



The Clinical Translation of Genomic Medicine for Paediatric Inborn Errors of Metabolism: Bridging the Gap

Edwin Dias^{1,2}, Joseline Dias^{3*}

¹HOD and Professor, Department of Paediatrics, Srinivas Hospital of Medical Sciences & Research Centre, Mangalore, Karnataka

²Adjunct Professor, Srinivas University, Director of Research and Publications, India.

³Department of Pharmacy Practice, Srinivas College of Pharmacy, Valachil, Mangalore, Karnataka.

ABSTRACT:

Genomic medicine has transformed the strategies for Inborn Errors of Metabolism (IEMs) in pediatric patients, shifting conventional management paradigms towards the realistic prospect of definitive, often curative treatments, rather than mere symptomatic relief. This review enhances comprehension of the clinical utilization of genomic technologies, emphasizing adeno-associated viral (AAV) gene addition techniques and emerging genome-editing technologies, while investigating their capacity to address the complex multisystemic characteristics of inborn errors of metabolism (IEMs). In preliminary studies, AAV-mediated liver gene transfer demonstrated prolonged enzymatic recovery and clinically significant outcomes, while cross-correction mechanisms facilitated enzyme restoration in essential organs, such as the central nervous system, in lysosomal storage disorders. The concurrent progress in CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing presents the potential for enduring rectification of deleterious mutations. Even with these advancements, the integration into pediatric treatment remains hindered by restricted diagnostic availability, financial obstacles to accessing advanced therapies, and infrastructural limitations in various regions. Furthermore, the management of findings, the extent of parental authority in decision-making, and the safeguarding of the child's future autonomy pose ethical dilemmas, especially with the increasing utilization of comprehensive genomic sequencing, particularly when medications indicate irreversible genomic alterations. The promise of genomic medicine for pediatric inborn errors of metabolism (IEMs) depends on the simultaneous advancement of ethical and sustainable healthcare systems, as demonstrated by a comprehensive analysis of scientific, ethical, and policy considerations, rather than merely on technological progress. To make sure that transformational molecular medicines help all sick children, everyone involved in research, clinical practice, public health policy, and society as a whole needs to work together. Genomic medicine, inborn errors of metabolism, genome editing, pediatric precision therapeutics, and AAV gene therapy are some of the keywords.

Keywords: *Genomic medicine, Inborn errors of metabolism, Genome editing, Paediatric Precision Therapeutics, AAV gene therapy*

Introduction:

Genomic medicine has instigated a revolution, redefining the treatment of rare inherited disorders and transitioning the notion of a cure from a distant aspiration to a tangible medical reality [11; 17]. This progress is crucial for children afflicted by Inborn Errors of Metabolism (IEMs), a category encompassing over 1,500 diseases resulting from single-gene mutations that cause significant multi-organ dysfunction [1; 9]. Genomic medicine is now a powerful tool that can meet the most important unmet needs in juvenile healthcare. This is because it can accurately find genetic variations that cause problems and because gene delivery and editing technologies are getting better. [1; 10].

Viral-mediated gene addition therapy, which mostly uses Adeno-Associated Viral (AAV) vectors, is the main part of treatment [1; 3]. These vectors are useful because they send a working version of a broken gene to the target cell, which restores the activity of important proteins [16]. AAV-directed delivery to the liver, which is often thought of as the body's metabolic hub, has been shown to work well for making proteins in a steady and long-lasting way. Clinical studies have validated this theory for conditions like hemophilia. [16]. In the case of Lysosomal Storage Disorders (LSDs), gene therapy uses cross-correction, which is when altered cells release the therapeutic enzyme and nearby cells that don't have the enzyme take it up. This is an important part of treating the common disease symptoms that affect the brain, internal organs, and skeletal system [2; 5]. The next step after this well-known method is to develop accurate genome-editing technologies like the CRISPR/Cas9 system, which can directly fix harmful mutations in a patient's DNA with unmatched precision[9; 10].

Despite these clinical advancements, the widespread application of genetic medicine in pediatric care faces significant translational and ethical obstacles. [14]. The most important thing is the need for access [13]. Inequities are especially pronounced for children living in economically disadvantaged areas, where limitations result in delayed diagnosis and treatment, highlighting the urgent need for innovative care strategies and the intentional application of appropriate technology to improve accessibility [13]. But it's important to be careful when talking about the moral issues surrounding genetic treatment for kids [12; 14].

