**International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews**

Journal homepage: www.ijrpr.com ISSN 2582-7421

# THE ROLE OF FINANCIAL INNOVATION IN EASE OF OPERATION IN BUSINESSES IN BENGALURU REGION

***Madhu Sudhan S S***

PES University, India

ABSTRACT:

The provided abstract summarizes a diverse array of research studies spanning fintech, cloud computing adoption, financial innovation, business model reinvention, and e-learning systems. It begins with a systematic literature review on fintech's definition and research agenda, followed by investigations into factors influencing cloud service adoption and digital payment adoption among small retailers in India. Financial innovation's implications for economic growth are explored alongside studies on innovation diffusion in ERP systems and e-learning adoption. Additionally, the abstract covers topics such as the role of supply-side variables in innovation adoption, the interplay between financial literacy and firm performance, and the impact of innovation on e-learning adoption. Furthermore, it touches on blockchain's potential in decentralized finance and the mediating role of ease of doing business in innovation and competitiveness. Overall, these studies contribute valuable insights into the dynamics of technological innovation across various sectors and regions.

## Introduction :

Businesses are multifaceted entities driven by commercial activities with the primary aim of generating profit. Their success hinges on navigating risks, adhering to legal requirements, and creating value for stakeholders. Ownership structures vary, influencing control and governance. Effective financial management ensures sustainability and growth, while ethical responsibility underscores their societal role.

Financial innovation drives the development of new products, services, and processes in the financial sector. This innovation spans product, technological, market, regulatory, risk management, and business model domains. Product innovation involves creating or adapting financial instruments to meet evolving market demands. Technological innovation integrates advancements like AI and blockchain into financial services, revolutionizing operations. Market innovation encompasses the creation of new trading platforms and financial ecosystems.

Regulatory innovation adapts regulations to accommodate emerging technologies while safeguarding consumers and stability. Risk management innovation focuses on developing tools to identify and mitigate financial risks effectively. Business model innovation transforms traditional financial models through technology, leading to the emergence of fintech startups and digital banking platforms.

In summary, businesses operate within a complex landscape shaped by market dynamics, regulatory requirements, and technological advancements. Financial innovation plays a crucial role in driving efficiency, reducing risk, and creating value across various sectors, ultimately contributing to economic growth and societal development.

Financial innovation encompasses various types, including product, technological, process, business model, regulatory, risk management, social and environmental, and market innovations. These innovations drive efficiency, enhance accessibility, and address emerging needs in the financial sector. Product innovation involves creating or modifying financial products to better serve consumers. Technological innovation leverages advancements like blockchain and AI to enhance security and efficiency. Process innovation focuses on streamlining financial operations, while business model innovation redefines service delivery. Regulatory innovation adapts laws to accommodate changes and safeguard stability. Risk management innovation develops tools to mitigate financial risks effectively. Social and environmental innovation integrates ESG criteria into financial decisions. Market innovation creates new platforms and mechanisms for financial asset exchange.

Businesses offer several advantages, including profit potential, autonomy, creativity, job creation, wealth and asset building, flexibility, and social and economic contribution. However, they also face challenges such as financial risk, uncertainty, time and effort demands, competition, legal compliance, human resource issues, operational complexity, and financial management concerns. Effective management and strategic adaptation are essential for businesses to navigate these challenges and thrive in dynamic environments.

## Literature review

The literature review on fintech endeavours to provide clarity amid varying definitions and research focuses by systematically analysing existing literature. It identifies fintech as innovative companies leveraging communication, internet ubiquity, and automated information processing in finance. Research predominantly centres on financial services, regulatory concerns, and global financial system issues, with emerging subcategories like blockchain and security garnering attention. The study sets a foundation for future research directions in this dynamic field. In another study, factors influencing the adoption of cloud services in enterprises are explored using the technology-organization-environment framework. Findings from enterprises in Taiwan reveal that technological, organizational, and environmental factors positively influence cloud computing service adoption, shedding light on critical considerations for businesses in adopting cloud technology.

An investigation into digital payment adoption among small retail stores in tier 1 cities like Bangalore, India, highlights significant factors such as habit, pervasiveness, and operating costs affecting adoption rates. Despite India's strides in digital payments, barriers persist among small vendors, emphasizing the need for targeted interventions to encourage wider adoption. Financial innovation is scrutinized in academia, acknowledging its multifaceted nature across disciplines like economics, history, law, and industrial organization. It explores reasons for its prevalence, including market dynamics, regulatory responses, and technological advancements, aiming to discern its impact on societal welfare despite challenges in quantifying its benefits. Additionally, research delves into the interdependence between ease of doing business, innovation, and a nation's competitiveness, suggesting that regulatory barriers can impede innovation's positive impact on competitiveness. This underscores the importance of enhancing ease of doing business to foster innovation-driven competitiveness. These studies collectively contribute to understanding and advancing various facets of innovation, from fintech to cloud computing adoption, digital payment integration, and the broader implications of financial innovation on economic growth and societal welfare.

## Research Gap

Research on the role of financial innovation in the ease of operation in businesses within the Bengaluru region is an area that holds significant potential for exploration. While Bengaluru, known as the Silicon Valley of India, is renowned for its vibrant startup ecosystem and technological advancements, there exists a gap in understanding how financial innovation impacts the ease of business operations within this dynamic environment. One potential research gap lies in understanding the specific types of financial innovations that have the most significant impact on business operations in Bengaluru. Financial innovations encompass a wide range of practices, including fintech solutions, alternative lending platforms, blockchain technology, and digital payment systems. Investigating which of these innovations are most adopted by businesses in Bengaluru and how they influence operational efficiency could provide valuable insights.

Furthermore, research could delve into the challenges and barriers that businesses in Bengaluru face when adopting financial innovations. Despite the potential benefits, businesses may encounter obstacles such as regulatory constraints, technological barriers, or resistance to change. Understanding these challenges is crucial for policymakers and stakeholders to devise strategies that promote the adoption of financial innovations and enhance the ease of business operations in the region. Another research gap pertains to the impact of financial innovation on access to capital for businesses in Bengaluru. Access to finance is essential for business growth and expansion, particularly for startups and small and medium enterprises (SMEs). Exploring how financial innovations such as peer-to-peer lending platforms or crowdfunding initiatives affect access to capital for businesses in Bengaluru could shed light on ways to address funding gaps and support entrepreneurship in the region.

Additionally, there is a need to examine the role of financial literacy and education in facilitating the adoption of financial innovations among businesses in Bengaluru. Many businesses, especially smaller enterprises, may lack the knowledge or understanding of new financial products and services. Research could assess the effectiveness of financial literacy programs or educational initiatives in empowering businesses to leverage financial innovations effectively to streamline their operations. Furthermore, considering the socio-economic context of Bengaluru, research could investigate how financial innovations contribute to inclusive growth and economic development in the region.

## Research Methodology

1. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY
    - To determine the key areas in which Financial innovation ease of operation in Businesses.
    - To evaluate the impact of these Financial Innovations on operation in Businesses.
    - To suggest other key areas where financial innovation can be implemented to increase the profitability.

**Limitations of the study**

- the study is collected in a life time frame
- the data is collected through sampling method; so, they might be subjectivity
- the study is based on data collected from employees so there might be bias

## DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

It seems you're presenting data regarding age groups and their respective frequencies and percentages. Here's how you can interpret the data: Below 30: 42 individuals, accounting for 38% of the total. 30-44: 54 individuals, making up 48% of the total. 45-60: 16 individuals, constituting 14% of the total. The total number of individuals surveyed is 112, with each age group's percentage representing its proportion within the total population. This data appears to show the distribution of individuals based on gender. Here's how you can interpret it: Male: There are 63 males, making up 56% of the total. Female: There are 49 females, accounting for 44% of the total. The total number of individuals surveyed is 112, with each gender's percentage representing its proportion within the total population. This data represents the perceptions of respondents regarding the accessibility of capital for their business, with different levels of preference. Here's the breakdown: Very Poor: 6 respondents, accounting for 6%. Poor: 6 respondents, also constituting 6%. Fair: 18 respondents, making up 18%. Good: 49 respondents, representing 49%. Excellent: 21 respondents, accounting for 21%.Each preference level's percentage reflects its proportion within the total number of respondents surveyed, which is 100. This data presents respondents' satisfaction levels regarding the efficiency of their current payment systems. Here's the breakdown:Very Dissatisfied: 10 respondents, comprising 10%. Dissatisfied: 35 respondents, also making up 35%. Neutral: 21 respondents, representing 21%. Satisfied: 25 respondents, accounting for 25%. Very Satisfied: 9 respondents, constituting 9%. Each satisfaction level's percentage reflects its proportion within the total number of respondents surveyed, which is 100.

**Hypothesis 1**

**- Null Hypothesis (H0):** There is no significant relationship between the accessibility of capital for businesses and their utilization of alternative financing options.

**- Alternative Hypothesis (H1):** There is significant relationship between the accessibility of capital for businesses and their utilization of alternative financing options.

**Chi-Square Tests**

| | Value | df | Asymptotic Significance (2-sided) |
|---|---|---|---|
| Pearson Chi-Square | 103.303[a] | 16 | 0.003 |
| N of Valid Cases | 100 | | |

As the chi square have given values of p less than 0.05 sig. Level , the null hypothesis has been rejected and alternate has been accepted.
Therefore, There is significant relationship between the accessibility of capital for businesses and their utilization of alternative financing options.

**Hypothesis 2**

**- Null Hypothesis (H0):** There is no significant relationship between the satisfaction with payment system efficiency and the adoption of innovative payment technologies.

**- Alternative Hypothesis (H1):** There is significant relationship between the satisfaction with payment system efficiency and the adoption of innovative payment technologies.

**Chi-Square Tests**

| | Value | df | Asymptotic Significance (2-sided) |
|---|---|---|---|
| Pearson Chi-Square | 52.196[a] | 16 | 0.037 |
| N of Valid Cases | 100 | | |

As the chi square have given values of p less than 0.05 sig. Level , the null hypothesis has been rejected and alternate has been accepted.
Therefore, There is significant relationship between the satisfaction with payment system efficiency and the adoption of innovative payment technologies.

**Hypothesis 3**

- **Null Hypothesis (H0):** There is no significant relationship between the effectiveness of risk management practices and the implementation of specific financial tools or techniques.
- **Alternative Hypothesis (H1):** There is significant relationship between the effectiveness of risk management practices and the implementation of specific financial tools or techniques.

**Chi-Square Tests**

| | Value | df | Asymptotic Significance (2-sided) |
|---|---|---|---|
| Pearson Chi-Square | 69.526[a] | 16 | 0.009 |
| N of Valid Cases | 100 | | |

As the chi square have given values of p less than 0.05 sig. Level , the null hypothesis has been rejected and alternate has been accepted.
Therefore, There is significant relationship between the effectiveness of risk management practices and the implementation of specific financial tools or techniques.

**Hypothesis 4**

- **Null Hypothesis (H0):** There is no significant relationship between the level of working capital management and the utilization of working capital management solutions.
- **Alternative Hypothesis (H1):** There is significant relationship between the level of working capital management and the utilization of working capital management solutions

**Chi-Square Tests**

| | Value | df | Asymptotic Significance (2-sided) |
|---|---|---|---|
| Pearson Chi-Square | 35.286[a] | 16 | 0.004 |
| N of Valid Cases | 100 | | |

As the chi square have given values of p less than 0.05 sig. Level , the null hypothesis has been rejected and alternate has been accepted.
Therefore, There is significant relationship between the level of working capital management and the utilization of working capital management solution

## CONCLUSION

The provided data outlines findings from multiple surveys covering age groups, gender distribution, perceptions of capital accessibility for businesses, and satisfaction levels with payment system efficiency. In terms of age distribution, the majority of respondents fall within the 30-44 age range, followed by those below 30 and aged 45-60. Gender-wise, there are slightly more male respondents than female. Regarding capital accessibility, respondents largely rated it as good, followed by excellent, fair, poor, and very poor. As for payment system efficiency, there's a mix of satisfaction levels, with the highest proportion expressing dissatisfaction, followed by satisfaction and neutrality. Each percentage represents the proportion of respondents within the total surveyed population of 112 individuals. Overall, the data offers insights into demographics, business perceptions, and satisfaction levels, highlighting areas of strength and potential improvement in capital accessibility and payment system efficiency for businesses.

