International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

Journal homepage: www.ijrpr.com ISSN 2582-7421

Structural Health Monitoring of Bridges using IoT and AI

Kella Vijay Kumar[1], Kotni Lalitha[2] , Bura Praveen[3], Alavilli Hanisha[4], Budumuru Kishore[5] .

B. Tech Student, Gmrit, Rajam, vizianagaram, Andhra Pradesh, India

Email: 23341A0152@gmrit.edu.in,23341A0159@gmrit.edu.in,23341A0120@gmrit.edu.in, 23341A0120,@gmrit.edu.in, 23341A0119@gmrit.edu.in

INTRODUCTION

Bridge infrastructure plays an essential role in global transportation systems. However, it constantly faces challenges from rising traffic loads, harsh environmental conditions, and material wear. This exposure can result in structural damage, leading to a constant risk of sudden, severe failure. Traditionally, assessing bridge condition has depended mainly on manual visual inspections. This process can be slow, costly, and prone to human error. As a result, there is a growing need for smart, automated, and real-time monitoring solutions to protect these crucial assets.

Structural Health Monitoring (SHM) offers a modern, data-driven solution to this problem. By combining the Internet of Things (IoT) and Artificial Intelligence (AI), SHM systems allow for continuous and automated collection and analysis of structural data. This capability changes maintenance from a purely reactive approach to a predictive and preventive one. The main benefits are clear: SHM greatly improves public safety by detecting critical issues like cracks, fatigue, and corrosion early on. Additionally, this predictive approach is important for operational efficiency. It leads to significant cost savings by lowering unplanned repairs and helps extend the operational lifespan of bridges.

A bridge's integrity can be evaluated using a number of different technical methods, according to recent research in this area. Vibration-Based Monitoring, the most widely used technique, examines the dynamic response of the structure. Monitoring important factors like vibration, acceleration, natural frequency, and mode shapes helps diagnose damage. In order to accomplish scalable monitoring, research looks into cost-effective techniques like Drive-By SHM, which gathers data indirectly from sensors in passing cars. Digital twin and BIM integration are more sophisticated techniques that connect real-time deflection and displacement data to a 3D model for visual diagnostics in real time.

The deployed systems make use of a number of specialized sensors and technologies. Piezoelectric sensors can also detect dynamic changes, but accelerometers (including MEMS and triaxial variants) are the most widely used sensors for dynamic measurements. Advanced Fiber Optic Sensors and strain gauges are used to measure stress and strain in material response. Low-cost IoT platforms like the Raspberry Pi and Arduino microcontrollers are frequently used to manage this raw data. The information gathered provides a comprehensive profile of the bridge's health under a range of operational and environmental circumstances, covering all important variables such as temperature, wind speed, and traffic load.

Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning (AI/ML) are required due to the enormous amounts of data that these IoT sensors generate. These algorithms, which are frequently used with models like artificial neural networks, are essential for automated analysis, noise filtering, and pattern recognition. This ability enables engineers to produce precise, prognostic forecasts and separate structural alterations from environmental influences. This term paper's overall goal is to thoroughly examine and classify the state of SHM today, with an emphasis on the complementary application of AI and IoT. Finding the most efficient, affordable, and scalable sensing methods and combining the results into a sound methodological framework for future SHM implementation on bridge infrastructure are the main goals.

Keywords: Structural Health monitoring (SHM), Internet of Things (IoT), Artificial Intelligence (AI), Machine Learning (ML), .Digital twin, Building Information modelling (BIM)

Review:

1. Advanced Analytics, AI, and Novelty Detection

This group focuses on developing high-level computational methods and machine learning (ML) algorithms to accurately interpret sensor data, filter noise, and enable autonomous diagnostics. The core challenge is extracting reliable, damage-sensitive features from complex, high-volume data streams.

Several studies showed that advanced analytics are essential for strong diagnostics. Anastasia et al. (2023) successfully used Temporal Autoregressive (AR) modeling on strain data from a railway bridge. They concluded that strain measurements offer better, less noisy inputs for identifying damage compared to acceleration. The key is in effective data cleansing: **Gao et al. (2022)** achieved remarkable efficiency by developing a Pattern Recognition

Neural Network (PRNN) that reduced data vector size by orders of magnitude while maintaining 96.4% accuracy in classifying multi-type anomalies (e.g., drift, outliers). On the deployment side, **Armijo & Zamora-Sánchez (2024)** validated a **Digital Twin** framework that achieved > or = 99.9% classification accuracy for structural anomalies using an on-premises-cloud hybrid ML architecture, proving the feasibility of high-accuracy, scaled systems.

2. **Low-Cost Hardware, IoT, and Mobile Sensing**

This research segment is devoted to making SHM widely accessible by developing low-cost, easily deployable hardware that rivals expensive commercial systems through software intelligence and component fusion. **Komarizadehasl et al. (2022)** detailed the development of the **Low-cost Adaptable Reliable Accelerometer (LARA)**, proving that an array of inexpensive MEMS accelerometers, when averaged and enhanced by software, significantly reduced Noise Density (ND) and achieved extremely high eigenfrequency accuracy (<1.28% **error**) compared to commercial systems. This democratization was supported by **Jothi Saravanan et al. (2024)** who validated a similar COTS-based sensor node that consistently returned frequencies with <6% **average difference** compared to industrial-grade accelerometers.

