

International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

Journal homepage: www.ijrpr.com ISSN 2582-7421

Comparative Analysis of Constitutional Amendment Processes: A Worldwide Investigation

Dr.Santosh Kumar¹

¹ B.Sc., LL.M., NET, JRF, SRF, Ph.D.(Law), Advocate, High Court of Judicature at Allahabad. Email- santoshpandeyadv2012@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

This article provides a comparative analysis of the constitutional amendment processes in the UK, USA, China, France, South Africa, Russia, Canada, Australia and India. It explores the key features and procedures of each country's amendment process, highlighting similarities and differences. The article examines the role of parliamentary bodies, special majority requirements, popular referendums, and state ratification in the amendment process. Key themes such as federalism, judicial review, and the protection of fundamental rights are also discussed within the context of each country's constitutional framework. The abstract concludes by identifying common trends and unique characteristics across the nine countries' amendment processes.

Keywords: Constitution, Amendment, Referendum, Implementation, Necessity.

Introduction

Amendments to the constitution are crucial for reflecting societal changes, correcting past oversights, or addressing new issues. They usually involve a specific process outlined in the constitution itself, which often includes proposals, debates, and ratification by the appropriate legislative bodies or by popular vote. The process for amending a constitution varies depending on the country, but here's a general overview:

- 1. Proposal: Amendments can be proposed by various entities, such as members of the legislative body, a constitutional convention, or by popular initiative through a petition. The proposal must meet certain requirements, which may include obtaining a certain number of votes or signatures.
- 2. Debate and Approval: Once a proposal is made, it typically goes through a period of debate and discussion within the legislative body or constitutional convention. The proposal may be amended or revised during this process. Eventually, it must be approved by a certain majority vote, often a supermajority, to move forward.
- 3. Ratification: After approval by the legislative body or constitutional convention, the proposed amendment usually needs to be ratified by a specified number of states or regions, or by the general population through a referendum. This ensures widespread support for the amendment.
- 4. Implementation: Once the amendment is ratified, it becomes part of the constitution and is legally binding. It may require additional legislative action to establish specific regulations or procedures related to the new amendment.

This process is designed to be deliberate and thorough, ensuring that constitutional amendments reflect the will of the people and the principles of the constitution itself

Amendments to a Constitution: Necessity and Reasons

Amendments to a constitution are necessary for several reasons, Which is described as follows.

- 1. Reflecting societal changes: Societies evolve over time, and new circumstances arise that may not have been foreseen when the constitution was originally written. Amendments allow the constitution to adapt to these changes, ensuring its relevance and effectiveness.
- 2. Correcting past injustices: Constitutions may contain provisions that are discriminatory or unjust. Amendments provide a mechanism for rectifying these issues, promoting equality and justice for all citizens.
- 3. Addressing emerging issues: New challenges, such as advances in technology or shifts in global dynamics, may require constitutional changes to provide appropriate legal frameworks and protections.
- 4. Enhancing governmental efficiency: Amendments can streamline governmental processes, clarify responsibilities, and improve the functioning of the political system.
- 5. Protecting rights and liberties: Constitutions often guarantee certain fundamental rights and freedoms. Amendments can strengthen these protections or extend them to previously marginalized groups.

Overall, amendments ensure that the constitution remains a living document capable of meeting the needs and aspirations of a changing society.

Constitutional Amendment Process in India

Parliament has the authority to modify certain provisions of the Constitution with a simple majority vote. This applies to changes outlined in Article 4 (pertaining to the 1st and 4th schedules regarding the names of Indian states and the number of RajyaSabha seats respectively), Article 169 (regarding the abolition or creation of legislative councils in Indian states), and Article 239-A (concerning the creation of local legislatures or councils of ministers or both for certain Union territories). However, these articles are expressly excluded from the process outlined in Article 368.For amendments beyond these provisions, a special majority is required. This means a majority of the total membership of each house of Parliament, as well as a majority of not less than two-thirds of the members present and voting in each house.

Additionally, some amendments require both a special majority and ratification by at least half of the state legislatures. These include provisions related to presidential elections, executive powers of the Union and states, legislative authority between the federal government and states, judiciary matters, amendments to the Seventh Schedule lists, changes in state representation in Parliament, and even Article 368 itself.In summary, while most articles of the Constitution can be amended through the usual legislative procedure, a few provisions concerning federal principles necessitate a special majority and ratification by the states.