Healthcare providers encounter challenges in managing and communicating the complex secondary or ambiguous findings arising from the thorough analysis facilitated by advanced sequencing techniques, which frequently yield information with limited clinical relevance [8; 12]. Ultimately, decisions about testing and treatment for children must ethically balance the surrogate authority of parents with the primary objective of protecting the child's future autonomous rights, especially in relation to predictive testing for adult-onset disorders [8; 14]. To fully realize the revolutionary potential of genetic medicine, it is essential to harmonize scientific expertise with a commitment to innovative, inclusive, and ethically sound healthcare delivery.

Objectives:

1. To review the current clinical landscape of genomic medicine for pediatric inborn errors of metabolism, focusing on the efficacy and safety outcomes reported in published clinical trials of gene therapy.
 2. To analyse the key challenges and opportunities in translating these therapies from a research setting to a clinical practice, with a specific focus on pediatric-specific considerations such as long-term durability, ethical issues, and patient access.
-

Discussion :

Genomic medicine has initiated a scientific and clinical transformation, altering the methodology for rare, hereditary diseases and actualizing the long-awaited prospect of a cure for numerous conditions [11; 17]. For children afflicted with Inborn Errors of Metabolism (IEMs)—a significant and heterogeneous category of disorders stemming from single-gene mutations that lead to severe, progressive, and frequently multi-system complications—this advancement is crucial [1; 9]. Genomic medicine has become a powerful solution that is uniquely suited to meet the huge unmet medical needs in pediatrics. This is thanks to rapid progress in targeted molecular therapies and the ability to pinpoint the genetic mutations that cause thousands of metabolic disorders [1; 10]. The field is at a crucial juncture, as potent molecular instruments are beginning to provide definitive, often potentially curative, therapies for conditions previously manageable solely through complex and inadequate ancillary care.

Gene addition therapy, which uses Adeno-Associated Viral (AAV) vectors to safely and effectively move therapeutic genes, is the main scientific basis for new clinical advances [1; 3]. These AAV vectors act as reliable biological carriers and restore the vital enzyme or protein functionality by delivering a correct, functioning version of a mutant gene into the patient's deficient cells [16; 3]. This method has been proven many times to work for treating different genetic metabolic diseases by focusing on the liver, which is the body's main metabolic center. Building on successful examples from clinical trials for conditions such as hemophilia, this liver-targeted strategy has shown the essential capacity to ensure consistent, therapeutically relevant, and enduring production of the requisite protein [16]. The enhanced efficacy and durability of expression achieved with improved AAV serotypes signify a significant technical advancement, bringing these therapies nearer to a potential single-treatment solution. Gene therapy is a good way to treat complex, systemic diseases like Lysosomal Storage Disorders (LSDs), which often cause a lot of damage to tissues, especially in the central nervous system (CNS). In these situations, the therapy method can cleverly use the biological process of cross-correction. In this process, gene-modified cells act as biological mini-factories that release functional enzymes, which are then picked up by nearby cells that lack enzymes [2; 5]. This significant systemic effect facilitates the simultaneous treatment of cerebral and visceral symptoms and is crucial for achieving therapeutic outcomes beyond the initial injection site [2; 5]. In addition to these changes, the area is moving quickly forward with the development of precise genome-editing tools, especially the CRISPR/Cas9 technology [9; 10]. These cutting-edge tools are the future of personalized medicine because they let scientists do more than just insert a gene. They let scientists edit and fix the specific pathogenic mutation in the patient's own DNA, which could lead to a permanent and scar-free correction [9; 10].

The successful and widespread incorporation of genomic medicine into standard pediatric care is heavily contingent upon the resolution of substantial implementation science and policy challenges, despite robust scientific evidence supporting its effectiveness [13]. Fair access is a major problem because there are big differences in race, region, and socioeconomic status that make it harder for at-risk children to get these highly specialized and often expensive treatments [13]. These access limitations frequently result in intolerable and potentially fatal delays in genetic diagnosis and the initiation of time-sensitive gene therapy [13]. These differences make it clear that we need a public health approach that focuses on closing these gaps right away.