Expanding functional utility, **Al-Ali et al. (2024)** built a comprehensive IoT system incorporating vibration and deflection sensors with a **Fuzzy Logic algorithm** to classify bridge health status, creating a low-cost, intuitive warning system (USD 198.40). For continuous structural assessment via vehicle, **Peng et al. (2023)** engineered a cost-effective IoT system (Raspberry Pi 4B) for **drive-by SHM**, successfully integrating and synchronizing acceleration, temperature, and GPS data into a reliable platform **normalized root mean square error** (NRMSE of 0.0144).

3. Load Monitoring, Reliability, and Extreme Events

This research investigates the vital need to move beyond static design assumptions by quantifying the impact of actual operational and environmental loading on long-term structural integrity and safety margins. The fundamental finding that environmental variables are critical inputs for structural analysis was established by **Catbas et al. (2008)**, who showed that unmodeled **temperature-induced strains** could be ten times larger than traffic loads, critically reducing the calculated safety index. **Worden & Cross (2018)** addressed this by successfully building highly accurate regression models to automatically **filter out** the thermal effects from frequency data, thereby enhancing damage detection sensitivity.

4. **Advanced Modeling for Structural Dynamics**

This research group develops the necessary analytical and computational tools to precisely characterize structural dynamics, enabling highly informed sensor placement and reliable indirect sensing techniques. Advancing the capability of non-contact monitoring, Malekjafarian & O'Brien (2014) achieved a significant milestone by introducing the Short Time Frequency Domain Decomposition (STFDD) method, proving that bridge mode shapes can be accurately extracted from the response signals of a moving vehicle, a fundamental prerequisite for drive-by sensing.

5. Component Material and Fiber Optic Sensing

This research focuses on the durability of specialized materials and the implementation of high-precision sensing technologies for local monitoring of critical structural components. **He et al. (2013)** demonstrated the height of complexity and precision achievable in cable monitoring with a **hybrid FBG-BOTDA/R** system, combining local high-accuracy point strain with long-distance distributed sensing in a single optical fiber, successfully proving **temperature self-compensation** for enhanced data stability. Focusing on next-generation materials, **Al-Rousan et al. (2020)** used **Nonlinear finite element analysis** (NLFEA) to show that **Carbon Fiber Reinforced Polymer (CFRP) and Glass Fiber Reinforced Polymer (GFRP)** reinforcement offered **superior stiffness** and energy absorption over steel in deck slabs, providing valuable data for material durability assessment.

Conclusion:

The combination of Structural Health Monitoring (SHM) with Internet of Things (IoT) and Artificial Intelligence (AI) marks a significant change in smart and sustainable infrastructure management. It addresses fundamental needs identified in various structural analyses. Researchers agree that these combined systems allow for continuous and real-time assessment of complex structural behavior, which is crucial because bridges face cyclic and changing loads.

This new approach relies on the scalability provided by hybrid Edge-Cloud architectures. These architectures use Edge Computing, such as dedicated microcontrollers or simplified middleware like Node-RED, to carry out initial data processing on site. This includes fast Fourier transforms (FFT) and anomaly detection. This process significantly lowers network load and operational costs while ensuring high data throughput. This setup also supports the use of affordable digital MEMS sensors for extensive coverage, as demonstrated by the creation of precise modules like LARA.

The critical value comes from predictive diagnostic models that go beyond traditional monitoring. Techniques using unsupervised Machine Learning (ML) learn the complex, non-linear baseline behavior of the structure through novelty detection. This improves accuracy, especially when monitoring focuses on the most reliable features, like dynamic strain data, which are better than noisy acceleration signals. This diagnostic confidence gets a significant boost by optimizing sensor resources through Optimal Sensor Placement (OSP).

REFERENCES

1. Fawad, M., Salamak, M., Chen, Q., Uscilowski, M., Koris, K., Jasinski, M., ...&Piotrowski, D. (2025). Development of immersive bridge digital twin platform to facilitate bridge damage assessment and asset model updates. *Computers in Industry*.

