

## **International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews**

Journal homepage: www.ijrpr.com ISSN 2582-7421

## Stress Components Generated in 10 mm and 20 mm Thick Steel and Concrete Pipes Buried in Dense Sand at Different Embedment Ratio Due to Underground Blast

### <sup>1</sup>Akinola Johnson OLAREWAJU and <sup>2</sup>Lawrence Ademola OMISANDE

<sup>1,2</sup>Civil Engineering Department, Federal Polytechnic Ilaro, Ogun State, Nigeria.E-mail: <u>akinolajolarewaju@gmail.com</u>

#### ABSTRACT

The stress components of the different responses were examined, and the analytical approach was employed to estimate the subsurface blast loads of regularly used explosives at different stand-off positions. Soil and pipe materials were regarded in this investigation as elastic, homogenous, and isotropic. Utilized were the material and geotechnical characteristics disclosed by a number of studies and pipe makers. Using the temporal integration technique in the finite element numerical code ABAQUS/Explicit, the responses of subsurface pipes to an underground blast were investigated. The stress components produced in subterranean steel and concrete pipes were examined when they were buried in dense sand at varying embedment ratios. The results of this study will make a local and global contribution to the body of academic literature already in existence.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

In material analysis, stress is usually divided into two main categories: shear stress, which is the consequence of pressures applied parallel to a material surface, and normal stress, which happens when force is applied perpendicular to a material surface. Three typical stresses make up the general stress condition of a point in a solid. Every stress or stress component that acts on the little cube of material is a force per unit area. Hoop stress, axial stress, bending stress, torsional stress, and fatigue stress are the five types of stresses in pipes. The stress that is delivered to the pipe, either internally or externally, is known as hoop stress. In this instance, the external loading wave velocity is a result of an unintentional subsurface explosion known as a blast. Primary, secondary, and peak stresses are the three main types of stress in pipes, and the limits of these stresses are connected to the different failure modes. These are listed in the following order: The main goal of the stress limits is to stop bursting and plastic deformation. Large displacement should be maintained to a minimum in order to reduce stress in pipes, and this can be accomplished if the burial depth is reasonably high (i.e., at H/D larger than 3). The effective stress operating in a radial direction perpendicular to the pipe's longitudinal axis is known as radial stress in a pipe.

Modeling, which is the simulation of a partial representation of a system, can assist in providing answers to some issues that are beyond the scope of a single empirical research. An actual engineering problem is examined using engineering models. When building the actual, full-scale object assembly or system, the findings are typically and consistently utilized. When it comes to material properties, the relative densities of loose and dense sand are respectively less than 35 percent and more than 65 percent. Shear failure typically happens in thick sand, whereas local shear failure typically happens in loose sand. The flexible resistance of a pipe to deflection, or ovalization under stress, is measured as pipe stiffness. Flexible pipe can withstand a 2 percent deflection without breaking. Modulus of elasiticity, E is a measure of a pipe's stiffness. The Young's modulus E of steel pipes is higher than that of concrete pipes. In general, thicker pipes have a higher internal pressure tolerance than thinner pipes made of the same material. The primary phenomena resulting from unintentional explosive detonations underground are craters and camouflets, deformation, shock waves, and the transmission of elastic-plastic waves in the interaction between the soil and its structure.Concrete pressure pipes. Because of their strength and longevity, reinforced concrete pipes are the most often utilized type of concrete pipe for irrigation, storm drains, culverts, drainage systems, and sewage lines. The five different forms of carbon steel pipes are flat bar stock, round, square, rectangular, and thin-walled tubing. Steel pipes are suitable for long-term installation and are used to convey goods like gas, oil, and water.

The simulation can employ an infinite number of distinct materials, and each material specification has a name. By assigning section characteristics that correspond to the material name, different sections within a model are linked to distinct material definitions. The data sets describing plastic behavior of a material's is converted into proper Abaqus input format using the nominal stress-strain curve. The plastic data is found using the points on the nominal stress-strain curve. The first step is to change the nominal and nominal strain and stress to true stress and strain by using the equations connecting the the true strain to the nominal stress to the nominal stress and strain.

Plastic strains connected to each yield stress value can be found using the equation that links the plastic strain to the total and elastic strains once these values are known. It is crucial to give Abaqus the correct stress-strain data if the simulation's strains will be high as, although there aren't many variations between the nominal values and true values at little strains, there are at bigger strain values. Abaqus/Explicit may not use the material data precisely as specified by the user when doing an analysis; instead, all material data are automatically regularized for efficiency. Temperature, external fields, and internal state variables like plastic strain can all be functions of material data.

