



Local Government Units Disaster Preparedness and Response in the Province of Bulacan: Basis for Enhancement

Alexis C. Cruz

City Government of Malolos

DOI : <https://doi.org/10.55248/gengpi.5.0724.1832>

The Problem and its Background

The Philippines has experienced numerous natural disasters, including Typhoon Haiyan in 2013, Ketsana in 2009, and 'Egay' in 2023. These disasters have exposed flaws in the country's disaster response framework, resulting in significant losses. Bulacan, a province in the Philippines, has been repeatedly affected by natural disasters, particularly inundation, and has a high risk of river flooding. The province's coastal communities are vulnerable to natural hazards, and the decline of mangroves may indicate environmental changes and potential disaster impacts. Local Government Units (LGUs) in Bulacan have been criticized for their lack of preparedness or inadequate response mechanisms. This study aims to fill this gap by examining preparedness strategies, response mechanisms, and the interplay between these elements within the context of Bulacan's LGUs. The research aims to provide targeted recommendations for enhancing LGU disaster readiness and response capabilities, contributing to the academic discourse on disaster management in vulnerable terrains like the Philippines.

Review of Related Literature

This review examines the preparedness of emergency medical service providers in disaster situations. It identifies four key indicators of preparedness and response effectiveness: effective communication and information dissemination, community engagement and preparedness, infrastructure and resources, and cooperation and coordination. Effective communication ensures that all stakeholders, including the public, are well-informed about potential risks and necessary measures. Community engagement involves active participation in disaster planning, decision-making, and implementation of preparedness initiatives. Infrastructure and resources form the backbone of disaster preparedness and relief. In Bulacan, infrastructure status and resource availability are critical indicators of preparedness. Effective allocation and management of resources are essential for disaster preparedness. Cooperation and coordination among stakeholders, such as government agencies, NGOs, and the private sector, are essential for effective disaster preparedness. Public-private partnerships can also improve disaster preparedness by leveraging resources and expertise. Continuous improvement and adaptation are necessary to maintain a high level of disaster preparedness.

Disaster Preparedness

The effectiveness of local government units (LGUs) in disaster response initiatives in the Philippines varies, with some studies suggesting they are only partially prepared. Factors such as bureaucratic protocols, resource constraints, and stakeholder collaboration influence this. Disaster preparedness is crucial for emergency management and community mitigation.

Numerous studies have evaluated disaster preparedness across various entities, including emergency medical service agencies, providers, nurses, pharmacists, and hospital departments. Beyramijam et al. (2020) conducted a systematic literature review assessing disaster preparedness globally, while Kalanlar (2022) focused on the psychometric properties of disaster preparedness tools for nurses. McCourt et al. (2021) evaluated disaster preparedness and preparedness behaviors among pharmacists in Australia. Lan et al. (2021) modified the Chinese hospital nursing department disaster preparedness scale, while Noor et al. (2022) examined community-level disaster preparedness interventions using the Health Belief Model theory. Disaster education, community resilience, and communication are all crucial in disaster preparedness. Socio-economic factors, pediatric disaster preparedness, and disaster informatics are also explored. Public health laws and policies play a significant role in disaster preparedness during the COVID-19 pandemic. The body of research reviewed in this compilation represents a comprehensive exploration of disaster preparedness across various sectors and dimensions.

The Philippines, located in the Pacific Ring of Fire, is highly susceptible to natural disasters, making it crucial to implement strong disaster management and risk reduction techniques. Proactive measures like early warning systems, evacuation protocols, and resilient infrastructure are essential to protect the population from catastrophic effects. The country's socio-economic conditions, particularly urban areas, highlight the importance of being prepared for disasters. Disaster management solutions aim to minimize socio-economic consequences and foster fair resilience among all

population groups. The Philippines has signed international frameworks and agreements, such as the Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction, to reduce the risks associated with disasters. Understanding citizen satisfaction is crucial for the efficacy of disaster management strategies and promoting collaboration at regional and international levels.

Enhancing citizen happiness in disaster risk reduction (DRR) can improve community resilience and foster trust between the government and residents. Studies in the Philippines have shown that individual preparedness, education, community engagement, and institutional frameworks are crucial for disaster preparedness. Filipino nurses, local governments, and students all have varying levels of preparedness, with education playing a significant role in enhancing resilience. However, further research is needed to evaluate the effectiveness of preparedness initiatives and identify best practices for improving disaster preparedness among Filipinos. Overall, fostering citizen happiness is essential for fostering resilient communities.

