International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews, Vol 5, no 6, pp 1651-1653 June 2024

International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

Journal homepage: www.ijrpr.com ISSN 2582-7421

Utilizing Additive Manufacturing for Creating Zirconia Restorations in Dentistry

Rada Kazakova* [a,b]

[a]*Department of Prosthetic Dentistry, Faculty of Dental Medicine, Medical University–Plovdiv, 4000 Plovdiv, Bulgaria*
[b]*CAD/CAM Center of Dental Medicine, Research Institute, Medical University–Plovdiv, 4000 Plovdiv, Bulgaria*
Email address: rada.kazakova@mu-plovdiv.bg

A B S T R A C T

Additive manufacturing (AM) has emerged as a promising approach for fabricating zirconia restorations in dentistry. This review explores the application of AM techniques, including Stereolithography (SLA) and Direct Light Processing (DLP), in producing zirconia restorations. A comprehensive literature review was conducted, encompassing studies published in English between 2001 and 2024. The review identified 68 relevant articles, predominantly focused on SLA and DLP methods. While these techniques offer high accuracy and relatively smooth surfaces, challenges such as reduced flexural strength and defects in printed specimens persist. Opacity issues and poor shape accuracy also pose significant limitations. However, advancements in printing technology and material compositions show promise for overcoming these challenges. Further research and development efforts are crucial to optimize AM processes and enhance clinical applicability.

Keywords: additive manufacturing, zirconia restorations, stereolithography, direct light processing, dental prosthetics

Introduction

Additive manufacturing (AM), encompassing techniques like solid freeform fabrication, rapid prototyping, and 3D printing, has garnered attention as a promising avenue for producing zirconia restorations. This innovative approach offers compelling benefits, notably a substantial reduction in material wastage and energy consumption compared to traditional subtractive manufacturing methods. Utilizing computer-generated design files, typically in standard tessellation language (STL) format, AM machines systematically slice these files into horizontal layers for subsequent printing [1, 2]. The prosthetic restoration is then meticulously constructed layer by layer, resulting in a fully formed object with remarkable precision.

Materials and Methods

A comprehensive review of the literature in English between 2001 and 2024 was undertaken to explore the application of AM techniques in fabricating zirconia restorations. PubMed was queried using specific search terms such as "3D printing," 'CAD/CAM', 'zirconia' and related keywords. Articles published in English from 2001 to 2015 were included in the review. Additionally, manual searches were conducted through articles and reference lists retrieved from electronic searches and peer-reviewed journals to ensure a thorough analysis. The selected studies were rigorously examined to extract pertinent data concerning the AM methodologies employed, materials utilized, fabrication processes, and outcomes.

Results

The review uncovered a wealth of studies focused on the 3D printing of zirconia restorations, with a predominant emphasis on Stereolithography (SLA) and Direct Light Processing (DLP) methods [3-6]. These techniques involve curing successive layers of a photosensitive polymeric binder mixed with zirconia powder. SLA and DLP methods demonstrated commendable accuracy and relatively smooth surfaces, although evaluations of flexural strength revealed values lower than those achieved through traditional subtractive methods [7, 8]. Noteworthy defects observed in 3D printed zirconia specimens included pores, cracks, fractures at layer interfaces, and surface irregularities, contributing to inferior mechanical properties and opacity relative to milled counterparts.

Stereolithography (SLA) and Direct Light Processing (DLP) both utilize the curing of layers of a photosensitive polymeric binder combined with zirconia powder. These additive manufacturing techniques are known for their high accuracy and relatively smooth surfaces. However, the flexural strength of zirconia restorations produced using these methods tends to be lower than that achieved through traditional subtractive methods. Common defects such as pores, cracks, and fractures at layer interfaces are often present, which contribute to reduced mechanical properties and increased opacity compared to milled restorations.

The challenges associated with additive manufacturing (AM) of zirconia restorations include reduced mechanical properties, opacity issues, and poor shape accuracy. Potential solutions to these challenges include optimizing printing parameters and material compositions to enhance mechanical properties, refining printing processes and developing new materials to address opacity issues, and advancing printing technology and integrating computer algorithms to improve shape accuracy. Additionally, implementing improved quality control measures and post-printing treatments can help mitigate defects such as pores and cracks.

