International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

Journal homepage: www.ijrpr.com ISSN 2582-7421

Soft Robotic Device for Hand Rehabilitation

Chitra Banerjee[1], Sanjeev Kumar[2], Varun Mawani[3], Saurabh Pathak [4]

Vivekananda Global University, Jaipur 302017

ABSTRACT :

This essay examines robotics' place in medical science, emphasizing its developments, uses, and potential futures. Healthcare has been completely transformed by the quick development of robotics, especially in the areas of surgery, rehabilitation, and diagnostics. Thanks to improvements in precision and visualization, surgical outcomes have been improved by innovations like minimally invasive surgery and robot-assisted surgery. Remote surgery is made possible by telerobotics, which provides underserved areas with specialized care. The integration of robotic hand app technology into medical care represents a monumental advancement in healthcare innovation. This paper comprehensively explores the multifaceted implications of robotic hands in various medical applications, including prosthetics, rehabilitation, and surgical assistance. Through an exhaustive literature review, empirical analysis, and expert interviews, this research delves into the effectiveness, challenges, and ethical considerations associated with robotic hand technology in medical settings. By synthesizing existing knowledge and providing critical insights, this paper contributes significantly to the ongoing discourse surrounding the integration of robotics into healthcare delivery.

Keywords: Robotic Surgery, Telerobotics, Prosthetics, Healthcare Innovation

Introduction :

Robotic hands have their roots in early industrial robotics and mechanical prosthetics. An historical summary of the advancements and significant turning points in the history of robotic hand technology are presented in this section. It examines the development of sophisticated anthropomorphic hands that can imitate the functionality and dexterity of human hands from basic mechanical grippers. This section establishes the groundwork for comprehending the present capabilities and constraints of robotic hands in medical applications by looking at the trajectory of technological advancement. For rehabilitative purposes, soft robotic devices have been developed for the majority of the body's major joints, such as the ankle, knees, shoulder, elbows, and wrists [1–5]. Although numerous attempts have been made to create these soft robotic devices for hand rehabilitation applications, there isn't much clinical data to back up one particular design approach over another.The rapid advancement of robotics technology has catalyzed a paradigm shift in healthcare, offering unprecedented opportunities for improving patient care and treatment outcomes. Robotic hand app technology, with its ability to mimic the dexterity and functionality of human hands, holds immense promise for transforming various facets of medical practice. This section introduces the objectives of the research, providing an overview of the development and current state-of-the art in robotic hand technology. It sets the stage for an in-depth exploration of the applications, challenges, and ethical implications of robotic hands in medical care.The integration of robotic hand app technology into medical care represents a monumental advancement in healthcare innovation. Robotics has long been at the forefront of technological development, offering solutions to a wide array of challenges in various industries. However, its application in healthcare holds particularly transformative potential, as it directly impacts the well-being and quality of life of individuals. Robotic hand app technology stands out as a remarkable example of this intersection between robotics and healthcare. With the ability to replicate the intricate movements and functionalities of human hands, robotic hands have the capacity to revolutionize multiple aspects of medical practice. From assisting individuals with limb loss or impairment to augmenting surgical procedures and enhancing rehabilitation therapies, the applications of robotic hands in healthcare are vast and promising.

The objectives of this research are two fold: to comprehensively explore the current landscape of robotic hand technology in medical care and to critically examine the effectiveness, challenges, and ethical considerations associated with its integration into clinical practice [5-12]. By undertaking this endeavor, we aim to contribute to the ongoing dialogue surrounding the future of healthcare innovation and pave the way for the responsible and ethical deployment of robotic hand technology in medical settings.In this introduction, we provide a brief overview of the historical development of robotic hand technology, emphasizing key milestones and breakthroughs that have shaped its evolution. We then outline the structure of this research paper, delineating the main sections and the topics covered within each. Through this systematic approach, we aim to give a comprehensive understanding of the multifaceted implications of robotic hands in medical care, laying the groundwork for in-depth exploration and analysis in subsequent sections.

Methods :

A comparative framework was developed to evaluate the performance, patient comfort, and therapeutic outcomes of various soft robotic hand devices. The Soft robotic device emerged as a promising solution, leveraging flexible, compliant materials to mimic the natural movements and dexterity of the human hand. The Control Unit and theWearable Orthosis are the two fundamental functional units that make up the first section of the framework, whichdeals with the design of these devices. Starting with the Control Unit, there are numerous design factors that must be taken into account when creating this component of the device. These factors can be fairly complex, with subtleties that are challenging to distill across multiple devices. As a result, the Control Unit analysis concentrates on a few crucial features that will enable these devices to move on to the following stages of development. These features include modes for detecting user intent, portability, and safety precautions.

To be clearer, the ability of the device to be used in a patient's home without assistance from a technician or clinician is referred to in this context as portability. This is due to the fact that home rehabilitation has been demonstrated to improve psychological and functional performance, which would ideally result in therapy regimens that are both shorter and more successful. The safety mechanism is also crucial for these robots to give the patient some protection in the event that the device malfunctions. This safety mechanism typically takes the form of a feedback signal that instructs the Control Unit to turn off the device [13]. Lastly, any signal that tracks the patient's hand movements and is transmitted to the deputy' control unit to facilitate those movements is considered the user intent detection modality.

