



# International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

Journal homepage: [www.ijrpr.com](http://www.ijrpr.com) ISSN 2582-7421

## IMPACT OF SUBSTANCE ABUSE ON CRIMINAL BEHAVIOR IN INDIA

*Divyanshi Srivastava<sup>1</sup>, Dr. Juhi Saxena<sup>2</sup>*

<sup>1</sup> (BBA. LLB (Hons.)) Amity Law school, Amity University, Lucknow

<sup>2</sup> (Assistant Professor) Amity Law school, Amity University, Lucknow

### ABSTRACT

Substance abuse in India represents a significant public health concern with far-reaching implications for criminal behavior. This paper explores the prevalence, patterns, and determinants of substance abuse in India, emphasizing the correlation between substance abuse and various forms of criminal behavior, including drug trafficking, violent crimes, and property crimes. Drawing upon theoretical frameworks such as the psychopharmacological model, social learning theory, and strain theory, the paper elucidates the multifaceted nature of this relationship. Empirical evidence underscores the strong association between substance use disorders and criminal activity, highlighting the need for targeted interventions. The public health implications of substance-related crime necessitate a comprehensive approach that integrates prevention, treatment, harm reduction, and criminal justice responses. Through case studies and analysis of high-profile cases, the paper illustrates the complex interplay of individual, social, and structural factors in substance abuse-related crime. Addressing legal, regulatory, and social challenges, including treatment accessibility and stigmatization, is critical for mitigating the adverse impacts of substance abuse on individuals and communities.

**Keywords:** Substance abuse, Criminal behavior, Public health, Drug trafficking, Violent crimes, Property crimes

### Introduction

Substance abuse trends in India present a complex and evolving landscape, influenced by cultural, socioeconomic, and environmental factors. This section provides an overview of the prevalence, patterns, and determinants of substance abuse in India, drawing upon existing research and empirical evidence.

#### *Prevalence of Substance Abuse*

Substance abuse in India is a significant public health concern, affecting individuals across various age groups, genders, and socio-economic backgrounds.<sup>1</sup> While alcohol remains the most commonly abused substance, illicit drugs, including cannabis, opioids, and synthetic drugs, are also prevalent.<sup>2</sup> According to the National Survey on Extent and Pattern of Substance Use in India, conducted by the National Drug Dependence Treatment Centre (NDDTC), approximately 16 crore people in India are estimated to consume alcohol, with around 5.7 crore individuals categorized as heavy drinkers.<sup>3</sup> Furthermore, the survey found that over 3 crore individuals reported using cannabis, while approximately 77 lakh individuals reported using opioids.<sup>4</sup>

#### *Patterns of Substance Abuse*

Substance abuse patterns in India vary across different regions, communities, and demographic groups.<sup>5</sup> Urban areas tend to exhibit higher rates of substance abuse compared to rural areas, attributed to factors such as increased availability, peer influences, and socio-economic disparities.<sup>6</sup> Additionally, substance abuse patterns differ among various age groups, with younger populations, particularly adolescents and young adults, being more vulnerable to experimentation and initiation of substance use.<sup>7</sup> The age of onset of substance use is a critical determinant of future substance abuse problems, with early initiation increasing the risk of developing dependence and experiencing adverse health outcomes.<sup>8</sup>

### ***Determinants of Substance Abuse***

Several factors contribute to the prevalence and patterns of substance abuse in India, including cultural norms, socio-economic disparities, peer influences, and environmental factors.<sup>9</sup> Cultural attitudes towards substance use, particularly alcohol, play a significant role in shaping consumption behaviors, with alcohol being widely accepted and integrated into social and religious practices in many communities.<sup>10</sup> Socio-economic factors such as poverty, unemployment, and lack of access to education and healthcare can exacerbate vulnerabilities to substance abuse, as individuals may turn to substance use as a coping mechanism for stress and economic hardships.<sup>11</sup> Moreover, peer influences, social networks, and environmental factors, such as exposure to advertising and media portrayals of substance use, can contribute to the initiation and escalation of substance abuse among young people.<sup>12</sup>

---

## **Correlation Between Substance Abuse and Crime**

The correlation between substance abuse and crime is a complex and multifaceted phenomenon that has garnered significant attention from researchers, policymakers, and practitioners.

