# Analyzing The Social Marginalization Of Gender Non-Conformers: A Study Of Cultural Norms And Societal Attitudes

## *Diksha Singh, **Dr. Parantap K. Das

[1] Research Scholar, School of Law and Constitutional Studies, Shobhit Institute of Engineering and Technology, (Deemed-to-be University) Meerut
[2] Associate Professor, School of Law and Constitutional Studies, Shobhit Institute of Engineering and Technology, (Deemed-to-be University) Meerut

ABSTRACT :

This research explores the social and legal construction of gender non-conformers, focusing on the intersection of cultural norms, legal frameworks, and societal attitudes in shaping their experiences. Drawing on social constructionism, it examines how rigid gender roles and binary perceptions marginalize individuals who challenge traditional norms. While India has made significant legal advancements, such as recognizing transgender individuals as a "third gender" and enacting the Transgender Persons (Protection of Rights) Act, 2019, societal stigma and implementation gaps persist. The study highlights the historical marginalization of non-binary identities, compounded by colonial influences and entrenched patriarchal values. It also addresses the dual narrative of progress and resistance in urban and rural contexts, emphasizing the need for inclusive education, grassroots advocacy, and economic empowerment to foster acceptance. By analyzing the interplay between social constructs and legal measures, the research aims to contribute to a more inclusive understanding of gender diversity and equity.

Keywords: Gender non-conformers, Social constructionism, Marginalize.

## Introduction :

Lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, and queer individuals across the globe face various overlapping forms of discrimination. Structural obstacles to equal involvement in civil and political life, along with restrictive laws, harmful gender norms, and cultural practices, contribute to vulnerabilities that are heightened by conflict and humanitarian crises. In many countries, transgender people's identities are not legally recognized, making them more susceptible to violence and marginalization. Discrimination in areas such as education, healthcare, housing, and employment is widespread, even in nations that do not have explicit anti-LGBTQ laws.

The LGBT community often becomes a target for hate crimes, which are defined as crimes motivated by prejudice against individuals because they belong to a specific social group, class, or race. These crimes typically stem from societal norms that are perceived to be violated rather than personal grievances. As a marginalized group, LGBT individuals frequently face violence, including brutal attacks. Research indicates that gay men are often subjected to forced sexual acts, gang rape, and even murder. Lesbians, too, experience significant violence, including being coerced into unhappy marriages or subjected to so-called "corrective rapes," which are wrongly viewed as a means to "cure" their sexual orientation. In India, there is a troubling prevalence of hate crimes targeting the LGBT population; Singh & Chatterjee (2019).

Society frequently views homosexuality with disgust and hatred, often regarding homosexual individuals as less than human. Legal systems, mainstream culture, and societal values tend to be hostile toward sexual minorities, which contributes to the reluctance of many homosexuals to disclose their sexual orientation, as it is often labeled as "illicit." As a result, these individuals face illegal detention and are subjected to derogatory language and abuse. They may also experience extortion, physical or sexual violence, and blackmail, sometimes even at the hands of law enforcement.

Women and girls of all sexualities are uniquely impacted in conflict situations. Thanks to years of feminist advocacy, international bodies, governments, and NGOs have developed a better understanding of, and have condemned, gender-based violence against women and girls in crisis settings. However, violence against LGBTQ individuals is also a form of gender-based violence, sharing similar root causes, yet it remains less understood and documented compared to other forms targeting women and girls. Conflict-related violence against LGBTQ people is unacceptable on its own and can signal even more severe atrocities to come. The international NGO Protection Approaches highlights that in various conflict contexts, the enforcement of rigid "moral codes" that attack sexual and gender identities often precedes widespread state-sanctioned violence and atrocities.

## Review of Literature :

Padilla *et al.* (2007) suggest that the absence of state monitoring of violence poses challenges in accurately assessing the scope of violence against LGBT individuals. Moreover, many instances of violence may go unreported as LGBT individuals may be reluctant to report hate crimes to law enforcement due to concerns that their complaints may not be taken seriously, or that reporting could expose their sexual or gender minority status, or even that law enforcement personnel themselves may be complicit in or perpetrators of the violence. In addition to these barriers to safety, LGBT individuals in emerging and developing countries may encounter specific obstacles to accessing healthcare. In these contexts, individuals often rely on family members to address health needs due to the lack of formal medical care. However, if LGBT individuals have been ostracized or rejected by their

families, they lack access to this support network. Even when living with their families, LGBT individuals may face challenges in receiving appropriate healthcare if they are unable to openly discuss their identity with family members.

Frost and Meyer (2009) conducted research revealing a positive correlation between depression and relationship difficulties among a group of gay, lesbian, and bisexual individuals.

Grossman *et al.* (2009) note that social tension among LGBTQ adolescents is compounded by marginalization, loneliness, alienation, bullying, and a dearth of supportive adults and safe spaces. Addressing these challenges is crucial for fostering the well-being and inclusion of LGBTQ youth in society.

Brown *et al.* (2020) identified significant connections between peer victimization and various negative psychological outcomes among adolescents. These include depression, distress, and heightened risk of suicidal behavior. Additionally, the study highlighted associations with substance misuse, such as alcohol and drug abuse, as well as academic challenges like decreased school engagement and disruptions to academic trajectories. Understanding these correlations underscores the urgent need for comprehensive interventions to address peer victimization and promote supportive environments in schools. Such efforts are crucial for safeguarding the mental health and academic success of all adolescents, particularly those from vulnerable populations like LGBTQ+ youth.

Formby and Donovan (2020) stress that encountering discrimination within academic, clinical, or religious institutions, as well as internalizing victimization due to transphobia or biphobia, poses significant mental health challenges for LGBTQ adolescents. At this pivotal stage, such experiences can lead to profound psychological struggles for young individuals navigating their sexual and gender identities.

Sankhyan & Hussain (2022) examine the enduring struggle of the LGBTQ community for fundamental rights and the pervasive discrimination they encounter across various aspects of life, with a particular focus on transgender individuals and judicial rulings. The paper concludes by assessing the future trajectory for the LGBT community, outlining necessary legal and social reforms essential for achieving full acceptance and equality within India's traditionally conservative society.

## Objective of study

- To study the social and legal construction of gender non-conformers.

### *Social and Legal Construction of Gender non-Conformers*

Berger and Luckmann (1991) introduced the theory of social constructionism, which serves as a key framework in this paper to analyze crimes committed against LGBTQIA+ individuals based on their sexual orientation. Social constructionism encompasses various interpretations by different scholars. Lumen (2017) defines it as the notion that people's understanding of reality is shaped, at least in part, by social influences and contexts.

Society has long enforced rigid gender roles, expecting men to embody masculinity and women to adhere to femininity, with the belief that relationships should only occur between a man and a woman. Those who deviate from these traditional gender norms are often labeled as abnormal or deviant. Heterosexual relationships are widely regarded as the "natural" and "normal" form of attraction, while homosexuality is frequently seen as unnatural or immoral, which can lead to severe consequences, including violent punishments such as "corrective rape" and other forms of abuse. This pervasive discrimination against individuals who do not conform to societal expectations leads to widespread victimization. Even in societies where laws have been enacted to decriminalize homosexuality and legalize same-sex marriage, deep-rooted prejudices persist. Many people still believe it is acceptable to target LGBTQIA+ individuals with violence and discrimination. Consequently, many LGBTQIA+ individuals feel compelled to hide their sexual orientation or gender identity to avoid facing stigma and harm. Those who choose to live openly as LGBTQIA+ often face heightened risks of violence, harassment, and social ostracization. This ongoing discrimination reflects not only societal intolerance but also a failure to fully accept LGBTQIA+ individuals as equals, perpetuating a cycle of fear, victimization, and marginalization.

In contrast, Meynert (2015) suggests that social constructionism aims to uncover the ways in which individuals and groups actively contribute to the creation of the social reality they experience. The core idea behind using this theory to understand the victimization of LGBTQIA+ individuals lies in exploring how social behaviors, cultural norms, and collective assumptions about sexuality and gender contribute to their mistreatment. To fully grasp the relevance of social constructionism in explaining the discrimination and violence faced by LGBTQIA+ communities, it is essential to examine key concepts like sex and gender. These concepts are not merely biological but are also socially constructed, influencing how society perceives and treats people based on their sexual orientation and gender identity. By recognizing that these social constructs are deeply ingrained in societal attitudes, we can better understand the mechanisms that lead to the victimization of LGBTQIA+ individuals and work toward challenging these harmful perceptions.

The social perception of gender non-conformers in India is deeply rooted in traditional and patriarchal norms that uphold rigid binary definitions of gender. Historically, Indian society recognized non-binary identities such as hijras, aravanis, and jogappas, granting them distinct social and cultural roles. However, colonial rule and the subsequent imposition of Victorian morality marginalized these communities, stripping them of their status and rights. Today, many gender non-conformers face significant societal stigma, which manifests in exclusion from education, employment, and healthcare, as well as widespread discrimination in public and private spaces.

From a legal perspective, there has been a gradual yet notable shift in the recognition and protection of gender non-conformers' rights. Landmark judgments, such as the 2014 Nalsa v. Union of India case, marked a turning point by legally recognizing transgender individuals as a "third gender" and affirming their fundamental rights under the Constitution. Additionally, the Transgender Persons (Protection of Rights) Act, 2019, was introduced to safeguard the rights of transgender individuals, although it has faced criticism for its bureaucratic hurdles and lack of inclusivity. Despite these legal advancements, the implementation of policies often falls short, with many non-conformers struggling to access the rights and benefits guaranteed to them. Although there has been a global movement towards greater acceptance of nontraditional gender roles, individuals who are perceived as having nonconforming gender expressions still face victimization and discrimination.

Cultural narratives further complicate the experience of gender non-conformers in India. On one hand, there is a resurgence of pride and visibility, with media and activism spotlighting the contributions and challenges faced by these individuals. On the other hand, deep-seated biases and stereotypes perpetuate their marginalization. For instance, while urban areas have seen increased awareness and acceptance, rural communities often remain resistant to change, reinforcing traditional norms that ostracize those who deviate from binary gender roles.

Achieving true equity for gender non-conformers in India requires a multi-faceted approach. Beyond legal reforms, societal attitudes must evolve to embrace diversity in gender identities. Grassroots-level advocacy, inclusive education, and sensitization programs can play a pivotal role in fostering acceptance. Additionally, empowering gender non-conformers through economic and social opportunities will not only elevate their status but also challenge the systemic inequalities that have marginalized them for centuries. By addressing both social and legal constructs, India can move toward a more inclusive and equitable society.

## Conclusion :

The social and legal construction of gender non-conformers reveals a complex interplay of cultural norms, societal attitudes, and legislative frameworks. Despite historical recognition of non-binary identities in Indian society, colonial influences and entrenched patriarchal values have marginalized these communities, leaving them vulnerable to discrimination and exclusion. While significant legal strides, such as the NALSA judgment and the Transgender Persons (Protection of Rights) Act, 2019, have established foundational rights, implementation gaps and societal resistance continue to hinder progress toward equality.

Social constructionism underscores how collective behaviors, norms, and perceptions perpetuate systemic inequalities faced by gender non-conformers. Societal adherence to rigid binary gender roles reinforces stigmatization and victimization, limiting access to education, healthcare, and economic opportunities. This research emphasizes that achieving true equity requires more than legal reforms; it demands a cultural shift toward inclusivity. Grassroots advocacy, inclusive education, and sensitization programs are essential to fostering acceptance and dismantling stereotypes.

Economic empowerment and visibility of gender non-conformers can challenge systemic inequalities and reduce marginalization. By addressing both social and legal barriers, India has the opportunity to embrace its rich diversity and move toward a more inclusive society where all individuals, regardless of their gender identity, are afforded dignity, equality, and opportunity.

## REFERENCES :

1. Berger, P. L., & Luckmann, T. (1991). The social construction of reality: A treatise in the sociology of knowledge.Penguin Books.
2. Brown, C., Porta, C. M., Eisenberg, M. E., McMorris, B. J., and Sieving, R. E. (2020). Family Relationships and the Health and Well-Being of Transgender and Gender-Diverse Youth: A Critical Review, *LGBT Health*, 7, 407–419.
3. Formby, E., and Donovan, C. (2020). Sex and relationships education for LGBT+ young people: lessons from UK youth work, *Sexualities*, 23, 1155–1178.
4. Frost, D.M. & Meyer, I.H. (2009). Internalized Homophobia and Relationship Quality among Lesbians, Gay Men, and Bisexuals, *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 56(1), 97–109.
5. Grossman, A. H., Haney, A. P., Edwards, P., Alessi, E. J., Ardon, M. & Howell, T. J. (2009). Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual and Transgender Youth Talk about Experiencing and Coping with School Violence: A Qualitative Study, *Journal of LGBT Youth*, 6, 24-46.
6. Lumen, L. (2017). The social construction of gender: introduction to Sociology.
7. Meynert, M. J. (2015). Conceptualizations of childhood, pedagogy and educational research in the postmodern era:A critical interpretation. Cambridge Scholars.
8. Padilla, M., Aguila, E. & Parker, R. (2007). Globalization, structural violence, and LGBT health: A cross-cultural perspective, The health of sexual minorities, Springer, New York, 209-241.
9. Sankhyan, A. & Hussain, S. (2022). Rights of LGBTQ in India and The Struggle for Societal Acceptance, *Journal of Positive School Psychology*, 2022(3), 9903–9915.
10. Singh, N. & Chatterjee, A. (2019). Social and workplace issues of LGBT community in India, *The Haryana Police Journal*, 2, 1-7.