International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

A Study on Corruption and Financial Transparency in Law Enforcement: A Case of Zomba Police Station

Sazalabika Zimba[1], Dr. G. Agila[2]

[1]Student, Master of Business Administration in Finance and International Business, DMI-St. Eugene University, Zambia.
[2]Research Supervisor, Head B Com Accounting and Finance, Sri Ramakrishna College of Arts and Science, Coimbatore, India.

ABSTRACT

The study intends to investigate the extent and prevalence of corruption and financial transparency measures at Zomba Police Station, Malawi. Acts of corruption by people in power have long shaken public faith in government, but the loss of public faith is particularly acute when those acts involve the police. Corruption and a lack of financial transparency within law enforcement, pose significant challenges at global, regional, and national levels. Despite being entrusted with maintaining order and protecting citizens, the station's operations are marred by instances of corruption, ranging from bribery, extortion, misappropriation of funds and financial opacity. This situation not only undermines the integrity of the law enforcement system but also erodes public trust, the rule of law and compromises the safety and well-being of the community.

At the heart of this issue lies a lack of, or weak accountability and oversight mechanisms, allowing corruption to flourish unchecked. Resources allocated for essential policing activities may be diverted for personal gain, hindering effective crime prevention and investigation efforts. Moreover, the absence of transparent financial practices obscures the allocation and utilization of funds, raising questions about the station's fiscal responsibility and stewardship of public resources.

The results of the research study shows that the rate of the overall level of corruption was very high. It was also found that bribery is the most common of form of corruption seconded by fraud, nepotism and favouritism. It was also revealed that low salary is the contributing factor of corruption seconded by inadequate training on ethics. It was further found out that the financial transparency measures at Zomba Police station are ineffective to reduce corruption because of lack of political will by leaders. Increased oversight and financial audits, better compensation for officers, community monitoring, enhanced training on ethics and whistleblower protection can reduce corruption at Zomba police station.

In view of the key findings, the study recommends the following: Increase of salaries for officers, adequate training on ethics and financial management, community engagement, strengthening oversight mechanism, regular financial audits and protection of whistleblowers.

INTRODUCTION

Corruption and financial transparency within law enforcement agencies are critical issues globally, that have profound implications for the integrity, effectiveness, and public trust in the justice system. Corruption, defined as the abuse of entrusted power for private gain, manifests in various forms within law enforcement, ranging from bribery and extortion to more sophisticated schemes involving money laundering and embezzlement. These corrupt practices not only undermine the rule of law but also erode public confidence in the institutions meant to protect and serve society.

Financial transparency within law enforcement agencies is a crucial factor in mitigating corruption. In the context of law enforcement, financial transparency entails the meticulous documentation and public reporting of all financial transactions, including the allocation and expenditure of funds, asset seizures, and the handling of fines and penalties. This transparency is crucial for enabling oversight bodies, the media, and the public to scrutinize financial practices and hold law enforcement agencies accountable.

Research on corruption and financial transparency in law enforcement, particularly at the station level within the Malawi Police, reveals a significant gap in the existing literature, warranting further investigation. While there is some scholarly attention on corruption within law enforcement agencies globally, there is a lack of specific focus on the Malawi Police at the station level. Existing studies often provide broad overviews, neglecting the dynamics and intricacies of corruption at the grassroots level.

The researcher in this study is therefore, eager to investigate corruption and financial transparency in law enforcement at Zomba Police Station. Amongst others, the research study will try to investigate the forms or types of corruption, causes of corruption and mitigating factors or transparency measures to reduce corruption at Zomba Police Station. This chapter will cover the following areas of the study; it will explain the background to the study and the

problem statement. It is also in this chapter where the general purpose of the study as well as the specific objectives, research questions, rationale or significance of the study is explained.

The significance of this study is that it will provide actionable recommendations for policymakers and law enforcement authorities that will reduce corruption, and ultimately improve the integrity and performance of law enforcement. By focusing on Zomba Police Station, the study will contribute to the ongoing discourse on public sector corruption and accountability. Additionally, the research will provide a basis for further studies and policy initiatives aimed at strengthening governance and public trust in the justice system.

The subsequent sections of this research study will delve into the conceptual underpinnings that guide this research study, the methodologies used, the empirical findings obtained, and the implications.

