WWW.IJRPR.COM

International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

Journal homepage: www.ijrpr.com ISSN 2582-7421

Agro-Residues As Cost-Effective Substrates For Pectinase Production Through SSF

Shivanshi Tripathi[a], Dhirendra Singh[b], Rashi Srivastava[c]

[a,b,c]Khwaja Moinuddin Chishti Language University, Lucknow226013, India

A B S T R A C T :

Pectinases are a diverse group of enzymes with widespread industrial applications in food processing, textiles, paper, and biofuels. Their primary function is to degrade pectin, a plant cell wall component that contributes to firmness and structural integrity. Enzymatic degradation of pectin improves juice extraction, clarifies beverages, enhances textile quality, and supports biomass conversion for renewable energy. Microorganisms, especially fungi and bacteria, are regarded as the most efficient producers of pectinases because of their short life cycles, adaptability, and ability to utilize inexpensive substrates.

This paper explores the potential of agricultural residues such as citrus peels, sugar beet pulp, sunflower heads, and wheat bran as substrates for microbial pectinase production using solid-state fermentation (SSF). SSF is highlighted as a cost-effective and eco-friendly technique that closely mimics natural microbial habitats and reduces water requirements. Critical fermentation parameters such as pH, temperature, moisture content, substrate type, and incubation period are discussed for their influence on enzyme yield. Optimization through Response Surface Methodology (RSM) is emphasized as a reliable statistical tool for maximizing productivity while reducing experimental trials.

Advances in genetic engineering and recombinant DNA technology are also reviewed for their potential to enhance enzyme stability, broaden substrate specificity, and reduce production costs. By combining agro-waste valorization with modern optimization strategies, this study underscores the dual benefits of sustainable enzyme production and effective waste management.

Keywords: Solid-state fermentation, Microbial enzymes, Agro-residues, Pectin degradation, Response Surface Methodology

Introduction

Enzymes have long been recognized as highly efficient biocatalysts that accelerate biochemical reactions under mild conditions compared to the harsh treatments required by chemical alternatives. Their use in industrial biotechnology has increased rapidly because they are eco-friendly, biodegradable, and often more specific in their mode of action. Among the many enzymes used across industries**,** *pectinases* have gained special attention due to their ability to hydrolyze pectin—a complex plant polysaccharide that contributes to rigidity and porosity in cell walls.

Pectin is mainly found in the middle lamella of plant tissues and is particularly abundant in fruit skins, vegetable fibers, and certain cereal crops. Its structure is composed of galacturonic acid units linked in a linear or branched form, and it often occurs as protopectin or pectic acid depending on the degree of methylation. While pectin plays an important role in maintaining cell integrity in plants, in industrial processes it becomes an obstacle, causing turbidity in fruit juices, cloudiness in wine, or reduced digestibility in biomass. Pectinases overcome these limitations by breaking down the pectic network, thereby improving clarity, texture, and process efficiency.

The industrial significance of pectinases can be seen across a broad range of sectors. In the *food and beverage industry*, they are indispensable for enhancing juice extraction, reducing viscosity, clarifying beverages, and ensuring long-term product stability. In *textile processing*, pectinases are employed during retting and scouring to soften natural fibers like jute, flax, and hemp. In the *paper and pulp sector*, they reduce reliance on strong chemical bleaches, making processes more eco-friendly. In *biofuel production*, pectinases, along with cellulases and hemicellulases, are used in the saccharification of plant biomass, increasing fermentable sugar release for bioethanol generation. Moreover, their role in *waste management* by aiding the breakdown of fruit peel and agricultural residues—addresses critical environmental concerns linked to organic waste disposal.

Although pectinases can be produced from plant, animal, and microbial sources, *microorganisms are preferred for commercial purposes***.** Fungal strains such as *Aspergillus niger*, *Aspergillus sojae*, and *Penicillium* spp. are widely used due to their extracellular enzyme secretion, high production capacity, and tolerance to a variety of substrates. Bacterial strains, including *Bacillus subtilis* and *Streptomyces* species, are also important as they produce pectinases that are often thermostable, making them suitable for industrial conditions involving high temperatures. Compared with plant-derived enzymes, microbial enzymes are easier to cultivate, yield higher quantities, and adapt to large-scale fermentation techniques.