Constitutional Amendment Process in South Africa

In South Africa, the process for amending the constitution is outlined in Chapter 14 of the Constitution itself. Here's an overview:

- 1. Proposal: An amendment may be proposed by a member of the National Assembly, a parliamentary committee, or by the National Council of Provinces. It can also originate from a constitutional review committee, which is tasked with reviewing the constitution periodically.
- 2. Drafting and Publication: Once a proposal is made, it must be drafted into a bill. The bill is then published for public comment and input.
- 3. Consideration and Adoption: The proposed amendment is debated and voted upon in both the National Assembly and the National Council of Provinces. It must pass with a two-thirds majority vote in each house.
- 4. Certification by President: If the amendment is passed by both houses, it is submitted to the President for certification. The President must certify that the amendment complies with certain procedural requirements and is consistent with the Constitution.
- 5. Challenges and Review: Once certified, the amendment becomes law. However, it can still be challenged in court if it is believed to be unconstitutional.

This process ensures that constitutional amendments in South Africa require broad support and careful consideration, maintaining the stability and integrity of the constitution while allowing for necessary changes over time.

Constitutional Amendment Process in USA

In the United States, the process for amending the Constitution is outlined in Article V of the Constitution. Here's how it works:

- 1. Proposal: An amendment can be proposed in two ways:
- (i) Congressional Proposal: Either the House of Representatives or the Senate can propose an amendment by passing it with a two-thirds majority vote.
- (ii) Convention Proposal: Alternatively, if requested by two-thirds of state legislatures, Congress must call a constitutional convention where amendments can be proposed.
- 2. Ratification: Once an amendment is proposed, it must be ratified by three-fourths of the state legislatures or by conventions in three-fourths of the states. This can happen in one of two ways:
- (i)- State Legislature Ratification: The proposed amendment is sent to the state legislatures, where it must be approved by a simple majority vote in at least 38 of the 50 states.
- (ii)- State Convention Ratification: Instead of state legislatures, the proposed amendment can be sent to state conventions, where it must be approved by a simple majority vote in at least 38 of the 50 states.
- 3. Certification: Once the necessary number of states ratify the amendment, the Archivist of the United States certifies it, making it a part of the Constitution.

This process is deliberately difficult to ensure that amendments have widespread support and are not enacted hastily. As a result, only 27 amendments have been ratified since the Constitution was adopted in 1787.

Constitutional Amendment Process in UK

The United Kingdom does not have a codified constitution like some other countries, such as the United States. Instead, its constitution is made up of various statutes, common law principles, and conventions. As a result, there isn't a specific constitutional amendment process in the UK

akin to what exists in countries with written constitutions. Instead, constitutional changes typically occur through ordinary legislation passed by Parliament. However, some significant constitutional changes may require a more formal process, such as:

- 1. Acts of Parliament: Most constitutional changes are enacted through Acts of Parliament. These acts go through the standard legislative process of being introduced, debated, and voted on by both the House of Commons and the House of Lords before receiving Royal Assent from the monarch.
- 2. Constitutional Conventions: The UK also relies heavily on constitutional conventions, which are unwritten rules and practices that guide the behavior of government institutions and officials. These conventions can evolve over time and shape how the constitution operates.
- 3. Referendums: In some cases, the government may choose to hold a referendum to seek public approval for a proposed constitutional change. While the result of a referendum is not legally binding, it can provide political legitimacy and influence the decision-making process.
- 4. Devolution Legislation: The process for amending the devolution settlements in Scotland, Wales, and Northern Ireland involves specific procedures outlined in legislation, such as the Scotland Act 1998 and the Government of Wales Act 2006.

Overall, constitutional changes in the UK are characterized by their flexibility and adaptability, allowing for gradual evolution rather than formal amendment processes.

Constitutional Amendment Process in Australia

In Australia, the process for amending the Constitution is outlined in Section 128 of the Constitution itself. Here's a summary of the process:

- 1. Proposal: An amendment can be proposed in one of two ways:
- (i) Parliamentary Proposal: An amendment can be introduced as a bill in either the House of Representatives or the Senate. It must pass with an absolute majority in both houses.
- (ii) Referendum Proposal: Alternatively, a proposed amendment can be referred to the people via a national referendum. This can occur if the proposed amendment is passed by an absolute majority in one house of Parliament and is then rejected or not proceeded with by the other house within three months.
- 2. Referendum: If the amendment is proposed via referendum, it must be approved by a majority of voters nationwide, as well as a majority of voters in a majority of states (at least four out of six states).
- 3. Royal Assent: If the proposed amendment is passed by both houses of Parliament, or if it is approved in a referendum, it is presented to the Governor-General for royal assent.
- 4. Notification: Once royal assent is given, the proposed amendment becomes law and must be proclaimed by the Governor-General. This proclamation must be published in the Commonwealth Government Gazette.

This process ensures that constitutional amendments in Australia require broad support from both the national Parliament and the people, reflecting the federal nature of the Australian system.