LITERATURE REVIEW

A number of definitions and concepts have been defined earlier by different scholars, as regards corruption and financial transparency in law enforcement. Defining corruption and financial transparency in law enforcement poses several challenges due to the complex and dynamic nature of these phenomena (Quah, 2019). Moreover, cultural, legal, and institutional differences across jurisdictions influence how corruption and financial transparency are understood and addressed, highlighting the need for context-specific approaches (Mikhaylov et al., 2021). Musonda (1997: 57) also observed that a universal definition of corruption cannot be reached because of *"different political and criminal justice systems, cultural values and beliefs, as well as economic practices pursued by different countries."*

However, corruption is commonly defined as the misuse of public office for private gain (Treisman, 2000; Golden & Picci, 2005). Transparency International, 2020 defines corruption as the "abuse of entrusted power for private gain". Scholars emphasize that corruption involves the misuse of public authority for personal gain or to benefit specific individuals or groups (Punch, 2009). Rose-Ackerman, 1999, a prominent scholar in the field of corruption studies, defines corruption as "the use of public office for private gain". Her definition emphasizes the role of public officials in exploiting their positions for personal enrichment. Rothstein offers a broader perspective, defining corruption as "the lack of impartiality in the application of public power" (Rothstein, 2011). This definition highlights the importance of fairness and impartiality in governance, suggesting that corruption occurs when public power is wielded unfairly or unequally. Johnston's definition emphasizes the systemic nature of corruption, describing it as "the misuse of public power for private benefit, usually in the form of bribery or extortion" (Johnston, 2005).

The Corrupt Practices Act (2004), defines corrupt practices as "(a) the offering, giving, receiving, obtaining or soliciting of any advantage to influence the action of any public officer or any official or any other person in the discharge of the duties of that public officer, official or other person; (b) influence peddling; (c) the extortion of any advantage" (ACB, 2020:4).

On the other hand, financial transparency in law enforcement refers to the openness and accessibility of financial information within police departments, investigative agencies, and other law enforcement entities (Doig & Sampson, 2019). It encompasses measures such as budget transparency, expenditure reporting, asset disclosure, and the disclosure of financial interests by law enforcement personnel. In other words, it involves the disclosure of relevant financial data, including income, expenses, assets, liabilities, and cash flows, in a clear, timely, and comprehensible manner (Arnone et al., 2020). Transparent financial practices are essential for ensuring accountability, detecting corruption, and fostering public confidence in law enforcement institutions (Johnston, 2014).

Corruption within law enforcement can manifest in various forms and contexts, including petty bribery, grand corruption, and political corruption (Varese, 2018). Financial transparency measures aim to mitigate the risks associated with corruption by promoting accountability, preventing embezzlement and misappropriation of funds, and facilitating the detection of illicit financial activities (Braithwaite & Drahos, 2000).

Bribery involves the offering, giving, receiving, or soliciting of something of value as a means to influence the actions of an individual or entity in a position of power (Rose, 2016, Jane, 2001). Officers may solicit or accept bribes from individuals or businesses in exchange for favourable treatment, such as turning a blind eye to illegal activities or providing protection from enforcement actions. Extortion involves the use of coercion or threats to extract payments or other benefits from victims under the guise of law enforcement authority (Sherman & Weisburd, 2015, Habib & Zurawski, 2019).

Nepotism and cronyism refer to the favouritism shown by law enforcement officials towards family members, friends, or associates in hiring, promotion, or assignment decisions, leading to the proliferation of incompetent or unscrupulous personnel within the ranks of law enforcement agencies. This form of corruption can undermine merit-based recruitment practices and foster a culture of loyalty to individuals rather than the principles of justice and professionalism, which further leads to inefficiency and demoralization among officers (Van Koppen, 2018, Bradford & Myhill, 2019).

Collusion between law enforcement officials and criminal organizations represents a particularly insidious form of corruption that poses a severe threat to public safety and the rule of law. Officers may provide confidential information, facilitate criminal activities, or protect criminal networks in exchange for financial rewards or other benefits. This form of corruption undermines the effectiveness of law enforcement efforts and compromises public safety (Densley & Peterson, 2019, Das, 2020).

One of the causes of corruption is low salary and poor working conditions. Low salaries and poor working conditions can drive officers to seek additional income through corrupt means. Officers with inadequate remuneration may resort to corrupt practices to supplement their income. For instance, a study by Ivkovic (2021) found a direct correlation between low wages and the prevalence of bribery and extortion among police officers in Eastern Europe.