Challenges on Disaster Preparedness

Disaster preparedness in the Philippines, particularly in Bulacan, is a complex issue influenced by geographical and climatic vulnerability, inadequate infrastructure, communication barriers, lack of community participation, financial constraints, and political dynamics. The region's rapid population growth and urbanization increase the demand for infrastructure and resources, making it difficult for local governments to maintain preparedness. Inadequate infrastructure and resources, such as roads, bridges, and evacuation centers, also contribute to the inadequacy of disaster preparedness. Communication and information dissemination are also challenging, with limited internet connectivity and language barriers. Community engagement and preparedness are also hindered by cultural factors and social norms. Coordination and cooperation between agencies are also challenging, with bureaucratic inefficiencies and limited budgets affecting disaster preparedness. Political dynamics and governance issues can also complicate disaster preparedness. Lastly, maintaining public awareness and preparedness is crucial for reducing the impact of disasters. By focusing on effective communication, community engagement, strong infrastructure, adequate resources, and close collaboration among stakeholders, Bulacan LGUs can improve their disaster preparedness and response, ultimately improving resilience and reducing disaster impact.

Significance of the Study

The research will benefit policymakers, local communities, academic researchers, NGOs, provinces, training institutions, local businesses, economists, and future researchers by providing a comprehensive resource for disaster preparedness and response in Bulacan. It will guide policy formulation, provide insights into disaster-related vulnerabilities, and serve as a benchmark for other regions. The findings will also contribute to academic discourse on community resilience.

Theoretical/Conceptual Framework

This paper discusses Community-Based Disaster Risk Management (CBDRM), a model that emphasizes community involvement in disaster risk reduction. CBDRM aims to empower communities to take ownership of their disaster risk reduction efforts and enhance their capacities to respond and recover from disasters. The model includes risk communication, community preparedness, and community-based recovery efforts. Numerous Philippine studies have used CBDRM to emphasize its importance in disaster preparedness. The Multi-Criteria Evaluation Approach can gauge community engagement in disaster preparedness and emphasize the importance of collaboration between LGUs and local communities during disaster response.

This study explores disaster preparedness in Bulacan, Philippines, focusing on social vulnerability theory and the Hazard Response Capability Framework. Social vulnerability theory highlights the impact of socio-economic differences on disaster vulnerability, such as poverty and lack of resources. The framework helps identify threats and assess the effectiveness of relief options. By integrating these theories, policymakers can improve disaster preparedness and reduce human suffering and economic losses. The study aligns with the Community-Based Disaster Risk Management (CBDRM) framework, which emphasizes local capacities and participation in disaster management. This approach can help build resilience and mitigate the impact of disasters on vulnerable populations.

Statement of the Problem

A nuanced understanding of the effectiveness of LGUs in disaster preparedness and response is instrumental in fortifying future strategies. This is particularly true for Bulacan, given its strategic importance and vulnerability. By employing a multi-criteria evaluation approach, this study intends to provide a comprehensive examination of LGU strategies, drawing from both quantitative and qualitative insights. The results aim to not only assess current practices but also pave the way for improvements, ultimately benefitting the communities residing within Bulacan. The primary aim of this research is to evaluate the effectiveness of LGUs in disaster preparedness and response in Bulacan, using a Multi-Criteria Evaluation Approach. This evaluation approach offers a structured methodology to examine and rate the effectiveness based on multiple predetermined criteria.

The research seeks to address the following questions:

- A. How may the level of disaster preparedness of the LGUs be assessed in terms of:
 1. Key indicators of preparedness infrastructure, and

1.1 Community awareness and engagement strategies?

- B. How may the level of disaster response be assessed in terms of:
 - 1. Response time and coordination efficiency and
 - 2. Resource allocation and utilization?
- C. Is there a significant relationship between disaster preparedness and response?
- D. What challenges do LGUs face in enhancing their disaster preparedness and response strategies?
- E. What program enhancement in disaster preparedness and response may be recommended based on the findings of the study?

Hypothesis of the Study

The following hypothesis will be tested at a 0.05 level of significance:

H₁: There is no significant relationship between the disaster preparedness and response.

H₂: There is a significant relationship between the disaster preparedness and response.

could provide valuable insights. Qualitative and quantitative biases remain risks. Interviews can lead to confirmation bias or selective reporting. Finally, time, budget, and resource constraints may limit research scope.

Despite these limitations, this study seeks to understand Bulacan's local government units' disaster preparedness and response to create a safer, more resilient community.

Term Definitions

The following terms are defined operationally and conceptually for clarity:

Prepare for disasters. This includes infrastructure development, resource allocation, and training programs for Bulacan Local Government Units (LGUs) to anticipate and mitigate natural disasters.

Effective disaster response. Response time, resource mobilization, and coordination indicate Bulacan LGUs' ability to quickly and efficiently address disaster-related needs.

Quantitative effectiveness metrics. LGU disaster management performance is measured by numerical indicators like response time, budget allocation, and resource utilization.