Although there are many devices intended for the rehabilitation of other major body joints, the hand's kinematics make the design considerations more complicated, so they were left out of this review.Furthermore, prosthetic devices that substitute human anatomy were likewise excluded because it was determined that their design considerations deviated from the rehabilitation focus.

A comparative framework

A general framework was developed that conceptualizes the fundamental components of each device and the fundamental interactions between these components in order to direct the analysis of a comparatively large number of devices. Not every interaction is immediately necessary for the analysis and can make comparisons less clear, even though in practice the precise interactions between the various components are far more complex than shown.

Rather, the diagram illustrating the key elements of the design facilitates a straightforward comparison of the different technical approaches taken in the process of creating these robots, providing information about their current state of development and aiding in the direction of further research in the area. We divide our discussion of the schematic into two sections: the first section covers the robotic device's design, and the second section discuss the software of this project.

Study Design and Methodology:

Clinical trials evaluating robotic hand rehabilitation therapy typically employ randomized controlled trials (RCTs), quasi-experimental designs, or single-group pre-post studies [18]. These studies often compare robotic therapy with conventional therapy or placebo interventions to assess its relative effectiveness. Outcome measures commonly include standardized assessments of hand function, such as the Fugl-Meyer Assessment, Action ResearchArm Test, and the Box and Blocks Test. Additionally, studies may employ neuroimaging techniques such as fMRIor EEG to investigate the neural mechanisms underlying therapeutic effects.

Results and Implications for Clinical Practice:

The findings from clinical trials and empirical studies on robotic hand rehabilitation therapy have been promising overall. Many studies have reported significant improvements in motor function, hand strength, and dexterity following robotic interventions compared to conventional therapy or control conditions. Moreover, some studies have demonstrated sustained benefits over time, suggesting the potential for long-term functional gains.

Critical Evaluation of Evidence:

While the evidence supporting the use of robotic hand rehabilitation therapy is promising, several limitations should be considered. These include heterogeneity in study designs, small sample sizes, variability in robotic devices and protocols used, and lack of long-term follow-up in some studies. Additionally, the clinical significance of observed improvements in hand function and activities of daily living may vary across studies.

Areas for Further Research and Development:

Despite the progress made in this field, several areas warrant further investigation. Future research should focus on optimizing robotic device design and control algorithms to enhance therapy efficacy and patient engagement.Additionally, larger-scale RCTs with longer follow-up periods are needed to establish the comparative effectiveness of robotic therapy versus conventional interventions across different patient populations and clinical settings. Moreover, research exploring the optimal timing, intensity, and duration of robotic therapy sessions is essential for optimizing treatment protocols.

About Software

The software component of robotics rehabilitation for hand recovery is integral to the system's effectiveness, utilizing advanced algorithms and interactive interfaces to target specific nerves and muscles in the hand. These software programs are designed to facilitate the rehabilitation of nerves such as the median, ulnar, and radial nerves, each of which controls different aspects of hand movement and sensation. By integrating detailed anatomical data, the software can customize exercises that stimulate these nerves appropriately, aiding in the recovery of functions such as grip strength, dexterity, and fine motor skills. Through real-time tracking and adaptive feedback, the software monitors the patient's progress, ensuring that exercises are performed correctly and adjusted as needed to optimize nerve recovery. Interactive elements, including virtual reality environments and gamified tasks, engage patients, making the rehabilitation process more enjoyable and encouraging consistent participation.

Moreover, the software collects and analyzes data to provide therapists with valuable insights into the patient's recovery trajectory, allowing for personalized adjustments to the rehabilitation plan. This sophisticated integration of software and robotics in rehabilitation machines enhances the precision and effectiveness of therapy, promoting faster and more comprehensive recovery of hand function.

Historical development of Robotics hand technology :

Early Mechanical Prosthetics:

The exploration of robotic hand technology begins with the earliest attempts to develop mechanical prosthetics. Historical examples, such as the ancient Egyptian wooden toe prosthetic or the Renaissance-era iron hand prosthesis, illustrate humanity's early endeavors to restore lost limb functionality.These early prosthetics laid the groundwork for future advancements in the field, demonstrating humanity's ingenuity and resourcefulness in addressing the challenges of limb loss and impairment.

Industrial Revolution and Technological Innovation:

Significant improvements in manufacturing techniques and materials were brought about by the Industrial Revolution in the 18th and 19th centuries paving the way for the development of more sophisticated prostheticdevices. Innovations such as the use of lightweight materials, mechanical components, and harnessing of steam power contributed to the evolution of prosthetic limbs, making them more functional and practical for everyday use.

