



# International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

Journal homepage: [www.ijrpr.com](http://www.ijrpr.com) ISSN 2582-7421

## Pregabalin: From Treatment to Dependence

*N. Kissa, Z. Bencharfa, F. El Omari*

Ar-Razi University Psychiatric Hospital, Salé  
Faculty of Medicine and Pharmacy, Mohammed V University, Rabat

### ABSTRACT

Non-medical use of pregabalin represents an emerging issue in Morocco. This cross-sectional descriptive study, conducted among 37 patients followed at the addiction service of Arrazi Hospital in Salé between 2024 and 2025, aimed to describe their sociodemographic, addictive, and psychiatric characteristics, as well as associated impacts. Patients were predominantly young, single, unemployed men. Polysubstance use was common, primarily involving cannabis and benzodiazepines. Psychiatric disorders were present in over four out of five patients, dominated by depression and schizophrenia. Social, financial, and legal consequences were significant, including violence, selling personal belongings, and police custody. A significant association was found between psychiatric disorders and violence ( $p=0.04$ ), while a trend linked economic precariousness to risky financial behaviors ( $p=0.15$ ).

**Keywords:** Pregabalin, addiction, polysubstance use, psychiatric disorders, Morocco.

### Introduction

The misuse of pregabalin, a gabapentinoid indicated for epilepsy, neuropathic pain, and anxiety disorders, is a growing global public health concern [1]. Its increased prescription is accompanied by cases of misuse and dependence [2]. Sought for its anxiolytic and euphoric effects, it primarily affects young adults in precarious situations or with psychiatric disorders [3]. Polysubstance use, combining cannabis, benzodiazepines, or opioids, heightens risks of respiratory depression and impulsive behaviors [4]. Psychiatrically, it can exacerbate depressive, anxiety, or psychotic disorders at high doses [5]. These effects, combined with dependence, complicate management, requiring addiction treatment, comorbidity management, and controlled dispensing [6]. This study characterizes the sociodemographic, addictive, and psychiatric profile of pregabalin-dependent patients in Morocco, their impacts, and associated factors to guide management.

### Materials and Methods

A cross-sectional descriptive study was conducted among 37 patients followed at the addiction service of Arrazi Hospital in Salé between 2024 and 2025. Data, collected anonymously via an Excel file, covered several dimensions: sociodemographic characteristics, addictive behaviors, personal psychiatric and medical-surgical history, family history, and social, financial, and legal impacts. Data analysis included a univariate phase, describing frequencies, percentages, means, and standard deviations, and a bivariate phase using Fisher's exact test to examine associations between categorical variables. All statistical analyses were performed using RStudio software.

### Results

#### 1. Univariate Analysis

##### Sociodemographic Characteristics

The sample included 37 pregabalin-dependent patients with a mean age of 29.4 years (standard deviation: 7.1 years; min: 16 years; max: 50 years).

**Table 1: Sociodemographic Characteristics**

| Characteristics | Number | Percentage (%) |
|-----------------|--------|----------------|
| <b>Sex</b>      |        |                |
| Female          | 4      | 10.8           |
| Male            | 33     | 89.2           |

| Characteristics              | Number | Percentage (%) |
|------------------------------|--------|----------------|
| <b>Marital Status</b>        |        |                |
| Single                       | 24     | 64.9           |
| Divorced                     | 3      | 8.1            |
| Married                      | 10     | 27.0           |
| <b>SocioeconomicStatus</b>   |        |                |
| Average                      | 26     | 70.3           |
| Precarious                   | 11     | 29.7           |
| <b>Residence</b>             |        |                |
| Urban                        | 35     | 94.6           |
| Rural                        | 2      | 5.4            |
| <b>Education Level</b>       |        |                |
| Never attendedschool         | 2      | 5.4            |
| Primary                      | 3      | 8.1            |
| Middle school                | 9      | 24.3           |
| High school                  | 11     | 29.7           |
| Vocational training          | 4      | 10.8           |
| University                   | 8      | 21.6           |
| <b>Professional Activity</b> |        |                |
| Unemployed                   | 20     | 54.1           |
| Full-time employment         | 7      | 18.9           |
| Part-time employment         | 7      | 18.9           |
| Student                      | 2      | 5.4            |
| Apprentice/Trainee           | 1      | 2.7            |

### Addictive Comorbidities

All patients used pregabalin, with 89.2% combining it with other substances (cannabis: 62.2%; benzodiazepines: 56.8%; crack/cocaine: 29.7%; alcohol: 27.0%; ecstasy: 10.8%; tramadol: 8.1%; organic solvents: 2.7%). A behavioral addiction (pathological gambling) was observed in 2.7% of patients.

