WWW.IJRPR.COM

International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

Journal homepage: www.ijrpr.com ISSN 2582-7421

The Comparative Study Of DNA Yield From Blood Samples Exposed To Variable Temperatures

***Syali Nibe*[*1], Dr. Girish Mathur*[*2]**

[1]Department of Forensic Science, Vivekananda Global University, Jaipur
[2]Department of Forensic Science, Vivekananda Global University, Jaipur
[*1] Corresponding author: =nibesayali@gmail.com
Contact number:7499074754

ABSTRACT :

The recovery analysis's findings call for a more comprehensive examination of the variables influencing DNA yield and quality during extraction and storage. The main conclusions of this study might have a significant impact on forensic science and other molecular biology-related fields.

The study emphasizes how crucial temperature and storage circumstances are to maintaining the DNA sample's integrity. The findings indicate that while DNA displays generally stable storage qualities at 20 between °C and -80°C, higher temperatures and longer storage intervals, particularly at 4°C and room temperature, can drastically diminish the amount of DNA generated. This emphasizes the significance of conservation, which calls for the quick and sufficient extraction and preservation of DNA. The impact of various extraction techniques on DNA quantity and size was also disclosed by the study. The results imply that, even for the identical data, various filtering techniques might provide remarkably diverse outcomes. Considerable variations in the extraction technique can significantly affect how data are interpreted and how studies and labs are compared. However, due to sample size restrictions and unpredictability, research into possible causes of DNA extraction from blood samples has been jeopardized. These results demonstrate how crucial it is to plan ahead and take these issues into account in order to guarantee the precision and coherence of ensuing genetic research.

The thorough analysis that was part of the search offers a priceless foundation for future studies and advancements in molecular biology. The findings emphasize how crucial it is to closely regulate pre-analytical factors that may have an impact on DNA yield and quality if they are to ultimately aid in the creation of robust and extremely dependable DNA-based technologies and applications.

Keywords: DNA, Temperature, DNA Extraction, Forensic Science, Degradation.

Introduction:

In forensic science that concentrates on the human body and seeks to understand unique personalities. The double helix structure of DNA, which Watson and Crick discovered in 1953 and which is the foundation of our genetic heredity, prompted a revolution in a number of scientific domains.[1] This revolutionary finding sparked the creation of methods that could identify DNA by sequencing unique variations in individual traits. Later in 1985, Jeffries and colleagues created radioactive molecular probes that could identify very variable DNA sections and disclose distinctive patterns known as DNA fingerprints that were exclusive to each individual.[2] In forensic cases, modern DNA profile analysis is still highly dependable and recognized by the law as key evidence, particularly when it comes to paternity and human identification. DNA has been extracted from a range of biological materials, including bone marrow, hair follicles, biopsy samples, saliva, blood, and bodily tissues, for use in laboratory tests to establish a person's identification.[3] The Variations also occurs in each tissue's DNA amount and quality.

DNA may be separated from frequently used items like clothes, beverages, and touch objects. It can also be separated from more evident natural materials like tissue or stains. Moreover, DNA can be separated from items that come into contact with bodily fluids like sweat or saliva or from cells on the skin.[4] Personal things that are often handled, such clothes and spectacles, typically have a high concentration of skin cells that can be used as a source of DNA. Bed linens, for example, are frequently in close touch with the body and may include thousands of skin cells, hair, perspiration, and other fluids. Furthermore, any apparel or drink containers that could have been left at crime scenes must to be properly tagged, gathered, packaged, and delivered to a lab for DNA analysis.[5] When it comes to DNA recovery, any prepared tangible proof or coerced communication is desirable and should be done. For instance, the rope frequently has skin cells and perspiration from the attacker's handling and tugging.[6] Both the inside and outside of a car can provide useful DNA evidence. Forensic investigators handling this crucial piece of evidence must meticulously record and gather all potential sources of DNA.

Three primary groups comprise DNA samples. DNA samples from crime scenes that are unidentifiable forensic samples are known as crime scene samples.[7] Similar to fingerprints, an evidence gatherer looks for a specimen but is unable to pinpoint its original owner. In a DNA database, samples taken from crime scenes are matched to samples taken from other crime sites and convicted offenders.[8] Subtractive samples are those obtained from those who have already been granted permission to enter the crime scene, including victims, their relatives, and other people. Laboratory technicians and evidence collectors are also capable of being used in the process of eradicating samples.[9] Extractions from samples are sometimes referred to as