The state of the material must be calculated for each material point calculation through interpolation. Abaqus/Explicit fits the user-defined curves with curves made up of points that are equally spaced to maximize efficiency. The material information used in the analysis is represented by these regularized material curves. Any discrepancies between the specified curves and the regularized material curves utilized in the analysis should be understood, the elastic stress; the viscous stress; the energy dissipated by viscous effects; the residual energy, also referred to as the internal energy; and the stress derived from the user-specified constitutive equation, excluding viscous dissipation effects.

#### 2. METHODOLOGY

Dense sand is the ground media taken into consideration in this study, and the response of underground pipes to blast loads was studied using the geotechnical properties of this ground media as reported by multiple researchers (Das, 1994; FLAC, 2000; Coduto, 2001; Duncan, 2001; UFC, 2008; Kameswara, 1998; etc.). The Young modulus, E, Poisson's ratio, and material density are the material parameters employed since the two elastic constants are sufficient to examine the mechanics of an elastic body (Kameawara, 1998). Figures 1 depict a cross-section of an underground pipe and the types of blasts that are appropriate for underground pipes.

An underground blast occurs below the surface of the earth and is referred to as subterranean. The uppermost and bottommost parts of an underground pipe are referred to as the crown and invert, respectively. The two sides of an underground pipe are called spring-line. Conditions for boundaries were established in relation to the global Cartesian coordinate.



#### Figure 1: Underground blast

Analyses were performed on simulated models using the time integration technique of the finite different scheme in ABAQUS/Explicit to solve the equation of motion of the system as shown in Equation below, in accordance with ABAQUS Analysis Users' Manual (2009) and with different parameters.

# [m][U] + [c][U] + [k][U] = [P]

where dot denotes their time derivatives and m, c',k, U, and P stand for the global mass matrix, global stiffness matrix, global displacement vector, and global stiffness matrix, respectively (Kameswara, 1998; ABAQUS Analysis User's Manual, 2009). The external work, energy, and viscous dissipation buried in the loose, dense, and undrained clay are the measured parameters.

#### 3. DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS

Figure 2 depicts the boundary condition and loading wave velocity on an underground pipe in dense sand, while Figures 3 to 22 show the stress components produced in 10 mm and 20 mm concrete and steel pipes buried in dense sand at varying embedment ratios as a result of the loading wave velocity from an underground accidental explosion. Dense sand reduces the stress components in pipes caused by subsurface unintentional explosions, regardless of the pipes' thickness at deeper burial levels.

The stress components created are minimized to the point that they are completely unnoticeable at the embedment ratio of 5 that was taken into consideration for this study. In essence, pipeline embedment establishes the size of the pipe-soil contact area, which influences the potential mobilization of soil resistance during the pipeline's axial and lateral movement. Internal loads are created in subterranean pipes when they encounter resistance from temperature changes. Thermal expansion or contraction stresses are produced by these internal loads, which also include axial, shear, and bending moments.

The three main stresses in a cylindrical pipe are the longitudinal stress, which is the stress that runs parallel to the pipe's axis; the tangential stress, which is the stress that runs radially and varies with the pipe wall's thickness. Energy dissipation increases noticeably with explosives that have higher TNT weights. Because of the strong horizontal stresses that form in the upper parts of the piles closest to the explosive charge, foundations exposed to surface accidental blast loads might not be suitable for supporting superstructure following the blast.

Similar to this, stress and deformation will happen if subterranean pipeline is exposed to an external explosion load, such as an unintentional subsurface explosion. The subterranean pipeline's regular use will be impacted when the stress and deformation values rise above a predetermined threshold.



Figure 2: Boundary conditions and loading wave velocity on underground pipe in dense sand



Figure 3: Stress components generated in concrete pipe buried in dense sand due to loading wave velocity (ldv from underground accidental explosion (20mm concrete pipe, H/D=1)



Figure 4: Stress components generated in concrete pipe buried in dense sand due to (ldv) from underground accidental explosion (20mm concrete pipe, H/D=2)



Figure 5: Stress components generated in concrete pipe buried in dense sand due to ldv from underground accidental explosion (20mm concrete pipe, H/D=3)



Figure 6: Stress components generated in concrete pipe buried in dense sand due to ldv from underground accidental explosion (20mm concrete pipe, H/D=4)



Figure 7: Stress components generated in concrete pipe buried in dense sand due to ldv from underground accidental explosion (20mm concrete pipe, H/D=5)



