Relationship Between Employee Motivation and Performance

Shaik Ashika Mubeen[1],Pathan Nimzi[2], Dr Akondi Srikanth[3]

MBA II Year
ashikamubeen@gmail.com
[3] ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR Department of Business Management–MBA
KL University

Introduction :

This study delves into the complex interplay between Employee motivation, engagement, and performance are key factors that determine an organization's success. Motivation serves as the initial driving force that encourages employees to put in effort and achieve goals. However, motivation alone is not always enough to sustain high performance. This is where *employee engagement* comes into play. Engagement refers to how connected, committed, and involved employees feel in their work. When employees are engaged, they are more likely to be productive, take initiative, and contribute positively to the organization. This study explores the *important role of engagement* in converting motivation into real, measurable performance improvements.

By understanding this relationship, organizations can design better strategies to *increase both engagement and motivation*, leading to improved productivity and job satisfaction. The research highlights that *engagement acts as a bridge* between motivation and performance, ensuring that employees not only feel motivated but also stay committed to their tasks. Companies that invest in engagement-focused strategies—such as clear communication, recognition programs, and opportunities for growth—tend to see better performance outcomes. This study provides insights into how businesses can optimize workforce potential by strengthening engagement and, in turn, achieving *long-term success*.

Need for the Study :

In the fast-paced and competitive landscape of modern business, organizations are placing greater emphasis on their employees as the foundation for achieving long-term growth and success. The skills, dedication, and innovation that employees bring to the table are critical for achieving organizational objectives and maintaining a competitive edge. Among the various factors driving workforce effectiveness, employee motivation has long been acknowledged as a key determinant of performance. Motivated employees typically exhibit higher productivity, superior work quality, and enhanced creativity. However, while motivation's influence on performance is well-documented, the processes that amplify or mediate this relationship remain less understood.

Employee engagement has emerged as a critical concept in this context. Engagement, defined as a state of emotional, cognitive, and behavioural investment in one's work, serves as a mechanism through which motivation translates into actionable and measurable performance outcomes. When employees are engaged, they direct their motivational energy toward achieving both individual and organizational goals. For example, an employee motivated by career advancement opportunities may only fully realize their potential if they are deeply engaged in their tasks and responsibilities.

Understanding the role of engagement is vital because motivated employees do not always automatically convert their drive into performance. Engagement acts as the bridge that connects intrinsic and extrinsic motivators to observable outcomes. For instance, an employee driven by intrinsic motivation, such as personal growth, may excel only when their workplace fosters a sense of purpose and alignment with organizational objectives.

This study seeks to fill a critical gap by examining how engagement mediates the relationship between motivation and performance. It provides valuable insights for organizations to design strategies that enhance both employee motivation and engagement, leading to better outcomes. The implications of this research extend to leadership, human resource practices, and organizational culture, making it relevant and practically significant.

Objectives :

The main objective of the study:

1. To analyse the direct impact of employee motivation on performance outcomes. This includes understanding how motivation drives productivity and goal achievement.
2. To examine the relationship between employee motivation and engagement, shedding light on how motivation influences employees' emotional and cognitive involvement in their work.
3. To investigate the connection between employee engagement and performance, identifying how engagement predicts outcomes independent of motivation.

4. To explore the mediating role of employee engagement in the relationship between motivation and performance, determining how engagement enhances the influence of motivational factors on performance metrics.

Hypotheses :

1. **Null Hypothesis:** There is direct impact of Employee Motivation on Employee Performance.
   Alternative Hypothesis: There is no impact of Employee Motivation on Employee   Performance.
2. **Null Hypothesis:** There is impact of Employee Motivation on Employee Engagement.
   Alternative Hypothesis: There is no impact of Employee Motivation on Employee Engagement.
3. **Null Hypothesis:** There is impact of Employee Engagement on Employee Performance.
   Alternative Hypothesis: There is no impact of Employee Engagement on Employee Performance.
4. **Null Hypothesis:** There is impact of mediation of Employee Engagement on the relationship between Employee Motivation and Performance.
   Alternative Hypothesis: There is no impact of mediation of Employee Engagement on the relationship between Employee Motivation and Performance.

Methodology :

The study employed a quantitative research design to examine the relationships among employee motivation, engagement, and performance. Data were collected through a structured electronic questionnaire distributed to employees from various organizational departments. The questionnaire included validated scales that measured key variables such as intrinsic and extrinsic motivation, levels of engagement, and performance outcomes. Sample items included statements like "I feel excited about my work each day" and "My efforts are recognized and rewarded appropriately."