### ***Theoretical Perspectives***

The relationship between substance abuse and crime is often understood through several theoretical frameworks that highlight the interplay of individual, social, and environmental factors. One such framework is the psychopharmacological model, which posits that the pharmacological effects of psychoactive substances can impair judgment, disinhibit behavior, and increase the likelihood of engaging in criminal acts.<sup>13</sup> For example, alcohol intoxication may lead to impaired decision-making and aggression, increasing the risk of violence and interpersonal conflict. Similarly, the intoxicating effects of drugs such as cocaine and methamphetamine can induce impulsivity and reckless behavior, contributing to criminal conduct.

Social learning theory offers another perspective on the correlation between substance abuse and crime, emphasizing the role of socialization, peer influences, and observational learning in shaping behavior.<sup>14</sup> According to this theory, individuals may learn deviant behaviors, including substance abuse and criminal conduct, through exposure to deviant models and reinforcement of deviant norms within social networks. For instance, adolescents may be influenced by peer groups that engage in substance use and delinquent activities, leading to the adoption of similar behaviors.

Additionally, strain theory posits that structural inequalities and societal disorganization can generate strain and frustration, prompting individuals to resort to deviant means, including substance abuse and criminal behavior, as coping mechanisms.<sup>15</sup> Economic deprivation, limited opportunities for social mobility, and lack of access to resources may exacerbate feelings of alienation and marginalization, increasing the likelihood of engaging in substance-related crime. For example, individuals facing economic hardship may turn to drug trafficking or property crime as a means of generating income and alleviating financial strain.

### ***Empirical Evidence***

Empirical research has consistently demonstrated a strong association between substance abuse and various forms of criminal behavior, including drug-related offenses, violent crime, property crime, and offenses against public order.<sup>16</sup> Studies have found that individuals with substance use disorders are overrepresented in the criminal justice system, with high rates of substance-involved offending and recidivism.<sup>17</sup> For example, a study conducted by Raghavan et al. (2016) found that substance abuse among juvenile delinquents was significantly associated with a higher likelihood of engaging in criminal behavior, including theft, assault, and drug-related offenses.<sup>18</sup>

Moreover, substance abuse often co-occurs with other risk factors for criminal behavior, such as mental health disorders, trauma exposure, and social marginalization, further compounding the risk of offending.<sup>19</sup> Research indicates that individuals with co-occurring substance use and mental health disorders are more likely to exhibit aggressive behavior, impulsivity, and impaired cognitive functioning, increasing their susceptibility to involvement in criminal activities.<sup>20</sup>

### ***Public Health Implications***

The correlation between substance abuse and crime has significant public health implications, with adverse consequences for individuals, families, and communities. Substance-related crime contributes to societal costs and burdens, including law enforcement expenditures, criminal justice system involvement, and victimization.<sup>21</sup> Moreover, substance abuse-related offenses can perpetuate cycles of addiction, incarceration, and social marginalization, hindering efforts to address underlying health and social issues.

---

Addressing the correlation between substance abuse and crime requires a comprehensive public health approach that integrates prevention, treatment, harm reduction, and criminal justice interventions. Prevention strategies aim to reduce the initiation and escalation of substance use through education, awareness campaigns, and regulatory measures. Treatment and rehabilitation programs offer evidence-based interventions, including pharmacotherapy, psychotherapy, and social support services, to address the complex needs of individuals with substance use disorders. Harm reduction approaches seek to mitigate the adverse consequences of substance abuse through interventions such as needle exchange programs, opioid substitution therapy, and overdose prevention initiatives.<sup>22</sup>

The correlation between substance abuse and crime is a complex and multifaceted phenomenon shaped by individual, social, and environmental factors. Theoretical perspectives such as the psychopharmacological model, social learning theory, and strain theory offer insights into the mechanisms underlying this relationship, highlighting the interplay of biological, psychological, and socio-economic determinants. Empirical evidence consistently demonstrates a strong association between substance abuse and various forms of criminal behavior, underscoring the need for targeted interventions and strategies to address this critical public health issue. By adopting a comprehensive public health approach that integrates prevention, treatment, and criminal justice responses, policymakers, healthcare providers, and community stakeholders can mitigate the adverse impacts of substance abuse-related crime and promote the health and well-being of individuals and communities.

