



## The Role of Mutations in Boosting Seed Quality and Crop Yields

*Arun Kumar*

PG Scholar, Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding  
Banda University of agriculture and technology Banda, 210001  
Mail- [arun976194@gmail.com](mailto:arun976194@gmail.com)

### ABSTRACT

To enhance agricultural productivity in seed quality improvement, modern techniques such as seed priming which improves germination efficiency and crop success are used. For quality assessment, this should be done together with other technologies like hyperspectral imaging and convolutional neural networks. The importance of seed quality in agriculture is further underscored by the fact that high physiological quality seeds are required for crop development. Genetic mutations that cause changes in traits necessary for promoting viability and vigour of seeds have led to the utilization of mutation breeding as a technique for improving characteristics in crops. Despite the rise of genetic modification technologies which provide targeted solutions to enhance elite cultivars, mutation breeding still remains relevant. This method deals with global food security and improves crop yields, even though it comes with challenges such as unexpected outcomes. CRISPR/Cas is among the genome editing technologies that have transformed plant breeding methods beyond traditional mutation breeding. Prospects for future developments in combination with genomic advances provide opportunities for creating better varieties of crops, improving seed quality, and ensuring food security. These new techniques should be merged so as to keep on enhancing different characteristics of crops in line with changing agricultural needs.

**Keywords:** *Seed quality enhancement , Seed priming ,Genetic mutations, Mutation breeding, Genome editing, Agricultural productivity*

### 1. Introduction

Seed quality enhancement is a critical factor in agriculture, significantly impacting productivity and crop success. Traditional methods have evolved into modern techniques like seed priming, which involves hydrating seeds to initiate metabolic activities, leading to improved germination efficiency (Roy *et al.*, 2022; Matsushima & Sakagami, 2013). Research emphasizes the importance of seed quality, focusing on factors influencing physiological seed quality and developing methods to estimate, preserve, and enhance it (Costa *et al.*, 2021). Seed priming, a pre-sowing treatment, establishes a physiological state for efficient germination (Lutts *et al.*, 2016). Technologies such as hyperspectral imaging and convolutional neural networks are utilized for non-destructive inspection methods to assess seed quality effectively (Singh *et al.*, 2021; Wang *et al.*, 2022). The adoption of high physiological quality seeds has played a crucial role in major crop development, highlighting the significance of seed quality in agricultural production (Costa *et al.*, 2021). Post-harvest treatments aimed at improving germination and seedling growth are essential for successful sowing (Taylor *et al.*, 1998). Moreover, seed quality is a key determinant in ensuring crop yield and quality, underscoring its pivotal role in agricultural production (Li *et al.*, 2023). Various treatments like stratification, scarification, and phytohormone applications are employed to stimulate seed germination effectively (Nawrot-Chorabik *et al.*, 2021). Seed quality not only influences productivity but also contributes to biofortification efforts, with seed priming demonstrating significant improvements in grain yield (Ullah *et al.*, 2017). The use of certified seeds is essential for maintaining high seed production standards, particularly in organic farming practices (Golijan *et al.*, 2018). Furthermore, advancements in seed processing methods, such as incorporating diverse plant seed flours in food products, aid in reducing food waste and enhancing nutritional value (Roobab, 2023; Oyeyinka *et al.*, 2021). The transition from traditional to modern seed quality enhancement techniques underscores the critical role of seed quality in agriculture. Ongoing research and technological advancements continue to focus on enhancing seed quality through innovative approaches, ultimately leading to increased productivity and sustainability in crop production.

### 2. Understanding Genetic Mutations

Genetic mutations are alterations in the DNA sequence that can lead to changes in an organism's characteristics. In the context of seed quality, mutations can play a significant role in influencing various traits related to seed viability, vigor, and other attributes crucial for successful germination and plant establishment under different environmental conditions (Khan *et al.*, 2012). Studies have shown that mutations in genes such as ATM and ATR can impact seed viability, with mutant seeds displaying increased resistance to aging, highlighting the role of specific genes in determining seed quality (Waterworth *et al.*, 2016). Seed quality is a complex trait influenced by a combination of genetic, physical, physiological, and sanitary attributes that collectively affect the seed's ability to perform vital functions like germination, vigor, and longevity (Moterle *et al.*, 2011). While some plant processes

are controlled by single genes following Mendelian inheritance patterns, seed quality is often governed by multiple genes with small to large effects, making it a quantitative and genetically complex trait (Kazmi *et al.*, 2011).