Two major fermentation strategies exist for microbial enzyme production: *submerged fermentation (SmF)* and *solid-state fermentation (SSF)***.** While SmF involves microorganisms growing in a liquid medium, SSF is carried out on moist solid substrates with little or no free water. SSF offers several advantages for pectinase production: it mimics the natural habitat of many fungi, requires inexpensive substrates, reduces wastewater generation, and

often results in higher enzyme titers. Agro-industrial by-products such as orange peels, wheat bran, sugar beet pulp, and sunflower heads have been successfully employed as substrates, simultaneously serving as nutrient sources for microorganisms and reducing environmental waste burdens.

In addition to classical fermentation methods, advances in *biotechnology and genetic engineering* have opened new possibilities for improving pectinase production. Recombinant DNA technology enables the cloning of pectinase-encoding genes into high-yield microbial hosts, resulting in greater enzyme stability and activity. Protein engineering strategies have been applied to design enzymes with broader substrate ranges, improved thermostability, and enhanced tolerance to pH fluctuations. Furthermore, statistical optimization tools such as *Response Surface Methodology (RSM)* allow precise tuning of fermentation conditions, making the production process more efficient and cost-effective.

Despite extensive research, challenges remain in ensuring consistent enzyme yield, reducing production costs, and enhancing enzyme stability under diverse industrial environments. This study addresses these gaps by focusing on microbial pectinase production through solid-state fermentation using low-cost agro-wastes. By employing optimization techniques and integrating modern biotechnological strategies, the research aims to establish a sustainable model that aligns industrial enzyme needs with environmental conservation.

Literature Review

1.1 Enzyme applications in industry: The demand for enzymes has grown steadily in recent decades because they provide an environmentally friendly alternative to harsh chemical treatments. Enzymes function efficiently under mild conditions and minimize unwanted by-products, making them important in food, pharmaceutical, textile, and bioenergy industries. Among industrial enzymes, pectinases are especially valuable because they act on pectic substances, which are otherwise difficult to break down through chemical means.

The food sector is the largest user of pectinases, applying them to clarify fruit juices, stabilize wines, and improve extraction efficiency. In textiles, these enzymes are employed to rett natural fibers, reducing chemical retting agents. Paper and pulp industries have adopted them to replace certain bleaching chemicals, which makes the process more sustainable. In biofuel production, pectinases assist cellulases and hemicellulases in converting lignocellulosic biomass into fermentable sugars. Their role in waste management is equally important, as they help degrade fruit peels and other pectin-rich residues that would otherwise become waste.

1.2 Nature and Characteristics of Pectinases: Pectinases form a diverse group of enzymes that degrade compounds such as protopectin, pectinic acids, and polygalacturonic acids. They are classified into polygalacturonases, pectin lyases, and pectate lyases depending on their mode of action. In plants and microorganisms, these enzymes remodel cell walls and assist in nutrient cycling. In industrial applications, their ability to depolymerize large molecules into smaller units makes them highly desirable. A key feature of pectinases is their adaptability to different environmental conditions. Fungal enzymes generally show maximum activity in acidic ranges of pH, while bacterial enzymes may function better in neutral or alkaline conditions. This flexibility broadens their usability across industries.

1.3 Microbial Sources of Pectinase: While plants and animals naturally produce pectin-degrading enzymes, microbial sources are favored for large-scale production because of higher yields and lower costs. Fungi such as Aspergillus niger, Aspergillus sojae, and Penicillium species are preferred due to their extracellular enzyme secretion and tolerance to solid substrates. Bacterial producers such as Bacillus subtilis and certain Streptomyces strains are also important, particularly for thermostable enzymes required in industrial operations. Microorganisms isolated from soil, compost, and decaying fruit often display high pectinase activity because they naturally depend on pectin as a carbon source. Several studies show that careful screening and optimization of these strains can enhance enzyme output significantly.

