International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews, Vol (6), Issue (2), February (2025), Page – 4656-4661

WWW.IJRPR.COM

International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

Journal homepage: www.ijrpr.com ISSN 2582-7421

Vaccine Development and Strategies for a Greener Future: Sustainable Environment

Sudipto Roy[1], Somali Sanyal[2*] and Jyoti Prakash[3*]

[1]Amity Institute of Biotechnology, Amity University Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow campus 226028, India

***Corresponding authors**

[3] Associate Professor

Amity Institute of Biotechnology Amity University Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow, 226028, India

Email ID: jprakash@lko.amity.edu

ORCID id: 0000-0002-9326-355X

[2] Assistant Professor

Amity Institute of Biotechnology Amity University Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow, 226028, India

Email id: ssanyal@lko.amity.edu

ORCID id: 0000-0003-0983-934X

ABSTRACT :

A key component of public health, vaccine development prevents infectious diseases and saves millions of lives globally. Its effects on the environment, however, continue to raise concerns. Carbon emissions and resource depletion result from the high energy, raw material, and water requirements of the vaccine production process. Furthermore, the cold chain system—which is necessary for the shipping and storage of vaccines—requires energy-intensive refrigeration, which raises greenhouse gas emissions. There is a risk of environmental contamination when biomedical waste, such as syringes, vials, outdated vaccinations, and packaging materials, is disposed of. Additionally, there are worries regarding the possible harmful effects of some vaccine preservatives, including thiomersal, on ecosystems. The ongoing widespread coronavirus immunization efforts have inadvertently become significant producers of biomedical and plastic trash, which has had an adverse effect on the environment. Biohazardous vaccination waste, such as syringes, needles, used and unused vials, and single-use plastic equipment, must be managed responsibly. This perspective provides an important perspective on the generated vaccine waste and the subsequent impact on all aspects of the ecosystem. The enormous quantity of plastic personal protective equipment that has been discharged into the maritime environment has raised severe concerns. The critical problem of CO2 emissions during the manufacturing and storage of some vaccines has contributed to global warming. Microfibers are unavoidably created when vaccine waste is burned, autoclaved, pyrolyzed, or left out in the open. Researchers and businesses are looking at sustainable alternatives, such as solar-powered refrigeration, plant-based vaccine production, biodegradable packaging, and environmentally friendly waste disposal techniques, to lessen these environmental issues. The environmental impact of vaccine production can be decreased while preserving the efficacy of immunization programs by incorporating green technologies and renewable energy sources. This analysis looks at how vaccine development affects the environment, emphasizing problems and creative fixes to encourage sustainability in the pharmaceutical sector. A sustainable future depends on striking a balance between the advantages of vaccination for public health and environmental responsibility as global immunization efforts continue to grow.

Keywords: Vaccination, Biomedical waste, CO2 emission, waste management, Sustainable vaccine production.

Introduction :

Vaccines have revolutionized public health, significantly reduced the burden of infectious diseases and improved global life expectancy. From smallpox eradication to the rapid development of COVID-19 vaccines, these medical innovations have saved millions of lives. However, while the health benefits of vaccines are undeniable, their production, distribution, and disposal can have significant environmental consequences (1). The vaccine development process involves multiple stages, including research, clinical trials, large-scale production, packaging, transportation, administration, and waste disposal. Each of these stages has an environmental footprint, contributing to resource depletion, pollution, and greenhouse gas emissions. For instance, the production of vaccines requires large amounts of water, energy, and raw materials, including biological cultures, chemicals, and stabilizers. Additionally, the cold chain—necessary for storing and transporting vaccines at controlled temperatures—relies heavily on refrigeration systems that consume energy and, in some cases, release harmful refrigerants (2). Another critical environmental concern is the generation of biomedical waste. Syringes, vials, expired vaccines, and packaging materials contribute to medical waste, which, if not disposed of properly, can lead to pollution and contamination of soil and water sources. Some vaccine preservatives, such as thiomersal, contain mercury, raising concerns about potential toxic effects on the environment.

Furthermore, large-scale vaccination campaigns increase the use of plastics, single-use materials, and transportation logistics, all of which contribute to carbon emissions and environmental (3). Despite these challenges, efforts are being made to minimize the environmental impact of vaccine development. Innovations such as plant-based vaccines, biodegradable packaging, solar-powered refrigeration, and sustainable waste disposal methods are being explored to make vaccine production more eco-friendly. Additionally, the adoption of green chemistry principles in vaccine formulation and manufacturing aims to reduce the use of hazardous chemicals and promote energy-efficient (4). Vaccine development is a critical aspect of public health, contributing to the control and eradication of infectious diseases worldwide. However, the environmental impact of vaccine production, distribution, and disposal has become an emerging concern. As the global demand for vaccines increases, the associated environmental footprint from raw material extraction to manufacturing processes and waste management needs careful evaluation. Vaccine development involves several stages, including research, clinical trials, large-scale manufacturing, packaging, transportation, and administration. Each phase has environmental consequences, such as energy consumption, greenhouse gas emissions, and waste (5). Additionally, the production of vaccines relies on various biological and chemical materials, some of which may contribute to pollution and ecosystem disturbances if not managed properly. One of the major environmental concerns in vaccine production is the use of raw materials, including animal-derived products, cell cultures, and chemical reagents. The extraction and processing of these materials require significant energy and water resources, leading to carbon emissions and potential ecological disruption. Additionally, the synthesis of adjuvants, preservatives, and stabilizers often involves complex chemical processes that may generate hazardous waste (6). The packaging and distribution of vaccines also contribute to environmental issues. Cold chain logistics, which ensure that vaccines remain at required temperatures, rely heavily on refrigeration systems powered by electricity and fossil fuels. The use of single-use plastics, syringes, vials, and other medical waste further adds to pollution concerns, requiring effective disposal and recycling strategies (6).

Another crucial aspect of vaccine development's environmental impact is the waste generated after vaccine administration. Expired or unused vaccines, along with contaminated syringes and vials, must be disposed of safely to prevent environmental contamination and biohazards. Inadequate waste management can lead to the release of harmful chemicals and biological agents into (7). Despite these challenges, advancements in vaccine technology and sustainable manufacturing practices are being explored to mitigate environmental effects. The adoption of green chemistry, biodegradable packaging, and energy-efficient production methods can help reduce the ecological footprint of vaccines. Furthermore, innovative approaches such as plant-based and mRNA vaccines, which require fewer resources and generate less waste present promising sustainability (8). This review will explore the various environmental impacts of vaccine development, from resource extraction to waste management, and examine potential strategies to make the process more sustainable. Understanding these impacts is essential for developing environmentally responsible vaccines that balance public health benefits with ecological sustainability.

Manufacturing and Resource Consumption in Vaccine Development :

Vaccine manufacturing is a complex, resource-intensive process that involves multiple stages, from research and development to large-scale production and distribution. Each stage requires significant amounts of raw materials, energy, and water, contributing to environmental concerns such as carbon emissions, waste generation, and resource depletion. Understanding the environmental footprint of vaccine manufacturing is crucial for developing more sustainable (9).

1. Raw Materials Used in Vaccine Production

Biological cultures, chemical adjuvants, preservatives, stabilizers, and packaging materials are among the raw materials used in the production of vaccines, and each of them has a substantial impact on the environment. Cell cultures, eggs, or genetically modified organisms (GMOs) are used in the development of many vaccines, necessitating substantial laboratory and agricultural (10). For example, the production of influenza vaccines frequently involves fertilized chicken eggs, a practice that necessitates extensive poultry farming and adds to water use, land use, and methane emissions from animals (11). Mammalian cell cultures used in recombinant vaccines also use a lot of water and energy since they need a lot of nutrients, growth media and other raw material (12). Other environmental issues are brought up by extracting and processing chemical adjuvants like aluminium salts and squalene, which are made from shark liver oil. because unsustainable squalene supply can endanger marine species and aluminium mining leads to habitat damage, water pollution and carbon (13).

The usage of stabilizers and preservatives like formaldehyde and thiomersal, a chemical based on mercury, increases the danger of toxic waste buildup and soil and water (14). These chemicals can damage wildlife and disturb aquatic environments if they are not disposed of appropriately. Additionally, energy-intensive production procedures that contribute to plastic pollution and carbon emissions are needed to manufacture vaccine packaging materials including glass vials, plastic syringes, and rubber (15). Since a large portion of medical waste is non-biodegradable and frequently burned, releasing dangerous chemicals like dioxins and furans into the atmosphere, the problem is made worse by the widespread use of single-use plastics in syringes, pipettes, and (16).

Sustainable options are being investigated to lessen the environmental impact of procuring raw materials for vaccine production. Scientists are creating vaccines based on plants, which reduce energy-intensive bioprocessing and do away with the requirement for chemicals produced from animals. Additionally, medical waste can be greatly reduced by using recyclable and biodegradable packing (17). Environmental harm can be reduced by employing substances that are sourced ethically such as squalene that is harvested sustainably or substitute adjuvants. Furthermore, safer, less dangerous production procedures may result from the use of green chemistry techniques in vaccine formulation. The pharmaceutical sector may lessen its need on resource-intensive raw materials while preserving the effectiveness and safety of vaccinations by putting these eco-friendly tactics into (18).

2. Energy Consumption and Carbon Footprint

The energy-intensive process of producing vaccines is a major cause of carbon emissions and climate change. Significant amounts of energy, mostly from non-renewable sources like fossil fuels, are needed at every stage of manufacturing, from research and development to large-scale production, storage, and distribution. Because maintaining exact temperatures, pressures, and sterile conditions is crucial for vaccination efficacy, bioreactors, fermentation units, and purifying systems require constant electricity (19). Furthermore, the formulation and packaging of vaccines in cleanroom facilities depends on sterilizing technology, stringent humidity control, and high-efficiency particulate air (HEPA) filtration—all of which use a significant amount of electricity. High greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions result from these activities, especially if coal or natural gas-based power plants provide the (20).

The carbon footprint is further increased by cold chain transport and vaccine storage after manufacture. Energy-intensive refrigeration and freezing equipment are required for the storage and shipment of many vaccines, particularly mRNA-based ones like the COVID-19 vaccines, which require extremely low temperatures. The usage of hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs), strong greenhouse gases that contribute to global warming, is frequently a part of the dependence on traditional refrigeration (21)(22). Furthermore, air and land freight—both of which rely on fossil fuels—are used in the worldwide distribution of vaccines, resulting in considerable emissions of carbon dioxide (CO_2). Because they require energy-intensive manufacturing and increase waste levels, the manufacture and disposal of single-use plastics, glass vials, and packaging materials also contribute to environmental (23).

The pharmaceutical sector is using sustainable energy solutions to lessen the negative environmental effects of the energy used to produce vaccines. To lessen their dependency on fossil fuels, many businesses are switching to renewable energy sources including solar, wind, and (24). Automation, improved air circulation, and LED lighting are examples of energy-efficient cleanroom designs that can reduce electricity usage. Phase-change cooling materials and solar-powered refrigeration are being developed to replace traditional vaccine storage and distribution methods, lowering energy consumption and refrigerant emissions. Establishing regional manufacturing clusters can also aid in reducing emissions from long-distance transportation and shortening supply chains. The vaccine business can drastically lower its carbon footprint while preserving the integrity of immunization programs around the world by adopting these sustainable practices and energy-efficient (25).

3. Water Usage and Wastewater Management

During the several steps of vaccine manufacture, including cell culture growth, formulation, sterilization, and facility and equipment cleaning, water is an essential resource. Water resources are under a lot of strain due to the high demand for purified water in the vaccine manufacturing process, which exacerbates water scarcity (26). To maintain stringent hygienic and quality standards, large-scale vaccine production facilities need millions of litters of water, much of which is utilized in bioreactors, cooling systems, and media preparation for microbial or mammalian cell cultures. Since these procedures necessitate substantial filtration, distillation, and reverse osmosis, which result in high energy consumption and carbon emissions, the widespread use of ultra-purified water (UPW) and water-for-injection (WFI) further increases resource consumption. In areas where water is already scarce, excessive water use by pharmaceutical manufacturing facilities can worsen regional water shortages, impacting ecosystems and communities (27)(28).

Wastewater creation and treatment are a significant environmental concern in addition to water use. Large amounts of wastewater from cleaning agents, fermentation processes, and leftover cell cultures are produced during the vaccine production process. This wastewater contains chemical residues, biological pollutants, heavy metals, and organic solvents. This effluent can contaminate nearby water bodies if improperly treated, endangering human health and causing toxicity in aquatic ecosystems (29). Additionally, improper vaccination-related waste disposal might release genetically modified organisms (GMOs) or bacteria resistant to antibiotics into the environment, which may upset microbial ecosystems. Carbon footprints are further increased by the energy-intensive procedures needed for wastewater treatment, such as filtration, chemical neutralization, and biological degradation (30).

Vaccine producers are progressively implementing sustainable water practices to reduce the environmental impact of water usage and wastewater treatment. These include systems for water recycling and reuse, which reduce overall demand by purifying treated wastewater and reusing it in production operations. In order to ensure a safer release into the environment, advanced filtering technologies including membrane bioreactors (MBRs) and activated carbon filters aid in the removal of dangerous (31). Green chemistry techniques can also be used to lessen the generation of harmful chemicals, which will result in cleaner wastewater streams. Rainwater collection is an additional sustainable approach that can alleviate the strain on nearby water supplies by augmenting the water supply for non-essential manufacturing processes. The pharmaceutical sector can drastically cut down on water waste, stop pollution, and enhance sustainability by putting these eco-friendly solutions into practice (32).

4. Environmental Impact of Supply Chain and Raw Material Sourcing

Resource depletion, greenhouse gas emissions, and ecological damage are all caused by the vaccine production supply chain and the sourcing of raw materials. The process starts with the extraction and processing of raw materials, including biological cultures for the synthesis of antigens, metals for adjuvants (such aluminium salts), and other chemicals for stabilizers and preservatives (33). In addition to consuming enormous amounts of energy, the mining and processing of metals causes deforestation, water contamination, and soil deterioration. Furthermore, obtaining biological materials—like mammalian cell lines or egg-based cultures for influenza vaccines—requires significant laboratory and agricultural resources, which adds to the environmental load. Waste and carbon emissions are also produced during the production of vaccine packaging materials, such as glass vials, plastic syringes, and rubber stoppers. waste generation because these materials are frequently non-biodegradable and need energy-intensive production procedures (34).

In addition to the extraction of raw materials, transportation plays a significant role in the global vaccine supply chain, which raises carbon footprints. Ingredients for vaccines are frequently acquired from many countries across the world, necessitating vast shipping and logistical networks (35). Raw materials, semi-finished goods, and finished vaccines are transported by air, sea, and land freight, all of which primarily consume fossil fuels and produce large amounts of greenhouse gas emissions. Furthermore, ensuring the integrity of vaccines during transit necessitates specialized cold chain logistics, which include refrigeration equipment that employ hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs), strong greenhouse gases that contribute to climate change, and frequently demand enormous quantities of electricity. Additionally, because vaccinations need to be safely packed with insulating materials to minimize temperature variations, the desire for just-in-time delivery leads to an increase in packaging waste (36).

It is crucial to implement sustainable supply chain procedures in order to lessen these environmental issues. This entails acquiring raw materials from ethical and environmentally conscious vendors, putting green manufacturing practices into practice, and streamlining logistics systems to cut down on needless transportation-related emissions. Long-distance transportation needs can be reduced by using local production facilities, and medical waste can be decreased by using sustainable packing materials like reusable vials or biodegradable plastics (37). Additionally, the total environmental impact of vaccine production can be greatly reduced by switching to renewable energy sources for cold chain storage and manufacturing facilities. The pharmaceutical industry should strive toward a more sustainable approach to vaccine development while maintaining global health security by addressing the environmental impact of supply chains and the sourcing of raw materials (38).

5. Strategies for Sustainable Vaccine Manufacturing

Adopting sustainable manufacturing processes is crucial to lowering the environmental effect of vaccine production as the demand for vaccinations continues to rise internationally. While preserving the safety and effectiveness of vaccines, sustainable vaccine manufacturing aims to minimize resource use, lower greenhouse gas emissions, and improve waste management. Adopting green chemistry principles, which include minimizing hazardous compounds, employing environmentally friendly solvents, and streamlining procedures to reduce waste and energy consumption, is one important step for sustainable vaccine production (39). Pharmaceutical firms can reduce harmful byproducts and their carbon impact by creating more effective synthesis techniques. Integrating sustainable energy sources, such hydroelectric, wind, and solar electricity, into manufacturing plants is another essential strategy. Switch to sustainable energy from fossil fuels lowers emissions and makes vaccine production more sustainable. (40) Water waste in production and sterilization procedures can also be reduced by implementing water recycling and conservation technology. Water can be recycled with the use of sophisticated filtration and purification technologies, which lowers overall consumption and keeps natural water sources clean. Researchers are looking on recyclable and biodegradable packaging materials as alternatives to conventional plastic and glass in order to solve the problem of biomedical waste. Since single-use plastic items like vials and syringes add to the buildup of medical waste, creating environmentally friendly packaging options can help prevent harm to the environment. Another hopeful option is provided by innovations like plant-based vaccinations, which do away with the need for bioreactors and lessen dependency on energy-intensive production methods (41). The use of resources can be greatly reduced by growing these vaccines in genetically engineered plants. Enhancements in cold chain logistics can also aid in lowering the energy usage and carbon emissions related to the delivery and storage of vaccines. In low-resource environments where electricity is limited, vaccination delivery can be made more ecologically friendly by utilizing solar-powered refrigeration, phase-change materials, and energy-efficient cooling systems (42). Last but not least, applying circular economy concepts to vaccine manufacturing—such as resource reuse, waste recycling, and supply chain optimization—can improve sustainability and lessen the environmental impact overall. The pharmaceutical business can guarantee that vaccine research stays both efficient and ecologically conscious by combining these tactics, opening the door to a healthier world and populace (43).

Conclusion :

Vaccine development has been a cornerstone of global public health, preventing the spread of infectious diseases and saving millions of lives. However, while the health benefits of vaccines are undeniable, their environmental impact must also be considered. The process of vaccine manufacturing, distribution, and disposal has significant ecological consequences, including resource depletion, carbon emissions, biomedical waste generation, and potential contamination of ecosystems. One of the major environmental concerns is the high consumption of raw materials, energy, and water in vaccine production. The use of biological cultures, chemical adjuvants, preservatives, and packaging materials leads to resource depletion and pollution. Additionally, vaccine manufacturing facilities rely on energy-intensive processes that contribute to greenhouse gas emissions, exacerbating climate change. The need for refrigeration in vaccine storage and transport—especially for temperature-sensitive vaccines—further increases carbon footprints due to reliance on fossil fuel-powered logistics and cooling systems that use harmful refrigerants. Another pressing issue is the generation of biomedical waste, including syringes, vials, expired vaccines, and plastic packaging. If not properly managed, this waste can lead to soil and water contamination, posing risks to both human and environmental health. Some vaccine components, such as thiomersal (a mercury-based preservative), raise concerns about toxicity and bioaccumulation in ecosystems. Despite these challenges, there are promising solutions to mitigate the environmental impact of vaccine development. Sustainable manufacturing practices, such as green chemistry, renewable energy use, and water recycling, can significantly reduce the ecological footprint of vaccine production. Innovations in biodegradable packaging, plant-based vaccines, and eco-friendly waste disposal methods can help address the issue of biomedical waste. Additionally, advancements in cold chain technology, including solar-powered refrigeration and alternative cooling systems, can make vaccine distribution more environmentally sustainable. Moving forward, it is crucial for the pharmaceutical industry, policymakers, and researchers to work together to integrate sustainability into vaccine development. While the need for effective vaccines will continue to grow, efforts must be made to balance public health benefits with environmental responsibility. By adopting green technologies, improving waste management, and investing in sustainable infrastructure, it is possible to reduce the environmental footprint of vaccines while ensuring their accessibility and effectiveness for future generations.

In conclusion, vaccines remain an essential tool in the fight against infectious diseases, but their development must be aligned with environmental sustainability. By implementing innovative solutions and sustainable practices, we can ensure that vaccines continue to save lives without compromising the health of our planet.

REFERENCE :

1. Rodrigues CMC, Plotkin SA. Impact of Vaccines; Health, Economic and Social Perspectives. Front Microbiol. 2020 Jul 14;11:1526.
2. Artaud C, Kara L, Launay O. Vaccine Development: From Preclinical Studies to Phase 1/2 Clinical Trials. In: Ariey F, Gay F, Ménard R, editors. Malaria Control and Elimination [Internet]. New York, NY: Springer New York; 2019 [cited 2025 Feb 6]. p. 165–76. (Methods in Molecular Biology; vol. 2013). Available from: http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-1-4939-9550-9_12
3. Kaushik N, Patel P, Gupta R, Jaiswal A, Negi M, Borkar SB, et al. Eco-friendly materials for next-generation vaccination: From concept to clinical reality. SmartMat. 2024 Oct;5(5):e1274.
4. Sahoo PK, Datta R, Rahman MM, Sarkar D. Sustainable Environmental Technologies: Recent Development, Opportunities, and Key Challenges. Appl Sci. 2024 Nov 26;14(23):10956.
5. Leroux-Roels G, Bonanni P, Tantawichien T, Zepp F. Vaccine development. Perspect Vaccinol. 2011 Aug;1(1):115–50.
6. Septiariva IY, Sarwono A, Suryawan IWK. Hidden Environmental Impact of COVID-19 Vaccination: Waste Management, Treatment, and Global Warming Potential. J Kesehat Lingkung Indones. 2022 Jun 30;21(2):137–43.
7. Hasija V, Patial S, Raizada P, Thakur S, Singh P, Hussain CM. The environmental impact of mass coronavirus vaccinations: A point of view on huge COVID-19 vaccine waste across the globe during ongoing vaccine campaigns. Sci Total Environ. 2022 Mar;813:151881.
8. Mueller S. Existing and emerging mRNA vaccines and their environmental impact: a transdisciplinary assessment. Environ Sci Eur. 2024 Aug 12;36(1):144.
9. Ramin E, Cardillo AG, Liebers R, Schmölder J, Von Lieres E, Van Molle W, et al. Accelerating vaccine manufacturing development through model-based approaches: current advances and future opportunities. Curr Opin Chem Eng. 2024 Mar;43:100998.
10. Gomez PL, Robinson JM, Rogalewicz JA. Vaccine manufacturing. In: Vaccines [Internet]. Elsevier; 2013 [cited 2025 Feb 7]. p. 44–57. Available from: https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/B9781455700905000197
11. Nuwarda RF, Alharbi AA, Kayser V. An Overview of Influenza Viruses and Vaccines. Vaccines. 2021 Sep 17;9(9):1032.
12. Lao González T, Ávalos Olivera I, Rodríguez-Mallon A. Mammalian Cell Culture as a Platform for Veterinary Vaccines. In: Thomas S, editor. Vaccine Design [Internet]. New York, NY: Springer US; 2022 [cited 2025 Feb 7]. p. 37–62. (Methods in Molecular Biology; vol. 2411). Available from: https://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-1-0716-1888-2_2
13. Hasija V, Patial S, Kumar A, Singh P, Ahamad T, Khan AAP, et al. Environmental impact of COVID-19 Vaccine waste: A perspective on potential role of natural and biodegradable materials. J Environ Chem Eng. 2022 Aug;10(4):107894.
14. Islam A, Kalam MdA, Sayeed MdA, Shano S, Rahman MdK, Islam S, et al. Escalating SARS-CoV-2 circulation in environment and tracking waste management in South Asia. Environ Sci Pollut Res. 2021 Nov;28(44):61951–68.
15. Protano C, Valeriani F, Vitale K, Del Prete J, Liguori F, Liguori G, et al. Exposure to Pollutants and Vaccines' Effectiveness: A Systematic Review. Vaccines. 2024 Nov 3;12(11):1252.
16. Phadke R, Dos Santos Costa AC, Dapke K, Ghosh S, Ahmad S, Tsagkaris C, et al. Eco-friendly vaccination: Tackling an unforeseen adverse effect. J Clim Change Health. 2021 Mar;1:100005.
17. Kaushik N, Patel P, Gupta R, Jaiswal A, Negi M, Borkar SB, et al. Eco-friendly materials for next-generation vaccination: From concept to clinical reality. SmartMat. 2024 Oct;5(5):e1274.
18. Kitney RI, Bell J, Philp J. Build a Sustainable Vaccines Industry with Synthetic Biology. Trends Biotechnol. 2021 Sep;39(9):866–74.
19. Kim CL, Agampodi S, Marks F, Kim JH, Excler JL. Mitigating the effects of climate change on human health with vaccines and vaccinations. Front Public Health. 2023 Oct 12;11:1252910.
20. Permana I, Wang F. Performance improvement of a biotechnology vaccine cleanroom for contamination control. J Build Eng. 2024 Apr;82:108248.
21. Kurzweil P, Müller A, Wahler S. The Ecological Footprint of COVID-19 mRNA Vaccines: Estimating Greenhouse Gas Emissions in Germany. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2021 Jul 12;18(14):7425.
22. Rusnack M. COVID Vaccine Transport, Storage, and Distribution: Cold Chain Management to Ensure Efficacy. Innov Pharm. 2021 Oct 6;12(4):5.
23. Chen Y, Zhang X, Ji J, Zhang C. Cold chain transportation energy conservation and emission reduction based on phase change materials under dual-carbon background: A review. J Energy Storage. 2024 May;86:111258.
24. Pathak S, Pandey M, Jain N, Kushwaha SP, Saraf SA. Sustainable Practices and Circular Economy in Pharmaceutical Sciences in India and Abroad. In: Sobti RC, editor. Role of Science and Technology for Sustainable Future [Internet]. Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore; 2024 [cited 2025 Feb 7]. p. 441–57. Available from: https://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-981-97-5177-8_23
25. McCarney S, Robertson J, Arnaud J, Lorenson K, Lloyd J. Using solar-powered refrigeration for vaccine storage where other sources of reliable electricity are inadequate or costly. Vaccine. 2013 Dec;31(51):6050–7.
26. Robinson JM. Vaccine Production: Main Steps and Considerations. In: The Vaccine Book [Internet]. Elsevier; 2016 [cited 2025 Feb 7]. p. 77–96. Available from: https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/B9780128021743000059
27. Gomez PL, Robinson JM. Vaccine Manufacturing. In: Plotkin's Vaccines [Internet]. Elsevier; 2018 [cited 2025 Feb 7]. p. 51-60.e1. Available from: https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/B9780323357616000055
28. Smith J, Lipsitch M, Almond JW. Vaccine production, distribution, access, and uptake. The Lancet. 2011 Jul;378(9789):428–38.
29. Pratap B, Kumar S, Nand S, Azad I, Bharagava RN, Romanholo Ferreira LF, et al. Wastewater generation and treatment by various eco-friendly technologies: Possible health hazards and further reuse for environmental safety. Chemosphere. 2023 Feb;313:137547.
30. Patenaude B, Ballreich J. Estimating & comparing greenhouse gas emissions for existing intramuscular COVID-19 vaccines and a novel thermostable oral vaccine. J Clim Change Health. 2022 May;6:100127.

31. Rappuoli R, Hanon E. Sustainable vaccine development: a vaccine manufacturer's perspective. Curr Opin Immunol. 2018 Aug;53:111–8.
32. Moermond CTA, Puhlmann N, Brown AR, Owen SF, Ryan J, Snape J, et al. GREENER Pharmaceuticals for More Sustainable Healthcare. Environ Sci Technol Lett. 2022 Sep 13;9(9):699–705.
33. Huo J, Peng C. Depletion of natural resources and environmental quality: Prospects of energy use, energy imports, and economic growth hindrances. Resour Policy. 2023 Oct;86:104049.
34. Kumar M, Kumari N, Thakur N, Bhatia SK, Saratale GD, Ghodake G, et al. A Comprehensive Overview on the Production of Vaccines in Plant-Based Expression Systems and the Scope of Plant Biotechnology to Combat against SARS-CoV-2 Virus Pandemics. Plants. 2021 Jun 15;10(6):1213.
35. Hess RD, Weber F, Watson K, Schmitt S. Regulatory, biosafety and safety challenges for novel cells as substrates for human vaccines. Vaccine. 2012 Apr;30(17):2715–27.
36. Cattin M, Jonnalagedda S, Makohliso S, Schönenberger K. The status of refrigeration solutions for last mile vaccine delivery in low-income settings. Vaccine X. 2022 Aug;11:100184.
37. Jalilian H, Amraei M, Javanshir E, Jamebozorgi K, Faraji-Khiavi F. Ethical considerations of the vaccine development process and vaccination: a scoping review. BMC Health Serv Res. 2023 Mar 14;23(1):255.
38. Sahoo S, Rathod W, Vardikar H, Biswal M, Mohanty S, Nayak SK. Biomedical waste plastic: bacteria, disinfection and recycling technologies—a comprehensive review. Int J Environ Sci Technol. 2024 Jan;21(1):1141–58.
39. Hegab H, Shaban I, Jamil M, Khanna N. Toward sustainable future: Strategies, indicators, and challenges for implementing sustainable production systems. Sustain Mater Technol. 2023 Jul;36:e00617.
40. Klemeš JJ, Jiang P, Fan YV, Bokhari A, Wang XC. COVID-19 pandemics Stage II – Energy and environmental impacts of vaccination. Renew Sustain Energy Rev. 2021 Oct;150:111400.
41. Jain A, Sarsaiya S, Gong Q, Wu Q, Shi J. Bioresources from biowaste for advancing manufacturing of packaging materials with modern circular economy towards a sustainable approach. Environ Dev Sustain [Internet]. 2024 Nov 27 [cited 2025 Feb 7]; Available from: https://link.springer.com/10.1007/s10668-024-05734-8
42. Hussain MA, Mishra S, Agrawal Y, Rathore D, Chokshi NP. A comparative review of biodegradable and conventional plastic packaging. Interactions. 2024 Jul 2;245(1):126.
43. Srivastav AL, Bagherian A, Ghosh D. The circular bioeconomy: pathways to sustainability and resource optimization. Clean Technol Environ Policy [Internet]. 2024 Nov 26 [cited 2025 Feb 7]; Available from: https://link.springer.com/10.1007/s10098-024-03060-1