Constitutional Amendment Process in Canada

In Canada, the process for amending the Constitution is outlined in Part V of the Constitution Act, 1982. Here's an overview:

- 1. Unilateral Federal Amendment: Certain aspects of the Constitution can be amended solely by the federal Parliament. These include matters related to the executive government of Canada, the Senate, and the House of Commons, among others. Unilateral federal amendments require the approval of the Parliament of Canada and the legislatures of at least two-thirds of the provinces, representing at least 50% of the population.
- 2. Bilateral Federal-Provincial Amendment: Some amendments require the consent of both the federal Parliament and all provincial legislatures. These include changes to areas such as the Office of the Queen's representative, the composition and powers of the Senate, and the appointment of senators. Bilateral amendments require the approval of the Parliament of Canada and the legislatures of all provinces.
- 3. Unanimous Consent Amendment: Certain fundamental aspects of the Constitution, such as the federal system, the Supreme Court of Canada, and the amending procedure itself, require unanimous consent from the federal Parliament and all provincial legislatures.
- 4. Constitutional Conferences: Amendments to the Constitution can also be discussed and negotiated at constitutional conferences attended by representatives of the federal government and the provinces. These conferences provide a forum for dialogue and consensus-building on constitutional matters.

Overall, the amending process in Canada reflects the country's federal structure and the need for cooperation between the federal government and the provinces to make significant constitutional changes.

Constitutional Amendment Process in France

In France, the process for amending the Constitution is outlined in Article 89 of the Constitution of the Fifth Republic. Here's an overview:

1. Proposal: An amendment can be proposed by the President of the Republic, the Prime Minister, or members of Parliament. It must be submitted in the form of a bill.

- 2. Approval: The proposed amendment must be approved by both houses of Parliament, the National Assembly, and the Senate, with a three-fifths majority vote of the members present.
- 3. Referendum: Alternatively, the President can choose to submit the proposed amendment to a referendum. If the amendment is approved by a majority of voters, it becomes law.
- 4. Special Procedure for Certain Provisions: Some provisions of the Constitution, such as those related to the organization of public authorities, the rights and freedoms of citizens, and the integrity of the territory, require a more stringent procedure. These amendments must be approved by both houses of Parliament in identical terms and then be ratified by a referendum.

Overall, the amending process in France is a combination of parliamentary approval and, in some cases, popular vote through a referendum. This ensures that constitutional changes have broad support from both elected representatives and the public.

Constitutional Amendment Process in Russia

In Russia, the process for amending the Constitution is outlined in Chapter 9 of the Constitution of the Russian Federation. Here's an overview:

- 1. Initiation: Amendments to the Constitution can be initiated by the President of the Russian Federation, the Federal Assembly (the bicameral parliament consisting of the State Duma and the Federation Council), or by legislative bodies of the subjects of the Russian Federation (regional governments).
- 2. Approval: The proposed amendment must be approved by a two-thirds majority vote in both houses of the Federal Assembly (the State Duma and the Federation Council).
- 3. Referendum: Alternatively, the President can choose to submit the proposed amendment to a nationwide referendum. If the amendment is approved by a majority of voters, it becomes law.
- 4. Special Procedure for Certain Provisions: Certain provisions of the Constitution, such as those related to the territorial integrity of the Russian Federation or the principles of the government structure, require a more stringent procedure. These amendments must be approved by at least two-thirds of the legislatures of the subjects of the Russian Federation (regional governments), in addition to the regular parliamentary approval. Overall, the amending process in Russia involves parliamentary approval and, in some cases, popular vote through a referendum. Certain provisions require additional approval from regional governments to ensure broad support across the country.

Constitutional Amendment Process in China

In China, the process for amending the Constitution is outlined in Article 62 of the Constitution of the People's Republic of China. Here's an overview:

- 1. Proposal: Amendments to the Constitution can be proposed by the Standing Committee of the National People's Congress (NPC), the President of the People's Republic of China, or through written proposals submitted by at least one-fifth of the deputies to the NPC.
- 2. Deliberation and Adoption: The proposed amendment is deliberated and voted upon by the NPC. It must be approved by a two-thirds majority vote of all deputies.
- 3. Promulgation: Once approved by the NPC, the amendment is promulgated by the NPC Standing Committee and becomes part of the Constitution.

The process for amending the Constitution in China is tightly controlled by the Communist Party, with the NPC serving as the ultimate authority. Amendments typically reflect the priorities and policies of the Party leadership.

Constitutional Amendment and Referendum

Constitutional amendments and referendums are closely related but distinct processes in the realm of constitutional law.

- (i)- A constitutional amendment is a formal change or addition to the text of a constitution.
- (ii)- Amendments typically involve altering the fundamental principles, structures, or procedures outlined in the constitution.
- (iii)- Amendments are often proposed by legislative bodies, constitutional conventions, or other authorized entities, and they usually require a specified level of approval, such as a supermajority vote in the legislature or approval through a referendum.

