International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

Thriving Workforce: Enhancing Employee Well-Being

Mr. Uthesh Kumar M.P S[1], Mrs. Khadeeja Bilquees. A S[2]

[1]Final Year MBA Student, MEASI Institute of Management, Chennai.
[2]Assistant Professor, MEASI Institute of Management, Chennai.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.55248/gengpi.5.0624.1419

ABSTRACT:

The organizational environment, prioritizing employee well-being is crucial for boosting productivity and cultivating a positive workplace culture. This research delves into the complex relationships between stress, employee engagement, job satisfaction, and leadership, examining how these factors collectively influence employee well-being within organizations. Utilizing quantitative survey data insights, the study identifies the primary factors affecting employee well-being and explores their interactions in the organizational setting. The results emphasize the importance of supportive leadership, robust stress management programs, and active employee engagement initiatives in enhancing psychological, social, and workplace well-being. Moreover, the study highlights the need for customized interventions and policies aimed at reducing turnover and absenteeism, increasing job satisfaction, and improving overall organizational performance. By offering practical recommendations for organizational leaders and HR professionals, this research aids in the formulation of targeted strategies to cultivate a thriving workforce and maintain a competitive market advantage.

Keywords: Employee Well-Being, Stress Management, Employee Engagement, Job Satisfaction, Leadership Support, Organizational Performance

Introduction:

In the 21st century, Human Resource (HR) management has evolved beyond traditional roles of recruitment and payroll. It now encompasses strategic initiatives aimed at enhancing employee well-being, which is pivotal for organizational success. Employee well-being refers to the holistic health of employees, including their physical, mental, and emotional states. This article examines the significance of employee well-being and presents findings from a detailed study conducted on this topic.

Employee Well-Being

Employee well-being is a multifaceted construct that includes job satisfaction, work-life balance, mental health, and physical health. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), employee well-being is achieved when individuals realize their abilities, cope with normal stresses, work productively, and contribute to their community.

DEFINITION

- Human resource management (HRM) refers to the design of formal systems within an organization to ensure the effective and efficient use of human talent to achieve organizational goals (Dessler, 2017).

- Robert L. Mathis and John H. Jackson describes human resource as the function within an organization that focuses on the recruitment, management, and direction of the people who work in the organization.

INDUSTRY PROFILE

Consultancy firms operate in a dynamic and multifaceted environment, offering specialized advice and strategic solutions across various sectors. Acting as trusted advisors, they assist businesses, government agencies, and organizations in navigating complex issues. These firms cover a wide range of expertise, including management consulting, IT advisory, financial analysis, human resources management, and sustainability consulting. Their workforce is composed of professionals with diverse skill sets, often specializing in specific industries or functional domains, enabling them to provide customized solutions that address clients' unique challenges.

Objective:

- To investigate the various factors influencing employee well-being in workplace.

- Identifying sources of workplace stress and their impact on well-being, assessing employee engagement and its relation to well-being and job satisfaction, evaluating leadership practices influencing well-being, measuring job satisfaction levels, and recommending strategies to enhance employee well-being and organizational effectiveness.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE:

Schaufeli, Wilmar B., and Bakker, Arnold B. (2001) This study emphasizes the importance of employee engagement and the negative impact of burnout on well-being. Engagement is linked with performance, job satisfaction, and organizational commitment, while burnout is characterized by diminished personal accomplishment, and emotional exhaustion. Factors such as workload, autonomy, and social support, along with organizational elements like work-life balance programs and leadership style, are critical in determining employee well-being.

Rabindra Kumar, Pradhan Lopamudra Hati (2019). It focuses on creating and validating a scale to assess employee well-being. It highlights the interaction between various factors such as corporate culture, support systems, work-life balance, and job satisfaction. The study's comprehensive approach to assessing employee well-being provides a reliable tool for evaluating and improving well-being in different organizational contexts.

Sheldon Cohen: The Perceived Stress Scale (PSS) developed by Sheldon Cohen is a widely used psychological measure for assessing individual stress levels. It evaluates how individuals perceive events as stressful, incorporating elements such as unpredictability, lack of control, and feelings of being overwhelmed. The simplicity and accessibility of the PSS make it applicable to diverse demographic groups.

Veshne, Nupur (2017) This research investigates the role of supervisor communication in enhancing employee engagement and well-being. Effective communication from supervisors is found to positively impact job performance, mental and physical health, and overall job satisfaction. The study suggests that clear expectations, support, and a healthy work environment facilitated by supervisors are crucial for improving employee well-being.

