WWW.IJRPR.COM

International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

Journal homepage: www.ijrpr.com ISSN 2582-7421

Resolving Mergers and Acquisition Conflicts through Mediation: A Corporate Legal Perspective

M. RISHIKANTH

ABSTRACT :

Mergers and acquisitions (M&A) represent a cornerstone of modern corporate growth and restructuring. Yet, these transactions are inherently complex and frequently give rise to conflicts involving valuation, shareholder rights, contractual performance, and post-integration control. In India, such disputes traditionally proceed through litigation or arbitration, both of which are often lengthy and adversarial. The emergence of mediation as a statutorily recognized dispute-resolution mechanism-culminating in the enactment of the Mediation Act, 2023 presents a pragmatic and collaborative alternative.

This research paper explores the relevance of mediation in resolving M&A conflicts, situating it within India's corporate legal framework under the Companies Act, 2013 and other allied statutes. It further compares the Indian approach with models in the United Kingdom and Singapore, jurisdictions that have institutionalized mediation successfully. The study argues that mediation enhances efficiency, confidentiality, and business continuity while supporting India's goal of improving ease of doing business.

Keywords: Mediation, Mergers and Acquisitions, Corporate Dispute Resolution, Companies Act 2013, Mediation Act 2023, ADR, NCLT, Comparative Law.

1. Objectives of the Study

1. To examine the causes and nature of conflicts arising during mergers and acquisitions.
2. To analyse the existing statutory framework governing mediation in India, particularly under the Mediation Act 2023 and Companies Act 2013.
3. To evaluate judicial approaches and case law supporting mediation in corporate contexts.
4. To compare India's mediation regime with those of the United Kingdom and Singapore.
5. To recommend policy measures for integrating mediation into corporate governance and transaction design.

2. Research Methodology

The study employs a normative and analytical methodology. Primary data include statutory instruments such as the Mediation Act 2023, Companies Act 2013, and the Commercial Courts Act 2015, along with relevant Supreme Court and NCLT decisions. Secondary data derive from scholarly articles, law-commission reports, and comparative studies on international ADR practices.

3. Introduction

Mergers and acquisitions are vital instruments of corporate restructuring, enabling organizations to achieve economies of scale, access new markets, and acquire strategic assets. However, the integration of two or more entities often generates conflicts that can threaten not only the transaction itself but also broader stakeholder confidence. Disputes may stem from pre-closing negotiations, breaches of representations and warranties, differences in valuation, or post-merger management friction.

India's growing corporate economy has witnessed a surge in M&A activity: according to Grant Thornton Bharat's Dealtracker 2024, the value of M&A transactions in India exceeded USD 100 billion in 2023. As transaction volumes grow, the probability of legal and commercial conflicts has also risen, testing the adequacy of traditional dispute-resolution methods. Litigation before the National Company Law Tribunal (NCLT) and appellate bodies can stretch for years, while arbitration, though faster, still carries high costs and formality.

Mediation offers an alternative that aligns with business realities—confidential, interest-based, and non-adversarial. It allows the parties to maintain relationships and explore creative settlements that preserve business value. The Indian government's enactment of the Mediation Act, 2023 signals its

recognition of mediation's potential, harmonizing domestic practice with international developments such as the UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Mediation (2018) and the Singapore Convention on Mediation (2019).

This paper investigates the feasibility of employing mediation for M&A conflicts within India's legal framework. It critically analyses relevant statutes, judicial precedents, and comparative models from the United Kingdom and Singapore, concluding that mediation—when properly institutionalized can transform corporate dispute resolution in India.

4. Literature Review

- International Commercial Arbitration and Mediation: Law and Practice
  Author: Gary Born
  Emphasizes mediation as a non-adversarial mechanism that complements arbitration in commercial matters. Provides theoretical foundation for combining mediation and arbitration in M&A disputes.
- Corporate Conflict Resolution: ADR and Indian Corporate Governance
  Author: R. Narayan
  Examines ADR mechanisms in company law disputes. Connects mediation with governance and compliance mechanisms in corporate law.
- On Mediation and Conciliation in International Business Law
  Author: A. Fouchard & J. Gaillard
  Suggests mediation enhances confidentiality and business relationship preservation. Supports use of mediation in sensitive M&A negotiations
- Singapore International Mediation Centre: A Case Study
  Author: M. Soh
  Illustrates success of Singapore's institutional mediation in corporate transactions. Comparative model for institutionalizing mediation in India.
- Alternative Dispute Resolution in India
  Author: S.K. Verma
  Explores India's ADR framework evolution and institutional challenges. Provides historical and statutory perspective on ADR in India.

5. Causes of M&A Conflicts

M&A transactions typically pass through three stages—pre-merger negotiations, execution, and post-merger integration—each of which can produce disputes.

1. **Pre-merger negotiations** often generate friction over valuation methodologies, due-diligence disclosures, and representations about liabilities. Differences in accounting standards or hidden obligations may create mistrust between parties.
2. **Execution-phase disputes** arise from alleged breaches of warranties, indemnity clauses, or non-compete obligations. Delays in obtaining regulatory approvals or third-party consents can also derail closings.
3. **Post-merger integration** presents perhaps the most intractable conflicts—management control, employee retention, and strategic direction. Cultural mismatches between merged entities frequently translate into legal battles among directors or shareholders.

Indian jurisprudence reveals that such conflicts often escalate to petitions under Sections 241–242 of the Companies Act 2013 for oppression and mismanagement, or to contractual arbitration. Yet, these adversarial mechanisms seldom preserve the commercial relationship, which is essential for post-merger success. Mediation, emphasizing consensus and confidentiality, can bridge this gap.

6. The Legal Framework for Mediation in India

6.1 Companies Act 2013

Section 442 of the Companies Act 2013 authorizes the Central Government to maintain a panel of mediators and conciliators to assist in resolving disputes before the NCLT or NCLAT. The Rules framed thereunder outline qualifications, appointment procedures, and confidentiality obligations. This statutory foundation, though limited in use so far, represents a legislative intent to institutionalize mediation within company law.

6.2 Arbitration and Conciliation Act 1996

Part III of the Act incorporates conciliation provisions consistent with the UNCITRAL Model Law. Indian courts, notably in Salem Advocate Bar

Association v. Union of India (2005 6 SCC 344)[1], have interpreted conciliation and mediation interchangeably, affirming their constitutional validity and encouraging judicial referrals.

6.3 Commercial Courts Act 2015

Section 12-A of this Act mandates pre-institution mediation for commercial disputes. Though not specific to M&A[2], it has broadened the acceptance of mediation within corporate and business disputes.

6.4 Mediation Act 2023

The Mediation Act 2023 consolidates India's mediation regime. It recognizes pre-litigation mediation, online mediation, and community mediation, and provides for the creation of the Mediation Council of India to regulate practitioners and institutions. Importantly, Section 27 grants enforceability to mediated settlement agreements by equating them with decrees of a court. This statutory backing resolves the earlier uncertainty surrounding voluntary settlements under Section 89 CPC[3].

7. Role of Mediation in Corporate Governance

Mediation extends beyond mere dispute settlement; it contributes directly to the principles of corporate governance—transparency, accountability, and stakeholder trust. In M&A contexts, governance disputes often relate to board composition, fiduciary obligations, and minority shareholder rights. Traditional litigation risks public exposure and reputational harm, whereas mediation preserves confidentiality, encouraging candid dialogue among parties.

Corporate governance also emphasizes long-term sustainability. Mediation supports this goal by enabling negotiated solutions that sustain corporate relationships. For instance, when directors disagree on integration strategy, a skilled mediator can facilitate dialogue that balances economic interests with fiduciary duties. As noted by the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD, 2020), alternative dispute resolution mechanisms, including mediation, are essential to effective governance in complex corporate structures.[4]

Furthermore, mediation aligns with the "Business Responsibility and Sustainability Reporting (BRSR)" framework introduced by SEBI, which emphasizes ethical conduct and stakeholder engagement. Voluntary settlement of disputes through mediation demonstrates a corporation's commitment to responsible business practices and compliance culture.

8. Comparative Perspective: United Kingdom, Singapore, and the United States

8.1 United Kingdom

The UK pioneered court-connected mediation through the Civil Procedure Rules (CPR), particularly Part 31 and the Practice Direction on Pre-Action Conduct. The judiciary actively encourages mediation; in Halsey v. Milton Keynes NHS Trust [2004] EWCA Civ 576, the Court of Appeal held that unreasonable refusal to mediate could result in adverse cost orders, thereby embedding mediation within civil justice culture.[5]

In corporate transactions, mediation is routinely used to resolve shareholder and contractual disputes before resorting to the High Court or specialized tribunals. Professional bodies such as the Centre for Effective Dispute Resolution (CEDR) provide trained mediators with commercial and financial expertise. The UK model demonstrates how judicial endorsement and institutional support can normalize mediation within high-value business conflicts.

8.2 Singapore

Singapore has positioned itself as a global ADR hub. The Singapore International Mediation Centre (SIMC), established in 2014, collaborates with the Singapore International Arbitration Centre (SIAC) to offer hybrid dispute resolution models such as "Arb-Med-Arb." Under this structure, an arbitration is stayed for mediation, and if the mediation succeeds, the settlement is recorded as a consent award enforceable internationally.

Singapore's Mediation Act 2017, together with its accession to the Singapore Convention on Mediation (2019), has elevated the enforceability of mediated settlements to the same level as arbitral awards. This model is particularly relevant for India, which, though not yet a party to the Convention, could

[1] Salem Advocate Bar Association v. Union of India (2005 6 SCC 344) https://indiankanoon.org/doc/342197/

[2] https://www.indiacode.nic.in/show-data?actid=AC_CEN_3_46_00008_201604_1517807328347&orderno=14

[3] http://ijtr.nic.in/Article_chairman%20S.89.pdf

[4] Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD, 2020) https://www.oecd.org/en.html

[5] Halsey v. Milton Keynes NHS Trust [2004] EWCA Civ 576 https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/D-000-4986?transitionType=Default&contextData=(sc.Default)

benefit from cross-border recognition of mediated M&A settlements involving multinational corporations.

8.3 United States

The United States follows a highly decentralized mediation model. Corporate and securities disputes are frequently mediated under the auspices of private institutions such as the American Arbitration Association (AAA) and the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (FINRA). Courts actively refer commercial parties to mediation before trial, and several states, including California and New York, mandate mediation for specific categories of business disputes. The U.S. experience underscores the significance of party autonomy and industry-specific expertise in mediator selection—principles that India could adopt in refining its mediation infrastructure.

9 Challenges in Implementing Mediation for M&A Disputes

Despite legislative and judicial support, several challenges impede mediation's effective application in India's corporate sector:

1. **Limited Awareness and Cultural Barriers** – Many corporate actors view mediation as a sign of weakness or compromise rather than strategic negotiation.
2. **Absence of Specialized Mediators** – Complex M&A disputes demand expertise in valuation, finance, and securities regulation, which generalist mediators may lack.
3. **Confidentiality Concerns** – Fear of sensitive financial data leaking during mediation discourages full participation.
4. **Enforceability Uncertainty** – While the Mediation Act 2023 clarifies enforceability, corporate actors await judicial interpretation regarding cross-border recognition.
5. **Institutional Deficits** – India lacks dedicated corporate mediation centers similar to Singapore's SIMC or London's CEDR.
6. **Regulatory Overlaps** – Coordination between SEBI, NCLT, and mediation institutions remains underdeveloped.

Addressing these barriers requires both policy reform and mindset transformation.

10. Practical Framework for Applying Mediation to M&A Conflicts

An effective corporate mediation framework should follow these stages:

1. **Contractual Integration:** M&A agreements should include a multi-tier dispute-resolution clause mandating negotiation, followed by mediation before arbitration or litigation.
2. **Mediator Selection:** Parties should jointly appoint mediators with financial and legal expertise, possibly from an accredited panel under the Mediation Council of India.
3. **Confidentiality Agreement:** A binding confidentiality clause should be signed before the first session to ensure data security.
4. **Mediation Sessions:** The mediator facilitates discussions focused on interests rather than rights, using techniques like caucusing and option generation.
5. **Settlement Drafting:** The agreement, if reached, should be drafted in compliance with Section 27 of the Mediation Act 2023, ensuring enforceability.
6. **Post-Settlement Monitoring:** For complex M&A integrations, the mediator may continue in an oversight role to ensure compliance and trust-building.

This model not only ensures procedural efficiency but also aligns with corporate governance objectives.

11. Policy Recommendations

To strengthen the mediation framework in corporate and M&A disputes, India must adopt a multi-dimensional policy approach integrating institutional, regulatory, and educational reforms. Establishing Corporate Mediation Centres attached to each bench of the National Company Law Tribunal (NCLT) would ensure that mediation becomes an essential pre-hearing mechanism in all corporate disputes. These centers can operate under the supervision of the Mediation Council of India, ensuring consistency, efficiency, and professional standards across jurisdictions.

Furthermore, the development of Accredited Mediator Training Programs in partnership with leading institutions such as the Indian Council of Arbitration (ICA), the Institute of Company Secretaries of India (ICSI), and premier law universities is crucial. These programs should include advanced modules on negotiation psychology, corporate finance, and cross-border deal structures, thereby creating a pool of expert mediators equipped to handle complex commercial conflicts.

Regulatory integration also plays a central role. The Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI), Competition Commission of India (CCI), and Ministry of Corporate Affairs (MCA) should amend their regulations to expressly recognize mediation settlements as valid mechanisms for dispute

closure in merger approvals, takeover conflicts, and shareholder grievances. This harmonization would streamline regulatory compliance and reduce redundant litigation.

Equally significant is cross-border recognition. India should accede to the Singapore Convention on Mediation (2019), which grants international enforceability to mediated settlement agreements. This step would greatly enhance investor confidence and position India as a credible destination for global mergers and acquisitions.

In parallel, the government must promote digital and online mediation platforms to accommodate geographically dispersed corporate parties. The integration of encrypted e-mediation portals within the NCLT e-courts framework can ensure data security, accessibility, and cost efficiency. Such initiatives align with India's broader push toward digital governance under the Digital India Mission.

Judicial incentives are also necessary to drive adoption. Courts and tribunals should impose cost penalties on parties that unreasonably refuse mediation, following the model established in the United Kingdom's Halsey v. Milton Keynes NHS Trust decision[6]. This would not only deter litigation abuse but also signal strong judicial endorsement of ADR processes.

Further, corporate disclosure norms should require companies to report their use of mediation and other ADR mechanisms in their Business Responsibility and Sustainability Reports (BRSR) filed with SEBI. Public disclosure would create reputational incentives for corporations to adopt cooperative dispute resolution practices.

In addition, the government should consider tax incentives or fee reductions for companies that opt for mediation before litigation, thereby promoting voluntary compliance. Periodic evaluation and data collection by the Mediation Council of India can also ensure accountability, enabling policy refinements based on success metrics and stakeholder feedback.

Finally, fostering a culture of mediation awareness through law school curricula, bar association training, and corporate governance workshops is vital. Mediation should be presented not as a compromise but as a strategic business decision that enhances sustainability, reduces costs, and maintains long-term partnerships.

12. Enforcement and Cross-Border Dimensions

Cross-border M&A disputes pose additional challenges of jurisdiction and enforceability. The Mediation Act 2023 attempts to address these by recognizing "international mediation" under Section 5[7] and authorizing government notification of recognized institutions. However, in the absence of India's accession to the Singapore Convention on Mediation, mediated settlements involving foreign entities currently rely on contractual enforcement through civil suits.

To align with global standards, India should incorporate the Convention's principles, enabling recognition and enforcement of mediated settlements across jurisdictions. This would significantly boost investor confidence and reduce transaction risk in cross-border mergers, particularly in sectors such as technology and infrastructure where international collaborations are frequent.

13. Future Prospects and Vision 2047

As India marches toward its centenary of independence in 2047, the nation's legal and economic systems are poised for a transformative shift. The integration of mediation within corporate governance and dispute resolution frameworks holds immense potential to redefine India's business environment. By Vision 2047, India aims to be a global hub for innovation, investment, and ethical entrepreneurship—objectives that require a predictable, efficient, and trust-based legal ecosystem.

Mediation, when institutionalized effectively, can serve as the backbone of this transformation. With rapid globalization, cross-border investments, and digital commerce, corporate disputes will increasingly demand solutions that are faster, confidential, and relationship-oriented. A robust mediation regime will not only decongest the judiciary but will also enhance investor confidence, ease of doing business, and corporate accountability.

By 2047, corporate mediation could evolve into a distinct professional sector supported by specialized mediators, AI-assisted negotiation tools, and transnational collaboration. The adoption of technology-driven mediation platforms, including AI-based case matching and predictive analytics for settlement outcomes, will revolutionize how disputes are managed. Such innovations align with the National Legal Tech Mission and India's push toward digital governance.

Additionally, international cooperation will be pivotal. As India continues to strengthen trade partnerships and participate in global economic frameworks, its accession to instruments like the Singapore Convention on Mediation (2019) will cement its position as a leader in cross-border dispute resolution. Indian mediators could increasingly play roles in resolving high-value international M&A and corporate finance conflicts.

1. [6] *Halsey v. Milton Keynes NHS Trust* [2004] EWCA Civ 576. https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/D-000-4986?transitionType=Default&contextData=(sc.Default)

[7] https://www.vbcllawreview.com/post/the-role-of-mediation-in-resolving-commercial-disputes-in-india-challenges

From a domestic perspective, Vision 2047 envisions mediation embedded in the DNA of every corporate entity. Company boards, shareholders, and regulatory bodies would routinely use mediation not as a last resort but as an integral component of governance strategy. Educational institutions, particularly law and business schools, will likely offer advanced programs in corporate negotiation, behavioral conflict management, and cross-cultural mediation, creating a new generation of dispute resolution professionals.

Moreover, with India's judicial reforms gaining momentum, mandatory pre-litigation mediation could become standard practice across all corporate and commercial matters, supported by data-driven monitoring systems under the Mediation Council of India. This transformation would align India with leading global economies such as Singapore, the United Kingdom, and the European Union, where mediation has become an indispensable pillar of commercial justice.

Ultimately, the future of mediation in corporate affairs is not merely procedural but philosophical—it represents a shift from adversarial confrontation to collaborative problem-solving. By 2047, a mature mediation ecosystem could contribute significantly to India's ambition of becoming a $30 trillion economy, ensuring that growth is sustainable, inclusive, and legally secure.

14. Conclusion

Mediation offers India's corporate sector a pragmatic and forward-looking route to resolve mergers and acquisitions (M&A) conflicts efficiently, confidentially, and sustainably. The enactment of the Mediation Act, 2023 marks a watershed moment in India's dispute resolution landscape, providing long-awaited statutory clarity and institutional legitimacy. By incorporating pre-litigation mediation, institutional mediation frameworks, and online dispute resolution (ODR) mechanisms, the Act lays the foundation for a unified national mediation ecosystem.

Comparative experiences from jurisdictions such as the United Kingdom, Singapore, and the United States demonstrate that the success of mediation depends on a triad of factors—legislative support, judicial endorsement, and stakeholder participation. Singapore's model, for instance, integrates court-annexed mediation with private institutional mechanisms, ensuring that mediation outcomes carry both legal enforceability and commercial legitimacy. India's adoption of similar structural reforms through the Mediation Council of India could yield comparable benefits.

In the corporate context, particularly in M&A transactions, mediation offers unique advantages. It preserves confidentiality, protects commercial relationships, and allows parties to craft creative, business-oriented solutions that formal litigation often cannot accommodate. The flexibility of mediation ensures that disputes are not merely settled but resolved in a manner consistent with long-term governance and shareholder interests.

Moreover, mediation contributes significantly to ease of doing business, reducing judicial backlog and transaction costs while enhancing investor trust. As India positions itself as a global economic hub under Vision 2047, the establishment of a robust mediation culture can serve as a hallmark of modern corporate justice—one that is adaptive, efficient, and aligned with international best practices.

The future of corporate mediation in India lies not just in legal reform but in cultural transformation—a shift from adversarial confrontation to cooperative problem-solving. Encouraging companies to integrate mediation clauses in shareholder agreements, merger contracts, and board governance policies will normalize ADR as a first response rather than a last resort.

15. REFERENCES

1. *Afcons Infrastructure Ltd. v. Cherian Varkey Construction Co.*, (2010) 8 SCC 24.
2. *Salem Advocate Bar Association v. Union of India*, (2005) 6 SCC 344.
3. *M.R. Engineers & Contractors Pvt. Ltd. v. Som Datt Builders Ltd.*, (2009) 7 SCC 696.
4. *Halsey v. Milton Keynes NHS Trust* [2004] EWCA Civ 576.
5. *Deloitte Haskins & Sells LLP v. Union of India* (2020) NCLT Mumbai.
6. *Ambience Developers v. NCLT*, (2022) Delhi High Court.
7. Companies Act, No. 18 of 2013 (India).
8. Mediation Act, No. 21 of 2023 (India).
9. Arbitration and Conciliation Act, No. 26 of 1996 (India).
10. Commercial Courts Act, No. 4 of 2015 (India).
11. Gary Born, *International Commercial Arbitration and Mediation: Law and Practice* (Kluwer Law Int'l, 2014).
12. Nadja Alexander, *Global Trends in Mediation* (Wolters Kluwer, 2017).
13. R. Narayan, *Corporate Conflict Resolution: ADR and Indian Corporate Governance* (Eastern Book Co., 2021).
14. M. Soh, "Singapore International Mediation Centre: A Case Study" (2020) *Singapore Law Review*.
15. OECD, *Principles of Corporate Governance* (2020).
16. UNCITRAL, *Model Law on International Commercial Mediation* (2018).
17. Ministry of Law and Justice, *The Mediation Act 2023* (Govt. of India, 2023).

18. Centre for Effective Dispute Resolution (CEDR), *Annual Report on Mediation* (London, 2022).