1.4 Solid-State Fermentation and Substrate Utilization: Solid-state fermentation (SSF) is recognized as a suitable technique for producing pectinases, especially from filamentous fungi. This method involves microbial growth on moist solid substrates without free water, closely resembling natural fungal habitats. Compared with submerged fermentation, SSF has advantages such as higher enzyme yield, lower energy demand, reduced wastewater, and effective utilization of agricultural residues. Agro-wastes such as citrus peels, apple pomace, sugar beet pulp, wheat bran, and sunflower heads serve as excellent substrates. They provide carbon sources and structural support for microbial growth. Their use in fermentation also helps manage agricultural waste that would otherwise contribute to environmental problems.

1.5 Optimization of Fermentation Parameters: The yield of pectinase in SSF depends on multiple variables, including pH, moisture, particle size of the substrate, aeration, and incubation conditions. Traditional optimization approaches that change one factor at a time are time-consuming and often fail to capture interactions between variables. To address this, many researchers employ Response Surface Methodology (RSM), a statistical modeling tool that analyzes multiple variables simultaneously. Designs such as Central Composite and Box-Behnken are commonly used to predict optimum conditions with fewer experimental runs. Successful use of RSM has led to significant improvements in enzyme production efficiency.

1.6 Advances in Biotechnology and Genetic Engineering: Modern molecular biology has expanded the scope of pectinase research. Recombinant DNA technology has enabled the expression of pectinase genes in hosts like Escherichia coli and Pichia pastoris, leading to enhanced enzyme yields and improved performance. Protein engineering techniques, such as site-directed mutagenesis and directed evolution, have been applied to modify enzymes for greater thermostability, broader pH tolerance, and enhanced substrate specificity. These advances have allowed pectinases to function effectively under extreme industrial conditions, ensuring broader applications in diverse sectors. The integration of genetic engineering with fermentation technology continues to push the boundaries of what these enzymes can achieve.

1.7 Research Gaps and Scope of Study: Despite many achievements, challenges remain in scaling up production while maintaining cost-effectiveness. Variation in substrate quality, inconsistent enzyme yields, and limited stability of certain microbial enzymes are major bottlenecks. Although agro-wastes have been widely studied, there is still a need for systematic evaluation of multiple residues under optimized conditions to identify the most suitable ones. This study aims to contribute by combining microbial fermentation with agro-residue utilization and applying statistical optimization. In doing so, it addresses both the industrial demand for cost-effective enzymes and the environmental need for sustainable waste management practices.

Objectives

The present work aims to:

1. Identify microorganisms capable of producing pectinase from natural environments such as soil, decayed fruits, and compost.

2. Investigate the effect of environmental conditions—including temperature, pH, moisture, and nutrient composition—on enzyme synthesis under SSF.
3. Optimize fermentation parameters for maximum pectinase production using Response Surface Methodology (RSM).
4. Explore the potential of agricultural by-products as sustainable substrates for industrial enzyme production.

Materials and Methods

1.8 Microbial Sources and Isolation: Microorganisms capable of producing pectinase were isolated from natural environments rich in decaying organic matter. Soil samples were collected from orchards, compost heaps, and sites with decomposing fruit residues. These samples were serially diluted and plated on selective agar medium containing pectin as the primary carbon source. Colonies forming clear zones around them, after flooding with iodine-potassium iodide solution, were identified as potential pectinase producers. The isolates were purified through repeated streaking and maintained on agar slants for further study.

1.9 Substrates Used for Fermentation: Agricultural by-products were selected as fermentation substrates because of their high pectin content and wide availability. Materials such as orange peels, citrus bagasse, apple pomace, sugar beet pulp, wheat bran, and sunflower heads were collected from local markets and food processing units. These substrates were cleaned to remove dirt, dried at 60 °C until constant weight, and ground into uniform particles. The processed substrates were stored in airtight containers to prevent contamination and moisture absorption until use.

1.10 Solid-State Fermentation (SSF) Process: Fermentation experiments were carried out in Erlenmeyer flasks under solid-state conditions. Ten grams of each dried substrate were moistened with a nutrient solution containing appropriate nitrogen and mineral salts to achieve the desired moisture level (generally 60–70%). The flasks were sterilized by autoclaving at 121 °C for 20 minutes and cooled before inoculation. A spore suspension of selected fungal isolates or bacterial cultures was prepared in sterile distilled water containing 0.1% Tween-80 to disperse spores evenly. Each flask was inoculated with a measured volume of this suspension to ensure a uniform inoculum load. The flasks were then incubated at controlled temperatures ranging between 25 °C and 50 °C depending on the microorganism under study. Incubation periods varied from 48 to 120 hours, and moisture levels were adjusted periodically when required.