Figure 8: Stress components generated in concrete pipe buried in dense sand due to ldv from underground accidental explosion (10mm concrete pipe, H/D=1)



Figure 9: Stress components generated in concrete pipe buried in dense sand due to ldv from underground accidental explosion (10mm concrete pipe, H/D=2)



Figure 10: Stress components generated in concrete pipe buried in dense sand due to ldv from underground accidental explosion (10mm concrete pipe, H/D=3)



Figure 11: Stress components generated in concrete pipe buried in dense sand due to ldv from underground accidental explosion (10mm concrete pipe, H/D=4)



Figure 12: Stress components generated in concrete pipe buried in dense sand due to ldv from underground accidental explosion (10mm concrete pipe, H/D=5)



Figure 13: Stress components generated in steel pipe buried in dense sand due to ldv from underground accidental explosion (20mm steel pipe, H/D=1)



Figure 14: Stress components generated in steel pipe buried in dense sand due to ldv from underground accidental explosion (20mm steel pipe, H/D=2)



Figure 15: Stress components generated in steel pipe buried in dense sand due to ldv from underground accidental explosion (20mm steel pipe, H/D=3)



Figure 16: Stress components generated in steel pipe buried in dense sand due to ldv from underground accidental explosion (20mm steel pipe, H/D=4)



Figure 17: Stress components generated in steel pipe buried in dense sand due to ldv from underground accidental explosion (20mm steel pipe, H/D=5)



Figure 18: Stress components generated in steel pipe buried in dense sand due to ldv from underground accidental explosion (10mm steel pipe, H/D=1)



Figure 19: Stress components generated in steel pipe buried in dense sand due to ldv from underground accidental explosion (10mm steel pipe, H/D=2)



Figure 20: Stress components generated in steel pipe buried in dense sand due to ldv from underground accidental explosion (10mm steel pipe, H/D=3)



Figure 21: Stress components generated in steel pipe buried in dense sand due to ldv from underground accidental explosion (10mm steel pipe, H/D=4)



Figure 22: Stress components generated in steel pipe buried in dense sand due to ldv from underground accidental explosion (10mm steel pipe, H/D=5)

#### 4. CONCLUSION

The results of stress components generated in 10 mm and 20 mm concrete and steel pipes buried in dense sand at different embedment ratios due to loading wave velocity from an accidental underground explosion had been presented and discussed. At low embedment ration, the stress components generated in pipes will be distinctly felt on the ground surface in comparison to higher embedment ratios (H/D of 5), where the soil will effectively contain the stress components generated in pipes buried in dense sand. At the embedment ratio of 5 taken into consideration in this study, the stress components generated are reduced to the barest minimum where they will not be felt at all.

#### 5. References

ABAQUS Inc. 2009. ABAQUS/*Explicit: Advanced Topics*, Dassault Systemes Simulia, Providence, Rhode Island, USA, http://www.simulia.com/products/products\_legal.html.

ABAQUS Inc. 2009. ABAQUS *Analysis User's Manuals - Documentation*, Dassault SystemesSimulia, Providence, Rhode Island, USA, <u>http://www.simulia.com/products/products/products/legal.html</u>.

ABAQUS Inc. 2009. ABAQUS User's Manuals - Documentation, DassaultSystemes Simulia, Providence, Rhode Island, USA, http://www.simulia.com/products/products/products/legal.html.

ABAQUS Inc. 2009. *Geotechnical Modeling and Analysis with* ABAQUS, Dassault SystemesSimulia, Providence, Rhode Island, USA, http://www.simulia.com/products/products/products/legal.html.

Adel-Aziz I. K. 1987. Groundwater Engineering, International Edition. McGraw Hill Book Company, Singapore, 12-50.

Andrew, G. 1997. Dam Design, University of Durham, http://www.dur.co, 15/052004.

Arthur, H. G. 1974. *Design of Small Dams (Earthfill Dams)* (2<sup>nd</sup> edition), A Water Resources Technical Publication, U.S Department of the Interior, Oxford and Ibh Publishing Company, New Delhi, **231**, 100-350.

Benham, P. P. and Crawford, R. J. 1987. Mechanics of Engineering Materials (1st edition), Longman Scientific and Technical, New York, 45-90.

Boh, J. W., Louca, L. A. and Choo, Y. S. 2007. *Finite Element Analysis of Blast Resistance Structures in the Oil and Gas Industry*, Singapore and UK, ABAQUS User's Conference, 1-15.

