The Digital Persuasion Paradigm: Examining the Psychological and Sociological Impact of Social Media Influencers on Consumer Behavior

Mr. Pravendra Dixit[1], Prof. P. B. Singh[2]

[1]Research Scholar & Asst. Professor, N.M.S.N.Dass (P.G.) College , BudaunAffiliated to M.J.P. Rohilkhand University, Bareilly
Email:pravendradixit143@gmail.com
[2]Professor, Department of Business AdministrationM.J.P. Rohilkhand University, BareillyEmail: pbsingh1967@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Social media has changed the dynamics of consumer behavior, where influencers play a major role in order to shape purchasing decisions. This study investigates the influence of social media influencers on consumer behavior through psychological and sociological perspectives. By analyzing a sample of 540 active social media users, the research explores how factors like trustworthiness, authenticity, and perceived expertise of influencers shape consumer attitudes and purchasing decisions. It further examines the moderating roles of social identity and peer influence in these processes. Using quantitative methods, including chi-square analysis, the study highlights the significant impact of alignment between influencer content and consumer values on brand loyalty and engagement. The results contribute valuable insights to the field of digital marketing, offering practical implications for brands seeking to build more effective influencer partnerships.

Keywords: Social Media Influencers, Consumer Behaviour, Perceived Expertise, Social Identity, Peer Influence.

JEL Codes: M31, M37, L81, L82

1. Introduction

In today's digital age, social media platforms have transformed the way consumers engage with brands and make purchasing decisions. Among the key drivers of this transformation are social media influencers, who possess a unique ability to shape consumer attitudes and behaviors. Unlike traditional celebrities, these influencers cultivate close, authentic connections with their audiences through relatable content, fostering trust and influencing consumer perceptions. This shift presents significant opportunities for marketers aiming to enhance brand loyalty and customer engagement through influencer collaborations.

The success of influencer marketing is rooted in psychological principles intertwined with social cognition and identity theories. Consumers are more likely to trust influencers who demonstrate authenticity, expertise, and transparency regarding their promotional content. Authenticity refers to the perceived honesty and genuineness of influencer communications, which critically affects consumer engagement. Additionally, perceived expertise elevates the perceived credibility of the influencer's recommendations, thereby impacting consumer decision-making. Beyond individual perceptions, social factors such as peer influence and the human need for social belonging substantially strengthen the persuasiveness of influencer endorsements.

From a sociological viewpoint, group affiliations and social norms significantly shape how consumers respond to influencer marketing. Social identity theory explains that consumers gravitate towards influencers who reflect their cultural or social identities, reinforcing shared values and lifestyle ideals. Consequently, influencers serve a broader role in propagating social narratives that resonate with their followers, creating communities bonded by shared experiences and common interests.

Despite the increasing research on digital marketing and influencer strategies, there is a notable gap in quantitative studies that integrate these psychological and sociological factors across diverse demographic groups. This study aims to fill this gap by surveying 540 active social media users, employing chi-square statistical analyses to explore how trust, authenticity, expertise, and social influence collectively drive brand loyalty, consumer engagement, and long-term commitment.

The study's findings are intended to offer both theoretical advancements and practical insights. Theoretically, it promotes a deeper understanding of the digital persuasion paradigm by integrating psychological, sociological, and marketing perspectives. Practically, it guides brands in crafting effective influencer partnerships by highlighting key attributes and strategies that resonate with target audiences, thereby helping marketers maintain competitive advantage and foster meaningful consumer-brand relationships in an evolving social media landscape.

2. Literature Review

Abidin (2016) emphasizes the critical role of authenticity in influencer marketing, highlighting that consumers exhibit greater trust and engagement when influencers share personal experiences transparently and declare brand partnerships openly. Through qualitative research on Instagram fashion campaigns, Abidin reveals that perceived honesty strengthens emotional connections, thereby fostering stronger purchasing intentions. This underscores the importance for brands to collaborate with influencers who maintain consistent and truthful communication, as opposed to those relying on misleading or opaque tactics.

Lou and Yuan (2019) explore the dynamic relationship between the communicative value of influencer messages and source credibility, demonstrating that clear, relevant, and informative messages delivered by credible experts significantly enhance consumer trust. Extending this discourse, Tafesse and Wood (2021) show that engagement techniques like interactive storytelling and thematic consistency deepen follower commitment, increasing the overall effectiveness of influencer campaigns. Together, these studies provide a sophisticated psychological framework underlying digital persuasion.