The sample consisted of 269 employees representing diverse age groups, genders, and job roles. This diversity ensured that the findings would be generalizable across different organizational contexts. Conducting the survey electronically allowed participants to respond anonymously, which encouraged candid and honest feedback.

Two main statistical methods were used for analysis:

1. **Linear Regression Analysis:** This technique was used to assess the direct relationships between variables such as motivation and performance, and motivation and engagement.
2. **Mediation Analysis:** This method explored the extent to which engagement mediated the relationship between motivation and performance. Statistical software was utilized to ensure accuracy and reliability in the analysis.

The study's approach allowed for a comprehensive understanding of both direct and indirect pathways, shedding light on the intricate dynamics between the variables.

In order to meet the objective 1,2, and 3, Linear Regression Analysis is performed. To meet the objective 4, Mediation Analysis is conducted.

Results:

1. **The direct impact of employee motivation on performance outcomes indicates;**

We fitted a linear model (estimated using OLS) to predict Avg_EP with Avg_EM (formula: Avg_EP ~ Avg_EM). The model explains a statistically significant and substantial proportion of variance ($R^2 = 0.59$, $F_{(1, 267)} = 376.48$, $p < .001$, adj. $R^2 = 0.58$). The model's intercept, corresponding to Avg_EM = 0, is at 1.18 (95% CI[0.86, 1.49], $t(267) = 7.34$, $p < .001$). Within this model:

The effect of Avg EM is statistically significant and positive (beta = 0.73, 95% CI[0.65, 0.80], $t(267) = 19.40$, $p < .001$; Std. beta = 0.76, 95% CI [0.69, 0.84])

2. **The relationship between employee motivation and engagement indicates,**

We fitted a linear model (estimated using OLS) to predict Avg_EE with Avg_EM (formula: Avg_EE ~ Avg_EM). The model explains a statistically significant and substantial proportion of variance ($R^2 = 0.70$, $F_{(1, 267)} = 635.83$, $p < .001$, adj. $R^2 = 0.70$). The model's intercept, corresponding to Avg_EM = 0, is at 0.99 (95% CI [0.73, 1.25], $t(267) = 7.52$, $p < .001$). Within this model:

The effect of Avg EM is statistically significant and positive (beta = 0.77, 95% CI [0.71, 0.83], $t(267) = 25.22$, $p < .001$; Std. beta = 0.84, 95% CI [0.77, 0.90])

The connection between employee engagement and performance indicates, We fitted a linear model (estimated using OLS) to predict Avg_EP with Avg_EE (formula: Avg_EP ~ Avg_EE). The model explains a statistically significant and substantial proportion of variance ($R^2 = 0.66$, $F_{(1, 267)} = 523.98$, $p < .001$, adj. $R^2 = 0.66$). The model's intercept, corresponding to Avg_EE = 0, is at 0.68 (95% CI [0.37, 0.99], $t(267) = 4.30$, $p < .001$). Within this model:

The effect of Avg EE is statistically significant and positive (beta = 0.84, 95% CI [0.77, 0.91], $t(267) = 22.89$, $p < .001$; Std. beta = 0.81, 95% CI [0.74, 0.88])

The mediating role of employee engagement in the relationship between motivation and performance revealed that **engagement partially mediates the relationship between motivation and performance.** While motivation alone accounted for 58% of the variance in performance outcomes, the inclusion of engagement increased the explained variance to 69%.

Findings :

The findings of this research highlighted several key relationships:

1. **Employee Motivation and Employee Performance:** A strong, direct relationship was found between employee motivation and performance. Motivation accounted for 58% of the variance in performance outcomes. It is accounted using the regression line **y=a+bx** indicates a positive linear relationship between the independent variable (x) and the dependent variable(y). Motivated employees were more productive, exhibited better problem-solving skills, and showed greater job satisfaction. For instance, organizations that implemented motivational strategies such as reward programs, career development opportunities, and recognition systems experienced improvements in work quality and employee retention. These results emphasize the importance of creating a motivational work environment as a foundation for enhancing performance.