Bolt, B. A. 1976. Nuclear Explosions and Earthquakes: The Parted Veil, W. H. Freeman, San Francisco, 309, 113-132.

Bostrom, B., and Skomdal, E. 2004. Reservoir Geomechanics with ABAQUS, ABAQUS User's Conference, Norway, 117-131.

Bowles, J. E. 1981. Engineering Properties of Soils and their Measurement (2nd edition), McGraw-Hill Intl., London, 79-92.

Bowles, Joseph E. 1997. Foundation Analysis and Design (5th edition), International Edition, McGraw-Hill Company Inc., Singapore, 151.

Brian, P. B., Senthil, S. V., Jeffery, S. K. 2004. Utilizing ABAQUS to analyze the active vibration suppression of structural systems, ABAQUS User's Conference, 81-94.

Brian Vickers, 1980. Soil Mechanics: Laboratory Work in Civil Engineering, Granada Publishing, London, 11-38.

BS 1377, 1990. Methods of Test for Soils for Civil Engineering Purposes, British Institution, London.

BS 1881; Part 1-4, 1970.Part 6, 1971. Methods of Testing Concrete for Strength, British Standard Institution, London. Chen, W. F. 1995. *The Civil Engineering Handbook*, CRC Press, London. 1386, 20-154.

Chi-Yuen W., Alex, W., Douglas, S. D. and Michael, M. 2006. Liquefaction Limit during Earthquakes and Underground Explosions: Implications on Ground-Motion Attenuation – Short Note, Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, **1** (96): 355-363.

Coduto, D. P. 2001. Foundation Design: Principles and Practices (2nd edition), Prentice-Hall, Inc., New Jersey, 883-884.

Converse, F. J. 1953. *Compaction of sand at resonant frequency*, Symposium on Dynamic Testing of Soils, ASTM Special Technical Publication No. **156**, 124-137.

Craig, R. F. 1994. Soil Mechanics (5th edition), Chapman and Hall, Great Britain, 248-292, 403-420.

Das, B. M. 1994. Principles of Geotechnical Engineering (3rd edition), PWS Publishing, Co., Boston, Massachusetts, 671-672.

Duncan, J. M. 2001. CEE 5564: Seepage and Earth Structure, Course Notes, Spring 2001, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, Virginia.

FLAC User's Manual, 2000. Version 4.0. Itasca Consulting Group, Inc., Minneapolis, Minnesota.

Ganesan, T. P. 2000. Model of Structures (1st edition), University Press Ltd., India, 20-55.

George, P. K., George, D. B. and Charis, J. G. 2007. Analytical calculation of blast induced strains to buried pipelines. International Journal of Impact

Engineering, 34, 1683-1704.

Gravessmith, T. R. 1985. Strength of Materials: Civil Engineering Reference Book (3rd edition), Butterworth-Condon, 11-14.

Greg, B. C. 2008. Modeling Blast Loading on Reinforced Concrete Structures with Zapotec, ABAQUS User's Conference, 1-12.

Grim, R. E. 1953. Clay Mineralogy, McGraw-Hill, New York, 50-53.

Gupta, R. N., Mukherjee, K. P., and Singh, B. 1987. Design of Underground Artificial Dams for Mine Water Storage. International Journal of Mine Water, 6 (2): 1-14.

Inanov, P. L. 1967. Comparison of noncohesive soils by explosions. Izdatel'sstvo Literatury Po Stroietl'stvu, Leningrad, U.S.S.R., translated by the Indian National Scientific Documentation Centre, New Delhi, India, Published for the U.S. Department on Interior, Bureau of Reclamation and National Science Foundation, Washington, D.C., 211.

Johnson, D. 1986. Advanced Structural Mechanics, An Introduction to Continuum Mechanics and Structural Dynamics, Collins, London, 16-45, 118-125, 136-151.

Kameswara Rao, N. S. V. 1998. Vibration Analysis and Foundation Dynamics (1<sup>st</sup> edition), Wheeler Publishing Co. Ltd., New Delhi, India, 190-197, 522-571.

Kameswara Rao, N. S. V. 2000. *Dynamic Soil Tests and Applications* (1<sup>st</sup> edition), Wheeler Publishing Co. Ltd., New Delhi, India, 6-30, 84-134, 164-177.

Kameswara Rao, N. S. V. 2011. Foundation Design: Theory and Practice (1stedition), John Wiley and Sons (Asia) Pte Ltd., Singapore, 203.

Lamb, H. 1904. On the propagation of tremors over the surface of an elastic solid, Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society, 203, 1-42.

Liu Huabei, 2009. Dynamic Analysis of Subways Structures under Blast Loading, University Transportation Research Center, New York, USA, 1-24.

Longinow, A. and Mniszewski, R. K. 1996. Protecting buildings against vehicle bomb attacks, Practice Periodical on Structural Design and Construction, ASCE, New York, 51-54.

Marusek A. James, 2008. Personal Shelters, ABAQUS User's Conference, US Department of the Navy, 1-12.

Newcomb, W. K. 1951. Principles of Foundation Design for Engines and Compressors, Trans of the ASME, 73, 307-318.

Newmark, N. M. and Haltiwanger, J. D. 1962. Air Force Design Manual, Principles and Practices for Design of Hardened Structures, Technical Documentary Report Number AFSWC-TDR-62-138.

Newmark, N. M. and Hansen, R. J. 1961. Design of blast resistant structures, Shock and Vibration Handbook, Vol. 3, Eds. Harris and Crede., McGraw-Hill, New York, USA, 1-75.

Ola, S. A. 1983. Tropical Soils of Nigeria in Engineering: Geotechnical Properties of Some Nigeria Lateritic Soils, Practice A. A., Balkema/Rotterdam, Netherland, 71-82.

Peck, R. B., Hanson, W. E. and Thornburn, T. H. 1974. Foundation Engineering (1st edition), Wiley, New York, 514.

Peter, L. B. and David, R. 1987. Introduction to Soil Mechanics, McGraw-Hill London, 1-75.

Puppala, A. J. and Chittoori, B. C. S. 2010. Modified Stabilization Design Incorporating Clay Mineralogy of Soil, Indian Geotechnical Conference, GEOtrendz, Macmillan Publisher India Ltd., **III**, 73-80.

Raju, V. R. 2010. Ground Improvement – Application and Quality Control, Indian Geotechnical Conference, GEOtrendz, Macmillan Publisher India Ltd., III, 121-131.

Ramakrishan, K. 1979. *Finite element analysis of pipes buried in linearly and nonlinear elastic media*. M. Tech Thesis, Civil Engineering Department, Indian Institute of Technology, Kanpur, India.

Remennikov, A. M. 2003. A Review of Methods for Predicting Bomb Blast Effects on Buildings. International Journal of Battlefield Technology, 6 (3), 5-10.

Remennikov, A. M. 2009. The state of the art of explosive loads characterization, Report, University of Wollongong, 1-25.

Robert, W. D. 2002. Geotechnical Earthquake Engineering Handbook, McGraw Hill, New York, 5-120.

Ronanki, S. S. 1997. Response Analysis of Buried Circular Pipes under 3 Dimensional Seismic Loading.M.Tech Thesis, Civil Engineering Department, Indian Institute of Technology, Kanpur, India.

Shacklock, B. W. 1974. Concrete Constituent and Mix Proportions (1st edition), Cement and Concrete Association, 15-35.

Taylor, G. I. 1950. The formation of a blast wave by a very intense explosion.II. The atomic explosion of 1945, Proc. Roy. Soc. London, A201, 159.

Taylor, W. H. 1977. Concrete Technology and Practice (4th edition), McGraw-Hill Book Company, Australia, 35-55.

Tay Soon Chuan, 1994. Design Guidelines for Water Supply Systems, Standards and Practice Committee, Technical Manual, Malaysian Water Association (MWA), 45-150.

Terzaghi, K. 1978. Soil Mechanics, Macdonald and Evans, London, 1-50.

Terzaghi, K., Peck, R. B. and Nesri, G. 1996. Soil Mechanics in Engineering Practice (3rd edition), John Wiley and Sons, New York, 549.

Terzaghi, K. 1943. Theoretical Soil Mechanics, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 34-40.

Thomas, H. H. 1976. The Engineering of Large Dams: Part 1 and 2, John Wiley and Sons, 45-235.

Unified Facilities Criteria, 2008. Structures to Resist the Effects of Accidental Explosions, UFC 3-340-02, Department of Defense, US Army Corps of Engineers, Naval Facilities Engineering Command, Air Force Civil Engineer Support Agency, United States of America.

Wagner, A. A. 1957. Unified Soil Classification System, Proceedings of the Fourth International Conference on Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering SMFE, London, 1.

Wilkinson, C. R. and Anderson, J. G. 2003. An Introduction to Detonation and Blast for the Non-Specialist (Unclassified). Weapon Systems Division, Weapon Systems Sciences Laboratory, DSTO-TN-0526, Australian Government Department of Defence, November, 1-8.