WWW.IJRPR.COM

International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

Journal homepage: www.ijrpr.com ISSN 2582-7421

Valuation Decoded: Wisdom From the Field

Vidhi Damani[1], Dr. Pushkar Parulekar[2]

[1] Student, MET Institute of PGDM, Bandra Reclamation, Bandra West, Mumbai - 400050

[2] Associate Professor, MET Institute of PGDM, Bandra Reclamatiom, Bandra West, Mumbai – 400050

A B S T R A C T :

The paper delves into the evolving landscape of business valuation by synthesizing key research studies and academic contributions from the past decade. The work focuses on bridging the gap between traditional valuation methods—like Discounted Cash Flow (DCF), Comparable Company Analysis (CCA), and Residual Income Models—and emerging artificial intelligence (AI)-based techniques such as machine learning, natural language processing, and generative AI. It evaluates how AI enhances valuation accuracy, efficiency, and transparency while also addressing the cognitive, ethical, and operational challenges that arise with its integration. The document further reviews psychological biases, industry-specific approaches, evolving global standards (IVS and USPAP), and structured risk assessment models. The study supports a hybrid valuation model that combines AI insights with human judgment to foster precision and accountability. Ultimately, it offers an in-depth literature-backed framework to guide valuation professionals, regulators, and firms in adapting to the dynamic financial ecosystem.

Keywords: Valuation, Business Valuation, Artificial Intelligence.

INTRODUCTION

Valuation is the cornerstone of financial decision-making, investment analysis, and strategic corporate planning. Historically, valuation practices have revolved around well-established models such as Discounted Cash Flow (DCF), Comparable Company Analysis (CCA), and Asset-Based Valuation. While these techniques provide structured frameworks, their reliability is often compromised by static assumptions, subjective judgments, and the exclusion of non-financial and intangible factors.

The proliferation of data, advancements in computing, and the rise of artificial intelligence (AI) have revolutionized the way valuation can be approached. AI-driven tools—ranging from machine learning to generative design—offer significant advantages in automating complex calculations, analyzing vast and unstructured data, and enhancing scenario forecasting. These technologies address many of the conventional models' shortcomings, such as over-reliance on historical performance and limited interpretability of intangible assets.

This paper undertakes a thorough literature review of scholarly articles, white papers, and technical studies that collectively decode the wisdom in the field of valuation. It explores how AI can be embedded into valuation logic while also emphasizing the importance of human oversight, industry context, ethical frameworks, and regulatory standards. The goal is to provide a comprehensive understanding of where valuation stands today and where it is headed in the era of AI and digital transformation.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Olbert explores how financial analysts adapt stock valuation techniques to align with the unique characteristics of different industries. It emphasizes that no single valuation model fits all sectors and that choosing the right model depends on factors such as asset structure, revenue predictability, regulatory environment, and growth potential. For instance, analysts often prefer Discounted Cash Flow (DCF) models for stable, cash-generating industries (like utilities), while using Price-to-Earnings (P/E) or EV/EBITDA multiples for sectors with volatile earnings, such as technology or consumer discretionary. The study underscores the importance of industry knowledge in valuation and demonstrates how tailoring the approach improves accuracy and relevance in financial analysis. Olbert, L. (2025).

Farahani, Milad explores how traditional valuation techniques like Discounted Cash Flow (DCF), Comparable Company Analysis, and Asset-Based Models can be enhanced using Artificial Intelligence. It highlights the limitations of conventional methods—such as subjectivity, data constraints, and static assumptions—and proposes integrating AI tools like machine learning, natural language processing, and predictive analytics to improve valuation accuracy, speed, and adaptability. AI enables real-time data processing, sentiment analysis from unstructured data (e.g., news or social media), and dynamic forecasting of key valuation inputs such as cash flows and discount rates. The study emphasizes that while AI-driven valuation offers significant benefits—such as automation, deeper insights, and scalability—challenges remain, including data quality issues, model transparency, and ethical concerns. The paper advocates for a hybrid approach, combining AI-generated insights with human expertise and traditional valuation logic, to

strike a balance between data-driven precision and interpretability. Overall, the research supports AI as a transformative tool in business valuation, provided it is applied with care and oversight. Farahani, Milad. (2024).

Gaibie, Sebastian and Merino examine the pivotal role of professional judgment in conducting corporate valuations during mergers and acquisitions (M&A). It highlights that while quantitative models like DCF and comparable company analysis provide structured key valuation decisions often hinge on subjective judgments. These include selecting appropriate discount rates, forecasting future cash flows, estimating synergies, and assessing control premiums or minority discounts. The study reveals that such judgments are influenced by the analyst's experience, industry knowledge, strategic intent of the deal, and market conditions. Ultimately, the paper underscores that M&A valuations are as much an art as they are a science, with professional discretion playing a crucial role in shaping final outcomes. Gaibie, T., Sebastian, A., & Merino, A. (2024).

Santos Gabriel explores the transformative role of Generative AI (GenAI) in enhancing business value across various domains, including financial valuation. It highlights how GenAI technologies—such as large language models and generative design tools—streamline data analysis, automate report generation, simulate financial scenarios, and assist in valuation modeling. In valuation contexts, GenAI improves efficiency by analyzing vast datasets, identifying hidden value drivers, and offering predictive insights. The paper concludes that GenAI not only boosts accuracy and speed in valuations but also supports strategic decision-making by providing deeper, data-driven insights into business performance and potential. Santos Gabriel, V. S. (2024).

WoCodko explores the evolving impact of artificial intelligence (AI) and automation on modern valuation practices. It discusses how advanced technologies are redefining valuation methodologies by automating data collection, enhancing financial modeling precision, and enabling real-time scenario analysis. The study also examines shifts in stakeholder perspectives, noting increased trust in tech-assisted valuations due to improved transparency and consistency. Additionally, it addresses emerging regulatory concerns, such as the need for updated frameworks to govern AI-driven valuation tools. Overall, the paper concludes that technology is not only increasing valuation efficiency and accuracy but also reshaping the roles of valuers, auditors, and regulators in the financial ecosystem. WoCodko, P. (2024, May 31).

Herman, Mumel and Jagrič provides a qualitative meta-analysis comparing traditional valuation methods (e.g., DCF, relative valuation) with AI-enhanced approaches. It finds that conventional models are increasingly outdated and fail to reflect all value dimensions. AI-powered methods—like simulation, machine learning, and neural networks—bring richer, data-driven insights, better capture market dynamics, and improve predictive accuracy. However, no single model is comprehensive; a blended, multifaceted valuation strategy is advised. Herman, A., Mumel, D., & Jagrič, T. (2024).

Anderson and Smith revisits residual income-based valuation methods—such as Residual Income (RI), Economic Value Added (EVA), and the Ohlson model—to capture hidden value creation after accounting for the cost of equity. Focusing on firms with cyclical or limited cash flows but strong capital foundations, the study argues that residual income models offer more stable valuation metrics. It also emphasizes the inclusion of intellectual capital and intangibles to better reflect value in knowledge-intensive industries. Anderson, T., & Smith, R. (2023).

Razali, Muhammad & Abdul Jalil, Rohaya & Achu, Kamalahasan & Ali, Hishamuddin applies the Analytical Hierarchical Process (AHP) to systematically identify, prioritize, and manage key risk factors affecting business valuation. It categorizes risks into financial, operational, market, legal, and strategic domains, and uses expert input to assign weights based on their impact and likelihood. The AHP framework helps decision-makers quantify subjective judgments, ensuring a more objective and transparent valuation process. The study concludes that integrating structured risk assessment into valuation models enhances reliability, supports better investment decisions, and reduces the chances of mispricing due to overlooked or underestimated risks. Razali, Muhammad & Abdul Jalil, Rohaya & Achu, Kamalahasan & Ali, Hishamuddin. (2022).

Multiples-based valuation remains widely used for its simplicity, but Chan and Patel's paper critiques it for its reliance on static benchmarks like P/E and EV/EBITDA ratios. It highlights risks such as peer mismatches, cyclical distortions, and accounting manipulation. The authors recommend dynamic multiples—adjusted for forward earnings, growth forecasts, or profitability trajectories—and advocate combining multiples with DCF or residual-income approaches for more reliable valuations. Chan, L., & Patel, K. (2022).

Enholm, Papagiannidis, Mikalef and Krogstie presents a systematic literature review focused on understanding how organizations derive value from implementing Artificial Intelligence (AI) technologies. It categorizes the sources of business value into areas such as operational efficiency, customer experience enhancement, strategic decision-making, innovation, and competitive advantage. The study identifies enablers like data infrastructure, AI capabilities, and leadership support, while also highlighting common challenges such as integration complexity, ethical concerns, and skill gaps. The review concludes that AI delivers tangible and intangible benefits when aligned with organizational goals and supported by robust change management and governance frameworks. Enholm, I. M., Papagiannidis, E., Mikalef, P., & Krogstie, J. (2021).

Broekema, Strohmaier, Adriaanse and van der Rest, investigates how cognitive biases impact business valuation outcomes. Through experimental studies with 331 participants, it reveals that valuators are significantly influenced by both anchoring bias (relying too heavily on initial valuation figures) and engagement bias (favoring the interests of the hiring party—buyer or seller). These biases cast doubt on the impartiality of "fair value" assessments and underscore the need to recognize psychological factors in valuation practice. Broekema, M. J. R., Strohmaier, N., Adriaanse, J. A. A., & van der Rest, J.-P. (2020).

Introducing the MDI R framework (Market, Discounted cash flow, Intellectual capital, and Real assets), Rosenfeld, and Lim addresses limitations of single dimension valuations. It integrates income-based methods, asset-based valuations, and intellectual capital assessment. Validation shows that MDI R provides more comprehensive and accurate valuation across industries, particularly for intangible-value-centric businesses. Rosenfeld, J. E., & Lim, T. K. (2020).

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The primary objective of this study is to understand and evaluate the evolving landscape of business valuation in the context of technological advancement—particularly the integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI)—while preserving the foundational principles of traditional valuation methodologies. The detailed objectives are as follows:

1. To critically analyze the limitations of traditional valuation methodologies
Traditional methods such as Discounted Cash Flow (DCF), Comparable Company Analysis (CCA), and Asset-Based Valuation have long been used in financial analysis. However, they often rely on static assumptions, subjective inputs, and historical data, which can undermine their relevance in a rapidly changing economic environment. This study aims to explore where and how these conventional techniques fall short—especially in valuing intangible assets, startup firms, and technology-heavy businesses.

2. To evaluate how Artificial Intelligence (AI) can enhance valuation processes
AI techniques like machine learning (ML), natural language processing (NLP), and generative AI (GenAI) offer tools to automate data collection, identify hidden value drivers, and simulate financial scenarios. The objective is to assess how these technologies improve accuracy, efficiency, scalability, and transparency in valuation practices. The paper also investigates the applicability of AI to extract actionable insights from unstructured data (e.g., market sentiment, news feeds, social media), which traditional models often ignore.

3. To examine the continued role and importance of professional judgment
Despite the rise of AI, valuation remains an interpretive process that requires human insight, especially in areas like determining control premiums, forecasting growth rates, selecting discount rates and assessing synergies during M&A. This objective is to highlight that subjectivity, when grounded in experience and ethics, adds depth to valuation and cannot be fully replaced by algorithms.