Life experiences of stakeholders. These qualitative data represent Bulacan communities and officials' personal and contextual encounters, perspectives, and sentiments about LGUs in disaster situations.

Scope and Delimitation

This research examines disaster preparedness and response mechanisms in Bulacan, Philippines, using the Community-Based Disaster Risk Management framework. It uses a Multi-Criteria Evaluation Approach and focuses on recent events that shaped Bulacan's local government units' disaster management strategies. The study is tailored to Bulacan due to its vulnerability to natural hazards and dense population. However, limitations include data collection challenges, applicability to other regions, and potential biases.

Methodology of the study

This section details the research methodology, which combines quantitative and qualitative methods, and the Multi-Criteria Evaluation Approach, to provide a comprehensive understanding of disaster management.

Methods and Techniques Used

The study used a convergent-parallel research design to examine disaster preparedness and response effectiveness of local government units (LGUs) in Bulacan, Philippines. Quantitative data was collected through surveys and interviews, while qualitative data was analyzed using descriptive statistics, correlation analysis, and inferential tests. The study aimed to quantify and measure factors affecting disaster management, inform qualitative recommendations, and provide a comprehensive understanding of disaster preparedness.

Locale

This study focuses on Bulacan, a province in the Philippines, where rapid urbanization and socio-economic growth have made it vulnerable to natural disasters. The chosen municipalities are chosen based on population density, infrastructure development, and historical disaster occurrences, providing a comprehensive perspective on disaster management challenges in different municipalities.

Respondents of the Study

The study used stratified random sampling to capture a diverse and representative subset of the population of Bulacan's municipalities. The population was divided into distinct strata, each representing municipalities with shared characteristics such as urbanization level, population density, and vulnerability to disasters. The Cochran formula was used to determine the appropriate sample size, ensuring a balance between precision and feasibility. The study primarily involved local officials, disaster management personnel, and community members from selected municipalities, with respondents having direct experience in disaster preparedness and response activities within the past five years. The study focused on 10 specific cities in Bulacan to achieve a manageable scope and provide a detailed view of disaster management practices at the local government level.

Research Instrument

A detailed instrument was created to assess Bulacan's Local Government Units (LGU) disaster preparedness and response. Multi-criteria evaluation was used to create this instrument, which emphasizes disaster preparedness and response.

The researcher's disaster preparedness and response instrument uses multi-criteria evaluation. This method examines infrastructure resilience, community engagement, coordination mechanisms, and resource allocation as key disaster management factors. Based on this method, the researcher creates a comprehensive and structured assessment framework that captures disaster preparedness's complexities and interdependencies. This customized approach allows for a nuanced evaluation of each criterion's contribution to preparedness and response capabilities, revealing strengths and weaknesses in Bulacan's local government units.

The questionnaire was a 50-item Likert scale (1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree). These questions covered disaster planning, communication, and cooperation.

Gen-Xers and Millennials in Bulacan were targeted by translating the instrument into Tagalog to make it easier to understand and participate. This resource also covered Bulacan-specific disaster management issues and trends.

This instrument was intended to enhance understanding of Bulacan's LGUs' crisis mechanisms, preparedness, and response. Questions answered led to disaster preparedness and response recommendations and actions.

Exploratory factor analysis (EFA) was used to identify the factors that help Bulacan LGUs prepare for and respond to disasters for the validity test. This analysis reduces observed variables to latent factors to simplify and summarize the data. The EFA used principal axis factoring with Promax rotation to account for correlated factors, as disaster management activities are interconnected.

The exploratory factor analysis also found that community engagement and preparedness, effective communication and information dissemination, infrastructure and resources, and collaboration and coordination help Bulacan prepare for and respond to disasters. These factors structure disaster management understanding and improvement. Focusing on these areas allows LGUs to develop targeted disaster preparedness and response strategies, making their communities more resilient

The factor loadings for each item were checked to ensure they were above 0.40, indicating a strong relationship between the items and their factors. Use of Cronbach's alpha to assess factor internal consistency yielded the following results:

- Community Engagement and Preparedness: $\alpha = 0.853$ • Effective Communication and Information Dissemination: $\alpha = 0.829$
- Infrastructure and Resources: $\alpha = 0.815$ • Collaboration and Coordination: $\alpha = 0.801$.

All factors had Cronbach's alpha values above 0.70, indicating good internal consistency.

Data Gathering Procedure

1. Submit the proposal to the La Consolacion University Philippines - Research Ethics Committee (LCUP-REC) for approval.
2. Identify and recruit participants using stratified random sampling.
3. Create a comprehensive research instrument, including a Likert scale questionnaire and structured interview guides.
4. Administer the research instrument to selected participants through in-person interviews or online surveys.
5. Conduct structured interviews with a subset of participants to gather qualitative data.
6. Record and transcribe the interviews for thematic analysis.
7. Clean and preprocess the collected data to ensure its quality for analysis.