Inceoglu, Ilke, Plans, David, and Gerbasi, Alexandra (2017) The paper reviews the impact of different leadership behaviours—transformational, supportive, and empowering on employee well-being. It provides an integrated review of the existing literature and suggests a future research agenda to explore the complex relationships between leadership behaviours and employee well-being.

Methodology:

Research design: This study employs a quantitative research design

Sampling methodology: The study used a stratified random sampling approach to evaluate employee well-being, dividing the population into groups based on income, designation, and department for proportional representation. To enhance precision, participants were categorized by job roles and tenure. This method ensured a comprehensive and reliable analysis of well-being indicators such as workload, job satisfaction, work-life balance, and job-related stress, capturing diverse employee perspectives. The sample data is collected from 127 respondent.

Data Collection Method: Primary data collection method was used to collect the data relevant to this study using questionnaire

DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

Piolet study: A pilot study is a small-scale preliminary investigation to refine study design and methodology, testing recruitment, data collection, and logistics. It is defined by the data that is collected from the respondent.

CORRELATIONS

Null Hypothesis (H0): There is no significant difference between the stress of the respondents and employee well-being

Alternative Hypothesis (H1): There is significant difference between stress of the respondents and employee well-being

Correlations			
		Gender	Have you been upset due to unexpected events in the organization
	Pearson Correlation	1	.333[**]
	Sig. (2tailed)		.000
	N	127	127
	Pearson Correlation	.333[**]	1

| Have you been upset due to unexpected events in the organization | Sig. (2tailed) | .000 | |
| | N | 127 | 127 |

INFERENCE:

The table shows a moderate positive correlation (r = 0.333) between gender and being upset by unexpected events at work, with a significant p-value of 0.000. This indicates a statistically significant relationship. Therefore, we reject the null hypothesis that there is no correlation between these variables.

KRUSKAL-WALLIS TEST

Null Hypothesis (H0): There is no significant difference between the gender of the respondents and employee well-being**.**

Alternative Hypothesis (H1): There is significant difference between the gender of the respondents and employee well-being.

Test Statistics			
	feel energized and invigorated at my job.	manage work pressures well without feeling overwhelmed.	At what level are you absorbed in your work
Chi-Square	3.948	32.617	25.589
df	1	1	1
Asymp. Sig.	.047	.000	.000

INFERENCE:

The chi-square test results show significant associations for all three statements—feeling energized at work (χ^2 = 3.948, p = 0.047), managing work pressures well (χ^2 = 32.617, p = 0.000), and being absorbed in work (χ^2 = 25.589, p = 0.000)—as the p-values are all below 0.05, leading to the rejection of the null hypothesis in each case

ONE WAY ANOVA TEST

Null Hypothesis (H0): There is no significant difference between the stress and income.

Alternative Hypothesis (H1): There is significant difference between the stress and income.

ANOVA					
Stress_total					
	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	113.752	3	37.917	12.307	.000
Within Groups	378.972	123	3.081		
Total	492.724	126			

INFERENCE:

From the above ANOVA table P < 0.05, reject null hypothesis accept alternate hypothesis. In a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) examining employee happiness, a significant difference was found among the groups (F =12.307, p =.000.). The results indicate there is significant difference between the department of an employee and employee happiness.

FINDINGS OF THE STUDY:

- **Correlation Analysis:** Gender correlates positively with being upset by unexpected events at work ($r = 0.333$, $p = 0.000$), rejecting the null hypothesis.

- **Kruskal-Wallis Test:** Significant associations exist for feeling energized, managing work pressures, and being absorbed in work ($p < 0.05$).

- **One-Way ANOVA Test:** Employee happiness significantly differs based on department ($p = 0.000$), rejecting the null hypothesis

CONCLUSION:

In conclusion, the findings underscore the importance of a stratified random sampling approach to employee well-being, considering factors such as gender balance, marital status, income distribution, and employment status. Targeted interventions should address stress management, enhance employee engagement, improve leadership practices, and boost job satisfaction. By implementing tailored programs and initiatives, organizations can create a supportive work environment conducive to employee happiness and productivity. Leadership development, diversity and inclusion efforts, and comprehensive benefits packages are essential components in fostering a culture of well-being. Moving forward, continuous evaluation and adaptation of these strategies will be vital in meeting the evolving needs of employees and sustaining a thriving workplace ecosystem

LIMITATIONS OF STUDY:

- The study relies heavily on self-reported data from employees, which may be influenced by personal biases and perceptions. This can affect the accuracy and reliability of the findings.