Emergence of Electromechanical Prosthetics:

The mid-20th century saw the emergence of electromechanical prosthetic devices, marking a significant milestone in the development of robotic hand technology.Innovations such as the Utah Arm, developed in the 1940s, incorporated electrical components and motors to provide greater control and dexterity to prosthetic users.These early electromechanical prosthetics laid the foundation for the integration of robotics and electronics into rehabilitation practices, setting the stage for future advancements in the field.

Advancements in Robotics and Automation:

The latter half of the 20th century witnessed rapid advancements in robotics and automation technologies, driven by developments in computing, electronics, and artificial intelligence.Influential figures such as Joseph Engelberger, often referred to as the "father of robotics," and researchers at institutions like the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT) and Stanford University played pivotal roles in advancing robotics technology.Breakthroughs such as the development of the Stanford Arm in the 1960s and the introduction of computer- controlled robotic systems in the following decades revolutionized the field of robotics, laying the groundwork for the development of robotic hand technology.

Introduction of Robotic Hand Prosthetics:

The late 20th and early 21st centuries saw the introduction of robotic hand prosthetics that closely mimicked the dexterity and functionality of human hands.Innovations such as Thei-LIMB and the Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory developed the Modular Prosthetic Limb (MPL). Hand by Touch Bionics represented significant advancements in robotic hand technology, offering unprecedented levels of control and functionality to users.These advancements marked a paradigm shift in rehabilitation practices, providing individuals with limb loss or impairment with greater independence and quality of life.

Recent Technological Innovations:

In recent years, technological innovations such as 3D printing, advanced materials, and neurorobotics have further accelerated the development of robotic hand technology [14]Breakthroughs in neuroprosthetics, such as brain- computer interfaces (BCIs) and neural implants, hold promise for enabling direct communication between the brain and robotic prosthetic devices, enhancing control and functionality.Collaborative efforts between researchers, engineers, and healthcare professionals continue to drive innovation in the field, with a focus on improving the accessibility, affordability, and usability of robotic hand prosthetics for individuals in need of rehabilitation.

Impact on Rehabilitation Practices:

The evolution of robotic hand technology has had a profound impact on rehabilitation practices, transforming the way individuals with limb loss or impairment receive care and support.By providing individuals with greater control, functionality, and naturalistic movement, robotic hand prosthetics have enabled them to regain independence, participate more fully in daily activities, and integrate seamlessly into society.

The Role of Robotic Hands in Rehabilitation :

1.Assisting with Activities of Daily Living (ADLs):

Robotic hands play a crucial role in assisting individuals with performing activities of daily living, eating, drinking, dressing, and grooming. These tasks are essential for maintaining independence and quality of life.

By mimicking the dexterity and functionality of humanhands, robotic prosthetic hands enable individuals with limb loss or impairment to regain autonomy and perform ADLs with greater ease and efficiency.For example, robotic hands equipped with precision grips, power grips, and pinch grips can manipulate objects of varying shapes and sizes, allowing users to grasp utensils, manipulate clothing fasteners, and perform other tasks independently.

2.Facilitating Motor Learning and Recovery:

Robotic hands are instrumental in facilitating motor learning and recovery among individuals undergoing rehabilitation therapy. These devices provide a platform for repetitive, task-specific training, which is essential for neuroplasticity and motor skill acquisition.

Through interactive exercises and guided movements, robotic hand prosthetics help individuals regain motor control, coordination, and strength in their affected limbs. This targeted rehabilitation approach promotes neural reorganization and enhances functional recovery. Moreover, robotic hand therapy can be tailored to the specific needs and abilities of each patient, allowing for personalized treatment plans and adaptive progression based on individual progress and goals.

3.Promoting Independence and Mobility:

One of the primary goals of rehabilitation is to promote independence and mobility among individuals with physical disabilities. Robotic hands play a critical role in achieving this goal by enabling users to perform essential tasks and engage in activities that enhance their mobility and quality of life.By restoring hand function and dexterity, robotic hand prosthetics empower individuals to participate more fully in daily activities, social interactions, and vocational pursuits. This increased independence fosters a sense of self-confidence and autonomy, leading to improved overall well-being.Furthermore, robotic hand technology can facilitate the integration of individuals with limb loss or impairment into society, reducing barriers to participation and promoting social inclusion.

4.Potential Benefits of Incorporating Robotic Hand Technology:

Increased Patient Engagement:

Robotic hand therapy engages patients in interactive, goal-directed activities, enhancing motivation, focus, and participation in rehabilitation sessions.

Personalized Treatment Options:

Robotic hands offer customizable rehabilitation programs tailored to each patient's unique needs, preferences, and abilities, ensuring optimal therapeutic outcomes.

Improved Functional Outcomes:

By targeting specific motor skills and functional tasks, robotic hand therapy can lead to improvements in hand function, grip strength, coordination, and overall functional independence.

Enhanced Rehabilitation Efficiency:

Robotic hand technology enables intensive, repetitive practice of motor skills in a controlled environment, maximizing rehabilitation efficiency and accelerating recovery trajectories.Integration of Advanced Technologies: Robotic of hand prosthetics can integrate advanced technologies such as sensors, actuators, and artificial intelligence algorithms, enabling real-time feedback, adaptive control, and continuous monitoring of patient progress.