Substance abuse has been consistently linked to various forms of criminal behavior, including drug trafficking, violent crimes, and property crimes. This section examines the relationship between substance abuse and these specific types of criminal activity, drawing upon empirical evidence and theoretical perspectives.

---

### **Drug Trafficking and Illicit Markets**

Drug trafficking and involvement in illicit drug markets are among the most lucrative and prevalent forms of organized crime worldwide.<sup>23</sup> Substance abuse plays a central role in fueling the demand for illicit drugs, thereby sustaining underground economies and criminal enterprises. The illegal drug trade encompasses the cultivation, production, transportation, and distribution of illicit substances, with traffickers exploiting legal and geographical loopholes to evade law enforcement efforts.<sup>24</sup>

The correlation between substance abuse and drug trafficking is bidirectional, with individuals involved in drug trafficking often engaging in substance abuse as a means of coping with the risks and stresses associated with their illicit activities.<sup>25</sup> Moreover, substance abuse can serve as a gateway to involvement in drug trafficking, as individuals may become desensitized to the moral and legal consequences of their actions, leading to escalating levels of criminality.<sup>26</sup>

Empirical research has consistently demonstrated a strong association between substance abuse and involvement in drug trafficking and illicit drug markets. Studies have found that individuals with substance use disorders are more likely to engage in drug-related offenses, including trafficking, distribution, and possession of illicit substances.<sup>27</sup> Furthermore, drug trafficking operations often rely on networks of individuals with substance abuse issues, exploiting their vulnerabilities and dependencies to facilitate criminal activities.<sup>28</sup>

The public health implications of drug trafficking and illicit drug markets are significant, with adverse consequences for individuals, families, and communities. Substance abuse-related crime contributes to societal costs and burdens, including law enforcement expenditures, criminal justice system involvement, and public health consequences such as overdose deaths and infectious diseases.<sup>29</sup> Moreover, drug trafficking operations perpetuate cycles of addiction, violence, and social marginalization, undermining efforts to address underlying health and social issues.

### ***Substance Abuse and Violent Crimes***

Substance abuse has been consistently linked to various forms of violent behavior, including interpersonal violence, domestic violence, and homicide.<sup>30</sup> The pharmacological effects of psychoactive substances, such as alcohol and stimulants, can impair judgment, disinhibit behavior, and increase the likelihood of aggression and violence.<sup>31</sup> Moreover, substance abuse can exacerbate preexisting risk factors for violent behavior, such as mental health disorders, trauma exposure, and socio-economic disadvantage.

Empirical research has consistently demonstrated a strong association between substance abuse and involvement in violent crimes. Studies have found that individuals with substance use disorders are more likely to perpetrate acts of violence, including assault, battery, and homicide.<sup>32</sup> Furthermore, substance-related violence often occurs in the context of interpersonal conflicts, disputes over drug transactions, and intoxication-related incidents, highlighting the complex interplay of individual, social, and environmental factors.

The public health implications of substance abuse-related violence are significant, with adverse consequences for victims, perpetrators, and communities. Substance-related violence contributes to physical injuries, psychological trauma, and long-term health consequences, imposing substantial burdens on healthcare systems and social support services.<sup>33</sup> Moreover, substance-related violence perpetuates cycles of victimization, retaliation, and retribution, undermining community safety and cohesion.

---

---

### ***Substance Abuse and Property Crimes***

Substance abuse has also been linked to various forms of property crimes, including theft, burglary, and vandalism.<sup>34</sup> The economic costs of substance abuse, coupled with the need to finance drug habits, can drive individuals to engage in criminal activities to obtain money or valuables.<sup>35</sup> Moreover, substance abuse can impair cognitive functioning, decision-making, and impulse control, increasing the likelihood of engaging in impulsive and opportunistic criminal behavior.

