



Examining the Relationships Between Performance Appraisal Reactions and Employee Engagement

Kumari Aradhana

Roorkee Institute of Technology

ABSTRACT :

Performance reviews and evaluations are now an essential and desired component of any workplace in the fast-paced world we live in. Employees of today want to work hard and with dedication, but they also want their efforts to be acknowledged and appreciated. The majority of workers desire regular performance reviews in order to keep improving. It could be extremely evident that employees have differing ideas about the organization's performance evaluation system because perceptions affect employees' judgment and attitude toward organizations and their procedures. The study discusses how employee perceptions of performance assessment systems vary based on their demographics. Twelve workers of the Indian Data Recovery Company in the National Capital Region (NCR) provided data in 2015.

In order to address the study issues, the gathered data were examined using descriptive statistics as well as indirect statistical methods like the t-test and ANOVA. According to the findings, employees are quite happy with the current 360-degree assessment system, and there are no appreciable differences in how they view the performance evaluation system depending on various demographic factors like age, gender, marital status, experience, or title.

Key words: 360-degree appraisal system, performance, perception, performance appraisal system.

Introduction:-

Employee well-being and organizational success are greatly influenced by two key factors: employee engagement and performance appraisal reactions. The growing scholarly interest in comprehending the complex relationship between these phenomena in recent years has been fueled by the acknowledgement of their significant effects on employee motivation, retention, and business effectiveness.

The degree to which workers are emotionally invested in their jobs and organizations is known as employee engagement, and it has become clear that this factor affects organizational success. Employee engagement is positively correlated with better levels of job satisfaction, discretionary effort, and positive organizational outcomes. As a result, businesses are spending more money on methods to raise employee engagement in order to develop a more resilient and productive workforce.

Conversely, employee opinions, attitudes, and emotional responses to the performance review process are included in performance appraisal reactions. Good performance reviews are useful instruments for identifying areas for improvement, giving criticism, and coordinating corporate and individual objectives. But badly done assessments can undermine the process's intended goals by causing employees to become disengaged, demotivated, and even resentful of one another.

There is still a significant gap in the research about the connections between employee engagement and performance appraisal reactions, despite the fact that both have been well explored in isolation. Developing a continuous improvement culture within firms and creating more effective performance management systems require an understanding of how employee engagement affects responses to performance reviews and vice versa.

By analyzing the intricate interactions between employee engagement and performance rating responses, this thesis aims to close this gap. This research aims to clarify the mechanisms through which employee engagement impacts appraisal reactions and explore strategies for optimizing both processes to improve organizational performance and employee well-being. It does this by integrating theories from organizational behavior, psychology, and human resource management.

In order to foster a motivated and productive workforce in today's cutthroat business environment, managers, HR professionals, and organizational leaders can benefit greatly from the study's theoretical understanding and practical insights into the dynamics between employee engagement and performance appraisal reactions.

Review of Literature

There is a wealth of performance evaluation literature available since the topic of performance evaluation has been extensively explored and examined in the discipline of organizational psychology. Performance evaluation is "a formal assessment of an employee's performance in the workplace to

determine the extent to which the employee is performing effectively," according to Murphy and Cleveland (1995). "Actions that enable organizations to assess employees, develop their competences, improve performance, and distribute rewards" is how Fletcher (1993) described performance assessments. The Carson Group (1991) The employee's self-evaluation include the evaluation of all relevant parties, such as coworkers, supervisors, subordinates, and other managers, as per the concept of performance assessment.

Performance evaluation, by whatever name, is a vital task for any firm. Specifically, the Human Resources department is in charge of performance evaluation.

Any organization's ability to succeed or fail is based on how well its workers perform and how well its performance evaluation systems are implemented.