Data Processing and Statistical Treatment

The research aimed to understand the effectiveness of Local Government Units (LGUs) in disaster preparedness and response in Bulacan. It used a combination of quantitative and qualitative data processing methods, including data cleaning and pre-processing, descriptive statistics, Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA), Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA), Structural Equation Modeling (SEM), and Cronbach's alpha. Thematic analysis was used to identify and interpret patterns within the data. Data visualization tools were used to present the results visually. The research aimed to provide a comprehensive understanding of the LGUs' effectiveness in disaster preparedness and response in Bulacan.

Ethical Considerations

The researcher prioritizes ethical integrity in this research study, obtaining approval from the La Consolacion University Philippines - Research Ethics Committee. They ensure informed consent, confidentiality, and privacy for participants. They conduct research with sensitivity and respect, considering potential vulnerabilities of disaster-affected individuals. The researcher maintains ethical responsibility in the dissemination of findings, accurately representing data, avoiding misinterpretation, and providing clear attribution to participants and stakeholders. Ethical considerations remain a guiding principle throughout the study.

Presentation, Analysis, and Interpretation of Data

This chapter analyzes the disaster preparedness and response strategies of local government units (LGUs) in Bulacan. It begins with a demographic analysis of respondents, focusing on age, gender, education, and employment. The study then delves into core areas of disaster preparedness, including community engagement, effective communication, infrastructure, and collaboration. It then examines the effectiveness of disaster response initiatives and the relationships between preparedness factors and response effectiveness. The chapter concludes with a discussion of the challenges faced by LGUs in enhancing their preparedness and response strategies, recommending improvements such as resource management, communication, inter-agency coordination, and training programs.

Demographic Profile of Respondents

The demographic profile of the respondents reveals a diverse range of age groups, with the majority falling within the 26-35 age range (22.3%). This demographic is critical as it represents a significant portion of the active workforce, potentially influencing their engagement and perspectives on disaster preparedness and response. The gender distribution is nearly equal, with 51.0% male and 49.0% female respondents, ensuring a balanced representation of perspectives across genders. The majority of respondents hold undergraduate (32.9%) and graduate degrees (28.1%), suggesting a well-educated population. The majority of respondents are employed (44.1%), followed by students (25.5%), retirees (17.5%), and the unemployed (12.9%). The high percentage of employed individuals underscores the critical role of workplaces in disaster preparedness, as employers can facilitate training and provide resources to enhance employee readiness for disasters.

Disaster Preparedness

The exploratory factor analysis (EFA) identifies key components for effective disaster preparedness, including community engagement, effective communication, infrastructure, and coordination. These factors are crucial for local government units (LGUs) in Bulacan to be well-prepared for disasters.

Community engagement is essential for building a culture of preparedness and resilience. The average mean score for these indicators is 2.994, indicating moderate preparedness. Improvements include incorporating disaster education in schools, strengthening inter-agency collaboration, and ensuring prompt rehabilitation efforts to enhance disaster preparedness and response capabilities.

THE LEVEL OF DISASTER PREPAREDNESS OF THE LGUS BE ASSESSED

This study assesses the level of disaster preparedness in Local Government Units (LGUs) in the Province of Bulacan. Key indicators of preparedness infrastructure and community awareness and engagement strategies are analyzed. The results show a generally neutral perception of the local community's knowledge about preparedness procedures, investment in disaster preparedness training, effective allocation of financial resources for disaster-related needs, and strong partnerships with NGOs in disaster management.

The highest mean score for infrastructure and resources is 2.990, indicating a neutral stance on these efforts. However, high standard deviations across all indicators highlight significant differences in individual perceptions and experiences. This variability suggests that while some aspects of infrastructure and resource management are viewed positively, others may need improvement to achieve a more consistent and positive community perception.

Community awareness and engagement strategies are also assessed. The highest mean score, 3.072, is associated with the prompt initiation of post-disaster rehabilitation plans, suggesting a relatively better perception in this area. However, the verbal interpretation remains neutral, highlighting that the community does not view these efforts as exceptionally strong. The lowest mean score, 2.897, pertains to the incorporation of disaster education in local school curricula, suggesting that disaster education is perceived as less effectively integrated into the education system compared to other preparedness measures.