Social identity theory, as formulated by Tajfel and Turner (1986), offers foundational insights into why consumers tend to emulate specific influencers. The theory postulates that individuals are motivated to adopt behaviors exhibited by those with whom they share social identities or group memberships. Jin et al. (2019) build upon this framework in the context of Instagram marketing, revealing that perceived similarity between influencers and followers intensifies persuasive outcomes. Further enriching this perspective, Jin and Ryu (2020) find that constructs such as envy and parasocial interaction amplify follower engagement. Supporting this, Freberg et al. (2011) illustrate how social and peer pressures bolster influencer credibility and consequently affect consumer persuasion.

The combined findings of Freberg et al. (2011) and Lou and Yuan (2019) highlight the psychological and sociological underpinnings that contribute to the effectiveness of social media influencers. Credibility, authenticity, and perceived expertise emerge as key determinants for shaping consumer attitudes toward promoted brands and products. Tajfel and Turner (1986) emphasize that social identity mechanisms encourage consumers to imitate influencers with whom they identify, thereby reinforcing social norms and providing social proof. Moreover, Jin et al. (2019) and Jin and Ryu (2020) underscore the critical role of peer influence, which operates through communal ties and shared social expectations to magnify persuasive effects.

Despite the growing accumulation of research, Martínez-López et al. (2020) argue that aligning influencer personas with brand identities is strategically essential, with empirical evidence showing this congruence enhances campaign efficacy and consumer behavior. Lee and Kim (2020) further validate the importance of transparent sponsorship disclosures in bolstering promotional credibility. Addressing market-specific conditions, Trivedi and Sama (2020) underscore the vital role of trust and cultural relevance in emerging markets, whereas Leung et al. (2022) identify consistent content quality as pivotal for maintaining long-term brand loyalty. Vrontis et al. (2021) advocate for greater focus on micro-influencers, highlighting their unique influence, while Masuda et al. (2022) examine how certain influencer attributes moderate purchase intentions.

Collectively, these studies refine our understanding of the complex psychological and sociological processes that govern influencer marketing effectiveness. They emphasize the necessity for integrative, quantitative research that holistically considers influencer characteristics, message strategies, and audience factors to explain consumer behavior across diverse contexts and cultures.

3. Objectives of The Study

The primary objectives of this study are as follows:

1. To examine how social media influencers influence consumer behavior through psychological and social factors.

2. To assess the effects of influencer trustworthiness, authenticity, and perceived expertise on consumer attitudes and behaviors.

3. To identify the strategies and tactics used by influencers to shape consumer preferences.

4. To evaluate the broader impact of influencer marketing on brand loyalty, customer engagement, and value alignment.

4. Development of Hypothesis

Based on the objectives, the following hypotheses have been formulated:

1. **H1:** Social media influencers have a significant impact on consumer behavior driven by psychological and social factors.

2. **H2:** Influencers' perceived trustworthiness, authenticity, and expertise positively influence consumer attitudes and behaviors.

3. **H3:** Influencers employ specific strategies (e.g., product reviews, testimonials) that significantly shape consumer preferences.

4. **H4:** Influencer marketing positively affects brand loyalty, customer engagement, and alignment with consumer values.

5. Research Methodology

5.1. Research Design

This study employed a quantitative, cross-sectional survey design to investigate the relationships between various influencer attributes and consumer behaviors. A structured online questionnaire was administered to capture data related to demographic, psychological, sociological, and marketing factors, all measured at a single point in time. This method allowed for an efficient examination of multiple variables simultaneously, providing a snapshot of consumer interactions with social media influencers without manipulation over time. The choice of a cross-sectional design is particularly suitable for this research as it facilitates the identification of patterns and associations across a diverse sample within a limited timeframe.

5.2. Sample Size and Sampling Method

For data collection, this study employed convenience sampling, a non-probability sampling technique where participants are selected based on their availability and accessibility. A total of 540 social media users who follow one or more influencers were surveyed. Convenience sampling was chosen due to its practicality and efficiency in reaching respondents within a limited timeframe and resource constraints. Despite its limitations regarding generalizability, this method allowed for capturing a diverse sample representing variations in gender, age, education, occupation, and income. The resulting sample offered a valuable snapshot of different demographic segments and their behaviors related to social media influencer interactions.

5.3. Data Collection Instrument

A structured questionnaire was utilized to collect data, comprising sections on demographics, influencer attributes, social and psychological factors, influencer engagement strategies, and consumer outcomes. Demographic items included gender, age, education, occupation, income, and social media usage patterns. Influencer attributes assessed trustworthiness, authenticity, perceived expertise, and disclosure transparency. Social and psychological factors covered shared interests, peer pressure, sense of community, admiration of lifestyle, and curiosity. Engagement strategies included product reviews, unboxing videos, sponsored content, and personal testimonials. Consumer outcomes measured brand loyalty, engagement, value alignment, and long-term loyalty. Most items used a 5-point Likert scale, except demographics which employed nominal or ordinal scales. The questionnaire was piloted for clarity and reliability prior to full administration.

5.4 Statistical Tool

In this study, chi-square tests of independence were applied to analyze the relationships between categorical variables, which represent distinct groups or categories (e.g., gender, age groups). The degree of freedom (df) for each factor was calculated as $(k - 1)$, where k denotes the number of response categories within that variable. Statistical significance was established at the $p < 0.05$ level, indicating that only those results with a probability below this threshold were considered statistically significant.

Table 1 Demographic data of respondents

Variable	Categories	Frequency	Percentage
Gender	Male	295	54.6 %
	Female	245	45.4 %
Age	Under 18	34	6.3 %
	18-25	163	30.1 %
	26-35	136	25.1 %
	36-45	88	16.3 %
	46 -55	75	13.8 %
	56 & above	44	8.5 %
Education	High School	68	12.5 %
	Intermediate	108	20.1 %
	Undergraduate	163	30.1 %
	Postgraduate	115	21.3 %
	PhD	41	7.5 %

	Other	45	8.3 %
Occupation	Student	190	35.1 %
	Employed	163	30.2 %
	Self-employed	75	13.9 %
	Homemaker	46	8.5 %
	Retired	34	6.3 %
	Other	32	5.9 %
Income level	Less than ₹20,000	34	6.3 %
	₹20,000 - ₹50,000	176	32.6 %
	₹50,000 - ₹1,00,000	203	37.6 %
	More than ₹1,00,000	97	17.9 %
Social Media Usage Frequency	Rarely	54	10 %
	1-2 times/week)	115	21.3 %
	Frequently (Daily)	203	37.6 %
	Very Frequently	168	31.1 %
Preferred Social Media Platforms	Instagram	230	42.6 %
	YouTube	163	30.2 %
	Facebook	86	15.9 %
	Twitter	61	11.3 %
Familiarity with Influencers	Not Familiar	34	6.3 %
	Slightly Familiar	115	21.3 %
	Moderately Familiar	217	40.2 %
	Very Familiar	174	32.2 %

Tables 1 summarize the demographic characteristics of the 540 respondents. The pattern includes a balanced gender distribution with 54.6% male and 45.4% female, allowing analysis of gender differences in response to social media influencers. The majority of respondents are young; with 30.1% aged 18–25 and 25.1% aged 26–35, indicating greater likelihood of interacting with influencers.

In terms of education, 30.1% of respondents continue their undergraduate degree while 21.3% seek a master's degree, providing insight into how training impacts perceptions of credible influencers. As per the analysis of different financial backgrounds, the income level varies, with 37.6% earning ₹50,000-₹1,00,000 and 32.6% earning ₹20,000-₹50,000.

The majority pattern includes students (35.1%) and working adults (30.2%), with urban respondents accounting for 45.0%. This indicates a wide range of regional and professional backgrounds. 37.6% of the population uses social media daily, with YouTube (30.2%) and Instagram (42.6%) being the most popular platforms.

6. Results and Hypothesis Testing

6.1 Hypothesis 1: Influence of Psychological and Social Factors

Table 2 presents chi-square results for factors such as shared interests, peer pressure, admiration of lifestyle, curiosity, and sense of community.

Table 2 Influence of Psychological and Sociological Factors

Factor	χ² Value	p-Value
Shared Interests	85.33	< 0.001
Peer Pressure	25.04	< 0.001
Admiration of Lifestyle	10.08	< 0.001
Curiosity	42.81	< 0.001
Sense of Community	30.08	< 0.001

Interpretation: The results **(Table 2)** show that psychological and social factors, especially shared interest, significantly influence consumer engagement with influencers (χ^2 = 85.33, p < 0.001). Other factors such as peer pressure and sense of community also influence behavior, but to a lesser extent (p < 0.001 for both). This confirms that consumers are more likely to engage with influencers whose activities align with their personal interests.