Efforts to enhance seed quality through genetic manipulation have shown promising results. For instance, targeted knockout of specific genes in plants like *Brassica napus* has led to improvements in oil content and fatty acid composition, demonstrating the potential for genetic modifications to enhance seed traits beneficial for agriculture (Xie *et al.*, 2020). Additionally, studies have explored the impact of mutations induced by factors like gamma-ray radiation on morpho-agronomic traits, highlighting the potential for mutations to introduce desirable characteristics such as biotic resistance and increased yield in crops (Kimno *et al.*, 2021). Genetic diversity plays a crucial role in determining seed quality attributes, with studies revealing significant variations in traits like protein content, calcium content, seed hardness, and size uniformity among different genotypes (Zhang *et al.*, 2010). Furthermore, the interaction between genotype and environment can result in substantial quantitative variation in seed traits, emphasizing the importance of considering both genetic and environmental factors in seed quality assessments (Snoek *et al.*, 2023).

---

### 3. Mechanisms of Mutation-Induced Seed Quality Improvement

Mutation breeding, a technique involving the purposeful induction of mutations in crops to bring about improvements, has gained significant traction in recent years as an effective method for enhancing various crop characteristics (Demelash, 2023). Unlike traditional methods like hybridization and selection, mutation breeding offers the advantage of rectifying specific defects in elite cultivars without compromising their overall agronomic and quality traits (Pathirana, 2011). This approach is crucial for addressing concerns related to global food security and enhancing crop productivity (Yali & Mitiku, 2022).

While mutation breeding has been a valuable tool, recent advancements in genome editing technologies, such as CRISPR/Cas-mediated genome editing, have revolutionized plant improvement strategies (Wang *et al.*, 2022). These technologies offer precise and efficient ways to introduce desired traits, including resistance to biotic and abiotic stresses, thereby accelerating the process of crop improvement (Wang *et al.*, 2022). However, it is important to note that traditional mutation breeding methods still play a significant role in generating new alleles and traits within crop genomes (Ayan *et al.*, 2022). The application of induced mutations has been instrumental in fruit improvement without compromising industry requirements or consumer preferences (Lamo *et al.*, 2017). Moreover, the utilization of variations resulting from mutation breeding has led to significant improvements in grain yield, as seen in the "green revolution" and the development of high-yielding cultivars in perennial horticultural crops (Xiong *et al.*, 2015).

Despite the decline in interest among plant breeders in mutation breeding since the 1980s, largely due to the emergence of genetic modification technologies, the technique remains relevant for crop improvement (Holme *et al.*, 2019). Mutation breeding continues to be a valuable approach for enhancing crop traits, diversifying breeds, and simplifying processing techniques to increase metabolite production and improve product quality (Song, 2012). Mutation breeding stands as a foundational method for crop improvement, offering targeted enhancements to specific traits in elite cultivars. While newer technologies like CRISPR/Cas have provided additional tools for precise trait manipulation, traditional mutation breeding methods remain essential for generating genetic diversity and addressing global food security challenges.

---

### 4. Benefits and Challenges of Mutation Breeding

Mutation breeding is a valuable technique that aims to induce genetic variations in plants to develop improved varieties, enhancing crop productivity and quality (Oladosu *et al.*, 2015). This method targets major plant traits to boost yield, disease resistance, and overall adaptability (Oladosu *et al.*, 2015). By leveraging mutation breeding, breeders can accelerate the development of well-adapted plant varieties with enhanced traits, such as faster flowering, which can shorten generation times and expedite breeding programs (Eshed & Lippman, 2019). While mutation breeding offers significant advantages, it also presents challenges and risks. The outcomes of mutation breeding can be unpredictable, with varying mutation rates observed in different genes (Hamdan *et al.*, 2022). However, radiation mutation breeding is notable for its broad mutation spectrum and high efficiency compared to other breeding methods like cross-breeding and chemical mutagenesis (Ma *et al.*, 2021). Induced mutations provide breeders with a means to control mutational breeding, offering a solution to agricultural challenges such as climate change and food security (Michalczuk, 2022). Mutation breeding has been successfully applied to various crops, including soybeans, resulting in genetic variations that offer diverse sources for modifying essential traits to meet various end-user needs (Zhou *et al.*, 2019). This technique has played a crucial role in enhancing qualitative and quantitative characteristics in a wide range of crops, from cereals to fruits, and has shown promise in developing varieties with increased tolerance to biotic and abiotic stresses (Pandit *et al.*, 2021). Additionally, mutation breeding has been recognized as a vital tool in crop improvement, contributing significantly to global food security (Yali & Mitiku, 2022). This is a powerful approach to enhance crop traits and address agricultural challenges. While it enables the development of improved plant varieties with desirable characteristics, the technique also poses uncertainties due to the unpredictable nature of induced mutations. By capitalizing on the benefits of mutation breeding and addressing its challenges, researchers and breeders can further advance crop improvement efforts to meet the evolving demands of agriculture.

---

### 5. Future Prospects and Innovations in Mutation Breeding

Mutation breeding, a technique that has been utilized for decades, continues to play a crucial role in enhancing seed quality and increasing crop yields. This method has been instrumental in developing new cultivars with improved traits, such as early seed maturity, high seed yield, and enhanced seed quality (Basu *et al.*, 2007). The use of physical and chemical mutagens in mutation breeding has proven to be fast, flexible, and effective in crop

improvement (Ayan *et al.*, 2022). Additionally, mutation breeding has been acknowledged for its ability to introduce genetic variation, leading to the development of superior crop varieties with desirable characteristics (Hm, 2013). In recent years, advancements in genomics have revolutionized crop breeding systems by providing a solid foundation for incorporating mutation breeding techniques. The integration of genomics with improved phenotyping assays and functional genomic studies has opened up new avenues for enhancing crop breeding strategies (Bevan *et al.*, 2017). Various breeding approaches, including mutation breeding, transgenic technology, genome editing, and marker-assisted selection, have been employed to improve crop traits, thereby contributing to increased crop productivity and seed quality (Su *et al.*, 2019).

Furthermore, the potential impact of mutation breeding on global food security is significant. By generating new germplasm through mutation induction, this technique has been pivotal in the development of major crop cultivars, highlighting its importance in ensuring food security worldwide (Manzanares *et al.*, 2016). The continuous evolution of mutation breeding methods underscores its relevance in addressing the challenges posed by changing environmental conditions and the need for sustainable crop production (Melsen *et al.*, 2021).

---

## 6. Conclusion

Seed quality enhancement is a pivotal aspect of modern agriculture, driving productivity and crop success. Contemporary techniques like seed priming, which enhances germination efficiency by initiating metabolic activities, underscore the evolution from traditional methods. Advanced technologies such as hyperspectral imaging and convolutional neural networks further support non-destructive seed quality assessment, reinforcing the critical role of high-quality seeds in agricultural production. Effective post-harvest treatments and certified seed usage are vital for maintaining standards, especially in organic farming, while innovative processing methods contribute to reducing food waste and improving nutritional value. Genetic mutations, altering DNA sequences, significantly impact seed traits, affecting viability and vigor. Research highlights that mutations in specific genes can enhance seed quality, underscoring the complexity of seed quality traits governed by multiple genes. Genetic manipulation, including targeted knockouts and induced mutations via radiation, shows promise in improving desirable seed characteristics, highlighting the importance of genetic diversity and genotype-environment interactions in seed quality.

Mutation breeding, involving the induction of mutations, has become a valuable method for crop improvement, addressing global food security and enhancing productivity. This technique allows for precise trait enhancements without compromising overall quality, although newer genome editing technologies like CRISPR/Cas offer more targeted modifications. Despite its decline in popularity due to genetic modification technologies, mutation breeding remains relevant, contributing significantly to crop trait diversification and improvement.