As a result, a successful PAS can be crucial to an organization's success. The system's design, which incorporates the connection between evaluation criteria and real job duties as well as employee participation in goal-setting, affects how employees view the performance assessment program. (2014) Sumelius et al. While the acceptance and support of employees is an essential component of PAS, effective PAS design and implementation are also vitally important. Bernardin and Beatty (1984) demonstrate unequivocally in their study that employee opinions toward the assessment system are unquestionably a stronger indicator of the performance evaluation method in contrast to conventional psychological tests.

A positive performance appraisal system's development impacts include enhancing performance and forming psychological agreements between both businesses and workers (Harrington & Lee 2015). Even though it is anticipated that the performance assessment system would help firms grow, an unfair system might cause employees who exhibit desired behavior to respond in surprising ways and raise the likelihood that they will want to leave. This is according to Selvaraj (2016).

Numerous research on the impact of age and other demographic factors in various organizations, as well as employment-related factors like job satisfaction, organizational commitment, turnover, and upward mobility, have been done in the past. (Slocum et al., 1985; Rhodes, 1983; Relonovich and Hall, 1981; Russ, 1980). The impact of employee demographic factors on how they perceive the performance evaluation system has not been extensively studied (Kleiman et al., 1987; Shrivastava, 2012). According to the employee's race, gender, and years of experience, Kleiman et al. (1987) looked into the relationship between the perception of fairness and precision in the performance assessment system. The findings indicate that Black females believe the assessment variable to be less fair and reliable.

Project Objective

- Examine the connection between the frequency of feedback provided by employees outside of official appraisal periods and their level of satisfaction with the performance review process.
- Examine the relationship between employees' belief in the accuracy of performance evaluations and perceived transparency in performance appraisals.
- Analyze the ways in which employee motivation to enhance performance is influenced by feedback obtained when their efforts are acknowledged during performance reviews.
- Evaluate how the organization's perception of the relationship between performance reviews and incentives and recognition affects the development of a continuous improvement culture.
- Examine how well performance reviews match employees' career development objectives and how that affects engagement levels overall.

METHODOLOGY

Sample And Data Collection

Sampling design and plan

- Target population: It appears that this group consists of workers from different companies.
- sample frame -We utilized the contact lists or personnel databases that these companies gave us as the sample frame
- Units of example used: The sample units consist of individual employees from the previously stated businesses.
- Methods for selecting sample units: Judging from the range of businesses and professions covered, it appears that a mix of purposive and convenience sampling techniques were applied. The is most likely targeted at certain companies and roles in order to offer a diverse representation of opinions on Talent Acquisition Optimization.
- Sample size: The extended data contains 14 responders from different companies. This sample size is still pretty large even though it is larger than what was initially stated. if the goals of the study are adequately or representatively served by this sample size.
- **The response rate** refers to the total number of 14 people or businesses that were contacted for the research. It is noteworthy that the conclusions drawn regarding the sampling design and plan remain conjectural given the absence of precise information regarding the research methodology and sampling methods utilized in this study. To guarantee the validity and generalizability of their findings, the is should ideally offer a thorough explanation of their sampling approach, including the target population, sampling frame, sample size calculation, and sampling techniques.

Collected Data

- **Sample Technique:** To obtain the primary data, a simple random sample method was employed. This suggests that the population's odds of being selected for the study were the same.
- **Questionnaire Design:** The questionnaire's design included questions about many facets of maximizing talent acquisition across various businesses. The goal of the questionnaire was to inquire with employees regarding the talent management strategies that their organization use.