Empirical research has consistently demonstrated a strong association between substance abuse and involvement in property crimes. Studies have found that individuals with substance use disorders are more likely to commit property offenses, including theft, shoplifting, and robbery.<sup>36</sup> Furthermore, substance-related property crimes often occur in the context of drug-seeking behaviors, such as theft to fund drug purchases, highlighting the complex interplay of economic, psychological, and social factors.

The public health implications of substance abuse-related property crimes are significant, with adverse consequences for victims, offenders, and communities. Substance-related property crimes contribute to financial losses, psychological distress, and disruptions to daily life, undermining community safety and well-being.<sup>37</sup> Moreover, substance-related property crimes perpetuate cycles of poverty, addiction, and criminality, hindering efforts to address underlying health and social issues.

Substance abuse has been consistently linked to various forms of criminal behavior, including drug trafficking, violent crimes, and property crimes. The relationship between substance abuse and crime is complex and bidirectional, with substance abuse exacerbating criminal behavior and criminal activities sustaining substance abuse. Empirical research has provided compelling evidence of the association between substance abuse and involvement in criminal activities, highlighting the need for targeted interventions and strategies to address this critical public health issue. By adopting a comprehensive approach that integrates prevention, treatment, and criminal justice responses, policymakers, healthcare providers, and community stakeholders can mitigate the adverse impacts of substance abuse-related crime and promote the health and well-being of individuals and communities.

---

### **Case Studies**

Case studies offer valuable insights into the complex relationship between substance abuse and crime, illustrating the diverse contexts, consequences, and implications of this phenomenon. This section presents case studies examining high-profile cases and community-level impacts of substance abuse-related crime.

#### ***High-Profile Cases***

High-profile cases of substance abuse-related crime garner significant media attention and public scrutiny, shedding light on the multifaceted nature of this issue. One such case is the Mumbai drug bust of 2020, which exposed a widespread network of drug trafficking and distribution involving prominent individuals from the film industry.<sup>38</sup> The case implicated several celebrities and industry insiders in the illegal procurement, transportation, and consumption of illicit substances, including cocaine and marijuana. The revelations sparked public outrage and raised concerns about the prevalence of substance abuse within the entertainment industry, highlighting the pervasive nature of drug-related crime across socio-economic strata.

Another high-profile case is the opioid epidemic in the United States, which has resulted in thousands of deaths and widespread devastation across communities.<sup>39</sup> Pharmaceutical companies, healthcare providers, and regulatory agencies have been implicated in the overprescription and misuse of opioid medications, leading to addiction, overdose deaths, and a surge in heroin trafficking and distribution. The opioid epidemic has exposed systemic failures in healthcare and drug regulation, underscoring the need for comprehensive strategies to address substance abuse-related crime and its underlying drivers.

These high-profile cases underscore the complex interplay of individual, social, and structural factors in shaping substance abuse-related crime. Economic incentives, social networks, and cultural norms can influence patterns of substance abuse and criminal behavior, while regulatory failures and institutional corruption can exacerbate vulnerabilities to exploitation and victimization. By examining high-profile cases, policymakers, law enforcement agencies, and community stakeholders can identify systemic gaps and develop targeted interventions to address substance abuse-related crime effectively.

#### ***Legal and Regulatory Gaps***

Legal and regulatory gaps pose significant obstacles to effectively addressing substance-related crime. In many jurisdictions, outdated drug laws and punitive approaches to drug enforcement perpetuate cycles of incarceration and recidivism, rather than addressing underlying issues of addiction and substance abuse.<sup>40</sup> Mandatory minimum sentencing laws, three-strikes policies, and zero-tolerance approaches disproportionately impact marginalized communities, exacerbating racial disparities in the criminal justice system.<sup>41</sup> Moreover, regulatory loopholes and

---

inconsistencies in drug scheduling and classification contribute to confusion and ambiguity in drug policy enforcement, hindering efforts to curb illicit drug markets and trafficking networks.

Another challenge is the lack of harmonization and coordination between national and international drug control policies.<sup>42</sup> Discrepancies in drug laws and enforcement practices across borders can create opportunities for drug trafficking organizations to exploit legal and jurisdictional loopholes, evading detection and prosecution. Additionally, the global nature of illicit drug markets necessitates coordinated efforts among law enforcement agencies, regulatory bodies, and international organizations to disrupt supply chains, dismantle criminal networks, and address transnational organized crime.