To effectively democratize access to this complex type of care, a well-coordinated and timely initiative is required to support innovative care models and systematically apply appropriate technologies, such as advanced telemedicine, decentralized treatment centers, and strong public education efforts [13]. To make sure that this expensive but potentially game-changing treatment is financially stable and available to everyone, it is important to use value-based payment systems and get long-term reimbursement [11; 4]. To avoid creating a fragmented healthcare system, cooperation at both the regional and national levels is necessary for the essential components of gene therapy, which include specialized pediatric facilities, professional interdisciplinary groups, and current manufacturing technologies.

At the same time, pediatric care teams need to think carefully about the ethical and communication issues that come up when they use new diagnostic technologies like whole-genome and whole-exome sequencing [8; 14]. Clinicians have a hard time meeting patients' and parents' expectations for diagnosis and prognosis because these technologies give them a lot of data that sometimes includes findings that aren't very useful for immediate treatment [8; 12]. Sequencing also often leads to complicated secondary findings, which are extra genetic information about a child's risk of developing conditions as an adult. Genetic counselors and physicians encounter challenges in conveying these uncertainties in an ethically responsible manner that avoids inducing undue anxiety [8; 12].

The main moral issue in this case has to do with the idea of autonomy [8; 14]. When it comes to testing and therapy, parents should be careful and always weigh their authority to make decisions for their children against their duty to protect the child's future rights and well-being. This issue is especially important when it comes to testing for conditions that may only show up in adults, since experts usually advise caution to protect the child's ability to make their own choices in the future [14]. Gene therapies make the ethical challenge even harder because they require actions, which makes it even more important to have strict consent protocols and long-term observation [14].

In conclusion, the clinical use of therapies for congenital metabolic disorders in children is a very interesting and complicated area of modern medicine. While scientific progress has enabled certain treatments, fulfilling this potential necessitates the creation of healthcare systems that are not only technically adept but also innovative, inclusive, and rooted in ethical standards [4; 6; 7; 15]. To ensure the smooth transition of innovative treatment advancements from the laboratory to clinical application, providing equitable benefits to all children in need, continuous endeavors in research, policy, and ethics are essential.

Conclusion:

The use of genomic medicine in clinical settings for pediatric Inborn Errors of Metabolism (IEMs) is a major scientific achievement of our time. It moves curative treatment from a theoretical possibility to a confirmed clinical practice [11; 17]. The progress made so far is mostly due to the technological advancement of gene addition therapy, which mostly uses Adeno-Associated Viral (AAV) vectors to make a functional gene copy and fix enzyme activity that isn't working properly [1; 3]. Focusing on the liver, which provides the consistent, long-lasting protein expression needed for long-term therapeutic effect, has fully confirmed this approach in models of metabolic disease [16]. Cross-correction, in which gene-modified cells make active enzymes that nearby affected cells then take up, has also been used to come up with new treatments for very serious systemic disorders like Lysosomal Storage Disorders (LSDs). This procedure is necessary for going after hard-to-reach areas, like the central nervous system [2; 5]. Genome-editing technologies like CRISPR/Cas9 may make these drugs even better in the future by allowing for precise single-nucleotide changes and long-lasting genetic repairs. This will lead to the next stage of molecular intervention [9; 10].

The data clearly show that these new medicines aren't fully helping public health right now because of big operational and regulatory problems, even though they have strong scientific backing. The biggest and most important problem is that not everyone has the same access [13]. Significant geographical, racial, and socioeconomic disparities severely limit access to these highly specialized and expensive treatments for at-risk pediatric populations in rural and underserved regions, often leading to alarming and potentially life-threatening delays in diagnosis and the initiation of treatment [13]. To meet this equity challenge, the way healthcare is delivered needs to change in a big way. This requires the swift advancement of novel care models, including specialized outreach and decentralized gene therapy administration, alongside the essential formulation of new policy and reimbursement structures that facilitate the affordability and universal accessibility of these costly yet advantageous therapeutic interventions [13; 4]. Without a concerted cultural and political effort to build infrastructure that is open to everyone, only a small number of people may be able to enjoy the benefits of genetic medicine.

The strict moral and professional need to deal with the difficulties of genetic testing and therapy for children makes the translational challenge even harder [8; 14]. The growing use of broad sequencing panels can sometimes lead to results that are not very useful for doctors or that are hard to understand about adult-onset diseases, which makes it hard for doctors to provide good care and communicate with patients [8; 12]. The primary ethical dilemma is autonomy: finding equilibrium between the parents' surrogate decision-making power and the essential ethical duty to safeguard the child's future autonomy interests [14; 8]. This means strict adherence to professional standards, especially for predictive testing, and a growing focus on strong, informed consent for gene therapy treatments that may not be reversible [14].