The benefits of mutation breeding include accelerated development of varieties with improved traits such as yield and disease resistance. However, it presents challenges due to the unpredictable nature of induced mutations. Radiation mutation breeding, with its broad mutation spectrum, offers efficient solutions to agricultural challenges. This technique has been applied successfully across various crops, enhancing traits and contributing to global food security. Looking ahead, mutation breeding continues to play a crucial role in crop improvement. The integration of genomics with mutation breeding offers new opportunities for developing superior crop varieties, addressing changing environmental conditions, and ensuring sustainable production. The evolution of breeding methods, including mutation breeding, is vital for meeting the future demands of agriculture, emphasizing its ongoing significance in enhancing seed quality and crop yields.

## References:

- 
- Costa, C., Meneghello, G., Jorge, M., & Costa, E. (2021). The importance of physiological quality of seeds for agriculture. *Colloquium Agrariae*, 17(4), 102-119. <https://doi.org/10.5747/ca.2021.v17.n4.a452>
- Golijan, J., Popović, A., & Zivanovic, L. (2018). Organic seed production in the republic of serbia. *Contemporary Agriculture*, 67(2), 136-142. <https://doi.org/10.2478/contagri-2018-0019>
- Li, H., Zou, C., & Duan, X. (2023). Research progress of crop seed quality detection based on spectral imaging technology. <https://doi.org/10.1117/12.2682450>
- Lutts, S., Benincasa, P., Wojtyła, Ł., S, S., Pace, R., Lechowska, K., ... & Garneczarska, M. (2016). Seed priming: new comprehensive approaches for an old empirical technique. <https://doi.org/10.5772/64420>
- Matsushima, K. and Sakagami, J. (2013). Effects of seed hydropriming on germination and seedling vigor during emergence of rice under different soil moisture conditions. *American Journal of Plant Sciences*, 04(08), 1584-1593. <https://doi.org/10.4236/ajps.2013.48191>
- Nawrot-Chorabik, K., Osmenda, M., Słowiński, K., Latowski, D., Tabor, S., & Woodward, S. (2021). Stratification, scarification and application of phytohormones promote dormancy breaking and germination of pelleted scots pine (*pinus sylvestris* L.) seeds. *Forests*, 12(5), 621. <https://doi.org/10.3390/f12050621>
- Oyeyinka, A., Dahunsi, J., Akintayo, O., Oyeyinka, S., Adebisi, J., Otutu, O., ... & Kesa, H. (2021). Nutritionally improved cookies from whole wheat flour enriched with processed tamarind seed flour. *Journal of Food Processing and Preservation*, 46(1). <https://doi.org/10.1111/jfpp.16185>
- Roobab, U. (2023). Recent developments on utilising diverse plant seed flours as novel functional ingredients for noodle formulation and their impact on quality attributes. *International Journal of Food Science & Technology*, 59(2), 1082-1093. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijfs.16737>