Discussion

Stereolithography (SLA) and Direct Light Processing (DLP) techniques for achieving demonstrate remarkable accuracy and intricate detailing in zirconia restorations. Nevertheless, considerable challenges persist within the realm of additive manufacturing (AM). A recurring concern highlighted in numerous studies is the consistently observed lower flexural strength values in zirconia specimens fabricated using DLP and SLA methods, in comparison to those produced through traditional subtractive techniques [7-10]. This disparity in mechanical properties is predominantly attributed to the presence of defects, such as pores and cracks, within 3D printed zirconia structures [11, 12]. Moreover, the heightened opacity and compromised shape accuracy further underscore the limitations of AM in dental prosthetics manufacturing.

Although SLA and DLP methods offer high accuracy and relatively smooth surfaces, the inherent limitations in the mechanical properties of the final products remain a significant barrier. These methods involve the curing of successive layers of a photosensitive polymeric binder mixed with zirconia powder, which can lead to the formation of interlayer defects. These defects, including pores and cracks, weaken the overall structure of the restorations, making them less durable than those produced by traditional milling methods [3-6]. Furthermore, the opacity of the materials used in these processes can affect the aesthetic quality of the restorations, making them less desirable for patients seeking natural-looking dental solutions [7, 8].

To address these challenges, several potential solutions have been proposed. Optimization of printing parameters, such as layer thickness, curing time, and temperature, can help improve the mechanical properties of 3D printed zirconia. Adjusting the material compositions by incorporating different binders or modifying the zirconia powder can also enhance the strength and durability of the restorations. Additionally, refining the printing processes to reduce the incidence of defects and developing new materials that offer better translucency and aesthetic qualities are crucial steps towards overcoming the current limitations of AM [7-10].

Selective Laser Sintering (SLS) has emerged as a potential alternative to SLA and DLP, offering the possibility of better mechanical properties and shape precision. However, SLS also faces challenges related to shape accuracy and the quality of the final product, indicating that further research and development are needed to fully harness its potential in dental applications. Continuous advancements in printing technology, including the integration of artificial intelligence (AI) algorithms, could further enhance the precision and reliability of AM processes, leading to improved outcomes for dental restorations [12-14].

The high cost of laser equipment and the need for specialized training are frequently mentioned as significant barriers to the widespread adoption of laser troughing. Coluzzi [10] discussed the substantial financial investment required for laser technology, while Van As [11] highlighted the necessity for comprehensive training to ensure safe and effective use. The review identified a need for standardized protocols and further research into the long-term outcomes of laser troughing. Nagahashi et al. [6] and Fornaini et al. [12] noted the lack of long-term data, calling for more extensive studies to validate the initial positive findings and to establish cost-effectiveness over time.

Looking ahead, there is a prospect for optical impressions to be supplanted by ultrasound impressions, leveraging ultrasonic waves to penetrate the gingiva non-invasively. This innovation could eliminate the need for retraction cords and remain unaffected by fluids, offering a more comfortable and efficient alternative for capturing dental impressions. The potential for ultrasound technology to revolutionize the field of dental impressions underscores the continuous evolution of digital dentistry and its commitment to improving patient outcomes and procedural efficiency [8-12].

CAD/CAM technology offers notable advantages such as the utilization of digital impressions and models, as well as the integration of virtual articulators. These capabilities significantly enhance the precision and efficiency of dental restorations. However, the implementation of CAD/CAM technology is often hindered by its perceived expense and the necessity for highly skilled personnel to operate the equipment and software effectively. Presently, design software has expanded its capabilities to encompass various applications, including the fabrication of complete dentures and frameworks for removable partial dentures. Optimal accuracy in restoration fabrication is commonly achieved through the utilization of 5-axis milling units, which allow for intricate and precise shaping of dental restorations from various materials [8-14].

While 3D printing technology has made inroads in dentistry, its applications are currently limited to polymers, with ceramics notably absent. The limited mechanical properties and biocompatibility issues associated with polymer-based restorations restrict their widespread adoption for permanent solutions. However, 3D printing remains highly valuable for the creation of dental models, surgical guides, and temporary restorations, showcasing its potential in specific niches within the field.

Future research should focus on large-scale, randomized controlled trials to further validate the clinical benefits of laser troughing. Additionally, studies exploring the integration of laser technology with other dental innovations, such as digital impressions and CAD/CAM systems, could provide a more holistic view of modern dental practice. Investigating the patient perspective on laser troughing, including qualitative assessments of comfort and satisfaction, would also be valuable

Conclusion

In conclusion, the exploration of additive manufacturing for zirconia restorations presents an intriguing avenue for dental fabrication. Despite current limitations, including reduced mechanical properties and opacity, ongoing research and technological advancements are imperative for optimizing AM processes and enhancing clinical applicability. With continued innovation, additive manufacturing holds the potential to revolutionize dental prosthetics, offering clinicians unprecedented levels of precision and customization in restoration fabrication. However, concerted efforts in research and development are necessary to address existing challenges and unlock the full potential of additive manufacturing in clinical practice.