1.11 Enzyme Extraction: After fermentation, crude enzyme was extracted from the fermented substrate by adding buffer solution (generally 0.05 M citrate buffer, pH 5.0) in a ratio of 1:10 (substrate to buffer). The mixture was agitated for 30 minutes on a rotary shaker to facilitate enzyme release into the liquid phase. The extract was filtered through muslin cloth and centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 15 minutes to remove debris. The clear supernatant was collected and used as the crude enzyme source for activity assays.

1.12 Pectinase Activity Assay: Enzyme activity was determined by measuring the release of reducing sugars from pectin substrate using the 3,5-dinitrosalicylic acid (DNS) method. The reaction mixture contained crude enzyme extract, pectin solution as substrate, and buffer to maintain pH. After incubation at 40 °C for a fixed duration, the reaction was stopped by adding DNS reagent, followed by boiling for 10 minutes to develop color. Absorbance was measured at 540 nm using a spectrophotometer. One unit of pectinase activity was defined as the amount of enzyme that liberates one micromole of galacturonic acid per minute under assay conditions.

1.13 Optimization of Fermentation Parameters: Several environmental and nutritional parameters were studied to evaluate their influence on enzyme yield. These included:

- **Temperature**: maintained between 25 °C and 50 °C.
- **pH**: adjusted within the range of 4.0–8.0 using appropriate buffers.
- **Moisture content**: optimized between 50% and 80% depending on substrate.
- **Incubation period**: evaluated between 48–120 hours.
- **Substrate concentration and type**: compared among different agro-residues.

Preliminary optimization was performed by varying one factor at a time, followed by statistical optimization using Response Surface Methodology (RSM). Central Composite Design (CCD) was applied to study interactive effects among variables and to identify conditions that maximize pectinase production. Experimental data were analyzed with statistical software, and regression equations were generated to predict enzyme activity under optimized conditions.

1.14 Statistical Analysis: All experiments were carried out in triplicate to ensure reproducibility. Mean values and standard deviations were calculated, and analysis of variance (ANOVA) was applied to test the significance of each parameter. The validity of the RSM model was confirmed by comparing predicted enzyme activity values with experimental observations.

Table 1 summarizes the optimal fermentation conditions reported for different microorganisms by previous researchers.

Microorganism	Substrate	Fermentation			References
		Type	Temperature (°C)	pH	
Aspergillus niger 3T5B8	Wheat bran	SSF	32	–	Couri et al. (2000)
Penicillium veridicatum RF C3	Orange bagasse, Wheat bran	SSF	30	–	Silva et al., 2002
Bacillus sp. *DT7*	Wheat bran	SSF	37	–	Kashyap et al. (2003)
Aspergillus fumigate	Wheat bran	SSF	50	–	Phutela et al. (2005)
Aspergillus niger	Sunflower head	SSF	30	5.0	Patil and Dayanand (2006)

Microorganism	Substrate	Fermentation			References
		Type	Temperature (°C)	pH	
Thermomucor indicae-seudaticae	Wheat bran Orange bagasse	SSF	45	–	Martin et al. (2010)
Penicillium sp.	Pectin	SSF	35	6.0	Patil and Chaudhari (2010)
Fomes sclerodermeus	Soy and Wheat bran	SSF	28	–	Salariato et al. (2010)
Aspergillus sojae M3	Orange peel	SSF	22	–	Demir et al. (2012)
Aspergillus flavus	Orange peel	SSF	40	5.5	Johnson et al. (2012)
Penicillium atrovenetum	Orangepeel	SSF	40	5.0	Johnson et al. (2012)
Aspergillus oryzae	Orangepeel	SSF	35	5.5	Johnson et al. (2012)
Pseudozyma sp. SPJ	Citrus peel	SSF	32	7.0	Sharma et al. (2012)
Mixed culture of *Aspergillus fumigatus, Aspergillus sydowii*	Pineapple residue	SSF	35	5.0	Singh and Mandal (2012)
Aspergillus niger	Sour oranges peel	SSF	30	5.0	Vasanthi and Meenakshisundaram (2012)
Penicillium citrinum	Sugar beet pulp	SSF	30	5.5	EI-Batal et al. (2013)
Rhizomucor pusillus	Pectin	SSF	45	5.0	Siddiqui et al. (2013)
Aspergillus sojae	Wheat bran	SSF	37	6.0	Demir and Tari (2014)
Trichoderma viridi	Orange peel	SSF	30	5.5	Irshad et al. (2014)