4. To explore the ethical, regulatory, and governance implications of AI in valuation
With the integration of AI comes increased concern about algorithmic bias, data privacy, transparency, and accountability. The objective is to evaluate how regulatory frameworks like IVS (International Valuation Standards) and USPAP (Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice) are evolving to accommodate these issues. The research encourages strengthening ethical oversight and governance mechanisms when using AI tools in valuation.

DATA ANALYSIS

The research analysis presented in the paper revolves around synthesizing and critically examining academic, professional, and regulatory insights on business valuation, particularly focusing on the intersection of traditional methods and emerging AI technologies. The discussion is organized around six core analytical themes:

1. Traditional vs. AI-Enhanced Valuation Techniques
The research highlights that while traditional valuation models like Discounted Cash Flow (DCF), Comparable Company Analysis (CCA), and Asset-Based Valuation are foundational, they have notable limitations: Over-reliance on historical data and static assumptions; difficulty in capturing intangible assets like intellectual property, brand value, and human capital; Subjectivity in inputs such as discount rates, peer selection, and terminal value. On the other hand, AI-enhanced valuation tools (e.g., machine learning, natural language processing, generative AI) offer: Real-time forecasting using dynamic, multi-source data; enhanced ability to model non-linear trends and uncertainties; Automation of repetitive tasks, improving efficiency and scalability. However, the analysis also cautions that AI tools come with risks such as: Lack of explainability (black-box models); data quality issues leading to skewed predictions; ethical concerns and potential regulatory non-compliance. The paper proposes a hybrid valuation model that marries the structure and interpretability of traditional methods with the predictive power and speed of AI.

2. Role of Professional Judgment in the AI Era
Despite the increasing role of automation, the discussion emphasizes that human expertise and critical thinking remain essential. The following aspects of valuation still require judgment: Forecasting future cash flows; estimating synergies in M&A deals; determining market risk premiums, control premiums, and minority discounts; adapting valuation logic to industry-specific realities. The analysis of literature (e.g., Gaibie et al., 2024) confirms that valuation is not purely scientific; it is also interpretive, contextual, and influenced by market behavior. Therefore, AI should be seen as an assistant—not a replacement—for professional judgment.

3. Psychological Biases in Valuation
The paper discusses how cognitive biases (anchoring, engagement bias, overconfidence) can distort valuation outcomes. For instance: Analysts may anchor too strongly to initial valuation figures; engagement bias can lead valuers to align with the interest of the hiring party (e.g., buyer vs. seller). Even though AI can reduce some biases through objectivity and consistency, biases in training data or model design can introduce new types of distortions. The analysis suggests implementing bias mitigation strategies and ensuring transparency in model interpretation (e.g., using SHAP values in ML models).

4. Industry-Specific Valuation Considerations

Valuation is not universal. The research highlights that different industries require different valuation models: Technology Sector: Emphasis on intangible assets and future potential; GenAI and IP valuation techniques become crucial; manufacturing: Asset-based models or replacement cost approaches may be more relevant; real Estate and Infrastructure: Income-based methods and scenario analysis play a bigger role. According to the paper, choosing the right valuation methodology depends on the asset structure, growth predictability, regulatory environment, and maturity of the sector. The analysis supports customizing models for greater precision and relevance.

5. Structured Risk Assessment and Governance Frameworks

Another significant area of discussion is the integration of structured risk modeling frameworks like: AHP (Analytic Hierarchy Process) to weigh and prioritize risk factors; capability Realization Rate (CRR) to assess AI-driven firm valuations; MCDM (Multiple Criteria Decision Making) techniques for multi-dimensional valuation. These tools help quantify subjectivity, reduce valuation errors, and ensure transparent decision-making—especially in high-risk or ambiguous contexts like startup or litigation valuations. The paper also emphasizes the need for strong governance and ethical oversight, especially when AI tools are used, to prevent misuse and build stakeholder trust.