"knowledge samples," "reference samples," and "buc-cal swabs". Urine samples obtained via mouth swab are known as buccal swabs. utilized in DNA analysis as a comparison tool to distinguish between known and unfamiliar DNA profiles taken from criminal sites DNA samples that have been discarded are those that were left by someone who is well-known to law enforcement. It ought to be as simple as putting out one's cigarette in a public area.[10] Scientifically speaking, the extraction of high-quality DNA from blood samples is necessary for the efficient identification of substances by later methods such as polymer chain reaction (PCR) in genetic testing, biological research, and the forensic area. In order to address the effect of temperature changes on DNA extraction from blood, one study looked at the relationship between temperature fluctuations and DNA yield.[11] The purpose of the study was to look at how different temperatures affected the release of DNA. There are distinct procedures for this nucleic acid are analyzed in order to assess the results for practical use: normal extraction with salt, conversion with salt, and commercial usage. The primary study done was to determine the best rapid, affordable, and effective technique for extracting DNA Clonal and taxonomic links between viruses based on DNA research have shown the significance of DNA intervention in biological taxonomy for recognition and categorization.[12]

A Description Of The Method By Which DNA Is Extracted And Quantified From Blood Samples:

A significant advancement in genomic research has been made with the extraction of genomic DNA from whole blood. It's crucial to compare the costs, timeliness, and laboratory needs of the various nucleic acid extraction techniques before selecting one. Techniques used in laboratories, such the procedure of salting DNA to extract it, lack these qualities.[13] We investigated three desalination techniques—commercial product, customized desalination agent, and desalination as they are frequently used—in order to find a time- and money-efficient approach for extracting DNA. Nucleic acid extraction and purification from whole blood is a commonly used procedure in many labs.[14] Automation has several benefits, including standard sampling, reduced error rates, and contamination protection. Using widely used PCR and genotyping techniques, the sensitivity of the study was assessed.[15] The effectiveness of the Qiagen BioRobot M48 and the Qiagen signature QIAmp® DNA Blood Mini Kit in extracting DNA from whole blood was evaluated, and spectrophotometry was used to assess the quantity and quality of extracted DNA. The amount and dimensions of DNA that was manually extracted marginally increased. DNA yield is a crucial factor in applications that need precise DNA extraction as it dictates the quantity and caliber of retrieved DNA.[16] Optimizing the process is necessary to obtain high-quality whole blood DNA extraction. The yield of DNA extraction and its high level of hygiene are influenced by a number of factors, including the breakdown of red blood cells (RBCs) and white blood cells (WBCs), proteinase K concentration, incubation temperature, and completeness. [17]To get a trustworthy DNA sample that may be used for PCR amplification and other facilities along the route, care must be taken at every stage of the procedure, including cleaning and decontaminating the DNA pellet. Microbial genotype or DNA sequence based typing approaches have been developed in response to the limitations of phenotypy based typing techniques. These methods resolve repeatability and typability problems, and in certain instances they enable the development of huge biological characterisation databases[18]

Furthermore, one must consider that impurities like phenols, pH levels, and solvents may change the results of spectrophotometric analysis while measuring DNA. Using the proper buffers is essential to ensuring accurate spectrophotometer calibration and the acquisition of accurate DNA concentrations. [19]

In conclusion, DNA yield is taken into consideration in several applications such as PCR, genomics, and forensic analysis. Understanding the genetic and molecular mechanisms behind checkpoint activation in response to DNA damage, such as single-stranded DNA, double-strand breaks, and replication, including aberrant replication, is essential for understanding the cellular mechanisms governing gene stability.[20] These processes are all key eukaryotic processes. They proposes that it responds to different types of DNA damage by causing cell cycle arrest. Proteins are necessary. Interactions between checkpoint proteins and DNA repair mechanisms are essential for maintaining genomic integrity.[21] Furthermore, the process via which cells emerge from arrest and other roles that checkpoint proteins play in DNA metabolism are studied. Checkpoint gene mutations have the potential to induce genomic instability, which highlights the significance of these proteins in preserving genetic integrity. Furthermore, the process by the way cells emerge from arrest and other roles that checkpoint proteins play in DNA metabolism are studied. Checkpoint gene mutations have the potential to induce genomic instability, which highlights the significance of these proteins in preserving genetic integrity.[22] The stability of three-fold DNA replication can be impacted by a variety of DNA-related processes, including as transcription, recombination, replication, and repair. Stable, non-specific cell growth may enhance DNA repeat genetic instability and hinder DNA metabolism. Increased knowledge of the intricate molecular mechanisms governing checkpoint activation and prospective treatment approaches has improved our comprehension of the genetic stability of illnesses linked to genomic instability. It is critical to comprehend harm and reaction.[23]