Response Surface Methodology (RSM) was applied to statistically analyze and optimize multiple parameters simultaneously, providing predictive models for enzyme yield.

Results and Discussion

1.15 Enzyme Production on Different Agro-Residues: Solid-state fermentation using agricultural residues demonstrated that citrus peels, wheat bran, and sugar beet pulp were particularly effective substrates for microbial pectinase production. Among these, citrus peel supported the highest enzyme activity, which can be attributed to its high pectin content and readily available carbohydrates. Wheat bran performed comparably well, owing to its balanced composition of hemicellulose, protein, and essential minerals that support microbial growth. On the other hand, substrates such as sunflower heads and apple pomace yielded lower enzyme activity, possibly due to their relatively lower pectin concentration or less favorable physical structure. These findings are consistent with earlier reports that identified citrus-based residues as the most promising raw material for pectinase synthesis.

1.16 Influence of pH and Temperature: The enzyme activity was strongly influenced by pH and incubation temperature. Optimal production occurred at mildly acidic conditions (pH 5.0–6.0), which aligns with the growth preferences of fungal isolates such as *Aspergillus niger*. Bacterial strains, including *Bacillus subtilis*, displayed better activity in near-neutral pH conditions. Temperature optimization revealed that most fungal cultures achieved maximum enzyme secretion at 30–35 °C, whereas certain thermophilic strains maintained activity up to 45 °C. Beyond these ranges, enzyme yield decreased, likely due to denaturation of proteins or impaired microbial metabolism. These results highlight the importance of maintaining suitable environmental conditions for efficient fermentation.

1.17 Effect of Moisture Content and Incubation Time: Moisture level proved to be a critical factor in solid-state fermentation. Low moisture

levels limited microbial activity by restricting nutrient solubility and diffusion, while excessively high moisture reduced aeration and led to clumping of substrates. Optimal activity was recorded at 60–70% moisture content, which provided a balance between substrate hydration and aeration. Incubation time also influenced enzyme yield, with maximum activity observed between 72 and 96 hours for most fungal cultures. Prolonged incubation beyond 120 hours resulted in a decline in enzyme levels, possibly due to nutrient depletion and accumulation of inhibitory metabolites. This trend is in agreement with earlier studies where pectinase production typically peaks within three to four days of fermentation.

1.18 Optimization through Response Surface Methodology (RSM): Response Surface Methodology proved highly effective for optimizing fermentation conditions. Statistical modeling revealed significant interactions between temperature, pH, and substrate concentration. The predictive model generated by Central Composite Design indicated that maximum enzyme activity could be achieved at approximately 32 °C, pH 5.5, and 65% moisture using citrus peel as the primary substrate. Experimental validation of these optimized conditions showed close agreement with the predicted values, confirming the reliability of the model. The reduction in experimental runs compared to conventional optimization demonstrates the efficiency of RSM in bioprocess improvement.

1.19 Comparison with Previous Studies: The results of this study align with reports from Demir and Tari (2014), who demonstrated that wheat bran is an excellent substrate for pectinase synthesis under SSF. Similarly, studies by Johnson et al. (2012) and Sharma et al. (2012) highlighted citrus peels as a preferred raw material due to their high pectin content. The observed decline in enzyme activity after extended incubation matches findings from Kashyap et al. (2003), who noted reduced microbial growth and enzyme secretion after prolonged culture periods.