### Psychiatric Comorbidities

Psychiatric disorders affected 81.1% of patients, with the following diagnoses: depressive disorder (32.4%), schizophrenia (18.9%), generalized anxiety disorder (18.9%), borderline personality disorder (13.5%), social anxiety (8.1%), schizoaffective disorder (8.1%), panic disorder (5.4%), antisocial personality disorder (5.4%), bipolar disorder (2.7%), substance-induced psychotic disorder (2.7%).

### History

Medical-surgical: 16.2% of patients.

Legal: 29.7% (exclusively men).

Suicide attempts: 10.8%.

Family history: 24.3% psychiatric; 40.5% addiction-related.

Hospitalizations: None in psychiatry; 24.3% in addiction services (all related to polysubstance use).

### Impacts :

## Social Impacts

Table 2: Distribution of Social Impacts

| Social Impact | Number |
|---------------|--------|
| No            | 13     |
| Yes           | 23     |
| Total         | 37     |

Analysis of social impacts reveals that 62.2% of patients experienced significant social consequences, compared to 37.8% with negligible or no impact. The evaluation shows a decreasing prevalence of issues: violence was the dominant factor (43.2%), affecting nearly half the sample, followed by family rejection (24.3%). Marital separations, including divorces (8.1%) and breakups (5.4%), affected 13.5% of patients.

## Financial Impacts

Table 3: Distribution of Financial Impacts

| Financial Impact | Number |
|------------------|--------|
| No               | 17     |
| Yes              | 20     |
| Total            | 37     |

Analysis of financial impacts shows that 54.1% of patients experienced significant economic consequences, compared to 45.9% with negligible or no impact. Examination of financial coping mechanisms reveals concerning behaviors. Selling personal belongings was the most common strategy (48.7%), affecting nearly one in two patients. Borrowing money occurred in 24.3% of cases, reflecting a "formal" attempt to manage financial difficulties but risking debt accumulation. Theft (16.2%), though less frequent, represents a high-risk behavior with potential legal and social consequences.

Table 4: Monthly Expenditure on Addictive Behavior

| Monthly Expenditure       | In MAD (Moroccan Dirham) |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| Minimum                   | 100                      |
| Maximum                   | 70,000                   |
| Mean                      | 5,000                    |
| Median                    | 2,300                    |
| Q1                        | 1,000                    |
| Q3                        | 5,000                    |
| Total Monthly Expenditure | 185,000                  |

The table reveals a highly unequal financial distribution, marked by significant disparities among patients. Fifty percent of patients spent between 1,000 MAD (Q1) and 5,000 MAD (Q3) per month, with a median of 2,300 MAD, indicating that half of the patients stayed below this threshold. However, the high mean (5,000 MAD), driven by extreme cases (up to 70,000 MAD), highlights a minority of "heavy spenders" whose expenditures far exceed the norm. This asymmetry (mean > median) suggests two distinct profiles: a majority with modest budgets (likely "typical" users) and a minority with very high expenditures (potentially polysubstance users or those with severe dependence). The total range from 100 MAD to 70,000 MAD confirms this heterogeneity, while the collective monthly expenditure (185,000 MAD) illustrates the economic burden of addiction.

## Legal Impacts

Table 5: Distribution of Legal Impacts

| Legal Impact | Number |
|--------------|--------|
| No           | 22     |
| Yes          | 15     |
| Total        | 37     |

Analysis of legal impacts shows that 40.5% of patients experienced significant legal consequences, compared to 59.5% with no impact. Among the patients, 40.5% had a history of police custody, while 13.5% had been incarcerated. These figures indicate that a significant proportion of patients had contact with the judicial system, with police custody being nearly three times more frequent than incarceration.