Similarly, Camacho and Marín (2023) highlighted that insufficient compensation and benefits in Latin American countries contribute significantly to corruption, as officers often rely on bribes to make ends meet.

Wider economic disparities within society can also contribute to law enforcement corruption. High levels of inequality can lead to a culture of corruption as individuals seek to exploit their positions for personal gain. This is particularly evident in countries with significant wealth gaps and limited social mobility (Glaeser & Saks, 2017).

Institutional weaknesses, including weak institutional frameworks, the lack of effective legal and regulatory systems, lack of oversight and inadequate accountability mechanisms, are critical enablers of corruption. Johnson (2022) noted that the absence of robust oversight mechanisms allows corrupt practices to flourish unchecked within law enforcement agencies in Sub-Saharan Africa. Moreover, ineffective internal controls and lack of transparency in the recruitment and promotion processes can create an environment where corruption is tolerated or even encouraged (Mendoza, 2021). Institutions that fail to implement stringent anti-corruption laws and lack the capacity to enforce them are more susceptible to corrupt activities. Recent analyses underscore the importance of strengthening institutional capacities to combat corruption effectively (Johnston, 2019).

Political interference in law enforcement operations can foster corruption. When law enforcement agencies are subject to political patronage and are used as tools for political gain, it undermines their integrity and independence (Alesina and Giuliano, 2022). This political manipulation is often accompanied by corrupt practices, as seen in various case studies across different countries. Politically motivated appointments and promotions can lead to a lack of impartiality and increase susceptibility to corrupt practices. In countries with high levels of political interference, police officers are more likely to engage in corrupt activities due to pressure from political elites to serve their interests (Della Porta & Vannucci, 2016).

The subculture within police forces can perpetuate corruption. Elements of this subculture, such as solidarity, secrecy, and loyalty to fellow officers, can discourage whistleblowing and encourage corrupt practices. Loftus (2023) notes that the 'blue wall of silence' often prevents officers from reporting misconduct, fostering an environment where corruption can thrive.

Inadequate training and professional development opportunities can leave law enforcement officers ill-equipped to resist corrupt practices. Researchers such as Newburn (2023) argue that comprehensive training programs that emphasize ethics and integrity are crucial for preventing corruption. However, many law enforcement agencies, particularly in developing countries, lack the resources to implement such programs effectively.

Corruption in law enforcement has a direct negative impact on community safety and crime rates. According to Mancini et al. (2022), corruption undermines the efficacy of policing, leading to higher levels of unreported and unresolved crimes. A study conducted in Mexico, also found a direct correlation between police corruption and elevated levels of violent crime in regions where corruption was rampant (Garza & Ashby, 2022). Another immediate and profound effects of corruption in law enforcement is the erosion of public trust. When citizens perceive police officers as corrupt, they are less likely to report crimes, assist in investigations, or adhere to the law themselves. This erosion of trust further exacerbates crime rates and diminishes the overall quality of public safety (Johnson & Barrett, 2023).

Corruption damages the relationship between law enforcement and the communities they serve. A case study from Brazil (Silva et al., 2023) shows that communities with high levels of police corruption experience increased social unrest and reduced cooperation with law enforcement efforts. Furthermore, corruption fosters a toxic work environment where ethical behaviour is discouraged and misconduct becomes normalized. This degradation of professional standards can lead to widespread corruption, making it difficult to implement reforms or establish accountability (Smith et al. 2021).

Corruption leads to inefficient use of resources, as funds intended for public safety are diverted for personal gain. According to a report by the World Bank (2023), countries with high levels of police corruption tend to experience slower economic growth and reduced foreign investment due to instability and insecurity (World Bank, 2023).

Globally, the impact of corruption in law enforcement varies by region but exhibits common detrimental themes. For instance, research on Latin American countries by Pérez and Gómez (2022) illustrates how corruption within police forces fuels organized crime and weakens democratic institutions. Similarly, studies on Eastern Europe by Ivanov (2023) reveal that corruption hampers post-Soviet transitions to transparent governance, stalling socio-economic development and undermining international aid efforts.

Studies suggest that independent oversight bodies, such as police complaints commissions or ombudsmen, can effectively investigate allegations of misconduct and corruption. For example, research by Prenzler and Ronken (2021) highlights the success of such bodies in improving police accountability and reducing corrupt practices (Prenzler & Ronken, 2021).