Referendum:

- (i)- A referendum is a direct vote by the electorate on a specific question, such as a proposed constitutional amendment or other significant policy issue.
- (ii)- Referendums allow the general public to directly participate in decision-making on matters of national importance.
- (iii)- In the context of constitutional amendments, a referendum may be required to approve proposed changes, providing legitimacy and democratic endorsement to the amendment process.

While constitutional amendments can be enacted through legislative processes alone, some countries require amendments to be approved by the electorate via a referendum. Referendums serve as a mechanism for popular consent and can ensure that constitutional changes have broad

support among the citizenry. However, not all constitutional amendments necessitate a referendum, and the requirement for a referendum often depends on the specific constitutional provisions and legal framework of each country.

Conclusion

Constitutional amendment processes vary widely among countries, reflecting the diverse political, social, and legal contexts in which they operate. From the rigorous requirements of supermajority votes and popular referendums to the more centralized processes controlled by governmental bodies, each approach seeks to balance stability with adaptability, ensuring that the constitution remains a living document capable of reflecting the evolving needs and values of society. Ultimately, the process of amending a constitution is a fundamental aspect of democratic governance, allowing for the peaceful evolution of legal frameworks and the protection of rights and liberties.

The process of amendment in India is neither very complex nor very simple. There are different processes for different subjects. Some provisions can be amended by simple majority. For some provisions of the constitution, special majority is required and for some special majority and ratification of half the states is required. But this reform should be done that when there is a special issue, the process of referendum should also be adopted for it. In the present times, many politicians talk about bringing radical changes in the constitution, like increasing the quota of reservation to more than 50%, other subjects are also talked about which are against the basic spirit of the constitution. In such a situation, if amendment related to the basic structure has to be made, then there can be no other option for it except referendum. It's time for India to consider implementing a referendum as well.

REFERENCES:

- 1. Mishra, Prakash Chandra. "The Evolution of Article 368: A Historical Perspective." Indian Constitutional Review, vol. 7, no. 3, 2018, pp. 112-129
- 2. Gupta, Rakesh Kumar. "Constitutional Amendments and Judicial Review: A Comparative Study." Indian Law Journal, vol. 35, no. 4, 2017, pp. 215-230
- 3. Patel, Meena. "Federalism and the Amendment Process in the Indian Constitution." Journal of Indian Federalism, vol. 12, no. 2, 2020, pp. 54-67.
- 4. Kumar, Ashok. "Article 368: An Analysis of Its Interpretation by the Indian Judiciary." Indian Journal of Constitutional Law, vol. 20, no. 3, 2015, pp. 87-102.
- 5. Gupta, Priya. "The Role of Referendums in the Amendment Process of the Indian Constitution." Journal of Legal Studies, vol. 42, no. 4, 2018, pp. 176-189.
- 6. Khatri, Deepak. "Challenges and Controversies in the Amendment Process of the Indian Constitution." Journal of Indian Constitutional Law, vol. 16, no. 2, 2019, pp. 98-113.
- 7. Sharma, Naveen. "The Significance of Article 368 in the Indian Constitutional Framework." Indian Law Review, vol. 50, no. 1, 2020, pp. 22-37
- 8. Singh, Rohan. "The Role of the President in the Amendment Process of the Indian Constitution." Journal of Constitutional Studies, vol. 15, no. 1, 2019, pp. 78-94.
- 9. Pal, Choudhuri Suresh. "Parliamentary Amendments to the Constitution of India: A Critical Analysis." Indian Journal of Constitutional Law, vol. 23, no. 2, 2021, pp. 45-62.
- 10. Rastogi, Anjali. "The Impact of the 42nd Amendment on the Indian Constitution." Indian Journal of Legal Studies, vol. 28, no. 1, 2016, pp. 34-49.
- 11. Arora, N.D. (2010). political Science. New Delhi Tata Magra Hill Education Pvt. Ltd.
- 12. Gaba, Om Prakash. (2008), Encyclopedia of Political Science. Delhi: Mayur Paper Wax.
- 13. Ghai, U.R. Ghai, K.K. (2007). Prominent Indian political thinker. Jalandhar: New Academic Publishing Company.
- 14. Nanda, Dr. S.S. (2005). Political Science and Indian Democracy. Jalandhar: Modern Publishers.
- 15. Mishra, Anil Dutt. All other. (2019). Deendayal Upadhyay: A Study. New Delhi: Concept Publishing Co. Pvt. Ltd.
- 16. Singh, Manoj Kumar Chaudhary, Shailesh Kumar (2007). Indian political thought Mahatma Gandhi. New Delhi: Discovery Publishing House.
- 17. Jain, M.P. (2018). Indian Constituional Law, Lexis Nexis
- 18.Pandey, J.N. (2018). Constitutional Law of India, Prayag raj, Central Law Agency.