- The sample size may be limited, and the diversity of the participants may not fully represent the entire organization. This could impact the generalizability of the results.

- Well-being is a subjective measure and can vary greatly among individuals. This subjectivity can complicate the assessment and comparison of well-being across different employees.

References:

1. Baquero, A. (2023). Authentic leadership, employee work engagement, trust in the leader, and workplace well-being: A moderated mediation model. Psychology research and behavior management, 1403-1424.

2. Veshne, N. (2017). Role of supervisor's communication in employee engagement & employee wellbeing. Ushus Journal of Business Management, 16(3), 27-38.

3. Garg, P., & Rastogi, R. (2009). Effect of psychological wellbeing on organizational commitment of employees. Journal of Organizational Behavior, 8(2), 42-51.

4. Asan, S., Panjaitan, A., Suwu, S. E., & Ferdinand, F. V. (2020). Employee engagement and organization support strategies: the mediating role of perceived organization support for holistic employee subjective well-being. Jurnal Aplikasi Managements, 18(4), 754-766.

5. Schaufeli, W. B., Salanova, M., González-Romá, V., & Bakker, A. B. (2002). The measurement of engagement and burnout: A two-sample confirmatory factor analytic approach. Journal of Happiness studies, 3, 71-92.

6. Pradhan, R. K., & Hati, L. (2022). The measurement of employee well-being: development and validation of a scale. Global Business Review, 23(2), 385-407.

7. Kalshoven, K., & Boon, C. T. (2012). Ethical leadership, employee well-being, and helping. Journal of Personnel Psychology.

8. Renwick, D. (2003). HR managers: guardians of employee wellbeing? Personnel Review, 32(3), 341-359.

9. Turner, R., Huemann, M., & Keegan, A. (2008). Human resource management in the project-oriented organization: Employee well-being and ethical treatment. International journal of project management, 26(5), 577-585.

10. Samad, A. (2015). Towards an understanding of the effect of leadership on employee wellbeing and organizational outcomes in Australian universities. The Journal of Developing Areas, 49(6), 441-448.

11. Babin, B. J., & Boles, J. S. (1996). The effects of perceived co-worker involvement and supervisor support on service provider role stress, performance and job satisfaction. Journal of retailing, 72(1), 57-75.

12. Hayat, A., & Afshari, L. (2022). CSR and employee well-being in hospitality industry: A mediation model of job satisfaction and affective commitment. Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Management, 51, 387-396.

13. Dari, T. A., Jabeen, F., & Papastathopoulos, A. (2018). Examining the role of leadership inspiration, rewards and its relationship with contribution to knowledge sharing. Journal of Workplace Learning, 30(6), 488-512.

14. Ejaz, T., Anjum, Z. U. Z., Rasheed, M., Waqas, M., & Hameed, A. A. (2022). Impact of ethical leadership on employee well-being: the mediating role of job satisfaction and employee voice. Middle East Journal of Management, 9(3), 310-331.

15. Van Dierendonck, D., Haynes, C., Borrill, C., & Stride, C. (2004). Leadership behavior and subordinate well-being. Journal of occupational health psychology, 9(2), 165.

16. Inceoglu, I., Thomas, G., Chu, C., Plans, D., & Gerbasi, A. (2018). Leadership behavior and employee well-being: An integrated review and a future research agenda. The Leadership Quarterly, 29(1), 179-202.

17. Scale, P. S. (1983). Perceived Stress Scale.

18. Duygulu, E., Ciraklar, N. H., Guripek, E., & Bagiran, D. (2013). The effect of role stress on the employee's well-being: a study in the pharmaceutical companies in the city of Izmir. Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences, 84, 1361-1368.

19. Salas-Vallina, A., Alegre, J., & López-Cabrales, Á. (2021). The challenge of increasing employees' well-being and performance: How human resource management practices and engaging leadership work together toward reaching this goal. Human Resource Management, 60(3), 333-347.

20. Tuncer, H. B. (2019). The impact of hrm practices on employee wellbeing, job satisfaction and individual work performance (Master's thesis, Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü)