



International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

Journal homepage: www.ijrpr.com ISSN 2582-7421

Integration of Product Costing and Bid Pricing in Government Tenders

Gaurav Budhia¹, CA Prof. Seema Korgaonkar²

^{1,2}MET Institute of PGDM, Bhujbal Knowledge City, Bandra Reclamation, Bandra West, Mumbai 400050

ABSTRACT

In the highly competitive landscape of public procurement, the alignment of accurate product costing with strategic bid pricing is not only critical for securing government tenders but also essential for maintaining sustainable profitability. This research paper examines the integration of cost accounting practices and pricing strategies in the context of government tenders, with specific reference to manufacturing companies operating in the MSME sector. The study draws on practical insights gained through industry experience within a manufacturing organization engaged in the production of HDPE/PP woven sacks, a commonly procured item by various government agencies for the packaging of food grains, fertilizers, and industrial materials.

The research explores how detailed costing procedures covering raw material estimation, labour wages, overhead allocation, logistics planning, and margin setting are translated into final bid quotations submitted through e-tendering platforms such as GeM and CPPP. It investigates the critical factors affecting pricing decisions, including fluctuating polymer prices, transport cost variances, statutory compliance requirements such as GST and minimum wages, and the pressure of the L1 or lowest bidder criteria. The study also identifies the operational challenges faced by MSMEs in aligning dynamic cost structures with rigid and time-bound bidding schedules.

Through qualitative analysis, case-based observation, and document review, the study reveals that even small deviations in cost estimation can significantly affect bid outcomes. It highlights the importance of real-time data updates, standardization of costing formats, internal departmental coordination, and post-tender analysis. The findings suggest that organizations that establish transparent, adaptive, and well-integrated costing and pricing systems are more likely to secure tenders while maintaining financial stability.

This research contributes to the broader discourse on public sector procurement efficiency, MSME competitiveness, and costing best practices. It also offers practical recommendations for organizations aiming to enhance their success rate in government contracting. By bridging the gap between theoretical cost accounting and practical tender pricing, the study presents a comprehensive framework for improving bid precision, regulatory compliance, and long-term profitability.

Keywords: Product Costing, Bid Pricing, Government Tenders, Cost Sheet, Procurement, HDPE/PP Bags, Tender Evaluation, Cost Control

1. Introduction

In the modern industrial and procurement landscape, government tenders represent a significant opportunity for manufacturing and service-oriented organizations to secure large-scale contracts. These tenders, however, are highly competitive and governed by strict regulations, making accurate cost estimation and bid pricing a crucial determinant of success. The process of preparing a bid involves not only quoting a competitive price but also ensuring that the quoted price adequately covers all costs and maintains reasonable profitability. A comprehensive understanding of product costing, including direct costs, indirect costs, overheads, and margins, is essential for formulating a sustainable pricing strategy capable of winning tenders without compromising financial viability.

The topic "Integration of Product Costing and Bid Pricing in Government Tenders" is particularly relevant in the Indian industrial context, where central and state government agencies procure various products through online e-tendering platforms such as the Government e-Marketplace (GeM) and the Central Public Procurement Portal (CPPP). With increasing digitization and transparency in procurement processes, organizations are expected to submit cost-justified bids with detailed cost breakdowns. The absence of accurate costing can lead to overpricing, resulting in the loss of tenders, or underpricing, which may cause financial strain during contract execution.

This research paper investigates the methodologies and practices used to integrate costing with tender pricing strategies, drawing upon real-time data and insights gathered from a manufacturing organization engaged in the production of HDPE/PP woven sacks and bags primarily supplied to government agencies. During the summer internship with this organization, hands-on experience was gained in costing procedures, tender preparation, price forecasting, and margin analysis, all of which contributed significantly to the formulation and practical grounding of this research.

The idea for selecting this topic emerged from the challenges observed during tender preparation, where even a small variance in raw material costs or an incorrect margin calculation could significantly alter a bid's competitiveness. Furthermore, a review of previous tender outcomes, prevailing industry practices, and government procurement norms revealed a clear need for stronger integration between costing functions and tender management processes.

To better understand the significance of this integration, several research questions were explored:

- How do costing methods influence bid pricing decisions?
- What practical challenges do MSMEs face in pricing tenders competitively?
- What strategies can organizations adopt to ensure that cost-based bids are both compliant and competitive?

This paper seeks to bridge the academic principles of cost accounting with the practical realities of government tendering. It aims to provide insights and recommendations that can assist organizations, particularly MSMEs, in optimizing their bid strategies through robust and systematic costing mechanisms.

1. Objectives

The primary objective of this research is to explore how accurate and systematic product costing practices influence bid pricing decisions in government tenders. It aims to analyze the relationship between cost calculation methods and their practical integration into tender quotation processes, especially within the context of Indian manufacturing organizations engaged in public procurement.

This study is designed with the following specific objectives:

1. To understand the role of product costing in determining bid prices for government tenders, especially in sectors such as packaging and industrial supply.
2. To evaluate the current practices of cost sheet preparation and price calculation in an industrial setting, using real-time insights from a manufacturing organization operating in the MSME sector.
3. To identify the challenges and limitations faced by organizations, especially MSMEs, in integrating cost components into competitive bid submissions.
4. To examine the factors influencing bid pricing decisions, including raw material fluctuations, transportation costs, compliance requirements, and profit margins.

To bridge the gap between academic costing concepts and practical tender pricing applications, thereby contributing to more informed decision-making in industrial procurement strategies.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

The integration of product costing and bid pricing in government tenders has emerged as a critical determinant of organizational success, particularly in sectors where competition, transparency, and cost-efficiency govern contract allocation. The following review synthesizes the existing body of literature on costing methodologies, pricing strategies, and their intersection in the public procurement framework, with a focus on the Indian context.

1. Theoretical Foundations of Cost Accounting in Pricing Decisions

Horn gren, Datar, and Rajan (2015), in their seminal work *Cost Accounting: A Managerial Emphasis*, emphasize that costing systems serve as the backbone of managerial decision-making, especially in price determination for tenders. Their study illustrates how activity-based costing (ABC) and marginal costing techniques help firms identify accurate cost drivers, enabling a more scientific approach to bid preparation. They argue that without integrating cost structures into pricing strategies, organizations risk either overpricing (and losing bids) or underpricing (and eroding profitability). The text provides the theoretical foundation that underpins modern approaches to cost-based pricing decisions.

2. Cost Estimation Techniques in Public Procurement

Singh and Gupta (2018) analyze cost estimation models in public procurement, underscoring their significance in achieving competitive yet profitable pricing. Their research in the *Indian Journal of Public Sector Economics* identifies common costing techniques such as job-order costing, process costing, and standard costing used in government tenders. They found that firms leveraging data-driven cost estimation achieved greater bid success rates compared to those relying on heuristic or experience-based pricing. This study highlights the importance of systematic cost analysis in the context of transparent government bidding systems, where accurate cost visibility is essential for compliance and competitiveness.

3. Competitive Pricing Strategies in MSMEs

Kumar and Reddy (2020) examine the pricing dynamics within Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs) in India's public procurement space. Published in the *International Journal of Business Strategy and Development*, their study reveals that MSMEs often face challenges in aligning product costing with bid prices due to limited access to advanced costing tools and market intelligence. The research identifies that successful tendering outcomes depend on strategic cost control, lean production, and the use of value-based pricing models. Their work bridges the gap between costing efficiency and strategic pricing, emphasizing that MSMEs that integrate both dimensions outperform those relying solely on price undercutting (L1 bidding).

4. Integration Challenges Between Costing and Pricing

Sharma (2019), in the *Journal of Finance and Operations Management*, focuses on the operational and organizational challenges that hinder seamless integration between costing and pricing functions in Indian SMEs. The study reveals issues such as inadequate cost data, poor inter-departmental communication, and lack of real-time cost monitoring tools. Sharma argues that the disconnect between costing and pricing often leads to suboptimal bids that either fail to win contracts or compromise profit margins. The paper proposes that digital costing systems and ERP-based integration can improve pricing precision and overall competitiveness in tenders.

5. Evaluation of L1 Criteria in Government Tenders

Rao and Mehta (2017) explore the L1 criterion (lowest bidder selection method) used in government tenders, questioning its effectiveness in balancing transparency, quality, and sustainability. Their study, published in the *Journal of Public Procurement and Policy Studies*, argues that while the L1 system promotes transparency, it may discourage long-term cost optimization and innovation. They advocate for a "Most Economically Advantageous Tender" (MEAT) approach, which integrates both cost and quality considerations. Their findings indicate that firms that focus on **strategic cost management** rather than simply minimizing prices tend to sustain better financial performance and customer satisfaction over time.

6. Cost Optimization in the Woven Sack Manufacturing Industry

Roy and Banerjee (2021) contribute a sector-specific analysis in the *Asian Journal of Industrial Research*, focusing on cost optimization and pricing models in the woven sack manufacturing industry. Their study is particularly relevant for manufacturing sectors involved in government supply contracts, such as packaging and polymers. The authors demonstrate how implementing process costing, waste reduction techniques, and continuous cost audits can enhance profitability without compromising bid competitiveness. Their research validates that accurate product costing is the foundation for rational bid pricing, particularly in industries with fluctuating input costs and narrow profit margins.

2. Methodology and Database Used

This research employs a qualitative case study approach, supported by descriptive analysis of secondary data collected from actual tender processes and internal costing practices within a manufacturing organization operating in the MSME sector. The study focuses on understanding how the integration of costing elements is carried out in real-time bid pricing for government tenders, particularly those related to packaging materials such as HDPE/PP woven sacks and bags.

A) Research Approach

The methodology adopted for this study is primarily exploratory and descriptive in nature, aimed at investigating existing practices and challenges in aligning product costing with bid pricing. The research does not involve hypothesis testing but instead relies on observational and interpretive methods derived from real-world industry experiences.

B) Data Collection Methods

- Secondary Data:

The majority of the data used in this research is secondary in nature. It includes:

- Tender documents from government procurement portals such as GeM and CPPP
- Previous bid submissions and comparative bid sheets
- Internal cost sheets prepared by the organization
- Material and transport rate quotations obtained from suppliers
- Price revision circulars for raw materials such as polymer price lists
- Government notifications related to minimum wages, GST rates, and compliance costs

- Experience-Based Observations:

The study draws extensively on the researcher's professional experience within a manufacturing organization operating in the MSME sector, during which direct exposure was gained to:

- Product cost sheet preparation
- Margin setting and price quotation strategy
- Data verification for tender document submission
- Handling price escalations resulting from raw material cost fluctuations

- Government Tender Analysis:

Specific government tenders were selected for detailed analysis, particularly those issued by departments procuring woven sacks for food grain packaging and fertilizer distribution. Evaluation criteria, bid pricing trends, and award patterns were examined to derive meaningful insights into successful bidding strategies.

c. Scope and Limitations

- The research is limited to the packaging industry, with a specific focus on PP/HDPE woven fabric-based products supplied to government agencies.
- The study adopts a qualitative research approach; therefore, the findings may not be fully generalizable across all industries or tendering practices.
- The absence of primary survey data limits the scope for statistical analysis; however, the depth of observation and document-based analysis provides sufficient qualitative insight.

d. Ethical Considerations

All organization-specific financial data and proprietary costing information have been anonymized and incorporated only with due authorization. No tender-specific confidential information has been disclosed, and all government-related data utilized in this research is sourced from publicly available domains.

3. Research Analysis / Research Discussion

The research was conducted with a focus on analyzing how product costing is practically integrated into bid pricing for government tenders. The discussion is based on detailed observations, cost sheet analysis, and multiple government tender case evaluations carried out within a manufacturing organization operating in the MSME sector. The following key areas were examined to derive meaningful insights:

A. Cost Components Considered in Tender Pricing

Each tender prepared by the organization followed a structured approach to cost estimation. The primary cost components included:

1. **Raw Material Costs:**
HDPE/PP granules constituted the major portion of material costs. Rates were obtained from supplier quotations and polymer price index circulars. Price volatility in the polymer market created a need for real-time rate assessment to avoid under-pricing.
2. **Labour and Wages:**
Labour cost estimates covered both skilled and unskilled categories, based on prevailing minimum wage notifications applicable in Dadra & Nagar Haveli. Increases in statutory wage rates had a direct impact on the overall cost structure.
3. **Overhead Expenses:**
Overheads such as power, maintenance, insurance, factory rent, and machine depreciation were apportioned to the cost sheet according to standard costing procedures.
4. **Transportation and Logistics:**
Freight costs were incorporated as per delivery terms specified in the tender. Frequently, an FOR (Free on Road) basis was required, increasing the logistics burden, particularly for remote or interstate deliveries.
5. **GST and Taxation:**
The applicable Goods and Services Tax (GST) was included in the total cost to ensure legal compliance and full cost recovery. It was treated as a pass-through element.
6. **Profit Margin and Cushion for Risk:**
A profit margin, typically ranging between 10–15%, was added based on market competitiveness and internal guidelines. During volatile periods, an additional pricing cushion was introduced to mitigate the risk of raw material price fluctuations during execution.

B. Integration of Costing with Pricing Strategy

After finalizing the cost sheet, the tender pricing strategy involved a comparative review of previous bids, recent tender award prices, and expected L1 (lowest) price range.

- **Historical Bid Comparison:**
The organization maintained a database of previously submitted tenders and corresponding awarded prices. This database served as a benchmark for estimating the expected L1 price range and improving bid accuracy.

- Sensitivity Analysis:

Variations in polymer prices were simulated to assess their impact on profitability. For example, a ₹2/kg increase in raw material cost could influence the total bid value by over ₹50,000 in high-volume tenders.

- Custom vs Standard Product Pricing:

In instances where tender specifications deviated from standard product sizes or weights, customized costing was prepared. Although this added complexity to the process, it was essential to prevent potential losses arising from inaccurate cost estimation.

C. Observations from Live Tender Cases

During the course of the research, several live government tenders were analyzed, leading to the following key observations:

- In one tender, an overestimation of transportation costs resulted in quoting a price ₹0.80 per unit higher than the L1 bidder, ultimately leading to the loss of the contract.
- In another case, delays in cost sheet preparation caused by last-minute updates in raw material prices led to rushed pricing decisions and adversely affected margin planning.
- Tenders with a price validity period of 90 days introduced pricing risks in the volatile polymer market, emphasizing the importance of predictive cost modelling and regular rate monitoring.

D. Challenges Identified

1. **Coordination Gaps:** Delays between cost sheet preparation and bid submission often affected the accuracy of pricing and decision-making.
2. **Unpredictable Market Conditions:** Sudden fluctuations in raw material prices made it challenging to maintain accurate and consistent costing.
3. **Tender Format Complexity:** Variations in requirements across different government departments increased documentation time and added complexity to cost allocation.
4. **Margin Fixation Difficulty:** Striking a balance between maintaining competitiveness and ensuring profitability remained a persistent operational challenge.

E. Practical Outcomes of Integration

- The organization refined its costing templates to enable automatic updates with the latest raw material rates.
- Predefined margin brackets were introduced for different product categories based on the level of market competition.
- Coordination among the costing, procurement, and tender teams improved through the implementation of checklists and standard operating procedures (SOPs).

The analysis clearly indicates that accurate, dynamic, and responsive costing mechanisms are essential for successful bid pricing in government tenders. Integration is not merely a technical process but also an operational one, involving real-time communication, market awareness, and strategic decision-making.

4. Results or Findings

Based on in-depth observation, data collection, and practical analysis conducted during the research within a manufacturing organization operating in the MSME sector, the following key findings have emerged regarding the integration of product costing with bid pricing in the context of government tenders:

1. Costing Accuracy Determines Competitiveness

- Bids prepared using updated and well-structured cost sheets had a significantly higher probability of remaining within the competitive L1–L5 price range.
- Even minor miscalculations, such as outdated raw material rates or incorrect transport cost estimates, resulted in pricing discrepancies that affected the success rate.