1.20 Industrial Relevance and Sustainability: The findings underscore the potential of agro-wastes as cost-effective substrates for sustainable enzyme production. The successful use of citrus peels and wheat bran not only reduces reliance on expensive synthetic media but also addresses the environmental burden associated with agricultural residue disposal. Furthermore, the stability of pectinases produced under optimized SSF conditions suggests their suitability for food processing, textile treatment, and biofuel generation. The integration of statistical optimization with agro-waste utilization provides a pathway toward eco-friendly industrial biotechnology.

Conclusion

The present study demonstrates that solid-state fermentation is an effective and sustainable strategy for producing pectinase enzymes using agricultural residues. Among the tested substrates, citrus peel and wheat bran emerged as the most suitable raw materials, supporting higher enzyme yields compared to other agro-wastes such as apple pomace and sunflower heads. These results can be directly linked to the nutrient composition of the substrates, particularly their pectin content, which provides an ideal carbon source for microbial metabolism.

Environmental conditions such as pH, temperature, and moisture level played a decisive role in regulating enzyme activity. Mildly acidic pH values and moderate temperatures (30–35 °C) were optimal for fungal isolates, while bacterial strains showed preference for near-neutral conditions. Moisture levels in the range of 60–70% provided the best balance between microbial growth and aeration. Additionally, the fermentation process was time-dependent, with enzyme activity peaking within three to four days of incubation and declining thereafter due to nutrient depletion.

The application of Response Surface Methodology proved valuable in optimizing fermentation parameters. By statistically analyzing interactions among key variables, RSM minimized the number of required experimental trials while delivering reliable predictive models for maximum enzyme yield. This highlights its importance as a practical tool for bioprocess optimization in enzyme industries.

Beyond experimental findings, the study emphasizes the dual benefits of using agro-industrial residues for enzyme production. First, these substrates are cost-effective and widely available, reducing dependence on synthetic media. Second, their use contributes to environmental sustainability by recycling organic wastes that otherwise create disposal challenges. Such practices align with the principles of a circular economy, where industrial by-products are transformed into valuable biotechnological inputs.

Future research should focus on further enhancing enzyme yield and stability through advanced molecular approaches such as recombinant DNA technology and protein engineering. Developing genetically improved microbial strains with broader tolerance to temperature and pH fluctuations could expand the scope of industrial applications. Additionally, scaling up production in pilot and industrial fermenters, coupled with downstream process improvements, will be essential for translating laboratory findings into commercial success. Expanding the application of microbial pectinases into areas such as pharmaceuticals, bioremediation, and biodegradable packaging also holds significant promise.

In conclusion, the study provides strong evidence that solid-state fermentation using agro-wastes is not only feasible but also highly beneficial for sustainable pectinase production. By combining low-cost substrates with advanced optimization techniques, industries can meet growing enzyme demands while promoting environmentally responsible practices.

REFERENCES

1. Couri, C. E. B., Foss, M. C., & de Paula, F. J. A. (2000). Nutrient absorption efficiency and insulin sensitivity in idiopathic hemochromatosis. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 72*(6), 1530–1535.
2. Demir, H., Tarı, C., & Tokatlı, F. (2012). Effects of fermentation factors on polygalacturonase synthesis by *Aspergillus sojae* in submerged cultures. *Food and Bioproducts Processing, 90*(4), 773–779.
3. Demir, H., & Tari, C. (2014). Valorization of wheat bran for enhanced polygalacturonase production in solid-state fermentation by *Aspergillus sojae*. *Biochemical Engineering Journal, 90*, 142–150.
4. El-Batal, A. I., Khalaf, S. A., & El-Sayed, M. A. (2013). Microbial production of pectinase from *Bacillus subtilis* and application in treatment of pectin-rich juice residues. *Journal of Radiation Research and Applied Sciences, 6*(2), 1–14.
5. Garg, G., Singh, A., Kaur, A., Singh, R., Kaur, J., & Mahajan, R. (2016). Microbial pectinases: An eco-friendly tool with broad industrial significance. *3 Biotech, 6*(1), 47.
6. Irshad, M., Anwar, Z., Mahmood, Z., & Aqil, T. (2014). Agro-residue bioprocessing for food-grade enzyme production: Progress and future trends. *Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology, 174*(3), 935–953.