Challenges and Limitations in Integrating Robotic Hands into Rehabilitation Practices

Integrating robotic hands into rehabilitation practices presents several challenges and limitations that need to be addressed to realize the full potential of this technology in improving patient outcomes. One significant challenge is the technical complexity of robotic hand devices, which often require specialized training and expertise to operate effectively. Clinicians and rehabilitation professionals may encounter difficulties in configuring and calibrating robotic hands to meet the individual needs and abilities of each patient, leading to suboptimal outcomes and user dissatisfaction.

Moreover, the cost associated with acquiring and maintaining robotic hand technology can be prohibitive for many healthcare facilities and individuals. High initial investment costs, coupled with ongoing expenses for maintenance, upgrades, and technical support, may pose financial barriers to widespread adoption and utilization of robotic hands in rehabilitation settings. Limited insurance coverage and reimbursement policies further exacerbate the financial challenges, restricting access to robotic hand therapy for individuals with limited resources or insurance coverage.Additionally, interoperability issues between robotic hand devices and existing rehabilitation equipment and protocols present logistical challenges for integration into clinical practice. Lack of standardization in device interfaces, communication protocols, and data exchange formats can hinder seamless integration with electronic health records (EHRs) and other healthcare systems, leading to workflow disruptions and inefficiencies in patient care delivery.

Furthermore, regulatory and safety considerations play a critical role in the integration of robotic hands into rehabilitation practices. Ensuring compliance with regulatory requirements, such as certification standards and safety regulations, is essential to mitigate risks associated with device malfunction, user injury, and liability issues. Healthcare providers must adhere to stringent safety protocols and quality assurance measures to minimize the potential for adverse events and ensure patient safety during robotic hand therapy sessions.

Ethical considerations also pose challenges in the integration of robotic hands into rehabilitation practices, particularly concerning patient autonomy, privacy, and informed consent. Clinicians and healthcare professionals must navigate complex ethical dilemmas related to data privacy, patient autonomy, and the use of advanced technologies in rehabilitation therapy.Balancing the benefits of robotic hand technology with ethical principles and patient preferences requires careful consideration and transparent communication between healthcare providers and patients.

Addressing these challenges and limitations requires collaborative efforts among stakeholders, including researchers, clinicians, engineers, policymakers, and industry partners. By addressing technical, financial, logistical, regulatory, and ethical considerations, healthcare professionals can maximize the potential of robotic hand technology to improve rehabilitation outcomes and enhance the quality of care for individuals with limb loss.

Ethical Considerations in the Use of Robotic Hands for Rehabilitation:

Ethical considerations are paramount in the in robotic hands into rehabilitation practices, as they touch upon fundamental principles of patient autonomy, privacy, beneficence, and justice. One key ethical consideration revolves around patient autonomy and informed consent. Individuals undergoing rehabilitation with robotic hand technology should have the autonomy to make informed decisions about their treatment, including understanding the potential risks, benefits, and alternatives [15].Clinicians and healthcare providers must ensure that patients are adequately informed about the capabilities, limitations, and potential outcomes of robotic hand therapy, allowing them to make autonomous decisions based on their preferences and values.

Privacy concerns also arise in the use of robotic hands for rehabilitation, particularly regarding the collection, storage, and sharing of patient data. Robotic hand devices may incorporate sensors, cameras, and other technologies to monitor patient movements and interactions, raising questions about data privacy and confidentiality. In order to prevent unauthorized access, misuse, or exploitation of patient information, healthcare providers are required to establish and maintain strong data protection measures and comply with privacy regulations. To build trust and respect patient autonomy, open communication regarding data collection procedures and privacy policies is crucial.

Furthermore, ensuring equitable access to robotic hand technology is essential to uphold principles of justice and fairness in healthcare delivery. Individuals with limb loss or impairment from marginalized or underserved communities may face barriers to accessing robotic hand therapy due to socioeconomic factors, geographic location, or insurance coverage limitations. Healthcare providers must strive to address disparities in access to care by implementing policies and programs that promote equitable distribution of resources and services, ensuring that all patients have the opportunity to benefit from robotic hand technology regardless of their background or circumstances.

Additionally, ethical considerations extend to the broader societal implications of robotic hand technology, including its impact on employment, disability rights, and social inclusion. As robotic hand technology becomes more advanced and widespread, concerns about job displacement, economic inequality, and stigmatization of individuals with disabilities may arise.

Healthcare providers and policymakers must consider the ethical implications of technological advancements and strive to mitigate potential harms while maximizing the benefits for society as a whole.Overall, navigating the ethical considerations in the use of robotic hands for rehabilitation requires careful deliberation, ethical reflection, and adherence to ethical principles and guidelines. By prioritizing patient autonomy, privacy, equity, and societal well-being,

Robotic hand therapy and promote ethical excellencein health Care

1.Patient Autonomy and Informed Consent:

Patient autonomy refers to the right of individuals to make decisions about their own healthcare based on their values, preferences, and goals. In the context of robotic hand therapy, respecting patient autonomy entails providing individuals with the information they need to make informed decisions about their treatment.