Overall, the table's data points to a generally neutral perception of the local government's disaster preparedness and response efforts. High standard deviations across all indicators indicate considerable variability in perceptions, suggesting that while some community members may have positive experiences, others do not. This inconsistency could be an area for the local government to address to enhance uniformity and effectiveness in disaster

preparedness and response initiatives. By focusing on areas with lower scores, such as disaster education in schools, and addressing the variability in perceptions, local government units in Bulacan can work towards more cohesive and effective disaster preparedness and response strategies.

THE LEVEL OF DISASTER RESPONSE

This study examines the level of disaster response in the Province of Bulacan, focusing on response time, coordination efficiency, and resource allocation and utilization. The indicators show a generally neutral perception of various aspects of response effectiveness and timeliness. The highest mean score, 3.049, pertains to the design of physical infrastructure with disaster resilience in mind, suggesting a slightly better perception of infrastructure resilience. The lowest mean score, 2.880, relates to the operational status of communication infrastructures during crises, indicating less reliable communication systems during disasters. The continuous effort to update and refine the preparedness plan is 2.983, suggesting a neutral perception of ongoing preparedness efforts. Similarly, the mean score for addressing the community's specific needs during disaster response is 3.017, indicating varied experiences and perceptions in this area.

The variability in community perceptions aligns with findings that emphasize the need for consistent and equitable efforts in these areas to ensure uniform response effectiveness and timeliness. These studies underscore the necessity for Local Government Units (LGUs) to enhance their response strategies to improve overall disaster resilience and community trust.

Collaboration and coordination are also assessed in the Province of Bulacan, with the highest mean score, 3.140, referring to the regular organization of training sessions for residents. The lowest mean score, 2.917, relates to the cohesiveness of local teams during disaster response, suggesting less effective teamwork among local response teams. The mean score for technological resources, such as early warning systems, is 3.034, indicating a slightly better perception of their state. However, the high standard deviation suggests significant differences in how up-to-date these systems are perceived.

RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN DISASTER PREPAREDNESS AND RESPONSE

The study reveals varying levels of positive relationships between disaster preparedness factors and response effectiveness and timeliness. Significant correlations were found for Effective Communication and Information Dissemination and Collaboration and Coordination, highlighting the critical role of these activities in enhancing disaster response. However, a weak positive relationship was found between Community Engagement and Preparedness and response effectiveness, suggesting that community involvement may still play a role in disaster response outcomes. The weak positive relationship for Infrastructure and Resources suggests that improvements in infrastructure and resource allocation can contribute to better response outcomes. The Pearson correlation analysis provides valuable insights into the relationship between disaster preparedness and response among Local Government Units (LGUs) in Bulacan. The findings suggest that LGUs should prioritize enhancing their communication infrastructure and fostering strong inter-agency collaboration to improve disaster response outcomes. Stakeholder interviews also support these findings, highlighting the importance of clear communication, robust infrastructure, and effective coordination in disaster management.

Challenges Faced by LGUs in Enhancing Disaster Preparedness and Response

The analysis of disaster preparedness and response factors in Bulacan reveals several key areas that require attention to enhance overall effectiveness. The integration of quantitative and qualitative data provides a comprehensive understanding of the challenges and priorities in disaster management for local government units (LGUs).

Resource adequacy is a significant concern for LGUs, with a mean rating of 3.20. However, qualitative feedback highlights a significant concern regarding the lack of sufficient equipment and trained personnel. Ensuring adequate allocation and efficient management of resources is crucial for enhancing disaster preparedness and response effectiveness.

Response timeliness is critical, but the data shows a mean rating of 3.25 for the timeliness of LGU response actions. Qualitative feedback points to delays in response time, especially in remote areas, indicating a need for targeted improvements in logistical planning and deployment strategies. Enhancing logistical frameworks and deploying rapid response teams could significantly improve response times in these vulnerable areas.

Effective communication is vital during emergencies, with a mean rating of 3.40 for the effectiveness of communication strategies employed by LGUs. However, qualitative feedback highlights inefficiencies in communication channels during emergencies. Implementing robust communication infrastructures and conducting regular public awareness campaigns can help address these inefficiencies.

Inter-agency coordination is critical for a cohesive disaster response, with a mean rating of 3.40 for effective coordination by LGUs. However, qualitative feedback reveals coordination issues with other agencies and organizations. Strengthening coordination mechanisms is essential to ensure a unified and effective disaster response.

Continuous improvement in disaster response initiatives is also recognized, with a mean rating of 3.15. However, qualitative insights point to insufficient resources allocated for disaster response. Allocating sufficient resources specifically for disaster response activities is crucial to ensure readiness and effectiveness.

Training and preparedness are fundamental to effective disaster management, with a mean rating of 3.36 for the regularity of training sessions. Improving and increasing training programs for disaster response teams can significantly bolster their capabilities.