6.2 Hypothesis 2: Trust, Authenticity, and Expertise

Table 3 shows chi-square results for trust in recommendations, perceived authenticity, perceived expertise, and disclosure transparency.

Table 3 Influencer Trust, Authenticity, and Expertise

Factor	χ² Value	p-Value
Trust in Recommendations	226.15	< 0.001
Perceived Authenticity	123.26	< 0.001
Perceived Expertise	448.52	< 0.001
Disclosure of Sponsored Content	310.58	< 0.001

Interpretation: Table 3 shows that Trust and authenticity are key factor in influencing consumer behavior. The high value of χ^2 (Chi Square) for perceived experti*se* (χ^2 = 448.52, p < 0.001) demonstrates that customers heavily rely upon influencers they view as informed. Moreover, influencers who are seen as authentic (χ^2 = 123.26, p < 0.001) and transparent in disclosing sponsored content (χ^2 = 310.58, p < 0.001) substantially influence consumers behavior and their purchasing decisions.

6.3 Hypothesis 3: Influencer Strategies

Table 4 summarizes chi-square results for strategies including product reviews, unboxing videos, sponsored content, and personal testimonials.

Table 4 Mechanisms of Influencers Swaying Preferences

Action	χ² Value	p-Value
Product Reviews	255.15	< 0.001
Unboxing Videos	40.33	< 0.001
Sponsored Content	50.70	< 0.001
Personal Stories/Testimonials	88.02	< 0.001

Interpretation: The statistical results **(Table 4)** shows that product reviews are the most effective strategy in influencing consumer preference (χ^2 = 255.15, p < 0.001). after those personal stories/testimonials (χ^2 = 88.02, p < 0.001) is second most strategy used by influencers that can also affect the consumers preference. Other (strategies)mechanisms like unboxing films and backed content also have some have an impact on but are much less impactful than product reviews and testimonials.

6.4 Hypothesis 4: Brand Loyalty and Engagement

Table 5 shows chi-square results for increased brand loyalty, engagement with influencer-endorsed content, value alignment, and long-term loyalty.

Table 5 Brand Loyalty, Consumer Engagement, and Value Alignment

Factor	χ^2 Value	p-Value
Increased Brand Loyalty	113.90	< 0.001
Engagement with Influencer-Endorsed Content	172.20	< 0.001
Value Alignment with Influencers	305.63	< 0.001
Long-Term Brand Loyalty	96.27	< 0.001

Interpretation: The results (**Table 5**) indicate that following influencers significantly increase customer loyalty ($\chi^2 = 113.90$, p < 0.001). Consumers interact with content that aligns with their values, particularly when those values are reflected by the influencers they follow ($\chi^2 = 305.63$, p < 0.001). This alignment helps enhance long-term loyalty to the brand ($\chi^2 = 96.27$, p < 0.001).

7. Discussion

The findings demonstrate that psychological and social factors, particularly shared interests and curiosity play critical roles in consumer engagement with influencers, corroborating social identity and peer influence theories. High chi-square values for perceived expertise and transparency underscore the importance of credibility in influencer marketing.

These results align with Lou and Yuan (2019) on message credibility and Audrezet et al. (2020) on authenticity, extending insights by quantifying the relative impact of diverse influencer strategies. Product reviews and personal testimonials emerged as the most persuasive tactics, reinforcing Martínez-López et al.'s (2020) emphasis on influencer-brand congruence.

The significant effects on brand loyalty and value alignment highlight the strategic importance of aligning influencer content with consumer values, as suggested by Trivedi and Sama (2020). Long-term loyalty effects indicate that continuous, value-driven influencer partnerships can sustain consumer engagement beyond initial purchase decisions.

These findings advance the digital persuasion paradigm by integrating sociological and psychological frameworks with practical marketing outcomes, offering a comprehensive model for future research and strategic application in digital marketing.

8. Conclusion

This study examined the multifaceted impact of social media influencers on consumer behavior through psychological and sociological lenses. Psychological factors such as shared interests and curiosity, along with sociological elements like peer influence and social identity, significantly drive consumer engagement. Trustworthiness, authenticity, and perceived expertise emerged as critical determinants of influencer effectiveness. Strategic content mechanisms, notably product reviews and personal testimonials, were identified as the most persuasive tactics. These insights reinforce the digital persuasion paradigm and offer actionable guidance for marketers to foster enduring brand loyalty through authentic influencer partnerships.