Effective internal affairs units are pivotal in identifying and addressing corruption within law enforcement agencies. These units must operate independently and have the authority to investigate all levels of the police force. Recent findings by Kutnjak Ivković and Haberfeld (2019) indicate that robust internal affairs units significantly reduce corruption by holding officers accountable for their actions (Ivković & Haberfeld, 2019). Additionally, protecting whistleblowers who report corruption is another essential accountability measure. Legal safeguards and supportive organizational cultures encourage officers to report unethical behaviour without fear of retaliation. Research by Wolfe and Piquero (2019) underscores the importance of strong whistleblower protection laws in reducing corruption (Wolfe and Piquero, 2019). Furthermore, comprehensive ethics training programs can instil a strong sense of duty and moral responsibility in officers. Studies show that continuous professional development in ethics and integrity can significantly reduce incidents of corruption. For instance, a study by Klockars et al. (2021) found that officers who underwent regular ethics training were less likely to engage in corrupt practices.

Community policing strategies that involve collaboration between police and community members can effectively reduce corruption. Research by Tyler and Fagan (2021) shows that community policing initiatives lead to lower levels of corruption by fostering a cooperative relationship between law enforcement and the public. Furthermore, civilian oversight bodies play a crucial role in monitoring police conduct and ensuring transparency. These committees allow for civilian input into police operations and provide a platform for reporting misconduct. A study by Goldsmith and Lewis (2020), found that civilian oversight significantly improves police accountability and reduces corruption. The use of body-worn cameras has been shown to increase transparency and accountability among police officers. These devices provide an objective record of police interactions, which can be used to investigate complaints and deter misconduct. A study by Ariel et al. (2019) demonstrated that body-worn cameras reduce incidents of police corruption by providing verifiable evidence of officer behaviour and that police officers are aware that their actions are being recorded. Finally, the role of political will and leadership in combating corruption cannot be overstated. A study by Newburn (2022) found that when senior officials set a clear anti-corruption agenda and lead by example, it creates a culture of transparency that permeates the entire organization.

RESULTS AND FINDINGS

A sample size of 60 respondents were selected as a sample size. 50 police officers participated in the research, representing 83 percent of the total intended sample.

Table 1.0 below, Shows rate of corruption at Zomba police

Rate of corruption at Zomba police

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent-	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Very high	30	60.0%	60.0%	60.0%
	High	5	10.0%	10.0%	70.0%
	Moderate	10	20.0%	20.0%	90.0%
	low	3	6.0%	6.0%	96.0%
	Very Low	2	4.0%	4.0%	100.0%
Total		50	100.0%		

The results show that the rate of the overall level of corruption at Zomba Police Station was very high and an immediate action should be done to mitigate the rate of corruption.

Forms of corruption at Zomba Police

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent-	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Bribery	25	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%
	Embezzlement	3	6.0%	6.0%	56.0%
	Nepotism and Favouritism	6	12.0%	12.0%	68.0%
	Extortion	3	6.0%	6.0%	74.0%
	Fraud	7	14.0%	14.0%	88.0%
	Misuse of power	4	8.0%	8.0%	96.0%
	Other	2	4.0%	4.0%	100.0%
Total		50	100.0%		

The results show that bribery is the form of corruption that occur at Zomba police station. On the other hand, fraud seems to manifest at Zomba, but not more than bribery. Nepotism and favoritism cannot go without being mentioned since it covers 12% of the total respondents.

Table below, Shows contributing factors of corruption at Zomba police

Contributing factors of corruption

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent-	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Low salaries	15	30.0%	30.0%	30.0%
	Lack of supervision	4	8.0%	8.0%	38.0%
	Inadequate training of ethics	10	20.0%	20.0%	58.0%
	Poor working conditions	6	12.0%	12.0%	70.0%
	Cultural acceptance of corruption	5	10.0%	10.0%	80.0%
	Weak internal controls	8	16.0%	16.0%	96.0%
	Other	2	4.0%	4.0%	100.0%
Total		50	100.0%		

The table above shows that low salary is the contributing factor of corruption at Zomba Police Station seconded by inadequate training on ethics. Officers who are underpaid may be more susceptible to accepting bribes or engaging in corrupt activities to supplement their income as shown in the table above. Weak internal controls also contributing factor of corruption, though not more than low salaries.