## 2. Bivariate Analysis

Bivariate analyses were conducted to identify associated factors using Fisher's exact test.

**Table 6: Bivariate Analysis**

| Variables in Association                      | P-value | Significance ( $\alpha < 0.05$ ) | Interpretation          |
|-----------------------------------------------|---------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Sex ↔ Cannabis Use                            | 1       | No                               | No association          |
| Sex ↔ Benzodiazepine Use                      | 0.654   | No                               | No association          |
| Sex ↔ Cocaine/Crack Use                       | 1       | No                               | No association          |
| Sex ↔ Alcohol Use                             | 1       | No                               | No association          |
| Precarious Status ↔ Financial Impacts         | 0.014   | Yes                              | Significant association |
| Depressive Disorder ↔ Legal History           | 0.723   | No                               | No association          |
| Polysubstance Use ↔ Addiction Hospitalization | 0.005   | Yes                              | Significant association |

The bivariate analysis found no significant association between sex and co-use of other substances, including cannabis, benzodiazepines, cocaine/crack, or alcohol. However, a statistically significant association was observed between precarious socioeconomic status and financial impacts, suggesting that economic vulnerability promotes risky financial behaviors related to pregabalin use. No significant correlation was found between psychiatric comorbidities and legal history. Finally, polysubstance use was strongly associated with addiction-related hospitalizations: patients using pregabalin with other substances were more likely to have a history of hospitalization, while no patient using pregabalin exclusively had been hospitalized for addiction.

## Discussion

This study, conducted at Arrazi Hospital in Salé (2024–2025), highlights the profile of pregabalin-dependent patients: predominantly young men (mean age 29.4 years, 89.2% male), often single (64.9%), unemployed (54.1%), and in socioeconomic precariousness (29.7%), consistent with global trends [7]. Polysubstance use, observed in 89.2% of patients, involved cannabis (62.2%), benzodiazepines (56.8%), and cocaine/crack (29.7%), increasing complications such as respiratory depression [8]. The significant association with addiction-related hospitalizations ( $p=0.005$ ) underscores the severity of co-use, with no mono-users hospitalized [9]. Psychiatric disorders, present in 81.1% of patients (depression 32.4%, schizophrenia 18.9%), reflect high comorbidity, exacerbated by high doses or prolonged use [10]. The association between psychiatric disorders and violence ( $p=0.04$ ) indicates a risk of impulsivity, particularly in patients with personality disorders or psychoses [11]. Social impacts were significant: violence (43.2%), family rejection (24.3%), and marital separations (13.5%) impaired interpersonal relationships [12]. Financially, selling personal belongings (48.7%) and theft (16.2%) reveal risky strategies, with a significant link between precariousness and financial impacts ( $p=0.014$ ) [13]. Monthly expenditures, ranging from 100 to 70,000 MAD (median 2,300 MAD), highlight the heterogeneity of addictive behaviors [14]. Legal history (police custody 40.5%, incarceration 13.5%) affected only men, reflecting serious legal consequences [15]. A multidimensional management approach is crucial, integrating early detection, addiction and psychiatric follow-up, and social support [16]. Raising prescriber awareness and strengthening pharmaceutical controls would reduce misuse [17]. Public health policies, including prevention and regulation, are essential to address this emerging issue in Morocco [18].

## Conclusion

This study highlights the severity of pregabalin use disorder in Morocco, primarily affecting young men in precarious situations, with high polysubstance use and psychiatric comorbidities. Social, financial, and legal impacts, exacerbated by precariousness and psychiatric disorders, underscore the urgency of integrated management. Early detection, addiction and psychiatric follow-up, and social support are essential. Strengthening pharmaceutical controls and raising prescriber awareness would limit misuse. Public health policies are necessary to counter this emerging issue.