2. Material Cost Fluctuation is the Most Influential Factor

- HDPE/PP raw material prices fluctuated frequently (weekly or fortnightly), and failure to reflect these variations in costing often distorted the actual break-even point.
- A price difference of even ₹1–2 per kilogram in base polymer rates could result in substantial pricing errors. For example, in a high-volume tender for one crore bags weighing 75 grams each, a ₹2/kg variation could lead to a per-bag cost difference of ₹0.15, translating to a potential loss of ₹15 lakh.

3. Margin Setting is a Balancing Act

- Bidders applying excessive margins often lost tenders, while those quoting too low faced execution challenges due to escalating costs.
- Successful bids generally adopted flexible margin slabs (8–12%) based on past award trends, product specifications, and risk exposure.

4. Historical Tender Data Enhances Strategic Pricing

- The use of internal records and previous bid comparisons helped forecast expected L1 ranges.
- This practice allowed for better alignment of cost-based pricing with anticipated competitive levels.

5. Transport Costing and Delivery Terms Impact Pricing

- Tenders requiring FOR (Free on Road) or pan-India delivery imposed additional cost pressures, making accurate freight estimation essential.
- Overestimation of logistics costs caused the organization to miss out on at least two major contracts during the research period.

6. Government Tender Structures Often Lack Uniformity

- Variations in tender formats, documentation requirements, and technical specifications across departments posed difficulties in standardizing costing templates.
- Each tender required a customized costing structure, leading to additional time and manual effort.

7. Close Integration Between Departments is Crucial

- Effective communication between costing, procurement, production, and tender teams enabled faster bid preparation and improved pricing accuracy.
- Delays or siloed operations often resulted in outdated inputs being used in cost sheets, impacting bid precision.

8. Internally Developed Costing Tools Proved Beneficial

- The organization's internally developed Excel-based costing templates improved standardization and minimized manual calculation errors.
- The introduction of automatic price updates for major inputs such as polymer and labour rates significantly enhanced accuracy.

9. Pricing Risk Exists in Long Validity Tenders

- Tenders with a validity period of 90 to 120 days carried inherent risk in a volatile raw material market.
- Without incorporating a price fluctuation clause or risk buffer, these tenders could lead to financial losses if awarded during upward price trends.

10. Experience and Historical Learning Improve Bid Success

- The organization's bid success rate improved over time due to cumulative learning, market trend analysis, and structured post-tender evaluation of unsuccessful bids.

These findings underscore that bid pricing is not merely an administrative formality but a strategic function deeply interconnected with costing practices. When costing and tender pricing are closely aligned and continuously refined, organizations significantly enhance their probability of winning government tenders while maintaining healthy profit margins.

5. Recommendations / Suggestions

Based on the findings and practical insights obtained during the research and analysis conducted within a manufacturing organization operating in the MSME sector, the following recommendations are proposed to enhance the integration of product costing with bid pricing in government tenders:

1. Maintain Real-Time Cost Databases

- Organizations should maintain regularly updated databases for raw material prices, transport rates, and labour costs.
- Weekly monitoring of input price changes (for example, HDPE/PP granules) can help prevent under- or over-quoting during tender submission.

2. Standardize Cost Sheet Templates

- Implement uniform cost sheet formats across departments, including predefined columns for material, labour, overhead, transport, GST, and margin.
- Incorporating drop-down selections and automatic calculation formulas can significantly reduce manual errors and ensure consistency.

3. Introduce Margin Flexibility Based on Market Signals

- Avoid rigid, fixed-margin pricing. Instead, adopt a flexible margin strategy (e.g., 8–12%) based on tender history, competition intensity, and risk exposure.
- Margins should also take into account potential post-bid cost escalations during tender execution.

4. Improve Interdepartmental Coordination

- Establish a well-defined workflow between costing, procurement, and tender departments to ensure efficient information sharing and timely cost estimation.
- Implement a standardized internal checklist prior to final bid submission to improve accuracy and procedural efficiency.

5. Develop Tender Benchmarking Tools

- Maintain an internal archive of past tender outcomes, including bid rankings, award prices, and loss analysis.
- Utilize this historical data to estimate expected L1 price ranges and strategically position new bids for higher competitiveness.