Table below, Shows effectiveness of financial transparency at Zomba police

Effectiveness of financial transparency at Zomba

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent-	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Very effective	1	2.0%	2.0%	2.0%
	Somewhat effective	4	8.0%	8.0%	10.0%
	Neutral	5	10.0%	10.0%	20.0%
	Ineffective	30	60.0%	60.0%	80.0%
	Very ineffective	10	20.0%	20.0%	100.0%
Total		50	100.0%		

On the effectiveness of financial transparency measures, it was shown that the financial transparency mechanisms at Zomba police station are ineffective to reduce corruption as evidenced by 30 respondents who responded that the financial transparency mechanisms are ineffective at Zomba police station, representing 60 percent of the total respondents.

Table below, shows mechanisms to reduce corruption at Zomba police

Mechanisms to reduce corruption

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent-	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Increased oversight and audits	9	18.0%	18.0%	18.0%
	Better compensation for officers	9	18.0%	18.0%	36.0%
	Community monitoring programs	9	18.0%	18.0%	54.0%
	Enhanced training on ethics and integrity	9	18.0%	18.0%	72.0%
	Whistleblower protection	9	18.0%	18.0%	90.0%
	Other	5	10.0%	10.0%	100.0%
Total		50	100.0%		

From the table above, it has been shown that increased oversight and financial audits, better compensation for officers, community monitoring, enhanced training on ethics and whistleblower protection can reduce corruption at Zomba police station.

MAJOR FINDINGS

The findings of the research study indicate that the rate of the overall level of corruption was very high at Zomba Police Station and that bribery is the most common form of corruption. Low salary is the main contributing factor of corruption at Zomba Police Station since officers who are underpaid may be more susceptible to accepting bribes or engaging in corrupt activities to supplement their income. It can also be concluded that the financial transparency measures at Zomba Police station are ineffective to reduce corruption because of lack of political will by leaders.

SUGGESTIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

In view of the key findings, the study recommends the following: Increase of salaries for officers, adequate training on ethics and financial management, community engagement, strengthening oversight mechanism, regular financial audits and protection of whistleblowers.

Future research should prioritize the development of robust data collection methods to accurately capture the prevalence and nature of corruption within law enforcement. Utilizing technology, such as anonymous reporting systems and digital audit trails, can enhance data reliability. Longitudinal studies that track changes over time can also provide deeper insights into the effectiveness of anti-corruption measures

CONCLUSION

Corruption and financial transparency within law enforcement agencies are critical issues globally, that have profound implications for the integrity, effectiveness, and public trust in the justice system. Corruption, defined as the abuse of entrusted power for private gain, manifests in various forms within law enforcement, ranging from bribery and extortion to more sophisticated schemes involving money laundering and embezzlement, or misappropriation of funds. Financial transparency within law enforcement agencies is a crucial factor in mitigating corruption.

This research has illuminated significant issues surrounding corruption and financial transparency within law enforcement. An interview survey was conducted among police officers at Zomba Police Station to find out the extent and prevalence of corruption at the institution. Interestingly, majority of police officers gave an open and positive feedback.

The findings of the research study indicate that the rate of the overall level of corruption was very high and that majority witnessed act of corruption at Zomba Police Station. It was also revealed that the most common form of corruption is bribery and that Traffic officers are the most corrupt officers.

The findings of this research study also indicate that the most contributing factor of corruption at Zomba Police Station is low salary seconded by inadequate training on ethics. Officers who are underpaid may be more susceptible to accepting bribes or engaging in corrupt activities to supplement their income.

REFERENCES

ACB – Anti Corruption Bureau (2020), *Strategic plan 2020-2024:* "Enhancing public trust and participation in the fight against corruption"

Ackerman, S.R, (1999). *Corruption and Government: Causes, Consequences, and Reform.* Cambridge University Press.

Ackerman, S.R, (2016). *Corruption and Government: Causes, Consequences, and Reform.* Cambridge University Press.

Ackerman, S.R, (2020). *Corruption and government: Causes, consequences, and reform*. Cambridge University Press.

Afrobarometer (2022), *Summary of Results. Afrobarometer Round 9 survey in Malawi, 2022.* Zomba: Centre for Social Research.

Afrobarometer, 2020. *Summary of Results for Malawi, 2020.* East Lansing: Afrobarometer.