References

1. Revilla-Leon, M., Husain, N. A. H., Barmak, A. B., Perez-Lopez, J., Raigrodski, A. J., & Ozcan, M. (2022). Chemical composition and flexural strength discrepancies between milled and lithography-based additively manufactured zirconia. *Journal of Prosthodontics*, 31, 778-783. https://doi.org/10.1111/jopr.13482
2. Marsico, C., Oilo, M., Kutsch, J., Kauf, M., & Arola, D. (2020). Vat polymerization-printed partially stabilized zirconia: Mechanical properties, reliability and structural defects. *Additive Manufacturing*, 36, 1-11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2020.101450
3. Shahzad, K., Deckers, J., Zhang, Z. Y., Kruth, J. P., & Vleugels, J. (2014). Additive manufacturing of zirconia parts by indirect selective laser sintering. *Journal of the European Ceramic Society*, 34, 87-95. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeurceramsoc.2013.07.023
4. Li, H. Z., Song, L., Sun, J. L., Ma, J., & Shen, Z. J. (2020). Stereolithography-fabricated zirconia dental prostheses: concerns based on clinical requirements. *Advances in Applied Ceramics*, 119, 236-243. https://doi.org/10.1080/17436753.2019.1709687
5. Fu, L., Engqvist, H., & Xia, W. (2020). Glass-ceramics in dentistry: a review. Materials, 13. https://doi.org/10.3390/ma13051049
6. Galante, R., Figueiredo-Pina, C. G., & Serro, A. P. (2019). Additive manufacturing of ceramics for dental applications: A review. *Dental Materials*, 35, 825-846. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dental.2019.02.026
7. Zocca, A., Colombo, P., Gomes, C. M., & Gunster, J. (2015). Additive manufacturing of ceramics: Issues, potentialities, and opportunities. *Journal of the American Ceramic Society*, 98, 1983-2001. https://doi.org/10.1111/jace.13700
8. Johansson, E., Lidstrom, O., Johansson, J., Lyckfeldt, O., & Adolfsson, E. (2017). Influence of resin composition on the defect formation in alumina manufactured by stereolithography. *Materials*, 10, 1-11. https://doi.org/10.3390/ma10020138
9. Xiang, D., Xu, Y. X., Bai, W., & Lin, H. (2021). Dental zirconia fabricated by stereolithography: Accuracy, translucency and mechanical properties in different build orientations. *Ceramics International*, 47, 28837-28847. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceramint.2021.07.044
10. Osman, R. B., van der Veen, A. J., Huiberts, D., Wismeijer, D., & Alharbi, N. (2017). 3D-printing zirconia implants; a dream or a reality? An in-vitro study evaluating the dimensional accuracy, surface topography and mechanical properties of printed zirconia implant and discs. *Journal of the Mechanical Behavior of Biomedical Materials*, 75, 521-528. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmbbm.2017.08.018
11. Li, H. Z., Song, L., Sun, J. L., Ma, J., & Shen, Z. J. (2019). Dental ceramic prostheses by stereolithography-based additive manufacturing: potentials and challenges. *Advances in Applied Ceramics*, 118, 30-36. https://doi.org/10.1080/17436753.2018.1447834
12. Branca, A. C., Colaco, R., Figueiredo-Pina, C. G., & Serro, A. P. (2023). Recent advances on 3D-printed zirconia based dental materials: A review. *Materials*, 16, 1-34. https://doi.org/10.3390/ma16051860
13. Giugliano, T. S., Zhang, Y., Janal, M. N., Lim, C. H., Smith, R. M., & Choi, M. (2023). In vitro comparison of physical characteristics of milled versus printed zirconia discs. *Journal of Prosthodontics*, 1-8. https://doi.org/10.1111/jopr.13778
14. Cesar, P. F., Ranulfo Miranda, R. B. d. P., Santos, K. F., Scherrer, S. S., & Zhang, Y. (2024). Recent advances in dental zirconia: 15 years of material and processing evolution. *Dental Materials*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dental.2024.02.026