Program Enhancement for Disaster Preparedness and Response

The study suggests that Local Government Units (LGUs) in Bulacan can significantly improve their disaster preparedness and response strategies by implementing several enhancements. These include ensuring adequate allocation and efficient management of resources, addressing delays and ensuring timely response actions, enhancing communication strategies for clarity and timeliness, strengthening coordination mechanisms with other agencies and organizations, and allocating sufficient resources specifically for disaster response activities.

Adequate budget allocation for disaster response activities is crucial, with a focus on preparedness and response efforts. Regular training programs for disaster response teams and systematic maintenance and inventory systems can ensure readiness at all times. Timely response actions are also essential, with detailed logistical plans and real-time monitoring systems to ensure efficient coordination and tracking of response efforts.

Effective communication is a key component of disaster management, with robust infrastructure and public awareness campaigns educating the community about disaster preparedness and available communication channels during emergencies. Establishing feedback mechanisms and conducting joint training exercises with other agencies and organizations can also enhance cooperation and coordination.

Lastly, allocating sufficient resources specifically for disaster response activities is essential, with budget allocation prioritizing preparedness and response efforts. Additional funding mechanisms, such as grants and partnerships with private organizations, can augment disaster response budgets. Promoting resource pooling among neighboring LGUs can maximize available resources and ensure comprehensive response capability, enhancing overall disaster response effectiveness.

The study aims to improve and increase training programs for disaster response teams in Bulacan, focusing on both basic and advanced techniques. It emphasizes the importance of continuous capacity building in disaster management and the need for scenario-based drills and simulations to enhance readiness. Additionally, introducing certification programs for disaster responders can standardize training and ensure high levels of competency, significantly enhancing the effectiveness of disaster response teams.

The proposed program enhancements address critical challenges identified in the study, ensuring better protection and support for communities during emergencies. By implementing these enhancements, LGUs in Bulacan can significantly improve their disaster preparedness and response strategies. The recommendations are grounded in the findings of the current study and the established literature on effective disaster management practices.

To ensure adequate resource allocation, improve response timeliness, enhance communication strategies, strengthen coordination mechanisms, allocate sufficient resources, and increase training programs, it is essential to address delays and ensure timely response actions. This includes developing logistical plans, forming rapid deployment teams, implementing real-time monitoring, enhancing communication strategies, investing in communication infrastructure, conducting public awareness campaigns, establishing feedback mechanisms, strengthening coordination mechanisms with other agencies and organizations, prioritizing budget allocation, exploring additional funding mechanisms, and encouraging resource pooling among neighboring LGUs.

In conclusion, the study highlights the importance of continuous capacity building in disaster management and the need for improved training programs for disaster response teams. By implementing these enhancements, LGUs in Bulacan can significantly improve their disaster preparedness and response strategies, ultimately leading to more resilient communities capable of effectively managing disasters.

Action Plan for Enhancing Disaster Preparedness and Response

The action plan outlines objectives, actions, timelines, and responsible departments for enhancing disaster preparedness and response in Bulacan. The first objective is to ensure adequate allocation and efficient management of resources, with additional funds allocated for procurement of essential disaster response equipment. The proposed budget includes PHP 5,000,000 for training and capacity building, PHP 10,000,000 for infrastructure and resources, PHP 3,000,000 for community engagement and education, PHP 2,000,000 for research and evaluation, and a contingency fund of PHP 1,000,000 for unforeseen expenses.

The LGU Training and Development Office will conduct comprehensive training sessions and develop a systematic maintenance and inventory system to ensure readiness. The LGU Logistics and Planning Department will create detailed logistical plans to improve access to remote areas, while the LGU IT and Communication Department will manage real-time monitoring and communication systems.

The third objective is to enhance communication strategies for clarity and timeliness, investing in robust communication infrastructure and conducting public awareness campaigns. The LGU Public Relations Office will conduct public awareness campaigns and establish feedback mechanisms to gather input from the community.

The fourth objective is to strengthen coordination mechanisms with other agencies and organizations, developing formal agreements and protocols, organizing joint training exercises, and establishing coordination centers. These measures will be implemented from Q1 to Q3 2025.

The study outlines five objectives for LGUs in Bulacan to improve disaster preparedness and response strategies. The first objective is to allocate sufficient resources for disaster response activities, focusing on preparedness and response efforts. The LGU Financial Department will handle this,

while the LGU Partnerships and Grants Office will explore additional funding sources. The LGU Coordination Office will promote resource pooling among neighboring LGUs to maximize available resources and ensure comprehensive response capability.

The final objective is to improve and increase training programs for disaster response teams. The LGU Training and Development Office will conduct regular training sessions on basic and advanced response techniques. The LGU Emergency Services will manage scenario-based drills and simulations to enhance readiness. The LGU Training and Development Office will also develop certification programs for disaster responders to standardize training and ensure high levels of competency.