9. Limitations

Despite its contributions, this study has limitations. The use of convenience sampling may limit generalizability. The cross-sectional design captures relationships at a single point in time, precluding causal inferences. Self-reported measures introduce potential response biases. Future research should employ longitudinal designs, diverse sampling methods, and experimental approaches to strengthen causal understanding.

10. Implications of the Study

10.1 Theoretical Implications

This research integrates psychological and sociological frameworks within influencer marketing, advancing the digital persuasion paradigm. It confirms that traditional social influence theories (e.g., social identity, parasocial interaction) are applicable in online contexts and quantifies their relative impacts.

10.2 Managerial Implications

Marketers should prioritize influencer brand congruence and authenticity. Selecting influencers with high perceived expertise and aligning sponsored content with consumer values can enhance brand loyalty and long-term engagement. Clear sponsorship disclosures are essential for maintaining trust.

10.3 Consumer Awareness

Educating consumers about persuasive tactics, such as peer influence and curated authenticity can foster critical awareness, enabling more informed purchasing decisions.

10.4 Policy and Ethical Considerations

Regulators should enforce transparency guidelines for influencer disclosures and monitor deceptive practices. Ethical standards will protect consumers from hidden sponsorships and false endorsements.

10.5 Future Research Directions

Future studies should explore micro-influencers' effectiveness, cultural variations in influencer impact, and longitudinal effects of influencer marketing strategies.

11. References

1. Abidin, C. (2016). Visibility labour: Engaging with Influencers' fashion brands and #OOTD advertorial campaigns on Instagram. *Media International Australia*, *161*(1), 86–100. https://doi.org/10.1177/1329878X16665177

2. Breves, P. L., Liebers, N., Abt, M., & Kunze, A. (2019). The perceived fit between instagram influencers and the endorsed brand: How influencer–brand fit affects source credibility and persuasive effectiveness. *Journal of Advertising Research*, *59*(4), 440–454. https://doi.org/10.2501/JAR-2019-030

3. Chopra, A., Avhad, V., &Jaju, A. S. (2021). Influencer marketing: An exploratory study to identify antecedents of consumer behavior of millennial. *Business Perspectives and Research*, *9*(1), 77–91. https://doi.org/10.1177/2278533720923486

4. De Veirman, M., Cauberghe, V., &Hudders, L. (2017). Marketing through Instagram influencers: The impact of number of followers and product divergence on brand attitude. *International Journal of Advertising*, *36*(5), 798–828. https://doi.org/10.1080/02650487.2017.1348035

5. Freberg, K., Graham, K., McGaughey, K., & Freberg, L. A. (2011). Who are the social media influencers? A study of public perceptions of personality. *Public Relations Review*, *37*(1), 90–92. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pubrev.2010.11.001

6. Jin, S. V., & Ryu, E. (2020). "I'll buy what she's #wearing": The roles of envy toward and parasocial interaction with influencers in Instagram celebrity-based brand endorsement and social commerce. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, *55*, 102121. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2020.102121

7. Jin, S. V., Muqaddam, A., & Ryu, E. (2019). Instafamous and social media influencer marketing. *Marketing Intelligence & Planning*, *37*(5), 567–579. https://doi.org/10.1108/MIP-09-2018-0375

8. Lee, S., & Kim, E. (2020). Influencer marketing on Instagram: How sponsorship disclosure, influencer credibility, and brand credibility impact the effectiveness of Instagram promotional post. *Journal of Global Fashion Marketing*, *11*(3), 232–249. https://doi.org/10.1080/20932685.2020.1752766

9. Leung, F. F., Gu, F. F., Li, Y., Zhang, J. Z., &Palmatier, R. W. (2022). Influencer marketing effectiveness. *Journal of Marketing*, *86*(6), 93–115. https://doi.org/10.1177/00222429221102889

10. Lou, C., & Yuan, S. (2019). Influencer marketing: How message value and credibility affect consumer trust of branded content on social media. *Journal of Interactive Advertising*, *19*(1), 58–73. https://doi.org/10.1080/15252019.2018.1533501

11. Martínez-López, F. J., Anaya-Sánchez, R., Fernández Giordano, M., & Lopez-Lopez, D. (2020). Behind influencer marketing: Key marketing decisions and their effects on followers' responses. *Journal of Marketing Management*, *36*(7–8), 579–607. https://doi.org/10.1080/0267257X.2020.1738525

12. Masuda, H., Han, S. H., & Lee, J. (2022). Impacts of influencer attributes on purchase intentions in social media influencer marketing: Mediating roles of characterizations. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, *174*, 121246. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2021.121246

13. Suter, M. B., Munjal, S., Borini, F. M., &Floriani, D. (2021). Conceptualizing country-of-origin image as a country-specific advantage: An insider perspective. *Journal of Business Research*, *134*, 415–427. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2021.05.034

14. Tafesse, W., & Wood, B. P. (2021). Followers' engagement with instagram influencers: The role of influencers' content and engagement strategy. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, *58*, 102303. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2020.102303

15. Tajfel, H., & Turner, J. C. (2004). The social identity theory of intergroup behavior. In *Political Psychology*. Psychology Press.