Addressing legal and regulatory gaps requires comprehensive reforms that prioritize harm reduction, public health, and human rights principles. Policy interventions should focus on decriminalizing drug possession for personal use, implementing evidence-based sentencing alternatives, and redirecting resources from punitive enforcement measures to prevention, treatment, and rehabilitation programs. Moreover, international cooperation and coordination are essential to harmonize drug control policies, enhance information sharing and intelligence cooperation, and strengthen legal frameworks to combat transnational drug trafficking.

---

## **Treatment Accessibility**

Limited accessibility to substance abuse treatment and rehabilitation services is a significant barrier to addressing substance-related crime.<sup>43</sup> Despite increasing recognition of addiction as a chronic medical condition, access to evidence-based treatment remains inadequate, particularly for marginalized populations and underserved communities.<sup>44</sup> Structural barriers, such as lack of insurance coverage, financial constraints, and geographic disparities in service provision, can hinder individuals' ability to access timely and appropriate care.

Moreover, stigma and discrimination against individuals with substance use disorders further compound challenges in accessing treatment and support services.<sup>45</sup> Negative stereotypes and misconceptions about addiction can deter individuals from seeking help, fearing judgment, ostracism, and legal consequences. Additionally, punitive approaches to drug enforcement, such as mandatory drug testing and coerced treatment programs, can erode trust in healthcare systems and deter individuals from engaging in voluntary treatment.

Addressing treatment accessibility requires a multi-pronged approach that addresses structural, systemic, and individual-level barriers. Policy interventions should prioritize expanding coverage for substance abuse treatment and mental health services through public and private insurance schemes, including Medicaid expansion and parity laws. Additionally, investments in community-based treatment programs, outreach services, and harm reduction initiatives can improve access to care for underserved populations, including homeless individuals, incarcerated individuals, and racial and ethnic minorities.

## ***Stigmatization and Social Marginalization***

Stigmatization and social marginalization of individuals with substance use disorders perpetuate cycles of exclusion, discrimination, and criminalization.<sup>46</sup> Negative stereotypes and attitudes towards addiction contribute to social isolation, economic instability, and reduced opportunities for education, employment, and housing.<sup>47</sup> Moreover, individuals with substance use disorders are often subject to punitive policies and practices, including mandatory drug testing, drug-free zone laws, and employment discrimination, further exacerbating their vulnerability to victimization and exploitation.

Stigmatization and social marginalization can also undermine efforts to prevent and address substance-related crime by perpetuating cycles of shame, secrecy, and denial.<sup>48</sup> Fear of judgment and rejection can deter individuals from seeking help, delaying intervention and exacerbating the progression of addiction and criminal behavior. Additionally, stigma can impede community engagement and collaboration, hindering efforts to mobilize resources, raise awareness, and implement evidence-based interventions.

Addressing stigmatization and social marginalization requires a comprehensive approach that promotes empathy, understanding, and inclusivity. Public education campaigns and community-based initiatives can challenge misconceptions about addiction, raise awareness of the neurobiological basis of substance use disorders, and reduce stigma and discrimination. Additionally, policy interventions should prioritize the implementation of anti-stigma legislation, enforcement of anti-discrimination laws, and promotion of inclusive practices in healthcare, employment, and criminal justice settings. Moreover, fostering supportive environments and peer networks can empower individuals with substance use disorders to seek help, engage in treatment, and reintegrate into society.

Addressing substance-related crime requires navigating a complex landscape of legal, regulatory, social, and structural challenges. Legal and regulatory gaps, including punitive drug laws and inconsistent enforcement practices, hinder efforts to curb illicit drug markets and disrupt transnational drug trafficking networks. Limited accessibility to substance abuse treatment and rehabilitation services, compounded by stigma and discrimination, exacerbates individuals' vulnerability to addiction, criminalization, and social marginalization. By addressing these challenges through comprehensive reforms, policy interventions, and community-based initiatives, policymakers, healthcare providers, and community stakeholders can promote safety, well-being, and resilience in communities affected by substance-related crime.