The Effects Of Temperature On DNA Stability:

genetic molecular processes of checkpoint activation in response to DNA damage. We find and characterize important proteins in probes that are common to all eukaryotes, and we hypothesize chemically that these proteins work together to stop the cell cycle at any point and cause laboratory reactions like single-stranded DNA, strand breaks, and aberrant replication.[24] We go over how cells recover from the arrest response, how damage repair mechanisms and checkpoint proteins interact, and other roles that checkpoint proteins play in DNA metabolism. Lastly, the connection between checkpoint gene mutations and genomic instability is explored. Recombination, transcription, replication, repair, and other DNA-related processes are all impacted by the genetic stability of three-fold DNA replication. Growing in cells, stable metabolites have the capacity to disrupt DNA metabolism and are crucial components of the molecular pathways or processes that cause genetic instability during DNA replication.[25] The stability and structure of single-stranded double-stranded DNA hybrids are significantly impacted by the stabilization of nucleotide–surface interactions on gold. Generate DNA hybrid models in systems ranging from two end-binding loops to one directly adsorbed loop (hairpins) in order to methodically examine the impact of these interactions. After a set was made, these combinations were examined using a variety of methods, including surface plasmon resonance (SPR) imaging, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), fluorescence microscopy, and near edge X-ray absorption microstructure (NEXAFS) spectroscopy.[26] Competitive hybridization studies to evaluate these substances' stability High ionic strength solutions were employed. DNA hybrids that are straight-adsorbed consistently appear to be more unstable than those that are open-ended or terminally bound. Monometallic hairpins with m adenine (A) and n

thymine (T) nucleotide fragments exhibit the observed asymmetry and surface-induced weakening in the hybridization reactions of the double-stranded hairpin tail. These characteristics change with silver and are connected by the red surface.[27] The results pave the way for the creation of qualitative stability scales for DNA hybridizations on surfaces. Furthermore, the outcomes of controlling nucleotide–surface interactions with a sequencing method point to potential strategies for identifying asymmetric hybridization events and selectively promoting DNA hybridization that is unable to localize to particular places. Advanced biosensors, switches, or other active parts based on DNA nanotechnology may have helped this process.[28]

It is to evaluate how different temperatures affect the amount of DNA extracted from blood samples; the Whole blood sample-derived RNA gene expression has demonstrated potential as a molecular marker of illness and metabolic alterations. Blood transcriptome data have been utilized to discover a number of immunological responses and metabolic biomarkers of illness, including prognostic and treatment-effectiveness predictions. Because blood transcriptional data are easily used, affordable, and repeatable, they may soon be used in pharmaceuticals.[29] Fresh, high-quality, intact RNA that is collected quickly after is necessary for both conventional molecular diagnostics and high throughput transcriptome sequencing techniques like RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) and quantitative PCR (qPCR). Blood may have large levels of RNA. On the other hand, serious issues with resource management and delays in resource handling might have a negative impact on RNA quality. Whole blood sample-derived RNA gene expression has demonstrated potential as a molecular marker of illness and metabolic alterations. Blood transcriptome data have been utilized to discover a number of immunological responses and metabolic biomarkers of illness, including prognostic and treatment-effectiveness predictions. Because blood transcriptional data are easily used, affordable, and repeatable, they may soon be used in pharmaceuticals. Fresh, high-quality, intact RNA that is collected quickly after is necessary for both conventional molecular diagnostics and high throughput transcriptome sequencing techniques like RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) and quantitative PCR (qPCR). Blood may have large levels of RNA. On the other hand, serious issues with resource management and delays in resource handling might have a negative impact on RNA quality. However, serious handling issues and processing delays might have a negative impact on the quality of RNA. Geographically isolated and resource-poor, distant tropical areas pose special difficulties. Prolonged processing and storage conditions (time and temperature) are examples of pre-extraction changes that impact gene expression or induce RNA degradation since blood RNA is extremely susceptible to oxidative damage and enzymatic degradation.[30]

Factors Influencing DNA Yield:

Several factors, including the quantity and kind of beads used, the temperature and amount of the buffer, and the blow's duration and speed, were carefully examined in order to determine how efficient the bead-striking method was. To acquire high-quality DNA, extraction conditions may be carefully controlled. The extraction's efficiency is also crucial. In order to ensure that the DNA sample is representative and to determine the lower limit of detection, a sufficient concentration of DNA is needed.[31] Due to the wide variations in the quantity of DNA that can be recovered from unrefined collections, like urine, genetic screening with these samples is still a challenging task. The temperature at the time of DNA collection and the amount of DNA collected had a marginally negative connection. Samples dried with silica gel beads or kept in RNAlater solution produced similar results, but a special method that combined silica drying with short-term ethanol storage produced significantly more DNA. The degrading process of DNA, which starts when cell membranes burst during an organism's death, provides the basis for the variables that impact DNA quantity and quality. This allows bacteria and other diseases to enter the body and releases the enzyme DNAase, which works by progressively removing single nucleotides from DNA until the molecule is too tiny to be called DNA. The pace of DNA degradation is influenced by a number of variables, but it may be slowed down by preserving the samples in a dry or cool environment or by adding preservatives that inhibit DNAase activity.[32]

Disadvantages of Blood degradation:

To identify possible causes of DNA degradation during storage, a comprehensive analysis of a number of factors was carried out, including the fragments' GC content, long-term storage, rapid freeze-thaw cycles, genomic DNA and short DNA fragments from different species, the impact of shear stress, and the effect of nuclease left over after DNA isolation. The experimental results have been connected to several established chemical pathways of DNA degradation by an exclusion method.[33]