2. **Employee Motivation and Employee Engagement:** The study found a robust link between motivation and engagement. Motivation accounted for 70% of the variance in engagement outcomes. Motivated employees were more likely to exhibit heightened emotional and cognitive involvement in their work. For example, employees driven by professional growth or autonomy actively participated in decision-making and demonstrated resilience during challenging situations. This finding underscores the need for organizations to align motivational strategies with initiatives that foster engagement, such as providing meaningful work and promoting collaboration.

3. **Employee Engagement and Employee Performance:** Engagement was identified as a significant predictor of performance. Engagement accounted for 66% of the variance in performance outcomes. Engaged employees consistently exceeded expectations, demonstrated initiative, and contributed to innovation. For instance, engaged employees were more likely to collaborate with colleagues, propose creative solutions, and meet organizational targets. This highlights engagement's independent influence on performance, beyond the effects of motivation.

4. **Mediating Role of Engagement:** The mediation analysis revealed that **engagement partially mediates the relationship between motivation and performance.** While motivation alone accounted for 58% of the variance in performance outcomes, the inclusion of engagement increased the explained variance to 69%. This indicates that engagement amplifies the impact of motivation on performance. Organizations that integrate motivational strategies with engagement-focused initiatives can achieve significant and sustainable performance improvements. For example, fostering open communication, offering skill development opportunities, and aligning organizational goals with employee aspirations were found to enhance engagement and, consequently, performance.

Implications :

This study's findings offer numerous practical insights for organizations:

- Organizations should combine motivational practices with engagement strategies, ensuring recognition programs not only reward high performers but also encourage collaboration and teamwork. Feedback systems should be used to identify and address individual motivational and engagement needs.

- A supportive work environment can be cultivated by assigning meaningful tasks, encouraging autonomy, and promoting innovation. Leadership plays a crucial role by fostering transparency and offering opportunities for professional growth.

- Leaders can enhance engagement by recognizing individual contributions, providing mentorship opportunities, and demonstrating enthusiasm and alignment with organizational goals, thereby creating a sense of purpose and belonging.

- Human resource practices should incorporate motivation and engagement into recruitment, onboarding, and training processes while performance appraisals should evaluate and reward behaviours aligned with these objectives.

Future Scope :

This research opens several avenues for future exploration:

- Exploring the motivation-engagement-performance relationship across various industries can reveal unique sector-specific dynamics. For instance, the interaction between these factors may differ significantly between knowledge-intensive industries and manufacturing sectors.

- Researching how motivation, engagement, and performance evolve over time through longitudinal studies can offer valuable insights into the sustainability of interventions designed to enhance these factors.

- Investigating the role of digital tools, such as virtual collaboration platforms, gamification techniques, and AI-driven feedback systems, can provide innovative ways for organizations to foster motivation and engagement.

- Understanding the impact of external factors, such as economic conditions, remote work, and workplace flexibility, is crucial. For example, analysing how hybrid work environments influence engagement can aid in designing effective organizational policies.

- Conducting comparative studies across regions or cultural contexts can uncover how cultural differences shape motivational and engagement strategies, offering practical insights for multinational organizations implementing inclusive practices.

Conclusion :

This study underscores the critical role of employee engagement as a mediator between motivation and performance. By highlighting the interconnectedness of these variables, it offers actionable recommendations for organizations to optimize workforce strategies. Creating a workplace culture that integrates motivation with engagement can lead to sustained performance improvements, benefiting both employees and the organization. Future research should continue exploring these relationships, considering industry-specific challenges, technological advancements, and cultural diversity to deepen our understanding of motivation, engagement, and performance dynamics.

BIBLIOGRAPHY :

1. Aguestiningsih, H. N., Armanu T., Djumilah H., Noermijati N (2016). The effect of remuneration, job satisfaction and OCB on the employee performance. Science Journal of Business and Managemnet, 4(6), 212-222.

2. Ajalie, S. N. & Ogunnaike, Olaleke & Oluseye, & Ofuonyebuzor, Daniel & Chucks, & Onayemi, Olu- wakemi. (2020). Empirical Examination of Intrinsic Motivation as a Predictor of Organizational Productivity. The international journal of business &management, 8, 173-180

3. Aslam, S. (2014). Impact of financial and non-financial rewards on employee motivation. Middle-East journal of scientific research(21(10)), 1776-1786.

4. Baik, S. J. (2018). Craft Your Job and Get Engaged: Sustainable Change-Oriented Behaviour at Work. Sustainability(10 12), 4404.

5. Bakker, A. B. (2011). An evidence-based model of employee engagement. Current directions in psychological science,, 4(20), 265-269.