Healthcare providers must ensure that patients understand the purpose, benefits, risks, and alternatives of robotic hand therapy before consenting to treatment. [16] This requires clear communication, comprehensive education, and ample opportunity for patients to ask questions and express their concerns. Informed consent forms the cornerstone of ethical practice in healthcare, and it is particularly crucial in the context of emerging technologies like robotic hands. Patients have the right to refuse or withdraw consent for treatment at any time, and healthcare providers must respect their autonomy and choices.

2.Privacy and Data Protection:

The use of robotic hand technology frequently entails the gathering and processing of private patient information, such as biomechanical measurements, movement patterns, and usage data. Ensuring patient privacy and confidentiality is crucial for upholding ethical integrity and fostering trust in the healthcare industry.In order to prevent unauthorized access, disclosure, or misuse of patient information, healthcare providers need to put strong data protection measures in place. This may include data anonymization, encryption, access controls, and recurring security audits to reduce the possibility of privacy violations and data breaches.

It is imperative to maintain open lines of communication regarding data collection procedures, privacy guidelines, and possible hazards linked to sharing data in order to empower patients to make well-informed choices regarding their involvement in robotic hand therapy.

3.Equity and Access to Care:

Ensuring equitable access to robotic hand therapy is crucial to promoting fairness and justice in healthcare delivery. Individuals with limb loss or impairment from underserved or marginalized communities may face barriers to accessing advanced rehabilitation technologies due to socioeconomic disparities, geographic limitations, or healthcare system constraints.

Healthcare providers and policymakers must prioritize efforts to address disparities in access to care by implementing policies and programs that promote equitable distribution of resources and services. This may involve providing financial assistance, expanding tele-rehabilitation programs, or establishing community- based rehabilitation centers in underserved areas.Additionally, efforts to promote diversity, equity, and inclusion in research, development, and implementationof robotic hand technology can help ensure that the needs and perspectives of all patient population of are considered and addressed.

4.Societal Implications and Ethical Impact:

The widespread adoption of robotic hand technology has broader societal implications that extend beyond individual patient care. Ethical considerations may arise concerning the impact of automation on employment, economic inequality, and disability rights.

Healthcare providers and policymakers must consider the ethical implications of technological advancements and strive to mitigate potential harms while maximizing the benefits for society as a whole. This may involve engaging in ethical deliberation, stakeholder consultation, and policy development to ensure that robotic hand technology is deployed in a manner that promotes social justice, human dignity, and the common good.

Applications of Robotic Hands in Rehabilitation Settings

Robotic hands have emerged as powerful tools in the field of rehabilitation, offering innovative solutions to address the diverse needs of individuals with upper limb impairments. These advanced devices combine cutting- edge technology with therapeutic interventions to promote recovery, restore function, and enhance quality of life for patients. The applications of robotic hands in rehabilitation settings are diverse and encompass a wide range of therapeutic interventions, functional tasks, and clinical objectives.

1.Activities of Daily Living (ADL) Assistance:

One of the primary applications of robotic hands in rehabilitation is assisting individuals with performing activities of daily living (ADLs). These include tasks household chores, which are essential for maintaining independence and quality of life [16]. Robotic handsequipped with advanced gripping mechanisms, sensors, and actuators can mimic the dexterity and functionality of human hands, allowing users to perform ADLs with greater ease and efficiency.

For individuals with upper limb impairments, robotic hands offer a lifelike alternative to traditional prosthetic devices, enabling them to regain autonomy and participate more fully in daily activities. By providing assistance with ADLs, robotic hands help individuals overcome functional limitations, reduce dependency on caregivers, and enhance their overall sense of well-being and self-confidence.

2.Motor Learning and Recovery:

Robotic hands play a crucial role in facilitating motor learning and recovery among individuals undergoing rehabilitation therapy. These devices provide a platform for repetitive, task-specific training, which is essential for promoting neuroplasticity and motor skill acquisition. Through interactive exercises, guided movements, and real-time feedback, robotic hand therapy helps individuals regain motor control, coordination, and strength in their affected limbs.

By targeting specific motor skills and functional tasks, robotic hand therapy promotes neural reorganization and enhances functional recovery following injury or impairment. The customizable nature of robotic hand therapy allows clinicians to tailor treatment protocols to the individual needs and abilities of each patient, ensuring optimal therapeutic outcomes and maximizing rehabilitation efficiency as shown in Fig 4. Retraining the nervous system to enhance movement and function following an illness or injury is the main goal of motor learning and recovery in rehabilitation.

Repetitive, task-specific exercises aid in the development of new neural pathways in the muscles and brain during this phase. Retraining the nervous system to enhance movement and function following an illness or injury is the main goal of motor learning and recovery in rehabilitation. Repetitive, task-specific exercises aid in development of new neural pathways in the muscles and brain during this phase.