- Roy, A., Ghosh, S., Dutta, B., & Dutta, S. (2022). Seed quality enhancement through seed biopriming to increase productivity: a review. *Agricultural Reviews, (Of)*. <https://doi.org/10.18805/ag.r-2477>
- Singh, T., Garg, N., & Iyengar, S. (2021). Nondestructive identification of barley seeds variety using near-infrared hyperspectral imaging coupled with convolutional neural network. *Journal of Food Process Engineering*, 44(10). <https://doi.org/10.1111/jfpe.13821>
- Taylor, A., Allen, P., Bennett, M., Bradford, K., Burris, J., & Misra, M. (1998). Seed enhancements. *Seed Science Research*, 8(2), 245-256. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s0960258500004141>
- Ullah, A., Farooq, M., Rehman, A., Arshad, M., Shoukat, H., Nadeem, A., ... & Nadeem, F. (2017). Manganese nutrition improves the productivity and grain biofortification of bread wheat in alkaline calcareous soil. *Experimental Agriculture*, 54(5), 744-754. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s0014479717000369>
- Wang, L., Liu, J., Zhang, J., Wang, J., & Fan, X. (2022). Corn seed defect detection based on watershed algorithm and two-pathway convolutional neural networks. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 13. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2022.730190>
- Kazmi, R., Khan, N., Willems, L., Heusden, A., Ligterink, W., & Hilhorst, H. (2011). Complex genetics controls natural variation among seed quality phenotypes in a recombinant inbred population of an interspecific cross between *solanum lycopersicum* × *solanum pimpinellifolium*. *Plant Cell & Environment*, 35(5), 929-951. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.2011.02463.x>
- Khan, N., Kazmi, R., Willems, L., Heusden, A., Ligterink, W., & Hilhorst, H. (2012). Exploring the natural variation for seedling traits and their link with seed dimensions in tomato. *Plos One*, 7(8), e43991. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0043991>
- Kimno, S., Kinyua, M., Pkania, K., Chepkoech, E., & Ego, A. (2021). Characterization of effect of gamma ray induced mutations on morpho-agronomic traits of *dolichos lablab* (*lablab purpureus* L.) sweet. *Journal of Experimental Agriculture International*, 103-113. <https://doi.org/10.9734/jeai/2021/v43i730718>
- Moterle, L., Braccini, A., Scapim, C., Pinto, R., Gonçalves, L., Rodrigues, R., ... & Júnior, A. (2011). Combining ability of popcorn lines for seed quality and agronomic traits. *Euphytica*, 185(3), 337-347. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10681-011-0458-2>
- Snoek, L., Serin, E., Nijveen, H., Willems, L., Rienstra, J., Zanten, M., ... & Ligterink, W. (2023). Modulation of quantitative trait loci for arabidopsis thaliana seed performance by the maternal and germination environment. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.02.22.529582>
- Waterworth, W., Footitt, S., Bray, C., & West, C. (2016). Dna damage checkpoint kinase atm regulates germination and maintains genome stability in seeds. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 113(34), 9647-9652. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1608829113>
- Xie, T., Chen, X., Guo, T., Rong, H., Chen, Z., Sun, Q., ... & Wang, Y. (2020). Targeted knockout of *bntt2* homologues for yellow-seeded *brassica napus* with reduced flavonoids and improved fatty acid composition. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 68(20), 5676-5690. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.0c01126>
- Zhang, B., Chen, P., Florez-Palacios, L., Shi, A., Hou, A., & Ishibashi, T. (2010). Seed quality attributes of food-grade soybeans from the u.s. and asia. *Euphytica*, 173(3), 387-396. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10681-010-0126-y>
- Ayan, A., Meriç, S., Gümüş, T., & Atak, Ç. (2022). Current strategies and future of mutation breeding in soybean improvement. <https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.104796>
- Demelash, A. (2023). Resistance sources for powdery mildew and breeding strategies for improvement in fenugreek. *Advances in Agriculture*, 2023, 1-5. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2023/9507166>
- Holme, I., Gregersen, P., & Brinch-Pedersen, H. (2019). Induced genetic variation in crop plants by random or targeted mutagenesis: convergence and differences. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 10. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2019.01468>
- Lamo, K., Bhat, D., Kour, K., & Solanki, S. (2017). Mutation studies in fruit crops: a review. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 6(12), 3620-3633. <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijemas.2017.612.418>
- Pathirana, R. (2011). Plant mutation breeding in agriculture. *Cab Reviews Perspectives in Agriculture Veterinary Science Nutrition and Natural Resources*, 1-20. <https://doi.org/10.1079/pavsnnr20116032>
- Song, P. (2012). Mutation breeding of *bacillus subtilis* ytb4 with high yield of multienzyme complex. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 11(57). <https://doi.org/10.5897/ajb12.365>
- Wang, Y., Zafar, N., Ali, Q., Manghwar, H., Wang, G., Lu, Y., ... & Jin, S. (2022). Crispr/cas genome editing technologies for plant improvement against biotic and abiotic stresses: advances, limitations, and future perspectives. *Cells*, 11(23), 3928. <https://doi.org/10.3390/cells11233928>
- Xiong, J., Ding, J., & Li, Y. (2015). Genome-editing technologies and their potential application in horticultural crop breeding. *Horticulture Research*, 2(1). <https://doi.org/10.1038/hortres.2015.19>
- Yali, W. and Mitiku, T. (2022). Mutation breeding and its importance in modern plant breeding. *Journal of Plant Sciences*, 10(2), 64. <https://doi.org/10.11648/j.jps.20221002.13>