---

## Conclusion

The impact of substance abuse on criminal behavior in India is a complex and multifaceted issue that demands a comprehensive public health approach. Substance abuse not only fuels various forms of criminal behavior, including drug trafficking, violent crimes, and property crimes, but also exacerbates vulnerabilities and perpetuates cycles of addiction and criminality. Theoretical perspectives, such as the psychopharmacological model, social learning theory, and strain theory, offer valuable insights into the mechanisms underlying this relationship. Empirical research consistently demonstrates the strong correlation between substance use disorders and criminal activity, underscoring the need for integrated interventions.

Public health implications of substance-related crime include significant societal costs, such as law enforcement expenditures, criminal justice system involvement, and the long-term health consequences for individuals and communities. Addressing these issues requires a multifaceted strategy that combines prevention, treatment, harm reduction, and criminal justice responses. Legal and regulatory reforms, improved treatment accessibility, and efforts to combat stigmatization and social marginalization are crucial components of this strategy.

By adopting a holistic approach that addresses the underlying determinants of substance abuse and its association with criminal behavior, policymakers, healthcare providers, and community stakeholders can promote the health and well-being of individuals and communities. Comprehensive reforms and targeted interventions can mitigate the adverse impacts of substance abuse-related crime, fostering safer, healthier, and more resilient communities in India.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. National Drug Dependence Treatment Centre (NDDTC). (2019). National Survey on Extent and Pattern of Substance Use in India. Ministry of Social Justice and Empowerment, Government of India. Retrieved from [link]
2. Raghavan, V., Menon, V., & Kandasamy, A. (2016). Substance use among juvenile delinquents: A descriptive study. *Indian Journal of Psychiatry*, 58(4), 362-366. doi:10.4103/0019-5545.196704
3. World Health Organization. (2020). Global status report on alcohol and health 2020. World Health Organization. Retrieved from [link]
4. Sharma, H. K., Tripathi, B. M., Pelto, P. J., & Nath, L. M. (2017). The impact of alcohol use on criminal behavior among male inmates in Delhi, India. *International Journal of Law and Psychiatry*, 55, 71-77. doi:10.1016/j.ijlp.2017.09.003
5. Sarangi, L., Acharya, H. P., & Panigrahi, O. P. (2008). Substance abuse among adolescents in urban slums of Sambalpur. *Indian Journal of Community Medicine*, 33(4), 265-267. doi:10.4103/0970-0218.43226
6. Rehm, J., & Shield, K. D. (2019). Alcohol consumption and its relation to risk of physical and mental health conditions: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *The Lancet*, 394(10200), 1312-1322. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(19)31775-5
7. Singhal, P., Lal, R., & Jain, M. (2020). Substance abuse and criminal behavior: Exploring the nexus in the Indian context. *Journal of Substance Use*, 25(6), 589-595. doi:10.1080/14659891.2020.1812986
8. Gupta, R., Kumar, S., & Singh, V. (2019). Drug trafficking and substance abuse in India: A public health perspective. *Journal of Public Health Policy*, 40(3), 379-390. doi:10.1057/s41271-019-00175-1
9. Tripathi, B. M., & Lal, R. (1999). Substance abuse in children and adolescents. *Indian Journal of Pediatrics*, 66(4), 569-575. doi:10.1007/BF02845590
10. Chakravarthy, B., Shah, S., & Lotfipour, S. (2013). Adolescent substance use and abuse: Current perspectives. *Pediatric Clinics of North America*, 60(6), 1215-1239. doi:10.1016/j.pcl.2013.08.006
11. National Drug Dependence Treatment Centre, All India Institute of Medical Sciences. (2019). National Survey on Extent and Pattern of Substance Use in India. New Delhi: Ministry of Social Justice and Empowerment, Government of India.
12. Ambekar, A., Agrawal, A., Rao, R., Mishra, A. K., Khandelwal, S. K., & Chadda, R. K. (2019). Magnitude of substance use in India. New Delhi: Ministry of Social Justice and Empowerment, Government of India.
13. National Drug Dependence Treatment Centre, All India Institute of Medical Sciences. (2019). National Survey on Extent and Pattern of Substance Use in India. New Delhi: Ministry of Social Justice and Empowerment, Government of India.
14. National Drug Dependence Treatment Centre, All India Institute of Medical Sciences. (2019). National Survey on Extent and Pattern of Substance Use in India. New Delhi: Ministry of Social Justice and Empowerment, Government of India.
15. Substance Use Disorders: A Manual for Physicians. New Delhi: Directorate General of Health Services, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Government of India.
16. Saxena, V., Saxena, Y., Kishore, G., & Kumar, P. (2014). A Study on Prevalence of Substance Abuse among Male Adolescents. *Journal of Family Medicine and Primary Care*, 3(3), 247-251.
17. Jhanjee, S., & Yadav, D. (2014). A Study of Drug Abuse among Adolescents and Exploring Its Correlation with Parental Substance Abuse: An Experience from Urban Slums of Delhi. *Industrial Psychiatry Journal*, 23(2), 111-114.
18. Degenhardt, L., Chiu, W. T., Sampson, N., Kessler, R. C., Anthony, J. C., Angermeyer, M., et al. (2008). Toward a Global View of Alcohol, Tobacco, Cannabis, and Cocaine Use: Findings from the WHO World Mental Health Surveys. *PLOS Medicine*, 5(7), e141.

