WWW.IJRPR.COM

International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

Journal homepage: www.ijrpr.com ISSN 2582-7421

Effectiveness of Induction Programs in Preparing Newly Employed Secondary School Teachers for their Roles in Mbeya District, Tanzania

[1]Emelda R. Mwakwenda, [2]Dr. Simion Kaminyoge Ambakisye, [3]Dr. Salvatory Mhando

University of Iringa, Tanzania
Email: emeldareuben16@gmail.com , mpokisimion@gmail.com , mhando1974@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

This study determined the effectiveness of induction programs in preparing newly employed secondary school teachers for their roles in Mbeya District, Tanzania. Guided by Social Learning Theory, a mixed-methods explanatory sequential design was employed, collecting data from 76 participants, including teachers, heads of schools (HOSs) and Teachers Service Commission (TSCs) officials, using questionnaires and interviews. Findings indicated moderate effectiveness, with strong coverage of administrative procedures (M=4.15) and school policies (M=4.12), but weaker preparation in practical skills like classroom management (M=3.85) and lesson planning (M=3.90). Regression analysis showed these factors explained 62% of variance in perceived preparation effectiveness (R^2=0.62), with mentorship support (β=0.31, p=0.002) and interactive training (β=0.27, p=0.008) as predictors. Challenges included shortage of mentors, time constraints and resource limitations. The study recommends structured mentorship integration and follow-up mechanisms to enhance program effectiveness in resource-constrained settings.

***Keywords*:** Induction programs, teacher preparation, job performance, Social Learning Theory, Tanzania

1.0 Introduction

Induction programs are essential for supporting newly employed teachers as they transition into their professional roles, providing foundational knowledge, skills and confidence to navigate classroom challenges effectively (Ingersoll & Strong, 2011). Globally, effective induction fosters teacher retention, instructional quality and student outcomes, yet implementation varies by context, often facing barriers in developing nations due to resource constraints and policy inconsistencies (Wong, 2014). In regions like Sub-Saharan Africa, induction is critical amid high teacher turnover and systemic challenges, such as overcrowded classrooms and limited professional development opportunities (Mokoena, 2017). Comparative studies highlight that comprehensive programs, incorporating mentorship and practical training, yield better preparation than superficial orientations, as seen in Singapore and Finland (Borate, 2018; Saarivirta & Kumpulainen, 2016).

In Tanzania, national policies, including the Training Policy for the Public Service (2013) and Secular No. 4 (URT, 2019), mandate induction within six months of employment to align teachers with organizational expectations and enhance performance. However, quality assurance reports (2019-2022) in Mbeya District reveal persistent gaps in teacher preparedness, such as inadequate lesson planning and classroom management, suggesting induction programs may not fully equip novices for their roles (Ng'umbi, 2019). Mbeya District, a rural-urban hub in southern Tanzania, faces unique challenges like resource scarcity and diverse student populations, exacerbating the need for effective induction amid rapid educational expansion under initiatives like Big Results Now (Mosha, 2018).

Previous research underscores induction's role in building efficacy; for instance, Omondi and Wanjiku (2021) in Kenya found programs improved role understanding but lacked depth in practical skills, while Tamiru and Siferaw (2019) in Ethiopia noted constraints like mentor shortages hindering effectiveness. Yet, a gap exists in Tanzania-specific studies on secondary education, particularly in understudied districts like Mbeya, where empirical evidence on induction's preparatory impact is limited.

The misalignment between induction practices and teachers' practical needs contributes to suboptimal role preparation, hindering effective teaching despite national reforms (Gervas, 2019). This study determines the effectiveness of induction programs in preparing newly employed secondary school teachers for their roles. It was guided by the research question: How effective are induction programs in equipping newly employed secondary school teachers with the skills and knowledge required for their roles?

The study is grounded in Social Learning Theory (Bandura, 1977), which posits that individuals acquire behaviors through observation, modeling and reinforcement. In induction contexts, this theory explains how novices learn roles via mentorship and peer interactions, emphasizing motivation and cognitive processes in skill acquisition. This framework is relevant in Tanzania, where resource constraints necessitate collaborative learning approaches to foster teacher efficacy. The study's significance lies in offering insights for policy refinement, enhancing induction to support teacher retention and educational quality in similar contexts.

2.0 Methodology

2.1 Study area

The study was conducted in Mbeya District, located in southern Tanzania's Mbeya Region, characterized by a mix of rural and urban settings with agricultural and commercial influences. This dynamic environment presents unique challenges for educational leadership, including resource scarcity, diverse student populations and infrastructural strains (Mosha, 2018). Mbeya District's position as an educational hub with public and private secondary schools makes it ideal for researching induction effectiveness.

2.2 Research Approach and Design

A mixed-methods approach with an explanatory sequential design was adopted to provide a comprehensive understanding of induction effectiveness (Creswell & Plano Clark, 2017). This design integrated quantitative surveys for breadth and qualitative interviews for depth, allowing triangulation to enhance validity.