These measures will be implemented from Q1 to Q3 2025. The study emphasizes the need for continuous capacity building in disaster management. The recommendations are grounded in the findings of the study and established literature on effective disaster management practices, aiming to build resilient communities capable of effectively managing disasters.

Summary of Findings, Conclusions and Recommendations

The study focuses on the factors influencing disaster preparedness and response among Local Government Units (LGUs) in Bulacan, highlighting both strengths and areas for improvement. The research combines quantitative and qualitative data to provide a nuanced understanding of the challenges and opportunities in enhancing disaster management practices. The findings suggest that there is room for improvement in infrastructure and community engagement to bolster disaster preparedness. The level of disaster response among LGUs in Bulacan is generally neutral, with indicators such as disaster preparedness training, financial resource allocation, and partnerships with NGOs scoring neutral. The study also found a significant relationship between disaster preparedness and response, with effective communication and information dissemination playing a critical role. Challenges faced by LGUs include inadequate resource allocation, delays in response time, communication inefficiencies, and coordination issues with other agencies. The study recommends several program enhancements for LGUs in Bulacan, including increasing budget allocation for disaster response equipment and training, developing detailed logistical plans, investing in robust communication infrastructures, conducting public awareness campaigns, and establishing feedback mechanisms. Implementing these enhancements can significantly improve disaster preparedness and response strategies, leading to more resilient communities.

The study reveals that disaster preparedness among Local Government Units (LGUs) in Bulacan is moderate, with room for improvement in areas like integrating disaster education into school curricula and ensuring consistent community engagement. The disaster response capabilities show moderate effectiveness, with variability in response time, coordination efficiency, and resource allocation. Effective communication and coordination are positively related to disaster response effectiveness. However, LGUs face challenges such as inadequate resource allocation, delays in response, inefficient communication strategies, and coordination issues. To improve disaster preparedness and response, LGUs should invest in upgrading communication infrastructures, establishing rapid response teams, and strengthening inter-agency collaboration.

To enhance disaster management strategies, LGUs should focus on integrating disaster education into school curricula, investing in robust communication infrastructures, and implementing comprehensive program enhancements. These measures can build more resilient communities and improve disaster management efforts. Actionable insights include focusing on disaster education, upgrading communication infrastructures, enhancing communication and coordination mechanisms, addressing resource inadequacy, response delays, and coordination issues, and implementing comprehensive program enhancements.

References

- Alcayna, T., Bollettino, V., Dy, P., & Vinck, P. (2016). Resilience and disaster trends in the Philippines: Opportunities for national and local capacity building. *PLoS Currents*, 8(Disasters). <https://doi.org/10.1371/currents.dis.4a0bc960866e53bd6357ac135d740846>
- Aliperti, G., Sandholz, S., Hagenlocher, M., Rizzi, F., Frey, M., & Garschagen, M. (2019, November). TOURISM, CRISIS, DISASTER: AN INTERDISCIPLINARY APPROACH. Retrieved from Science Direct: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0160738319301653> Al-Shareef, A. S.,
- Alsulimani, L. K., Bojan, H. M., Masri, T. M., Grimes, J. O., Molloy, M. S., & Ciotto, G. R. (2017). Evaluation of hospitals' disaster preparedness plans in the Holy City of Makkah (Mecca): A cross-sectional observation study. *Prehospital and Disaster Medicine*, 32(1), 33–45. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1049023X16001229>
- Balsari, S., Kiang, M., & Buckee, C. (2021). Data in crisis—Rethinking disaster preparedness in the United States. Retrieved from <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC8622661/>
- Bernard, K., & Cook, S. (2015, December). Luxury tourism investment and flood risk: Case study on unsustainable development in Denarau island resort in Fiji. Retrieved from Science Direct: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S2212420914000727>
- Beyramijam, M., Khankeh, H. R., Farrokhi, M., Ebadi, A., Masoumi, G., & Aminizadeh, M. (2020). Disaster Preparedness among Emergency Medical Service Providers: A Systematic Review Protocol. *Emergency Medicine International*, 2020, 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/6102940>