REFERENCES:

1. Milian, E. Z., Spinola, M. D. M., & de Carvalho, M. M. (2019). Fintechs: A literature review and research agenda. Electronic commerce research and applications, 34, 100833.

2. Hsu, C. L., & Lin, J. C. C. (2016). Factors affecting the adoption of cloud services in enterprises. Information Systems and e-Business Management, 14, 791-822..
3. Pu, G., Qamruzzaman, M. D., Mehta, A. M., Naqvi, F. N., & Karim, S. (2021). Innovative finance, technological adaptation and SMEs sustainability: the mediating role of government support during COVID-19 pandemic. Sustainability, 13(16), 9218.
4. Ravikumar, T., & Prakash, N. (2022). Determinants of adoption of digital payment services among small fixed retail stores in Bangalore, India. International Journal of Business Innovation and Research, 28(3), 319-346.
5. Voelpel, S. C., Leibold, M., & Tekie, E. B. (2004). The wheel of business model reinvention: how to reshape your business model to leapfrog competitors. Journal of change management, 4(3), 259-276.
6. Tufano, P. (2003). Financial innovation. Handbook of the Economics of Finance, 1, 307-335.
7. Laeven, L., Levine, R., & Michalopoulos, S. (2015). Financial innovation and endogenous growth. Journal of Financial Intermediation, 24(1), 1-24.
8. Nejad, M. G. (2022). Research on financial innovations: an interdisciplinary review. International Journal of Bank Marketing, 40(3), 578-612.
9. Lerner, J., & Tufano, P. (2011). The consequences of financial innovation: a counterfactual research agenda. Annu. Rev. Financ. Econ., 3(1), 41-85.
10. Howell, S. T. (2017). Financing innovation: Evidence from R&D grants. American economic review, 107(4), 1136-1164.
11. Morano, R. S., Jacomossi, R. R., Barrichello, A., & Feldmann, P. R. (2023). The interdependence between ease of doing business, innovation, and competitiveness of nations. BAR-Brazilian Administration Review, 20, e220103.
12. Bradford, M., & Florin, J. (2003). Examining the role of innovation diffusion factors on the implementation success of enterprise resource planning systems. International journal of accounting information systems, 4(3), 205-225.
13. Gomber, P., Koch, J. A., & Siering, M. (2017). Digital Finance and FinTech: current research and future research directions. Journal of Business Economics, 87, 537-580.
14. Frambach, R. T., Barkema, H. G., Nooteboom, B., & Wedel, M. (1998). Adoption of a service innovation in the business market: An empirical test of supply-side variables. Journal of business research, 41(2), 161-174.
15. Lee, Y. H., Hsieh, Y. C., & Hsu, C. N. (2011). Adding innovation diffusion theory to the technology acceptance model: Supporting employees' intentions to use e-learning systems. Journal of Educational Technology & Society, 14(4), 124-137.
16. Hausman, A. (2005). Innovativeness among small businesses: Theory and propositions for future research. Industrial marketing management, 34(8), 773-782.
17. Adomako, S., & Danso, A. (2014). Financial Literacy and Firm performance The moderating role of financial capital availability and resource flexibility.
18. Gayan Nayanajith, D. A., Damunupola, K. A., & Ventayen, R. J. M. (2019). Impact of innovation and perceived ease of use on e-learning adoption. Asian Journal of Business and Technology, 2(1), 19-27.
19. Lin, A., & Chen, N. C. (2012). Cloud computing as an innovation: Percepetion, attitude, and adoption. International journal of information management, 32(6), 533-540.
20. Chen, Y., & Bellavitis, C. (2020). Blockchain disruption and decentralized finance: The rise of decentralized business models. Journal of Business Venturing Insights, 13, e00151.