16. Tanrikulu, C. (2021). Theory of consumption values in consumer behaviour research: A review and future research agenda. *International Journal of Consumer Studies*, *45*(6), 1176–1197. https://doi.org/10.1111/ijcs.12687

17. Trivedi, J., & Sama, R. (2020). The effect of influencer marketing on consumers' brand admiration and online purchase intentions: An emerging market perspective. *Journal of Internet Commerce*, *19*(1), 103–124. https://doi.org/10.1080/15332861.2019.1700741

12. Appendix

Table 6 **Summary of Consumer Responses**

Influence of social and psychological factors		
Question	**Response**	**Frequency**
Q1. How frequently do you interact with influencer content on social media	Daily	422
	Weekly	73
	Monthly	30
	Rarely	15
	Never	0
Q2. Do you believe that influencers align with your personal values and beliefs?	Strongly Agree	56
	Agree	85
	Neutral	79
	Disagree	144
	Strongly Disagree	176
Q3. Does your cultural background influence your trust in social media influencers?	Yes	206
	No	334
Q4. To what extent do you feel that social media influencers affect your buying decisions?	Not at all	92
	Slightly	196
	Moderately	112
	Significantly	87
	Completely	53
Q5. Which social or psychological factors drive you to follow an influencer?	Shared interests	204
	Peer pressure	56
	Sense of community	165
	Admiration of lifestyle	75
	Curiosity	40
Influencer Trust, Authenticity, and Expertise		
Q6. How much do you trust the recommendations of social media influencers?	Not at all	52
	Slightly	228
	Moderately	146
	Significantly	68
	Completely	46

Q7. Do you perceive social media influencers as authentic in their communication?	Strongly Agree	178
	Agree	162
	Neutral	62
	Disagree	82
	Strongly Disagree	56
Q8. How important is perceived expertise when you consider an influencer's opinion on a product or service?	Not important	8
	Somewhat important	32
	Important	50
	Very important	194
	Extremely important	256
Q9. Do you feel that influencers disclose sponsored content clearly and transparently?	Yes, always	257
	Sometimes	215
	Rarely	45
	Never	23
Q10. Does an influencer's authenticity influence your purchase decisions?	Yes	378
	No	162
Strategies (Mechanisms) used by influencers in swaying consumers		
Q11. Which of the following actions by influencers affect your purchase preferences the most?	Product reviews	274
	Unboxing videos	42
	Sponsored content	34
	Lifestyle content	78
	Personal stories/testimonials	112
Q12. Have you ever purchased a product solely based on an influencer's recommendation?	Yes	384
	No	156
Q13.How likely are you to recommend a product to others after seeing it endorsed by an influencer?	Very Unlikely	78
	Unlikely	42
	Neutral	34
	Likely	272
	Very Likely	114
Q14.Do you compare products endorsed by different influencers before deciding?	Yes	394
	No	146
Q15.What type of influencer (e.g., celebrity, micro influencer) is most persuasive in shaping your preferences?	Celebrity	168
	Micro influencer	82
	Expert	172
	Lifestyle blogger	82
	Niche influencer	36
Brand Loyalty, Consumer Engagement, and Value Alignment		

Q16.Did following an influencer increases your loyalty to a particular brand?	Yes	394
	No	146
Q17.How often do you engage with content material from brands that influencers use (e.g., by means of sharing or commenting)?	Always	198
	Often	113
	Sometimes	145
	Rarely	56
	Never	28
Q18.Do you feel more connected to a brand if it aligns with the values of influencers you follow?	Strongly Agree	214
	Agree	196
	Neutral	74
	Disagree	34
	Strongly Disagree	22
Q19. Does influencer content make you more likely to interact with a brand on social media?	Yes	398
	No	142
Q20.Do the influences improve your long-term brand loyalty by reinforcing your personal values?	Yes	384
	No	156