3.Functional Electrical Stimulation (FES) Integration:

Robotic hands can be integrated with functional electrical stimulation (FES) technology to further enhance rehabilitation outcomes. FES involves the application of electrical stimulation to peripheral nerves or muscles to evoke muscle contractions and restore movement in paralyzed or weakened limbs. By combining FES with robotic hand therapy, clinicians can provide targeted neuromuscular stimulation to facilitate motor recovery and improve functional outcomes.

Robotic hands equipped with FES capabilities can deliver precise electrical stimulation to specific muscle groups, synchronizing with the user's movements to promote coordinated and naturalistic hand function. This integrated approach to rehabilitation enables individuals with neurological impairments, such as stroke or spinal cord injury, to regain hand function and achieve greater independence in daily activities.

4.Sensorimotor Training and Feedback:

Robotic hands incorporate advanced sensor technologies to provide real-time feedback and assessment of motor performance during rehabilitation therapy [17-18]. Thesesensors measure parameters such as grip strength, range of motion, force exertion, and coordination, allowing clinicians to monitor progress, adjust treatment protocols, and optimize therapeutic interventions.Sensorimotor training with robotic hands involves engaging patients in interactive exercises and tasks that target specific motor skills and sensory-motor pathways. By providing accurate and objective feedback, robotic hand therapy helps individuals improve motor control, proprioception, and sensory integration, leading to enhanced functional outcomes and greater retention of motor skills.

5.Virtual Reality (VR) and Gamification:

Robotic hands can be integrated with virtual reality (VR) technology and gamification principles to create engaging and immersive rehabilitation experiences. VR- based rehabilitation programs offer interactive simulations, virtual environments, and gamified tasks that motivate and challenge patients to actively participate in therapy sessions.

By incorporating robotic hands into VR-based rehabilitation platforms, clinicians can provide individuals with engaging and meaningful therapeutic activities that simulate real-world tasks and environments. This approach to rehabilitation promotes motor learning, cognitive engagement, and social interaction, while also providing opportunities for objective performance assessment and progress tracking. Hand rehabilitation through Virtual Reality (VR) technology has significantly transformed traditional therapeutic practices, offering an engaging and effective alternative for patients recovering from hand injuries, surgeries, or neurological conditions. By creating immersive simulations, [19] VR enables patients to perform exercises in virtual environments that mimic real-world tasks or present interactive games, thereby enhancing motivation and engagement. The immediate feedback provided by VR systems, whether visual, auditory, or haptic, helps patients adjust their movements in real-time, fostering better learning and adaptation. Customizable rehabilitation programs allow therapists to tailor exercises to individual needs, ensuring optimal challenge and progress.

Additionally, the detailed data collected by VR platforms on hand movements and performance aids therapists in monitoring patient progress and refining treatment plans. Types of VR applications, such as gesture-based interactions and haptic feedback devices, offer diverse and effective means for improving hand functions like grip strength and coordination. Despite challenges like accessibility, cost, and the need for standardization, the benefits of VR in hand rehabilitation—such as increased patient motivation, enhanced therapeutic outcomes, and the potential for remote rehabilitation—underscore its promise as a powerful tool in modern healthcare. As technology advances and becomes more affordable, VR-based rehabilitation is poised to become an integral part of therapeutic care for hand-related conditions.

Virtual reality (VR) technology has brought about a huge transformation in hand rehabilitation compared to traditional therapy approaches. It provides patients suffering from hand injuries, surgeries, or neurological problems with an engaging and successful alternative. VR increases patient motivation and engagement by presenting interactive games or allowing them to exercise in virtual surroundings that replicate real-world tasks. Virtual reality systems offer patients immediate feedback in the form of visual, aural, or tactile cues, which allows them to modify their motions in real time and improves learning and adaption. With customizable rehabilitation programs, therapists may modify exercises to meet each patient's unique needs, guaranteeing maximum challenge and advancement. Additionally, therapists may monitor patient development and improve treatment programs with the help of detailed data acquired by VR platforms on hand movements and performance.

6.Personalized and Adaptive Therapy:

One of the key advantages of robotic hands in rehabilitation is their ability to deliver personalized and adaptive therapy to meet the individual needs and goals of each patient. Robotic hand devices can be programmed with customizable treatment protocols, task parameters, and feedback mechanisms, allowing clinicians to tailor therapy sessions to the specific impairments, capabilities, and preferences of their patients.

Adaptive therapy algorithms adjust treatment parameters in real time based on patient performance, progress, and fatigue levels, ensuring that therapy remains challenging, yet achievable. This adaptive approach to rehabilitation promotes optimal motor learning, skill acquisition, and functional recovery, while also reducing the risk of overuse injuries or therapy-related fatigue.