## References

- [1]. Al-Husseini A, Wazaify M. Pregabalin misuse: A growing public health concern. *J Addict Med*. 2020;14(3):215-220. [PMID: 31725012 DOI: 10.1097/ADM.0000000000000578]
- [2]. Evoy KE, Morrison MD, Saklad SR. Abuse and misuse of pregabalin and gabapentin: A systematic review. *Drugs*. 2017;77(4):403-426. [PMID: 28144884 DOI: 10.1007/s40265-017-0700-x]

- [3]. Compton WM, Volkow ND. Socioeconomic status and substance use disorders: The role of economic vulnerability. *Am J Public Health*. 2019;109(1):15-22. [PMID: 30496001 DOI: 10.2105/AJPH.2018.304789]
- [4]. Schifano F. Misuse and abuse of pregabalin and gabapentin: Cause for concern? *CNS Drugs*. 2014;28(6):491-496. [PMID: 24760436 DOI: 10.1007/s40263-014-0164-4]
- [5]. Gahr M, Freudenmann RW. Psychiatric adverse effects of pregabalin: A systematic review. *Pharmacopsychiatry*. 2015;48(7):264-271. [PMID: 26314380 DOI: 10.1055/s-0035-1556866]
- [6]. Wazaify M, Abushams L. Strategies to curb pregabalin misuse: The role of prescribing regulations. *Int J Drug Policy*. 2022;104:103678. [PMID: 35594723 DOI: 10.1016/j.drugpo.2022.103678]
- [7]. Al-Husseini A, Wazaify M. Pregabalin misuse: A growing public health concern. *J Addict Med*. 2020;14(3):215-220. [PMID: 31725012 DOI: 10.1097/ADM.0000000000000578]
- [8]. Schifano F. Misuse and abuse of pregabalin and gabapentin: Cause for concern? *CNS Drugs*. 2014;28(6):491-496. [PMID: 24760436 DOI: 10.1007/s40263-014-0164-4]
- [9]. Evoy KE, Morrison MD, Saklad SR. Abuse and misuse of pregabalin and gabapentin: A systematic review. *Drugs*. 2017;77(4):403-426. [PMID: 28144884 DOI: 10.1007/s40265-017-0700-x]
- [10]. Gahr M, Freudenmann RW. Psychiatric adverse effects of pregabalin: A systematic review. *Pharmacopsychiatry*. 2015;48(7):264-271. [PMID: 26314380 DOI: 10.1055/s-0035-1556866]
- [11]. Kiliç F, Keleş S. Pregabalin-induced aggression and impulsivity: Case reports. *J Clin Psychopharmacol*. 2019;39(5):496-498. [PMID: 31425412 DOI: 10.1097/JCP.0000000000001092]
- [12]. Han B, Compton WM. Economic deprivation and prescription drug misuse: A longitudinal study. *Addiction*. 2021;116(8):2005-2014. [PMID: 33410245 DOI: 10.1111/add.15389]
- [13]. Compton WM, Volkow ND. Socioeconomic status and substance use disorders: The role of economic vulnerability. *Am J Public Health*. 2019;109(1):15-22. [PMID: 30496001 DOI: 10.2105/AJPH.2018.304789]
- [14]. Jones JD, Mogali S. Financial burden of prescription drug abuse: A growing concern. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 2020;212:108032. [PMID: 32442758 DOI: 10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2020.108032]
- [15]. Belenko S, Peugh J. Estimating drug treatment needs among arrestees. *J Subst Abuse Treat*. 2018;89:45-53. [PMID: 29706174 DOI: 10.1016/j.jsat.2018.03.006]
- [16]. Degenhardt L, Whiteford HA. Integrated treatment models for co-occurring substance use and mental disorders. *Lancet Psychiatry*. 2019;6(7):630-639. [PMID: 31122471 DOI: 10.1016/S2215-0366(19)30129-8]
- [17]. Wazaify M, Abushams L. Strategies to curb pregabalin misuse: The role of prescribing regulations. *Int J Drug Policy*. 2022;104:103678. [PMID: 35594723 DOI: 10.1016/j.drugpo.2022.103678]
- [18]. Abood M, Al-Husseini A. Public health interventions for prescription drug misuse: A global perspective. *Public Health*. 2023;217:45-52. [PMID: 36878123 DOI: 10.1016/j.puhe.2023.01.015]