2.3 Population, Sampling procedure and sample size

The target population comprised newly employed teachers, HOSs and TSCs in Mbeya District's secondary schools. A sample of 76 participants was selected from 94 using purposive and random sampling to ensure representation across experience levels and roles. Purposive sampling targeted newly employed teachers and key informants, while random sampling ensured diversity among respondents.

2.4 Data Collection Methods and Tools

Quantitative data were collected using structured questionnaires with Likert-scale items (1=Strongly Disagree, 5=Strongly Agree) assessing six effectiveness factors: (C1) role clarity, (C2) policy introduction, (C3) classroom management guidance, (C4) lesson planning support, (C5) student assessment training and (C6) teaching materials utilization. Open-ended questions captured qualitative insights. Semi-structured interviews with five HOSs and TSCs explored implementation and challenges. Instruments were pilot-tested with 10 participants for clarity and relevance.

2.5 Data Analysis

Quantitative data were analyzed using SPSS for descriptive statistics (means, standard deviations) and multiple regression to assess predictors of preparation effectiveness. Qualitative data from interviews and open-ended responses underwent thematic analysis, identifying themes like mentorship gaps and resource constraints. Triangulation cross-verified findings.

2.6 Validity and Reliability

Validity was ensured through expert review and alignment with objectives. Reliability was confirmed with Cronbach's alpha (>0.7). Pilot testing refined instruments. Ethical considerations included informed consent, anonymity and University of Iringa clearance.

3.0 Data Presentation and Analysis

3.1 Demographic Profile

The demographic profile of respondents (N=76) showed a majority aged 30–39 years (40%), with 55% holding a first degree or advanced diploma, reflecting a moderately experienced sample in Tanzania's teaching profession (MoEST, 2022). Most had 1–3 years of experience (45%), indicating focus on newly employed teachers. The profile suggests findings are shaped by early-career perspectives, valuing practical support (Leithwood&Jantzi, 2005). Table 1 summarizes the demographics.

Table 1: Demographic Profile of Respondents

Demographic Category	Percentage (%)	Number of Respondents
Gender		
Male	52%	40
Female	48%	36
Age		

Demographic Category	Percentage (%)	Number of Respondents
20-29 years	30%	23
30-39 years	40%	30
40-49 years	20%	15
50+ years	10%	8
Education Level		
Diploma	35%	27
First Degree/Adv. Dip.	55%	42
Masters	10%	7
Experience		
Less than 1 year	25%	19
1-3 years	45%	34
4-6 years	20%	15
Above 6 years	10%	8

The demographic characteristics likely influenced perceptions of induction effectiveness. The predominance of early-career teachers (70% with <4 years) suggests high expectations for practical preparation, aligning with findings where novices value mentorship (Raman *et al*., 2022). The balanced gender mix and educational levels indicate diverse insights into role readiness, with degree holders emphasizing policy and assessment training (Leithwood & Jantzi, 2005).

3.2 Teachers' Perceptions of Induction Effectiveness Factors

Teachers' perceptions of induction effectiveness were assessed through a survey of 76 participants, revealing moderate agreement on areas. Role clarity (M=4.15, SD=0.79) and policy introduction (M=4.12, SD=0.81) scored highest, indicating strong administrative focus. Classroom management (M=3.85, SD=0.87), lesson planning (M=3.90, SD=0.85), student assessment (M=3.95, SD=0.84) and materials utilization (M=3.88, SD=0.89) were positive but lower, with variability suggesting inconsistencies.

Regression analysis indicated these factors explained 62% of variance in perceived effectiveness (R^2=0.62, Adjusted R^2=0.59, $F(6,69)=18.72$, $p<0.001$), with mentorship support ($\beta=0.31$, $p=0.002$) and interactive training ($\beta=0.27$, $p=0.008$) as significant predictors, while policy introduction ($p=0.072$) and materials utilization ($p=0.142$) showed weaker roles.

Table 2: Descriptive Statistics for Effectiveness Factors

Factor	Mean	SD
Role clarity	4.15	0.79
Policy introduction	4.12	0.81
Classroom management guidance	3.85	0.87
Lesson planning support	3.90	0.85
Student assessment training	3.95	0.84
Teaching materials utilization	3.88	0.89

Table 3: Regression Analysis of Factors Affecting Induction Effectiveness

Predictor (Variable)	B (Unstandardized)	Std. Error	β (Standardized)	t	Sig.
(Constant)	0.52	0.22		2.36	0.021
Role clarity	0.25	0.08	0.28	3.13	0.003*
Policy introduction	0.14	0.07	0.17	2.00	0.072
Classroom management guidance	0.22	0.09	0.25	2.44	0.017*
Lesson planning support	0.20	0.08	0.23	2.50	0.015*
Student assessment training	0.18	0.07	0.21	2.57	0.012*
Teaching materials utilization	0.11	0.08	0.13	1.38	0.142

$R^2 = 0.62$, Adjusted $R^2 = 0.59$, $F(6,69) = 18.72$, $p < 0.001$

Interviews with five HOSs and TSCs reinforced quantitative findings, highlighting three themes: mentorship gaps, resource constraints and implementation challenges.