7.Home-Based Rehabilitation and Tele-Rehabilitation:

Robotic hands offer the potential for home-based rehabilitation and tele-rehabilitation interventions, allowing individuals to access therapy remotely and participate in rehabilitation programs from the comfort of their own homes. Home-based robotic hand therapy systems provide individuals with greater flexibility, convenience, and accessibility to rehabilitation services, especially for those living in remote or underserved areas as shown in Fig 5

Tele-rehabilitation platforms enable clinicians to remotely monitor patient progress, deliver personalized therapy sessions, and provide real-time feedback and support. By leveraging tele-rehabilitation technology, individuals can receive ongoing support and guidance from healthcare providers, promoting adherence to therapy protocols and continuity of care. Patients can receive therapy from home with the use of tele-rehabilitation, sometimes referred to as telerehabilitation, which uses telecommunications technology to give rehabilitation services remotely. This method includes video conferencing for remote evaluation, interactive treatment sessions, digital exercises, and therapeutic games, all supervised by licensed professionals who offer immediate feedback. It also incorporates ongoing monitoring with wearable technology and smartphone apps, as well as patient education and support via online resources.

Tele-rehabilitation has many advantages, such as improved accessibility for people with mobility impairments or living in distant places, more schedule flexibility and ease, cost savings through fewer travel requirements, and improved patient involvement through gamified activities. But there are obstacles like those relating to technology, the requirement for patient data privacy and security, the lack of in-person connection necessary for hands-on therapy, and the requirement for training.

Chip Sensor

The chip depicted in the image is a critical component of an advanced rehabilitation machine, specifically designed for hand recovery. This flexible electronic circuit integrates several essential elements: an amplifier, a microcontroller, a radio chip, and an antenna. The amplifier enhances the signal strength from sensors detecting nerve and muscle activity in the hand. The microcontroller processes these signals and runs the rehabilitationsoftware algorithms, customizing therapy exercises based on real-time feedback. The radio chip facilitates wireless communication, allowing data to be transmitted to a central system or a handheld device where therapists can monitor progress and make necessary adjustments. The antenna ensures robust and reliable wireless connectivity, crucial for real-time data exchange. This compact, flexible design allows the chip to be comfortably worn on the hand, enabling continuous and precise monitoring and stimulation of nerve activity. Such a rehabilitation machine leverages this chip to deliver targeted exercises and feedback, enhancing the recovery process by making it more responsive and personalized to the patient's needs.

Nerve in rehabilitation:

The recovery and improvement of numerous important nerves, including the radial, ulnar, and median nerves, are the main goals of hand rehabilitation. Rehabilitation focuses on restoring particular functions by targeting the various roles that each of these nerves plays in hand movement and feeling.

1.Median Nerve: The median nerve reaches the hand through the carpal tunnel after traveling down the arm and forearm. The thumb, index finger, middle finger, and a portion of the ring finger are all under its control. Exercises to increase grip strength, dexterity, and fine motor skills—particularly those involving pinching and gripping motions—are frequently used in rehabilitation programs that target the median nerve.

2. Ulnar Nerve: This nerve circles the elbow and runs down the arm. inner side and then reaching out to the hand. It innervates the intrinsic hand muscles involved in fine motor control as well as the muscles controlling the movements of the ring and little fingers. Improving hand strength, finger coordination, and the capacity to carry out precision-based tasks like typing or playing an instrument are the main goals of ulnar nerve rehabilitation.

3. Radial Nerve: This nerve controls the muscles that extend the wrist and fingers, running along the back of the upper arm and down the forearm. Exercises that enhance wrist and finger extension, which is essential for actions like opening the hand, releasing things, and executing a full range of wrist movements, are commonly used in rehabilitation programs that target the radial nerve.

Advanced technology-equipped rehabilitation machines, such as the flexible electronic Advanced technology-equipped rehabilitation machines, such as the flexible electronic chip in the picture, are made to accurately stimulate and monitor these neurons.
By offering targeted exercises and real-time feedback, these gadgets make sure that therapy is tailored to the unique requirements of each nerve, which improves the overall efficacy and efficiency of the rehabilitation process. Comprehensive rehabilitation programs can effectively enhance hand function and patient outcomes by addressing the distinct roles of each nerves.

Conclusion :

The field has advanced quickly since soft robotic devices for hand rehabilitation first appeared, about ten years ago. Clinical trials are the next logical step after the establishment of proof-of-concept designs for preclinical research prototypes, which has seen significant progress. However, more work needs to be done on many devices to optimize feedback and actuator design for patient safety and rehabilitation outcomes.

Based on the essential characteristics of the devices and their accessibility in the literature, a framework for comparing them was created. We have only seen a small number of devices—starting with the Control Unit— starting to take portability into account, but given the apparent advantages of at-home rehabilitation, we anticipate that this will gradually rise as the field develops. Similarly, safety did not seem to be a primary concern for many devices, but we anticipate that this will change as more devices begin to be tested on humans. We hope this device could act as a manual for the various feedback mechanisms that have been put in place, which are becoming more and more popular as robotics-specific means of supporting rehabilitation. We have observed a trend toward the creation of Pneumatic Systems and devices that aim for greater total DOF and number of independent actuators based on our conceptualized Wearable Orthosis. With regard to the latter, this might make it possible to support particular hand movements.