6. Factor in Tender Specific Risks

- For tenders with longer price validity periods (60–90 days), incorporate potential price fluctuation risks when determining quoted prices.
- Include provisions such as price variation clauses wherever permitted by the tendering authority.

7. Enhance Training and Awareness

- Conduct regular workshops for staff on cost structure updates, GST regulations, and compliance requirements to improve pricing precision.
- Ensure costing and tender teams are consistently updated on circulars regarding minimum wages, freight norms, and government procurement policies.

8. Conduct Post-Tender Review Analysis

- After each tender submission, perform a review comparing the quoted price with the awarded L1 price.
- Analyze the reasons for success or failure and refine internal costing and pricing methodologies accordingly.

9. Leverage Supplier Networks for Competitive Inputs

- Build long-term relationships with raw material and logistics suppliers to secure competitive rates and reliable price forecasts.
- Engage suppliers during pre-bid stages to obtain insights into upcoming price trends and market movements.

These recommendations aim to strengthen not only the accuracy of product costing but also the strategic mindset required to succeed in government tenders. An organization that integrates these practices can achieve improved bid success rates, sustainable profitability, and enhanced operational efficiency.

6. Conclusions

The integration of product costing and bid pricing is a critical success factor in the competitive landscape of government tenders. This research, based on practical exposure within a manufacturing organization operating in the MSME sector, reveals that effective costing directly influences the outcome of tender bids. A well-structured costing system ensures that all cost elements such as raw materials, labour, overheads, logistics, taxes, and margins are accurately captured, enabling the determination of a bid price that is both competitive and financially sustainable.

The study highlights that raw material price volatility, particularly in HDPE/PP, along with freight cost estimation and statutory compliance requirements, are among the most sensitive factors affecting bid accuracy. It also emphasizes that coordination between departments, the use of historical bid data, and post-tender analysis are essential for continuously refining pricing strategies.

It is evident that many MSMEs, despite possessing quality products and robust manufacturing capabilities, often fail to secure tenders due to inaccurate cost estimation or the absence of a coherent pricing strategy. This research therefore underscores the importance of costing literacy, process standardization, and adaptive pricing mechanisms in the tendering process.

By aligning costing and pricing practices, organizations can not only improve their chances of winning government tenders but also ensure that awarded contracts are executed profitably. In the long term, such integration fosters organizational resilience, pricing confidence, and strategic competence within the public procurement ecosystem.

Thus, the research concludes that the bridge between costing and pricing must be built on the pillars of transparency, accuracy, and strategic alignment, ensuring that every bid represents a financially sound and market-aware proposition.

References

- Government e-Marketplace (GeM). (n.d.). *Government e-Marketplace official portal*.
- Central Public Procurement Portal (CPPP). (n.d.). *Central Public Procurement Portal official website*.
- Ministry of Micro, Small & Medium Enterprises. (2023). *Annual report 2022–23*. Government of India.
- Manufacturing organization operating in the MSME sector. (2024). *Internal tender costing templates and standard operating procedures* [Unpublished internal document].
- Horngren, C. T., Datar, S. M., & Rajan, M. V. (2015). *Cost accounting: A managerial emphasis* (15th ed.). Pearson Education.
- Kumar, V., & Reddy, M. (2020). Competitive pricing strategies in MSMEs: A study of tender success in Indian government contracts. *International Journal of Business Strategy and Development*, 8(1), 23–30.
- Rao, S., & Mehta, V. (2017). Evaluating L1 criteria in government tenders: Transparency vs. sustainability. *Journal of Public Procurement and Policy Studies*, 5(3), 34–42.
- Roy, A., & Banerjee, P. (2021). Cost optimization and pricing models in the woven sack manufacturing industry. *Asian Journal of Industrial Research*, 7(2), 70–82.
- Sharma, K. (2019). Integration challenges between costing and pricing in Indian SMEs. *Journal of Finance and Operations Management*, 6(4), 55–64.
- Singh, A., & Gupta, R. (2018). Cost estimation techniques for effective pricing in public procurement. *Indian Journal of Public Sector Economics*, 12(2), 45–56.