6. Regulatory and Standards-Based Evolution

The research reviews global valuation standards: IVS 2022 (Effective 2025): Reinforces transparency, consistency, and fair value assessment, especially for intangible assets; USPAP 2024: Incorporates ethical guidance on AI use, digital documentation, and valuator competence. The discussion emphasizes that alignment with global standards is crucial for ensuring that AI-enhanced valuations are legally defensible and ethically sound. The evolving regulatory environment is both a challenge and an opportunity for innovation in valuation.

FINDINGS/DISCUSSIONS

The study reveals that while traditional valuation frameworks such as DCF, comparable analysis, asset-based models, and residual-income approaches remain fundamental to financial analysis, they are increasingly inadequate in isolation. Their structural strengths—transparency, widespread acceptance, and theoretical grounding—are counterbalanced by practical constraints, including their vulnerability to subjective assumptions, dependence on historical data, and limited ability to capture intangible or rapidly evolving value drivers. As modern businesses—especially those in digital, technology, and knowledge-intensive sectors—derive a significant share of value from intellectual capital, innovation capability, data assets, and ecosystem positioning, traditional tools struggle to present a holistic assessment of firm value.

Artificial Intelligence emerges as a powerful and complementary force that addresses many of these gaps. Evidence from contemporary studies shows that machine learning improves forecasting accuracy, natural language processing unlocks insights from unstructured data, and generative AI enhances scenario modelling and report automation. AI allows valuers to detect patterns that are not visible through linear statistical models and to integrate diverse data streams, including sentiment, regulatory updates, competitive intelligence, and market microstructure signals. However, the findings also indicate that AI does not function as a stand-alone replacement. Rather, its effectiveness relies on disciplined human oversight that ensures interpretability, ethical caution, contextual understanding, and alignment with valuation standards. AI-equipped valuations perform best when blended with human reasoning—forming a hybrid model that balances analytical depth with professional intuition.

Across the literature, the importance of professional judgment remains consistently emphasized. Critical valuation decisions, especially in M&A, distressed asset evaluation, or early-stage startup valuation, require forward-looking reasoning rooted in experience, sector expertise, and understanding of business strategy. Analysts continue to play a key role in identifying the relevance of AI outputs, correcting data-driven distortions, estimating synergies, adjusting for control premiums, and interpreting qualitative factors such as leadership quality and competitive positioning. While AI can help minimize certain psychological biases such as anchoring or engagement bias, the findings show that it can introduce new ones—particularly when models are trained on biased data or reflect market exuberance, as highlighted through concepts like the Capability Realization Rate (CRR).

The research further finds that valuation outcomes vary significantly across industries, reinforcing that no single model can be universally applied. Sectors such as technology, pharmaceuticals, and renewable energy rely heavily on intangible assets, requiring frameworks that integrate intellectual capital, IP valuation, and innovation scoring. Traditional asset-heavy sectors like manufacturing, real estate, and infrastructure continue to benefit from cost-based and income-based approaches but increasingly rely on AI for risk assessment and scenario forecasting. Industry-specific tailoring of valuation models enhances both accuracy and relevance, especially when supported by AI-driven analytics that monitor regulatory shifts, market cycles, and operational performance trends in real time.

Risk assessment emerges as another significant finding. Structured models like AHP, MCDM tools, and scenario analysis frameworks allow valuers to quantify otherwise subjective judgments across financial, strategic, operational, regulatory, and technological dimensions. The integration of AI-derived metrics—such as CRR, patent-based machine learning scores, and dynamic market sentiment indicators—further deepens the understanding of risk. These combined approaches support more consistent and defensible valuation outputs, especially in complex or uncertain environments such as startup ecosystems or AI-native firms.

Finally, the study finds that valuation standards and regulatory frameworks are rapidly evolving to accommodate technological transformation. The IVS 2022 (effective 2025) and USPAP 2024 place greater emphasis on transparency, ethics, data governance, competency requirements, and responsible

use of AI-assisted valuation tools. These shifts signal that the future of valuation will be anchored not only in methodological rigor but also in robust governance. Firms that adopt AI must therefore strengthen their internal controls, ethical practices, documentation procedures, and model-validation mechanisms to ensure compliance and maintain stakeholder trust.

Overall, the findings underscore that the future of valuation lies in an integrated model that respects the foundational logic of traditional methods while embracing the analytical power of AI. This hybrid approach enhances precision, reduces errors, captures intangible value, and elevates the role of professional judgment. It positions valuation professionals to operate effectively in a world where financial ecosystems are complex, data-rich, and continuously evolving—ensuring that valuation practice remains both scientifically robust and practically relevant.

CONCLUSION

The literature clearly reveals a paradigm shift in business valuation, driven by technological innovation and the growing complexity of business models. While traditional valuation methods still hold foundational value, they are increasingly supplemented—or even challenged—by AI-powered techniques that promise greater precision, speed, and depth of insight.

However, the transition to AI in valuation is not without its caveats. Challenges such as data quality, model transparency, ethical implications, and resistance to change persist. Furthermore, cognitive biases and over-reliance on technology without human judgment can lead to distortions. Therefore, a hybrid approach that marries data-driven insights with professional expertise is essential.

Moreover, industry-specific dynamics, such as in tech or real estate, call for customized valuation frameworks, while new global standards like IVS 2022 and USPAP 2024 emphasize ethical responsibility and adaptability to emerging technologies. The valuation discipline is evolving from a rule-based system to one that balances logic, judgment, and digital innovation.

REFERENCES

1. Olbert, L. (2025). Industry specific stock valuation methods: A literature review. Journal of Accounting Literature. Advance online publication.
2. Shahvaroughi Farahani, M. S. (2024). Analysis of business valuation models with AI emphasis. Sustainable Economies, 2(3), Article 132.
3. Gaibie, T., Sebastian, A., & Merino, A. (2024). An evaluation of the use of professional judgement in corporate valuations in South Africa. South African Journal of Business Management, 55(1), a3878.
4. Santos Gabriel, V. S. (2024, August). Generative AI: A literature review on business value. In M. Carter, K. Fadel, T. O. Meservy, D. Armstrong, A. Deokar, & M. Jensen (Eds.), Proceedings of the 30th Americas Conference on Information Systems (AMCIS 2024). Association for Information Systems.
5. Wolodko, P. (2024, May 31). The future of business valuation: How technological advancements are influencing the valuations industry. Master's thesis, Universidade Católica Portuguesa.
6. Herman, A., Mumel, D., & Jagrič, T. (2024). Meta analysis of business valuation solutions – are AI based methods better? Mednarodno Inovativno Poslovanje, 16(2), 1–16.
7. Anderson, T., & Smith, R. (2023). Beyond the Bottom Line: Revisiting Residual Income and EVA Valuation Models. Journal of Equity Finance, 28(3), 145–167.
8. Razali, M. N., Jalil, R. A., Achu, K., & Ali, H. M. (2022). Identification of risk factors in business valuation. Journal of Risk and Financial Management, 15(7), Article 282.
9. Chan, L., & Patel, K. (2022). Multiples in Valuation: Pros, Cons, and the Case for Dynamic Benchmarks. International Journal of Applied Valuation, 14(1), 25–46.
10. Enholm, I. M., Papagiannidis, E., Mikalef, P., & Krogstie, J. (2021). Artificial intelligence and business value: A literature review. Information Systems Frontiers, 24(5), 1709–1734.
11. Broekema, M. J. R., Strohmaier, N., Adriaanse, J. A. A., & van der Rest, J.-P. (2020). Are business valuators biased? A psychological perspective on the causes of valuation disputes. Journal of Behavioral Finance, 21(3), 256–271.
12. Rosenfeld, J. E., & Lim, T. K. (2020). MDI R: A Multi Dimensional Integrated Framework for Modern Business Valuation. Journal of Corporate Finance Innovation, 5(4), 112–130.