According to the search results, there are a few drawbacks to filter paper that should be taken into account even if it has several benefits for removing DNA from dried blood:

- Degradation Risk: DNA in Dried Blood samples may decay due to improper storage settings or extended storage times, which may impact the amount and quality of genetic material retrieved.
- Contamination issues: These issues can compromise the integrity of DNA extracted from Blood on filter paper during sample collection, processing, or storage. This might affect the precision and dependability of ensuing genetic analysis. [34]
- Variability in Extraction Methods: Because different DNA extraction procedures yield varying results from Blood samples in terms of DNA quantity and quality, data processing and interpretation may vary between research projects or laboratories.
- Limited Sample Volume: The amount of blood on filter paper that has a limit on the amount of DNA that can be extracted may have an influence on the sensitivity and efficacy of genetic testing or research applications.
- Storage Challenges: To avoid deterioration or contamination, Blood samples must be stored and preserved with care. The validity of genetic testing may be jeopardized if these samples are stored in settings that degrade the quality of the DNA recovered from them.[35]

Advantages of Blood degradation

The improved technique for obtaining DNA from dried blood spots on filter paper offers a number of benefits, including increased biobanking applications, enhanced newborn screening, high-quality DNA yield, cost-effective genetic research applications, and diagnostic impacts.

- Effectiveness and Swiftness: A crucial feature of the improved method is the quick and efficient extraction of DNA from DBS on filter paper, particularly in time-sensitive applications like forensic investigations or medical diagnostics.
- This technique ensures that high-quality DNA is extracted from DBS samples in a quantity and purity appropriate for a range of downstream uses, such as genetic testing, biobanking, and research projects.
- Biobanking Applications: By maintaining DNA integrity and streamlining the procedure, the improved DNA extraction technology raises the possibility of storing genetic material for further research. • Neonatal Screening: Optimal DNA extraction from neonatal dried blood spots effectively eliminates genetic material from these samples, enabling accurate genetic screening and diagnostic testing for neonates. [36]
- Cost-Effectiveness: By streamlining the DNA extraction procedure from DBS on filter paper, the enhanced method can reduce total sample processing expenses. Because of this, it is a cost-effective choice for extensive genetic research or screening initiatives.

Carefully storing and preserving DBS samples is necessary to prevent degradation or contamination. If these samples are kept in conditions that deteriorate the quality of the DNA extracted from them, the validity of genetic testing might be in danger.

An examination of the DNA yield at different temperatures. The impact of molecular studies on our comprehension of microbial diversity cannot be overstated. Everything in the field of environmental microbiology has changed as a result, from basic ecology research into the composition of microbial communities to bioprospecting for enzymes with possible commercial uses. Despite recent advancements in culture methods, the majority of environmental microbes remain uncultivable in the lab. However, the inability of an organism to be sustained in culture no longer poses a major barrier to accessing its genetic variety.[37] Genome studies similar to those that have been useful in exploring the diversity of wild species have also been utilized to mine for enzymatic diversity. The quest for new antibiotics and the use of amylases and other environmentally friendly biocatalysts are two current biotechnology applications centered on microbial metagenomic research. Investigations into the origins and diversity of bacteria continue, and it has been demonstrated that hot environments are a particularly rich source of uncommon biological processes and enzymes. A key component of all these studies is maximizing the observed variety by minimizing methodological biases and evaluating DNA quantity and quality optimally.[38] Molecular research include layers of potential bias due to their sequential nature. These layers are not explored in this article since they are intrinsic in PCR and have been studied in great detail. The method used to keep the biomass stable pinpoints the initial potential cause of bias in environmental material molecular analysis. Thermal environments are rich in narrative enzymes, unusual biological processes, and continuing research into the diversity and origins of microbes. A key component of all these studies is optimizing the observed diversity by minimizing methodological biases and conducting optimal DNA quality and quantity examinations.[39] Sequential molecular examinations may introduce layers of bias, including those arising from PCR itself, which have been thoroughly studied and are not going to be covered in this work. The method used to keep the biomass stable pinpoints the initial potential cause of bias in environmental material molecular analysis. Based on culture research, the groups of organisms that may be produced from samples change dramatically if the material is not well preserved. The results of these tests are not always constant; certain methods perform better with particular types of materials than others. Furthermore, even though thermal samples may originate from springs at either end of the pH spectrum, no study has looked at the potential effects that the range of ambient pH extremes may have on extraction efficiency. Although some research have examined the efficacy of an extraction process with a specific kind of sample, our goal is to identify the optimal approach for a range of sample types.[40] In an attempt to find a process that yields high molecular weight DNA that is comparatively free of contaminants and optimizes observable variability, we examined sample storage and DNA extraction techniques. We contrasted four nucleic acid extraction techniques and three preservation techniques. The optimal preservation and extraction technique would be beneficial for a wide range of sample types, such as sediments, microbial mats, and filamentous biofilms, as well as samples with different pH and biomass levels. In order to process huge sample numbers at a high throughput, this approach has to be fast. It should also make sample collection simpler from the far-off wilderness, where dry ice and liquid nitrogen are not viable.[41]

Discussion :

If there have been explosions or flames, it will be difficult to analyze the crime scene. Finding, identifying, and recognizing the evidence amidst the debris left over from the explosion or fire will be a challenge for the investigators.