19. Sharma, H. K., Tripathi, B. M., & Pelto, P. J. (2016). Understanding Substance Use in Rural North India: A Qualitative Study of Youth Perspectives. *International Journal of Qualitative Studies on Health and Well-being*, 11(1), 30555.
20. Benegal, V. (2005). India: Alcohol and public health. *Addiction*, 100(8), 1051–1056.
21. Raghavan, V., Solomon, S., Balaji, M., & Subramanian, S. (2016). Substance Abuse among the Juvenile Delinquents: A Study from India. *Journal of Pharmacy and Bioallied Sciences*, 8(4), 338–342.
22. Lal, R., Deb, K. S., & Kedia, S. (2016). Substance Use and Addiction Research in India. *Indian Journal of Psychiatry*, 58(1), 113–118.
23. Felson, R. B., & Staff, J. (2010). The Effects of Alcohol Intoxication on Violent Versus Other Offending. *Criminal Justice and Behavior*, 37(12), 1343–1360.
24. Akers, R. L. (1998). *Social Learning and Social Structure: A General Theory of Crime and Deviance*. Boston, MA: Northeastern University Press.
25. Merton, R. K. (1938). Social Structure and Anomie. *American Sociological Review*, 3(5), 672–682.
26. Raghavan, V., Solomon, S., Balaji, M., & Subramanian, S. (2016). Substance Abuse among the Juvenile Delinquents: A Study from India. *Journal of Pharmacy and Bioallied Sciences*, 8(4), 338–342.
27. Raghavan, V., Solomon, S., Balaji, M., & Subramanian, S. (2016). Substance Abuse among the Juvenile Delinquents: A Study from India. *Journal of Pharmacy and Bioallied Sciences*, 8(4), 338–342.
28. Raghavan, V., Solomon, S., Balaji, M., & Subramanian, S. (2016). Substance Abuse among the Juvenile Delinquents: A Study from India. *Journal of Pharmacy and Bioallied Sciences*, 8(4), 338–342.
29. Swadi, H. (1999). Substance Misuse and Psychopathology in Adolescents. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, 55(3), 225–237.
30. Rehm, J., Baliunas, D., Borges, G. L. G., Graham, K., Irving, H., Kehoe, T., et al. (2009). The Relation between Different Dimensions of Alcohol Consumption and Burden of Disease: An Overview. *Addiction*, 105(5), 817–843.
31. World Health Organization. (2009). *Guidelines for the Management of Substance Use and Substance Use Disorders in Pregnancy*. Geneva: World Health Organization.
32. United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime. (2019). *World Drug Report 2019*. Vienna: United Nations. Ibid
33. Degenhardt, L., Chiu, W. T., Sampson, N., Kessler, R. C., Anthony, J. C., Angermeyer, M., et al. (2008). Toward a Global View of Alcohol, Tobacco, Cannabis, and Cocaine Use: Findings from the WHO World Mental Health Surveys. *PLOS Medicine*, 5(7), e141. Ibid
34. Rehm, J., Baliunas, D., Borges, G. L. G., Graham, K., Irving, H., Kehoe, T., et al. (2009). The Relation between Different Dimensions of Alcohol Consumption and Burden of Disease: An Overview. *Addiction*, 105(5), 817–843.
35. United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime. (2019). *World Drug Report 2019*. Vienna: United Nations.
36. Rehm, J., Baliunas, D., Borges, G. L. G., Graham, K., Irving, H., Kehoe, T., et al. (2009). The Relation between Different Dimensions of Alcohol Consumption and Burden of Disease: An Overview. *Addiction*, 105(5), 817–843.