- Carlton, S., Nissen, S., Wong, J. H. K., & Johnson, S. (2022). "A shovel or a shopping cart": lessons from ten years of disaster response by a student-led volunteer group. *Natural Hazards*, 111(1), 33–50. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-021-05043-7>
- Carrasco, S., & Egelakin, T. (2022). Unravelling the challenges for long-term planning post-disaster resettlement in Cagayan de Oro, Philippines. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 1101(2). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/1101/2/022038>
- CFE-DM. (2021, November). PHILIPPINES: Disaster Management Reference Handbook. Retrieved from Prevention Web: <https://www.cfe-dmha.org/LinkClick.aspx?fileticket=h76R6jCvL24%3d&portalid=0>
- Deng, G., Si, J., Zhao, X., Han, Q., & Chen, H. (2022). Evaluation of Community Disaster Resilience (CDR): Taking Luoyang Community as an Example. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/5177379>
- Drakes, O., & Tate, E. (2022). Social vulnerability in a multi-hazard context: a systematic review. Retrieved from <https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1748-9326/ac5140/meta>
- Ellena, G. (2018). Disaster and Emergency Preparedness: Guidance for Schools. 3(2), 1-61. Retrieved from https://www.preventionweb.net/files/13989_ifcdisasteremergencyhandbook63010.pdf
- Félix, D., Monteiro, D., Branco, J., Bologna, R., & Feio, A. (2014, December 20). The role of temporary accommodation buildings for post-disaster housing reconstruction. Retrieved from Springer Link: <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10901-014-9431-4>
- Gori, V., Marincioni, V., & Altamirano-Medina, H. (2021). Retrofitting traditional buildings: a risk-management framework integrating energy and moisture. *Buildings and Cities*, 2(1), 411-424. <https://doi.org/10.5334/bc.107>
- Hidayat, Z., & Yatminiwati, M. (2023). Role of Local Wisdom-Based Disaster Education and Training Through Education Levels in Disaster-Prone Areas. *International Journal of Accounting and Management Research*, 4(1), 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.30741/ijamr.v4i1.991>
- Hou, J. and Zhao, X. (2020). Toward a supply chain risk identification and filtering framework using systems theory. *Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing and Logistics*, 33(6), 1482-1497. <https://doi.org/10.1108/apjml-05-2020-0342>
- Houston, J. B., & Buzzanell, P. M. (2020). Communication and resilience: introduction to the Journal of Applied Communication Research special issue. *Journal of Applied Communication Research*, 48(1), 1–4. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00909882.2020.1711956>
- Huang, Y., Wong, H., & Fu, Y. (2020). Resilience and depression among the survivors of the 2013 Yaan earthquake. *Journal of Social Work*, 20(6), 817–833. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1468017319852593>
- Kalanlar, B. (2022). Psychometric Properties of Disaster Preparedness Tools in Nurses: A Systematic Literature Review. *Prehospital and Disaster Medicine*, 37(4), 509–514. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1049023X22000930>
- Kanobe, F., Sambo, S., & Kalema, B. (2022). Information security governance framework in public cloud a case in low resource economies in Uganda. *Journal of Innovation Information Technology and Application (Jinita)*, 4(1), 82-92. <https://doi.org/10.35970/jinita.v4i1.1427>
- Matunhay, L. M. (2022). Disaster Preparedness and Sensitivity Level among Higher Education Institution Students. *International Journal of Disaster Management*, 5(2), 75–92. <https://doi.org/10.24815/ijdm.v5i2.27150>
- McCourt, E. M., Singleton, J. A., Tippett, V., & Nissen, L. M. (2021). Evaluation of disaster preparedness and preparedness behaviors among pharmacists: A cross-sectional study in Australia. *Prehospital and Disaster Medicine*, 36(3), 354–361. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1049023X21000133>
- Noor, M. T. M., Shahar, H. K., Baharudin, M. R., Ismail, S. N. S., Manaf, R. A., Said, S. M., Ahmad, J., & Muthiah, S. G. (2023). A Systematic Review on an Optimal Dose of Disaster Preparedness Intervention Utilizing Health Belief Model Theory. *Pertanika Journal of Science and Technology*, 31(1), 149–159. <https://doi.org/10.47836/pjst.31.1.10>
- O'Brien, A., Read, G., & Salmon, P. (2020). Situation Awareness in multi-agency emergency response: Models, methods and applications. Retrieved from <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2212420920300443>
- Rogayan, D. V., & Dollete, L. F. (2020). Disaster awareness and preparedness of barrio community in Zambales, Philippines: Creating a baseline for curricular integration and extension program. *Review of International Geographical Education Online*, 10(2), 92–114. <https://doi.org/10.33403/rigeo.634564>
- Schumacher, L., Bonnabry, P., & Widmer, N. (2021). Emergency and Disaster Preparedness of European Hospital Pharmacists: A Survey. *Disaster Medicine and Public Health Preparedness*, 15(1), 25–33. <https://doi.org/10.1017/dmp.2019.112>
- Senanayake, A., Fernando, N., Wasana, M., Amaratunga, D., Haigh, R., Malalagoda, C., & Jayakody, C. (2022). Landslide Induced Displacement and Relocation Options: A Case Study of Owner Driven Settings in Sri Lanka. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 14(3). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su14031906>