As a result, this extensive study concludes that the practical application of genomic medicine for pediatric inborn errors of metabolism represents a scientific achievement that simultaneously poses challenges for health systems and serves as an ethical trial phase [14; 15]. In the future, political and ethical awareness must go hand in hand with the scientific creativity that solved the molecular problem [4; 6; 7; 15]. The main goal is not just to treat IEMs, but also to make sure that these important molecular tools are part of a healthcare system that is creative, open to everyone, and morally sound. This way, every child who needs it can benefit from the transformative power of genomic medicine. The medical field still has a big problem with combining technological progress with social responsibility.

References:

1. Chandler RJ, Venditti CP. Gene therapy for metabolic diseases. *Transl Sci Rare Dis*. 2016;1(1):73–89.
2. Biffi A. Gene therapy for lysosomal storage disorders: a good start. *Hum Mol Genet*. 2016;25(R1):R65-75.
3. Au HKE, Isalan M, Mielcarek M. Gene therapy advances: A meta-analysis of AAV usage in clinical settings. *Front Med (Lausanne)*. 2021;8:809118.
4. Kokkali S, Kyriazoglou A, Mangou E, Economopoulou P, Panousieris M, Psyrris A, et al. Real-world data on cabozantinib in advanced osteosarcoma and Ewing sarcoma patients: A study from the Hellenic Group of sarcoma and Rare Cancers. *J Clin Med*. 2023;12(3):1119.
5. Kido J, Sugawara K, Nakamura K. Gene therapy for lysosomal storage diseases: Current clinical trial prospects. *Front Genet*. 2023;14:1064924.

6. He L, Wang C, Simujide H, Aricha H, Zhang J, Liu B, et al. Effect of early pathogenic *Escherichia coli* infection on the intestinal barrier and immune function in newborn calves. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol*. 2022;12:818276.
7. Lu X, Jiang L, Zhang L, Zhu Y, Hu W, Wang J, et al. Immune signature-based subtypes of cervical squamous cell carcinoma tightly associated with human Papillomavirus type 16 expression, molecular features, and clinical outcome. *Neoplasia*. 2019;21(6):591–601.
8. Botkin JR. Ethical issues in pediatric genetic testing and screening. *Curr Opin Pediatr*. 2016;28(6):700–4.
9. Leal AF, Fnu N, Benincore-Flórez E, Herreño-Pachón AM, Echeverri-Peña OY, Alméciga-Díaz CJ, et al. The landscape of CRISPR/Cas9 for inborn errors of metabolism. *Mol Genet Metab*. 2023;138(1):106968.
10. Pradhan A, Kalin TV, Kalinichenko VV. Genome editing for rare diseases. *Curr Stem Cell Rep*. 2020;6(3):41–51.
11. Grošelj U, Kavčič M, Drole Torkar A, Kafol J, Lainšček D, Jerala R, et al. Gene therapy of rare diseases as a milestone in medicine - overview of the field and report on initial experiences in Slovenia. *Orphanet J Rare Dis*. 2025;20(1):279.
12. Deutch N, Soo-Jin Lee S, Char D. Translating genomic testing results for pediatric critical care: Opportunities for genetic counselors. *J Genet Couns*. 2020;29(1):78–87.
13. Jenkins SM, Palmquist R, Shayota BJ, Solorzano CM, Bonkowsky JL, Estabrooks P, et al. Breaking barriers: fostering equitable access to pediatric genomics through innovative care models and technologies. *Pediatr Res*. 2025;97(4):1261–8.
14. Ormond KE, Blasimme A, Vayena E. Ethical aspects of pediatric genetic care: Testing and treatment. *Pediatr Clin North Am*. 2023;70(5):1029–46.
15. Luna SK, Chain FJJ. Lineage-specific genes and family expansions in dictyostelid genomes display expression bias and evolutionary diversification during development. *Genes (Basel)*. 2021;12(10):1628.
16. Chuecos MA, Lagor WR. Liver directed adeno-associated viral vectors to treat metabolic disease. *J Inherit Metab Dis*. 2024;47(1):22–40.
17. Khartabil N, Avoundjian A. Gene therapy and diabetes: A narrative review of recent advances and the role of multidisciplinary healthcare teams. *Genes (Basel)*. 2025;16(1):107.