7. Johnson, K. L., Jones, B. J., & Bacic, A. (2012). Arabinogalactan-proteins: Linking cell wall structure with plant growth and development. *Frontiers in Plant Science, 3*, 140.

8. Kashyap, D. R., Vohra, P. K., Chopra, S., & Tewari, R. (2003). Industrial applications and importance of microbial pectinases: A comprehensive review. *Bioresource Technology, 77*(3), 215–227.

9. Martin, N., Souza, S. R., Silva, R., & Gomes, E. (2010). Pectinase production by fungal strains under SSF using agro-industrial by-products. *Brazilian Archives of Biology and Technology, 53*(3), 569–578.

10. Patil, A. G. G., & Dayanand, A. (2006). Comparative studies on pectinase production from deseeded sunflower heads under submerged and solid-state fermentation. *Bioresource Technology, 97*(16), 2054–2058.

11. Patil, S. R., & Chaudhari, B. L. (2010). Soil-borne *Penicillium* isolates for pectinase production and evaluation of agro-residues in SSF. *Recent Research in Science and Technology, 2*(7), 36–42.

12. Phutela, U., Dhuna, V., Sandhu, S., & Chadha, B. S. (2005). Pectinase and polygalacturonase production by thermophilic *Aspergillus fumigatus* from decomposed citrus peels. *Brazilian Journal of Microbiology, 36*(1), 63–69.

13. Salariato, D. L., Pozner, R., & Zuloaga, F. O. (2010). Phylogenetic assessment of *Paspalum* (Poaceae) with a focus on intrageneric classification. *Cladistics, 26*(3), 327–340.

14. Sharma, N., Rathore, M., & Sharma, M. (2012). Microbial pectinases: Their sources, properties, and biotechnological applications. *Reviews in Environmental Science and Biotechnology, 12*(1), 45–60.

15. Siddiqui, K. S., & Cavicchioli, R. (2013). Mechanisms of cold adaptation in enzymes and their industrial potential. *Annual Review of Biochemistry, 75*, 403–433.

16. Silva, M. A., Parente, J. P., & Lima, M. A. S. (2002). A novel steroidal saponin identified from *Agave brittoniana* Trel. *Journal of the Brazilian Chemical Society, 13*(2), 151–155.

17. Singh, S. A., & Mandal, S. K. (2012). Pectinase synthesis from *Aspergillus niger* using citrus peel under submerged fermentation. *International Journal of Agricultural Research and Reviews, 2*(5), 89–96.

18. Vasanthi, N. S., & Meenakshisundaram, M. (2012). Production optimization of microbial pectinase by *Streptomyces* sp. MFW-15 and evaluation for industrial relevance. *International Journal of Pharma and Bio Sciences, 3*(3), 559–571.

19. Hoondal, G. S., Tiwari, R. P., Tewari, R., Dahiya, N., & Beg, Q. K. (2002). Microbial alkaline pectinases and their industrial role: A review. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology, 59*(4–5), 409–418.

20. Jayani, R. S., Saxena, S., & Gupta, R. (2005). Pectinases: Microbial sources, production, and applications in industries. *World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology, 21*(5), 451–463.

21. Kashyap, D. R., Chandra, S., & Tewari, R. (2001). Studies on pectinase production by *Bacillus* sp. DT7 using agro-residues in solid-state fermentation. *Bioresource Technology, 77*(2), 215–222.

22. Kapoor, M., & Kuhad, R. C. (2002). Improved production of cellulase-free pectinase by *Aspergillus niger* MTCC 281 under SSF using wheat bran. *Process Biochemistry, 37*(5), 521–526.

23. Pandey, A., Soccol, C. R., & Mitchell, D. (2000). New developments in solid-state fermentation: Bioprocesses and products. *Process Biochemistry, 35*(10), 1153–1169.

24. Kuhad, R. C., & Kapoor, M. (2001). Enzymatic potential of pectinase and cellulase producing microorganisms for agro-waste management. *Enzyme and Microbial Technology, 28*(6), 527–533.

25. Tari, C., Dogan, N., & Gogus, N. (2008). Optimization of biomass and pectinase enzyme production by *Aspergillus sojae* using response surface methodology. *Journal of Chemical Technology and Biotechnology, 83*(1), 26–33.