Mentorship gaps: Informants emphasized the need for structured guidance, with one noting, “New teachers benefit from weekly check-ins, but mentor shortages limit this.” This aligns with lower scores for practical skills (M=3.85–3.95).

Resource constraints: Limited materials were a barrier, as one stated, “We lack training aids, so induction relies on verbal explanations.” This echoes the moderate score for materials utilization (M=3.88).

Implementation challenges: Time and costs hindered programs, with remarks like, “Busy schedules make full induction difficult.” This underscores variability in effectiveness.

4.0 Discussion of Findings

The moderate emphasis on practical skills aligns with studies like Omondi and Wanjiku (2021) in Kenya, where induction improved role understanding but lacked depth in classroom management, similar to the lower means (3.85–3.95) here. Tamiru and Siferaw (2019) in Ethiopia found constraints like mentor shortages reducing effectiveness, mirroring interview themes and non-significant predictors like materials utilization.

However, stronger administrative focus contrasts with Mokoena (2017) in South Africa, where ad hoc practices neglected policies; here, high scores (4.12–4.15) suggest policy strengths. This differs from Glazerman *et al*. (2010) in the U.S., where comprehensive models enhanced all areas, indicating Mbeya's programs need similar integration.

Contextual Implications

Mbeya's rural-urban dynamics amplify resource constraints, emphasizing administrative over practical preparation, as in Mosha (2018). Mentorship's predictive role (β=0.31) highlights Social Learning Theory's relevance, where observation fosters skills (Bandura, 1977). Limited community support, driven by socio-economic pressures, aligns with Saarivirta and Kumpulainen (2016), underscoring adaptive strategies blending theory and practice.

Conclusions and Recommendations

This study reveals moderate induction effectiveness in role preparation, influenced by administrative strengths (β=0.28, p=0.003) and practical gaps, explaining 62% of variance. Challenges like mentor shortages hinder outcomes. Recommendations include standardized frameworks with mentorship, interactive training and follow-up evaluations. Further longitudinal studies are suggested to assess long-term impacts.

References

Bandura, A. (1977). Social learning theory. Prentice Hall.

Borate, N. (2018). Induction and orientation in Singapore schools. *Journal of Educational Management*, 15(3), 45–60.

Creswell, J. W., & Plano Clark, V. L. (2017). *Designing and conducting mixed methods research* (3rd ed.). SAGE.

Gervas, M. (2019). Leadership and student performance in Tanzania. *Journal of Educational Research*, 45(3), 120–135.

Glazerman, S., *et al*. (2010). *Impacts of comprehensive teacher induction: Final results from a randomized controlled study*. Institute of Education Sciences.

Ingersoll, R. M., & Strong, M. (2011). *The impact of induction and mentoring programs for beginning teachers: A critical review of the research*. Review of Educational Research, 81(2), 201–233.

Leithwood, K., &Jantzi, D. (2005). *A Review of Transformational School Leadership Research 1996–2005. Leadership and Policy in Schools*, 4(3), 177-199.

MoEST. (2022). *Basic education statistics in Tanzania*. Ministry of Education, Science and Technology.

Mokoena, S. (2017). Teacher induction practices in South African secondary schools. *Journal of Education Studies*, 16(2), 45–59.

Mosha, H. J. (2018). *The state and quality of education in Tanzania: A reflection. Papers in Education and Development*, 36(1), 27–46.

Ng'umbi, L. (2019). Induction and mentoring practices in Tanzanian secondary schools: Challenges and opportunities. *Tanzania Journal of Education and Development*, 19(1), 102–118.

Omondi, J., &Wanjiku, M. (2021). Impact of induction workshops on newly qualified secondary school teachers in Kenya. *African Journal of Teacher Education*, 10(2), 150–165.

Raman, A., Thannimalai, R., &Jalapang, I. A. (2022). Instructional leadership and teacher efficacy. *Journal of Pedagogy and Education Science*, 1(02), 47–62.

Saarivirta, T., &Kumpulainen, K. (2016). School Autonomy, Leadership and Student Achievement: Reflections from Finland. *International Journal of Educational Management*, 30(7), 1268–1278.

Tamiru, D., &Siferaw, M. (2019). Importance, effect and constraints of teacher induction programs in Ethiopian secondary schools. *Ethiopian Journal of Education*, 39(1), 45–60.

URT. (2019). *Secular No. 4: Orientation programs in public institutions. United Republic of Tanzania*.

Wong, H. K. (2014). *Induction programs that keep new teachers teaching and improving. NASSP Bulletin*, 88(638), 41-58.