REFERENCES :

1. Butefisch C, Hummelsheim H, Denzler P, Mauritz KH. Repetitive training of isolated movements improves the outcome of motor rehabilitation of the centrally paretic hand. J Neurol Sci. 1995;130:59–68.
2. Centers for Disease Control and Protection. Atlanta. 2015. https://ftp.cdc.gov/pub/Health_Statistics/NCHS/NHIS/SHS/2015_SHS_Table_A-10.pdf. Accessed 28 Nov 2017.
3. Comelia C, Stebbins G, Brown-Toms N, Goetz C. Physical therapy and Parkinson's disease a controlled clinical trial. Neurology. 1994; https://doi. org/10.1212/WNL.44.3_Part_1.376.

4. Freeman J, Langdon DW, Hobart JC, Thompson AJ. The impact of inpatient rehabilitation on progressive multiple sclerosis. Ann Neurol. 1997; https:// doi.org/10.1002/ana.410420216.
5. Yap HK, Lim JH, Nasrallah F, Low F, Goh J, Yeow R. MRC-glove: a fMRI compatible soft robotic glove for hand rehabilitation application. IEEE. 2015; https://doi.org/10.1109/ICORR.2015.7281289.
6. Brewer B, McDowell S, Worthen-Chaudhari L. Poststroke upper extremity rehabilitation: a review of robotic systems and clinical results. Top Stroke Rehabil. 2007; https://doi.org/10.1310/tsr1406-22.
7. Maciejasz P, Eschweiler J, Gerlach-Hahn K, Jansen-Troy A, Leonhardt S. A survey on robotic devices for upper limb rehabilitation. J NeuroengRehabil. 2014; https://doi.org/10.1186/1743-0003-11-3.
8. Yap HK, Lim JH, Nasrallah F, Goh J, Yeow C. Characterisation and evaluation of soft elastomeric actuators for hand assistive and rehabilitation applications. J Med Eng Technol. 2016; https://doi.org/10.3109/03091902.2016.1161853.
9. Park Y, Chen B, Pérez-Arancibia N, Young D, Stirling L, Wood RJ, Goldfield EC, Nagpal R. Design and control of a bio- inspired soft wearable robotic device for ankle–foot rehabilitation. BioinspirBiomim. 2014; https://doi.org/ 10.1088/1748- 3182/9/1/016007.
10. Park Y, Santos J, Galloway KG, Goldfield EC, Wood RJ. A soft wearable robotic device for active knee motions using flat pneumatic artificial muscles. IEEE Int Conference Robotics Automation (ICRA). 2014; https://doi. org/10.1109/ICRA.2014.6907562.
11. Galiana I, Hammond FL, Howe RD, Popovic MB. Wearable Soft Robotic Device for Post-Stroke Shoulder Rehabilitation: Identifying Misalignments. 2012 IEEE/RSJ Int Conf Intelligent Robots Systems. 2012; https://doi.org/10. 1109/IROS.2012.6385786.
12. Oguntosin V, Harwin WS, Kawamura S, Nasuto SJ, Hayashi Y. Development of a Wearable Assistive Soft Robotic Device for Elbow Rehabilitation. 2015 IEEE Int Conference Rehab Robotics (ICORR). 2015; https://doi.org/10.1109/ICORR.2015. 7281291.
13. Bartlett NW, Lyau V, Raiford WA, Holland D, Gafford JB, Ellis TD, Walsh CJ. A soft robotic orthosis for wrist rehabilitation. J Med Devices. 2015;9 https:// doi.org/10.1115/1.4030554.
14. Ng S, Chu M, Wu A, Cheung P. Effectiveness of home-based occupational therapy for early discharged patients with stroke. Hong Kong J Occup Ther. 2005; https://doi.org/10.1016/S1569-1861(09)70031-2.
15. Polygerinos P, Zheng W, Galloway KC, Wood RJ, Walsh CJ. Soft robotic glove for combined assistance and at-home rehabilitation. Robot Auton Syst. 2015; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.robot.2014.08.014.
16. Diftler MA, Ihrke CA, Bridgwater LB, Rogers JM, Davis DR, Linn DM, Laske EA, Ensley KG, Lee JH. RoboGlove-A grasp assist device for earth and space. In: International Conference on Environmental Systems: NASA; 2015. http://ntrs. nasa.gov/search.jsp?R=20150010420. Accessed 1 Aug 2016.
17. Toya K, Miyagawa T, Kubota Y. Power-assist glove operated by predicting the grasping mode. J Syst Design Dyn. 2011; https://doi.org/10.1299/jsdd.5.94.
18. Kline T, Kamper D, Schmit B. Control System for pneumatically controlled glove to assist in grasp activities. 9th International Conference on Rehabilitation Robotics. 2005; https://doi.org/10.1109/ICORR.2005.1501056.
19. 20 Connelly L, Jia Y, Toro ML, Stoykov ME, Kenyon RV, Kamper DG. A pneumatic glove and immersive virtual reality environment for hand rehabilitative training after stroke. IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering: A Publication of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society. 2010; https://doi.org/10.1109/TNSRE.2010.2047588.