37. Swadi, H. (1999). Substance Misuse and Psychopathology in Adolescents. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, 55(3), 225–237.
38. Felson, R. B., & Staff, J. (2010). The Effects of Alcohol Intoxication on Violent Versus Other Offending. *Criminal Justice and Behavior*, 37(12), 1343–1360.
39. Swadi, H. (1999). Substance Misuse and Psychopathology in Adolescents. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, 55(3), 225–237.
40. Rehm, J., Baliunas, D., Borges, G. L. G., Graham, K., Irving, H., Kehoe, T., et al. (2009). The Relation between Different Dimensions of Alcohol Consumption and Burden of Disease: An Overview. *Addiction*, 105(5), 817–843.
41. Swadi, H. (1999). Substance Misuse and Psychopathology in Adolescents. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, 55(3), 225–237.
42. United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime. (2019). *World Drug Report 2019*. Vienna: United Nations.
43. Swadi, H. (1999). Substance Misuse and Psychopathology in Adolescents. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, 55(3), 225–237.
44. Rehm, J., Baliunas, D., Borges, G. L. G., Graham, K., Irving, H., Kehoe, T., et al. (2009). The Relation between Different Dimensions of Alcohol Consumption and Burden of Disease: An Overview. *Addiction*, 105(5), 817–843.
45. Kumar, N., & Joseph, M. (2020, September 27). 20 arrested in connection with Mumbai drug bust case. *The Hindu*. Retrieved from <https://www.thehindu.com/news/national/other-states/20-arrested-in-connection-with-mumbai-drug-bust-case/article32740170.ece>
46. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2020). Opioid Overdose. Retrieved from <https://www.cdc.gov/drugoverdose/index.html>
47. MacDonald, Z., & Murphy, M. (2017). Governing through Drug Courts: Addiction, Punishment, and Therapeutic Jurisprudence. *Punishment & Society*, 19(4), 457–476.
48. Wacquant, L. (2001). Deadly Symbiosis: When Ghetto and Prison Meet and Mesh. *Punishment & Society*, 3(1), 95–133.
49. United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime. (2020). *World Drug Report 2020*. Vienna: United Nations.
50. Volkow, N. D., & Frieden, T. R. (2014). Hyde Amendment: Eliminating Barriers to Treatment for Opioid Use Disorders. *JAMA*, 312(16), 1647–1648.
51. Andrews, C. M., D'Annunzio, T. A., Pollack, H. A., & Friedmann, P. D. (2014). Adoption of Evidence-Based Clinical Innovations: The Case of Buprenorphine Use by Opioid Treatment Programs. *Medical Care Research and Review*, 71(1), 43–60.
52. Link, B. G., & Phelan, J. C. (2001). Conceptualizing Stigma. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 27(1), 363–385.
53. Corrigan, P. W., & Watson, A. C. (2002). The Paradox of Self-Stigma and Mental Illness. *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice*, 9(1), 35–53.

- 
54. Room, R., Reuter, P., & Fischer, B. (2018). *Cannabis Policy: Moving beyond Stalemate*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
  55. Ahern, J., Stuber, J., & Galea, S. (2007). Stigma, Discrimination and the Health of Illicit Drug Users. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, 88(2–3), 188–196