2. Iacussi, L., Chiariotti, P., &Cigada, A. (2024). AI-enhanced IoT system for assessing bridge deflection in drive-by conditions. *Sensors*.
3. Mendoza-Lugo, M. A., Nogal, M., & Morales-Nápoles, O. (2024). Estimating bridge criticality due to extreme traffic loads in highway networks. *Engineering Structures*.
4. Saravanan, T. J., Mishra, M., Aherwar, A. D., &Lourenço, P. B. (2024). Internet of things (IoT)-based structural health monitoring of laboratory-scale civil engineering structures. *Innovative Infrastructure Solutions*.
5. Armijo, A., & Zamora-Sánchez, D. (2024). Integration of railway bridge structural health monitoring into the internet of things with a digital twin: a case study. *Sensors*.
6. Al-Ali, A. R., Beheiry, S., Alnabulsi, A., Obaid, S., Mansoor, N., Odeh, N., &Mostafa, A. (2024). An IoT-based road bridge health monitoring and warning system. *Sensors*.
7. Hossain, M. I. (2024). Implementation Of AI-Integrated IOT Sensor Networks For Real-Time Structural Health Monitoring Of In-Service Bridges. *ASRC Procedia: Global Perspectives in Science and Scholarship*, *4*(1), 33-71.
8. Anastasia, S., García-Macías, E., Ubertini, F., Gattulli, V., &Ivorra, S. (2023). Damage identification of railway bridges through temporal autoregressive modeling. *Sensors*.
9. Nieminen, V., &Sopanen, J. (2023). Optimal sensor placement of triaxial accelerometers for modal expansion. *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*.
10. Peng, Z., Li, J., &Hao, H. (2023). Development and experimental verification of an IoT sensing system for drive-by bridge health monitoring. *Engineering Structures*.
11. Figueiredo, E., Moldovan, I., Alves, P., Rebelo, H., & Souza, L. (2022). Smartphone application for structural health monitoring of bridges. *Sensors*.
12. Komarizadehasl, S., Lozano, F., Lozano-Galant, J. A., Ramos, G., &Turmo, J. (2022). Low-cost wireless structural health monitoring of bridges. *Sensors*.
13. Gosliga, J., Hester, D., Worden, K., &Bunce, A. (2022). On Population-based structural health monitoring for bridges. *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*.
14. Scianna, A., Gaglio, G. F., & La Guardia, M. (2022). Structure monitoring with BIM and IoT: The case study of a bridge beam model. *ISPRS International Journal of Geo-Information*.
15. Gao, K., Chen, Z. D., Weng, S., Zhu, H. P., & Wu, L. Y. (2022). Detection of multi-type data anomaly for structural health monitoring using pattern recognition neural network. *Smart Struct. Syst*.
16. Avendano, J. C., Otero, L. D., & Otero, C. (2021, April). Optimization of sensor placement in a bridge structural health monitoring system. In *2021 IEEE International Systems Conference (SysCon)* (pp. 1-5). IEEE.
17. Moallemi, A., Burrello, A., Brunelli, D., & Benini, L. (2022). Exploring Scalable, Distributed Real-Time Anomaly Detection for Bridge Health Monitoring. IEEE Internet of Things Journal.
18. Scianna, A., Gaglio, G. F., & La Guardia, M. (2022). Structure monitoring with BIM and IoT: The case study of a bridge beam model. *ISPRS International Journal of Geo-Information*.
19. Gao, B., Bai, Z., & Song, Y. (2021). Optimal Three-Dimensional Sensor Placement for Cable-Stayed Bridge Based on Dynamic Adjustment of Attenuation Factor Gravitational Search Algorithm. *Shock and Vibration*, *2021*(1), 6664188.
20. Al-Rousan, R. Z., Alhassan, M., & Al-wadi, R. (2020, October). Nonlinear finite element analysis of full-scale concrete bridge deck slabs reinforced with FRP bars. In *Structures* (Vol. 27, pp. 1820-1831). Elsevier.
21. Ding, H., Shen, Q., & Du, S. (2020). Autonomous main-cable vibration monitoring using wireless smart sensors for large-scale three-pylon suspension bridges: A case study. *Journal of Low Frequency Noise, Vibration and Active Control*.
22. Fitzgerald, P. C., Malekjafarian, A., Bhowmik, B., Prendergast, L. J., Cahill, P., Kim, C. W., ...&OBrien, E. J. (2019). Scour damage detection and structural health monitoring of a laboratory-scaled bridge using a vibration energy harvesting device. *Sensors*.
23. MandićIvanković, A., Skokandić, D., Žnidarič, A., &Kreslin, M. (2019). Bridge performance indicators based on traffic load monitoring. *Structure and Infrastructure Engineering*.
24. Worden, K., & Cross, E. J. (2018). On switching response surface models, with applications to the structural health monitoring of bridges. *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*.
25. Neves, A. C., Gonzalez, I., Leander, J., &Karoumi, R. (2017). Structural health monitoring of bridges: a model-free ANN-based approach to

damage detection. *Journal of Civil Structural Health Monitoring*.

26. Abdelgawad, A., &Yelamarthi, K. (2017). Internet of things (IoT) platform for structure health monitoring. *Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing*.
27. Malekjafarian, A., &OBrien, E. J. (2014). Identification of bridge mode shapes using short time frequency domain decomposition of the responses measured in a passing vehicle. *Engineering Structures*.
28. He, J., Zhou, Z., &Jinping, O. (2013). Optic fiber sensor-based smart bridge cable with functionality of self-sensing. *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*.
29. Catbas, F. N., Susoy, M., &Frangopol, D. M. (2008). Structural health monitoring and reliability estimation: Long span truss bridge application with environmental monitoring data. *Engineering structures*.