Temperature and burn intensity variations can have an impact on DNA analysis, initial test identification, and the bloodstain's macroscopic appearance. The blood stain utilized in this study changed color and became darker than the control stain after being subjected to temperature grades of 50 °C and 100 °C for a whole day.[42]

This study examined the effect of temperature on the ability of the phenolphthalein presumptive test to detect bloodstains. The efficiency of DNA extraction and degradation is significantly impacted by temperature, as demonstrated by comparative analyses of DNA isolated from blood samples subjected to varying temperatures. It appears from observations that varying extraction techniques at different temperatures and interiors lead to wildly disparate degrees of DNA recovery. This heterogeneity is necessary to understand the ideal circumstances in which DNA may be retrieved and stored for different uses. Numerous studies indicate that DNA recovery is successful when employing methods like SDS (M3), chloroform (M5), and KAc (M6) that are well-known for their ability to remove impurities and preserve DNA integrity. However, techniques like NaCl (M1) and SDSR (M2), which remove RNA using RNase A, produce little but excellent-quality DNA. DNA damage can have an impact on the DNeasy (M4) approach, which is commonly used for blood and tissue samples, although overall it works well.[43]

The interior temperature has a significant impact on the removal and degradation efficiency of DNA as well. DNA yields are often greater in room temperature and 4°C storage systems than in -20°C and -80°C storage systems. There, fast DNA damage caused yields to drop at low temperatures. The study concludes that depending on the features and intended use of the particular extracted DNA, ideal conditions for its extraction and preservation may be identified.

These results have significant implications for a wide range of molecular biology applications. In the field of forensic analysis, the extraction technique and storage conditions used to extract DNA can have a significant impact on the quantity and quality of DNA accessible for analysis. It will be useful to understand the ideal circumstances for DNA extraction. A comparative study of DNA extracted from blood samples heated to varying temperatures emphasizes how crucial it is to take various heating and extraction techniques into account when trying to increase DNA yield and quality. The results of the materials indicate how crucial it is to carefully weigh these factors when choosing which programs to use for DNA extraction techniques and storage settings.[44]

A. Interpretation of the results For the proteinase K treatment, we employed a univariate t test. The matching Ct values should noticeably increase if nucleases are involved in the destruction of DNA. Given that Proteinase K inhibits nucleases, [45]
B. Variations in DNA yield and their contributing factors Consequently, the use of plasma is recommended in cases where the identification of tumor-specific mutations may be masked by extraneous wild-type DNA and the limit of detection of a specific variation may be compromised. In a clinical setting, centrifugation of blood might not always be feasible due to factors like the collection of blood samples throughout the course of a clinic or the unavailability of a centrifuge on location. The included studies demonstrated a general increase in cfDNA levels with time in both EDTA blood and unprocessed serum, albeit at a slower rate, which is consistent with the theory that serum contains contaminated DNA.[46]
C. Suggestions for optimizing DNA extraction processes at varying temperatures The ability to transport, store, and extract DNA from such samples all at room temperature facilitates their transfer from the many collection sites to the central DNA bank or laboratory. This procedure not only drastically reduces the overall costs but also the amount of work needed for sample handling. The length of time the sample has to be held is longer than for freshly drawn blood samples. .. Due to the fact that blood samples do not have to be processed immediately upon delivery, the prolonged storage duration also removes time constraints on sample arrival at the central laboratory. The frequency of criminal conduct in the current day has grown, making it more difficult for us to identify the offender. DNA fingerprinting turned out to be an essential identifying method in this instance. However, other elements, such as the kind and time of sample collection from the crime scene, can influence the outcome of DNA extraction.[47]

Limitations :