Alesina, A., & Giuliano, P. (2022). Political Influence and Police Corruption. *Economics of Governance*, 23(1), 57-72.

Anassi P (2004) *Corruption in Africa: The Kenyan experience: A handbook for civic education on corruption* Victoria: Trafford.

Ariel, B., Farrar, W. A., & Sutherland, A. (2019). The effect of police body-worn cameras on use of force and citizens' complaints against the police: A randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Quantitative Criminology, 31*(3), 509-535.

Arnone, M., Bordignon, M., & Gola, F. (2020). *Corruption and Public Finances: Theory and Evidence.* Journal of Economic Surveys.

Bertot, J. C., Jaeger, P. T., & Grimes, J. M. (2020). Using ICTs to create a culture of transparency: E-government and social media as openness and anti-corruption tools for societies. *Government Information Quarterly, 27*(3), 264-271.

Braithwaite, J., & Drahos, P. (2000). *Global Business Regulation*. Cambridge University Press.

Brunelle, J., & Menifield, C. E. (2017). *Do Police Take More from Blacks? Examining the Impact of Race and Socioeconomic Status on Asset Forfeiture in Illinois.* Journal of Race and Justice, 7(4), 311-335.

Calderoni, F., & Uildriks, N. (2018). *Crime-Terror Alliances and the State: Ethnonationalist and Islamist Challenges to Regional Security.* Studies in Conflict & Terrorism, 41(2), 101-126.

Camacho, M., & Marín, J. (2023). Economic Determinants of Police Corruption in Latin America. *International Journal of Police Science & Management*, 25(1), 45-58.

Carl. J. Friedrich, 1989, *Corruption Concepts In Historical Perspectives, Political Corruption,* Transaction Publishers: New Brunswick, USA.

Collins, J., Uhlenbruck, K. & Rodriguez, P. 2009. Why firms engage in corruption: A top management perspective. *Journal of Business Ethics,* 87(1): 89-108.

Creswell, J.W, & Creswell, J.D (2018), *Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative and Mixed Methods Approaches (5th ed).* Sage Publications.

Das, D. K. (2020). *Undermining the Criminal Justice System: Exploring the Nexus between Corrupt Police and Organized Crime in India.* Asian Journal of Criminology, 15(1), 41-59.

Daske, H., Hail, L., Leuz, C., & Verdi, R. (2013). *Adopting a Label: Heterogeneity in the Economic Consequences Around IAS/IFRS Adoptions*. Journal of Accounting Research, 51(3), 495-547.

Della Porta, D., & Vannucci, A. (2016). *The Hidden Order of Corruption: An Institutional Approach.* Ashgate Publishing.

Densley, J. A., & Peterson, J. B. (2019). *Street Gangs, Organized Crime Groups, and Terrorists: Differentiating Criminal Organizations.* Terrorism and Political Violence, 31(3), 501-522.

Doig, A., & Sampson, A. (2019). *Corruption and Misconduct in Public Office*. Oxford University Press.

Garza, A., & Ashby, N. (2022). Police Corruption and Crime Rates in Mexico. *Journal of Public Economics*.

Ghauri, N., and Grønhaug, K. (2005) *Research methods in business studies: a practical guide,* 3rd edition, Prentice Hall, Harlow.

Glaeser, E. L., & Saks, R. E. (2017). "Corruption in America." *Journal of Public Economics*, 90(6-7), 1053-1072.

Golden, M. & Picci, L. 2005. Proposal for a new measure of corruption illustrated with Italian data. *Economic and Politics*, 17(1): 37-72.

Goldsmith, A., & Lewis, C. (2020). Civilian oversight of police in democracies. *Journal of International Criminal Justice, 8*(1), 111-129.

Grady, C., et al. (2017). Informed Consent. *The New England Journal of Medicine*, 376(9), 856-867.

Habib, L., & Zurawski, N. (2019). *Examining the Role of Police Corruption in Human Trafficking Cases*. Crime & Delinquency, 65(1), 3-28.

Heidenheimer, Arnold J, Johnston Michael, Victor T. LeVine, 1989, *Political Corruption,* Transaction Publishers: New Brunswick, USA.

Heywood, P. (2012). *Political Corruption: Problems and Perspectives*. Routledge.

Huberts, L. W. (2018). "Integrity: What it is and Why it is Important." *Public Integrity*, 20(sup1), S18-S32.