- Eshed, Y. and Lippman, Z. (2019). Revolutions in agriculture chart a course for targeted breeding of old and new crops. *Science*, 366(6466). <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aax0025>
- Hamdan, M., Karlson, C., Teoh, E., Lau, S., & Tan, B. (2022). Genome editing for sustainable crop improvement and mitigation of biotic and abiotic stresses. *Plants*, 11(19), 2625. <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants11192625>
- Ma, L., Kong, F., Sun, K., Wang, T., & Guo, T. (2021). From classical radiation to modern radiation: past, present, and future of radiation mutation breeding. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 9. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2021.768071>
- Michalczyk, L. (2022). Site-directed mutagenesis – a chance to meet environmental challenges and provide healthy food for people or an unacceptable hazard to humans, animals, and the environment. consequences of the european court of justice judgment in case c-528/16. *Journal of Horticultural Research*, 30(2), 1-12. <https://doi.org/10.2478/johr-2022-0012>
- Oladosu, Y., Rafii, M., Abdullah, N., Hussin, G., Ramli, A., Harun, A., ... & Usman, M. (2015). Principle and application of plant mutagenesis in crop improvement: a review. *Biotechnology & Biotechnological Equipment*, 30(1), 1-16. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13102818.2015.1087333>
- Pandit, R., Bhusal, B., Regmi, R., Neupane, P., Bhattarai, K., Maharjan, B., ... & Poudel, M. (2021). Mutation breeding for crop improvement: a review. *Reviews in Food and Agriculture*, 2(1), 31-35. <https://doi.org/10.26480/rfna.01.2021.31.35>
- Yali, W. and Mitiku, T. (2022). Mutation breeding and its importance in modern plant breeding. *Journal of Plant Sciences*, 10(2), 64. <https://doi.org/10.11648/j.jps.20221002.13>
- Zhou, Z., Lakhssassi, N., Cullen, M., Baz, A., Vuong, T., Nguyen, H., ... & Meksem, K. (2019). Assessment of phenotypic variations and correlation among seed composition traits in mutagenized soybean populations. *Genes*, 10(12), 975. <https://doi.org/10.3390/genes10120975>
- Ayan, A., Meriç, S., Gümüş, T., & Atak, Ç. (2022). Current strategies and future of mutation breeding in soybean improvement.. <https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.104796>
- Basu, S., Acharya, S., & Thomas, J. (2007). Genetic improvement of fenugreek (*trigonella foenum-graecum* l.) through ems induced mutation breeding for higher seed yield under western canada prairie conditions. *Euphytica*, 160(2), 249-258. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10681-007-9545-9>
- Bevan, M., Uauy, C., Wulff, B., Zhou, J., Krasileva, K., & Clark, M. (2017). Genomic innovation for crop improvement. *Nature*, 543(7645), 346-354. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature22011>
- Hm, K. (2013). A review on induced mutagenesis in soybean. *Journal of Cereals and Oilseeds*, 4(2), 19-25. <https://doi.org/10.5897/jco10.004>
- Manzanares, C., Yates, S., Ruckle, M., Nay, M., & Studer, B. (2016). Tilling in forage grasses for gene discovery and breeding improvement. *New Biotechnology*, 33(5), 594-603. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbt.2016.02.009>
- Melsen, K., Wouw, M., & Contreras, R. (2021). Mutation breeding in ornamentals. *Hortscience*, 56(10), 1154-1165. <https://doi.org/10.21273/hortsci16001-21>
- Su, J., Jiang, J., Zhang, F., Liu, Y., Ding, L., Chen, S., ... & Chen, F. (2019). Current achievements and future prospects in the genetic breeding of chrysanthemum: a review. *Horticulture Research*, 6(1). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41438-019-0193-8>