Since its introduction in forensics, DNA analysis has been considered the gold standard for human identification. These days, it's an essential tool for criminal investigations, paternity testing, and catastrophe victim identification. The three steps that make up DNA typing are genotyping, PCR amplification, and DNA extraction. The DNA extraction step is crucial since it determines whether any intact DNA molecules need to be analyzed in the first place. DNA typing success may have increased as a result of PCR performance improvements, which have been steadily increasing the technique's sensitivity, inhibitor tolerance, and multiplexing capabilities. DNA analysis will not be successful if the DNA is not successfully extracted and purified from the biological material. Biological substrates come in a variety of forms, depending on how easily the body can be collected and how much decomposition has occurred.[48] For every biological substrate, a different DNA extraction method is required, based on variables such the expected number of nucleated cells, the tissue's biochemical composition, and the presence of substances that hinder cell division. This chapter's objective is to give the reader a theoretical and practical basis for successfully removing DNA from a variety of biological substrates that are collected from live or deceased subjects. The most difficult cases in the forensic sciences, and DNA typing comes in second. Samples acquired for DNA-based human identification are usually stored at low temperatures to prevent the decomposition of human remains. We have developed a simple and reliable methodology for sample preservation and storage for DNA extraction. It ensures good quality DNA suitable for PCR-based DNA typing after being kept at room temperature for a minimum of a year. Although it hasn't gotten much attention, determining the efficiency of DNA recovery is an essential step that must occur before the quantitative molecular measurements.[49] The interpretation of mixed DNA samples, which contain material from many sources, has long been considered a serious issue by forensic caseworkers. This is especially true for high-order mixes (which might contain missing alleles (dropout) and unrelated alleles (drop-in) as well as low-template DNA (LT-DNA). the developments and research techniques in the field of forensic DNA mixture analysis, with the intention of supporting forensic experts and promoting more study on this subject. Hemoglobin, heme, or humic substances are examples of PCR inhibitors that might interfere with DNA quantification testing, particularly when present in blood samples. PCR inhibitors can target either the amplification (referred to as amplification inhibitors) or the fluorescence component (referred to as detection inhibitors). On the other hand, an inhibitor may affect both processes. For several reasons, it is essential to determine the quantity and quality of human DNA that can be extracted from biological evidence. First off, depending on the source and extraction method, the final DNA extract's quality (purity and length) and quantity may vary greatly.[50]

Conclusion :

Studies that compare DNA extracted from blood samples stored at various temperatures emphasize how crucial storage conditions are to the extraction and characterisation of DNA. Findings indicate that the temperature and duration of storage have an impact on the acquisition and preservation of DNA quality. DNA storage is done to keep high-quality DNA samples and the circumstances necessary for their preservation.

The study found that longer storage times and higher temperatures—particularly 4°C and room temperature—were associated with a drop in DNA yield. On the other hand, DNA exhibits rather steady behavior between -20°C and -80°C, and the storage temperature affects the effectiveness of some systems. Additionally, Near findings show that while DNA quantity dramatically declines after three days of preservation, DNA quality did not significantly deteriorate after longer storage. In light of these results, the research recommends prompt storage at -80°C to guarantee correct DNA extraction and preservation. If this isn't feasible, utilizing a preservative and storing for a short period at 4°C or room temperature might be a decent option. The study emphasizes how crucial it is to record the kind of DNA extraction technique utilized since various techniques might change the quantity and quality of DNA.

Prospects for future research in this field include examining the effects of storage on DNA removal procedures and DNA methylation in relation to various storage medium. Additionally, the study demonstrates that improved preservation techniques have been established to retain the highest quality DNA samples by understanding the reasons behind DNA deterioration. More investigation is required. A comparison of DNA isolated from blood samples that were exposed to varying temperatures emphasizes how crucial storage conditions are for DNA integrity and yield. High-quality DNN models for molecular biology applications are ensured by the improved DNA extraction and storage techniques and meaningful information provided by the results. Furthermore, samples kept at -20 ºC without any preservative show a higher yield of DNA than ones kept in liquid preservative. Degradation of DNA occurs naturally during the extraction and storage of DNA for molecular research. This might be because the lysis buffer could more readily and successfully penetrate the sample and release DNA in the absence of a fixative agent. Measuring DNA concentrations may be somewhat hampered by the presence of RNA, protein, and chemical reagent residues in the DNA elution following DNA extraction. It was hypothesized that the large variations in the estimated concentrations of the same sample were from interference from contaminants in the DNA samples, as demonstrated in the current investigation, in addition to the methodologies themselves. In some cases, even if samples arrive frozen at the laboratory, freeze-thaw processes that the researcher is unaware of can occur during transportation, making it difficult to determine the causes of DNA degradation. The time interval from the organism's death to a collection of samples up to the measurement of DNA yield, quality, or quantity. Moreover, samples stored at -20 oC without preservatives need special care while handled in the laboratory, in contrast to ones that do. Not even a brief period of time spent on the bench outside the refrigerator will do for them. Although different sample storage procedures have been evaluated in multiple studies to prevent DNA degradation, the standards of measurement for the UV absorbance approach increased rather than decreased, resulting in less pure DNA. However, all circumstances should be considered for extremely useful discoveries in essential advance investigations, as samples that have been stored for months or even years may offer DNA with good integrity.

REFERENCES:

1. Kim, S. (2007). The potential of DNA structure to provide a resource for the creation of art. Nottingham Trent University (United Kingdom).
2. Davies, K. (2002). Cracking the genome: Inside the race to unlock human DNA. JHU Press.
3. Siuta, J., Dobosz, A., Kawecki, J., & Dobosz, T. (2023). DNA Content of Various Fluids and Tissues of the Human Body. Genes, 15(1), 17.
4. Luftig, M. A., & Richey, S. (2000). DNA and forensic science. New Eng. L. Rev., 35, 609.
5. Dash, H. R., & Vajpayee, K. (2020). Collection, preservation, and transportation of biological evidences. Handbook of DNA Profiling, 1-16.
6. Imwinkelried, E. J., & Kaye, D. H. (2001). DNA typing: Emerging or neglected issues. Wash. L. Rev., 76, 413.
7. Kayser, M. (2015). Forensic DNA phenotyping: predicting human appearance from crime scene material for investigative purposes. Forensic Science International: Genetics, 18, 33-48.
8. Werrett, D. J. (1997). The national DNA database. Forensic Science International, 88(1), 33-42.
9. National Research Council, Federal Judicial Center, Global Affairs, Committee on Science, Law, & Committee on the Development of the Third Edition of the Reference Manual on Scientific Evidence. (2011). Reference manual on scientific evidence. National Academies Press.
10. White Sr, R. M., Mitchell, J. M., Hart, E. D., Evans, A., Meaders, M., Norsworthy, S. E., ... & Rogers, K. (2018). Assessment of the stability of DNA in specimens collected under conditions for drug testing—A pilot study. Forensic science international, 283, 41-46.
11. Lynch, C., & Fleming, R. (2019). A review of direct polymerase chain reaction of DNA and RNA for forensic purposes. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Forensic Science, 1(4), e1335.
12. Barker, D. C. (1989). Molecular approaches to DNA diagnosis. Parasitology, 99(S1), S125-S146.
13. Waters, J., Dhere, V., Benjamin, A., Sekar, A., Kumar, A., Prahalad, S., ... & Kugathasan, S. (2013). A practical and novel method to extract genomic DNA from blood collection kits for plasma protein preservation. JoVE (Journal of Visualized Experiments), (75), e4241.
14. Kim, J., Johnson, M., Hill, P., & Gale, B. K. (2009). Microfluidic sample preparation: cell lysis and nucleic acid purification. Integrative Biology, 1(10), 574-586.
15. Shi, M. M. (2001). Enabling large-scale pharmacogenetic studies by high-throughput mutation detection and genotyping technologies. Clinical chemistry, 47(2), 164-172.
16. Lang, P. O., Govind, S., Dramé, M., & Aspinall, R. (2012). Comparison of manual and automated DNA purification for measuring TREC in dried blood spot (DBS) samples with qPCR. Journal of immunological methods, 384(1-2), 118-127.
17. Makhro, A., Huisjes, R., Verhagen, L. P., Manu-Pereira, M. D. M., Llaudet-Planas, E., Petkova-Kirova, P., ... & Kaestner, L. (2016). Red cell properties after different modes of blood transportation. Frontiers in physiology, 7, 288.
18. O'Farrell, B., Haase, J. K., Velayudhan, V., Murphy, R. A., & Achtman, M. (2012). Transforming microbial genotyping: a robotic pipeline for genotyping bacterial strains. PLoS One, 7(10), e48022.
19. Ehrich, M., Zoll, S., Sur, S., & van den Boom, D. (2007). A new method for accurate assessment of DNA quality after bisulfite treatment. Nucleic acids research, 35(5), e29.
20. Al Safar, H. S., Abidi, F. H., Khazanehdari, K. A., Dadour, I. R., & Tay, G. K. (2011). Evaluation of different sources of DNA for use in genome wide studies and forensic application. Applied microbiology and biotechnology, 89, 807-815.
21. Branzei, D., & Foiani, M. (2008). Regulation of DNA repair throughout the cell cycle. Nature reviews Molecular cell biology, 9(4), 297-308.
22. Gorgoulis, V. G., Vassiliou, L. V. F., Karakaidos, P., Zacharatos, P., Kotsinas, A., Liloglou, T., ... & Halazonetis, T. D. (2005). Activation of the DNA damage checkpoint and genomic instability in human precancerous lesions. Nature, 434(7035), 907-913.
23. Mikhed, Y., Görlach, A., Knaus, U. G., & Daiber, A. (2015). Redox regulation of genome stability by effects on gene expression, epigenetic pathways and DNA damage/repair. Redox biology, 5, 275-289.

24. Zegerman, P., & Diffley, J. F. (2009). DNA replication as a target of the DNA damage checkpoint. DNA repair, 8(9), 1077-1088.
25. Wang, G., & Vasquez, K. M. (2017). Effects of replication and transcription on DNA structure-related genetic instability. Genes, 8(1), 17.
26. Che, M., & Védrine, J. C. (Eds.). (2012). Characterization of solid materials and heterogeneous catalysts: From structure to surface reactivity. John Wiley & Sons.
27. Metcalf, C. (2003). Mono and bimetallic transtion metal complexes that bind DNA (Doctoral dissertation, University of Sheffield).
28. Wilner, O. I., Willner, B., & Willner, I. (2012). DNA nanotechnology. Nano-Biotechnology for Biomedical and Diagnostic Research, 97-114.
29. Wu, Y. Y., & Csako, G. (2006). Rapid and/or high-throughput genotyping for human red blood cell, platelet and leukocyte antigens, and forensic applications. Clinica Chimica Acta, 363(1-2), 165-176.
30. Fimognari, C. (2015). Role of oxidative RNA damage in chronic-degenerative diseases. Oxidative medicine and cellular longevity, 2015(1), 358713.
31. Demeke, T., & Jenkins, G. R. (2010). Influence of DNA extraction methods, PCR inhibitors and quantification methods on real-time PCR assay of biotechnology-derived traits. Analytical and bioanalytical chemistry, 396, 1977-1990.
32. Kilpatrick, C. W. (2022). DNA Preservation. In Molecular Analyses (pp. 53-70). CRC Press.
33. Sirker, M., Schneider, P. M., & Gomes, I. (2016). A 17-month time course study of human RNA and DNA degradation in body fluids under dry and humid environmental conditions. International journal of legal medicine, 130, 1431-1438.
34. Buś, M. M., & Allen, M. (2014). Collecting and preserving biological samples from challenging environments for DNA analysis. Biopreservation and biobanking, 12(1), 17-22.
35. Vaught, J. B., & Henderson, M. K. (2011). Biological sample collection, processing, storage and information management. IARC Sci Publ, 163(163), 23-42.
36. Malm, J., Fehniger, T. E., Danmyr, P., Végvári, Á., Welinder, C., Lindberg, H., ... & Marko-Varga, G. (2013). Developments in biobanking workflow standardization providing sample integrity and stability. Journal of proteomics, 95, 38-45.
37. Tiedje, J. M., Colwell, R. K., Grossman, Y. L., Hodson, R. E., Lenski, R. E., Mack, R. N., & Regal, P. J. (1989). The planned introduction of genetically engineered organisms: ecological considerations and recommendations. Ecology, 70(2), 298-315.
38. Pawluczyk, M., Weiss, J., Links, M. G., Egaña Aranguren, M., Wilkinson, M. D., & Egea-Cortines, M. (2015). Quantitative evaluation of bias in PCR amplification and next-generation sequencing derived from metabarcoding samples. Analytical and bioanalytical chemistry, 407, 1841-1848.
39. Bruce, K., Blackman, R. C., Bourlat, S. J., Hellström, M., Bakker, J., Bista, I., ... & Deiner, K. (2021). A practical guide to DNA-based methods for biodiversity assessment. Pensoft Advanced Books.
40. Runnqvist, H., Bak, S. A., Hansen, M., Styrishave, B., Halling-Sørensen, B., & Björklund, E. (2010). Determination of pharmaceuticals in environmental and biological matrices using pressurised liquid extraction—are we developing sound extraction methods?. Journal of Chromatography a, 1217(16), 2447-2470.
41. Simister, R. L., Schmitt, S., & Taylor, M. W. (2011). Evaluating methods for the preservation and extraction of DNA and RNA for analysis of microbial communities in marine sponges. Journal of experimental marine biology and ecology, 397(1), 38-43.
42. Klein, A., Krebs, O., Gehl, A., Morgner, J., Reeger, L., Augustin, C., & Edler, C. (2018). Detection of blood and DNA traces after thermal exposure. International Journal of Legal Medicine, 132, 1025-1033.
43. Butler, J. M. (2001). Forensic DNA typing: biology & technology behind STR markers. Academic Press.
44. Permenter, J., Ishwar, A., Rounsavall, A., Smith, M., Faske, J., Sailey, C. J., & Alfaro, M. P. (2015). Quantitative analysis of genomic DNA degradation in whole blood under various storage conditions for molecular diagnostic testing. Molecular and cellular probes, 29(6), 449-453.
45. Simpson, A. M., Bakalara, N., & Simpson, L. (1992). A ribonuclease activity is activated by heparin or by digestion with proteinase K in mitochondrial extracts of Leishmania tarentolae. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 267(10), 6782-6788.
46. Van Paemel, R., De Koker, A., Caggiano, C., Morlion, A., Mestdagh, P., De Wilde, B., ... & De Preter, K. (2021). Genome-wide study of the effect of blood collection tubes on the cell-free DNA methylome. Epigenetics, 16(7), 797-807.
47. Lear, G., Dickie, I., Banks, J., Boyer, S., Buckley, H. L., Buckley, T. R., ... & Holdaway, R. (2018). Methods for the extraction, storage, amplification and sequencing of DNA from environmental samples. New Zealand Journal of Ecology, 42(1), 10-50A.
48. Van Belkum, A. L. E. X. (1994). DNA fingerprinting of medically important microorganisms by use of PCR. Clinical Microbiology Reviews, 7(2), 174-184.
49. Buś, M. M., & Allen, M. (2014). Collecting and preserving biological samples from challenging environments for DNA analysis. Biopreservation and biobanking, 12(1), 17-22.
50. Olson, N. D., & Morrow, J. B. (2012). DNA extract characterization process for microbial detection methods development and validation. BMC research notes, 5, 1-14.