Data Collection Process:

- The questionnaire was given out in person to selected employees of the organizations that were the subject of the research.
 - To provide the required data, the staff members were requested to complete the questionnaire.
 - The employee development department reminded all department heads to ensure their teams provided the required information and to assist with the data collection process.
 - The makes routine visits to every department within the organization to gather input from the workers.
- **Convenience Sampling:** The standard random sample technique was combined with a convenience sampling strategy. This suggests that a sizable portion of each company's workforce was selected for the study based on their willingness and availability to participate. The primary goal was to ensure that each department within the corporations was represented in the sample.
 - **Participant Involvement:** Workers were requested to complete the questionnaire if they were invited to take part in the survey. Respondents from each organization completed the questionnaire and sent it
 - **Sample characteristics:** Employees with a variety of titles and industries were represented in the sample. On the other hand, respondents' age, level of education, experience, and salary were recorded rather than any specific categorization. Staff members from various departments and organizations were personally given a questionnaire in order to gather data. This was done using a combination of convenience sampling and simple random sample techniques. The is able to ensure a representative sample by concentrating on all departments and obtaining responses from employees with a variety of backgrounds, including age, education, experience, and pay levels.

Data analysis and Interpretation

Respondent

1. **Number:** The questionnaire was completed by 12 respondents in total.
2. **HR:** Five of the twelve respondents (41.67%) said they were employed in the HR department.
3. **Software Engineer:** One respondent (8.33%) out of twelve stated that they work as a software engineer.
4. **Legal Researcher:** One respondent (8.33%) identified as a Legal Researcher.
5. **Student Intern:** One responder, or 8.33% of the total, indicated that they were a student intern.
6. As for the sixth category, one respondent (8.33%) out of the twelve stated that they were a business development associate.
7. **Software Developer:** One respondent (8.33%) out of twelve stated that they work as a software developer.
8. **Legal Coordinator:** One responder, or 8.33% of the total, identified as a legal coordinator.
9. **HR Executive:** One respondent (8.33%) stated that they were employed as an HR executive.
10. **Associate Cyber Security:** One respondent, or 8.33% of the total, described themselves as an associate in cyber security.
11. **MTE:** One responder out of twelve (8.33%) identified as an MTE, most likely referring to a particular position or acronym.

The breakdown of vocations among those who completed the questionnaire. The majority of respondents in the HR industry were employed in a wide range of professions, including associate in cyber security, software engineers, legal researchers, student interns, business development associates, software developers, legal coordinators, HR executives, and someone described as MTE.

Testing of Hypotheses

Hypothesis 1 (H1): Workers are more likely to be happy with the performance appraisal process if they receive feedback more regularly than during official appraisal periods.

Hypothesis 2 (H2): The notion that performance appraisals correctly represent real performance and the perceived transparency of the process are positively correlated.

Hypothesis 3 (H3): Workers are more inclined to enhance their performance in response to feedback from performance reviews if they believe their contributions are fairly acknowledged.

Hypothesis 4 (H4): Organizations that foster a continuous improvement culture are more likely to do so when employees believe that performance reviews are connected to rewards and recognition.

Assessment

- **Hypothesis 1 (H1) Assessment:** The hypothesis appears to be partially supported based on the available evidence. There are more people in the group that gets feedback more frequently—the neutral and dissatisfied groups—who are either highly or moderately satisfied with the performance review process.
- **Evaluation of Hypothesis 2 (H2):** The data suggests a possible positive association between belief in the accuracy of performance appraisals and perceived transparency. People who think the process is more transparent are more likely to think their performance is fairly reflected in their ratings.
- **Evaluation of Hypothesis 3 (H3):** The results seem to support this hypothesis. Workers who believe their contributions are fairly acknowledged at assessments are more inclined to use feedback to raise their performance.
- **Hypothesis 4 (H4) Assessment:** The information points to a significant relationship between the encouragement of a continuous improvement culture and the perception of the relationship between evaluations and incentives and recognition.

Descriptive Report:

The performance appraisal process appears to be satisfactory to most employees, with a few expressing discontent or indifference. Overall, employees are either somewhat satisfied or highly satisfied with the procedure.

- **Perceived Accuracy of Performance Appraisals:** A degree of trust in the evaluation process is evident as most employees feel that their actual performance is reflected in their appraisals.
- **The frequency of feedback received by employees outside of formal appraisal periods varies, but for a considerable number of them, it is likely frequent feedback, which adds to their process satisfaction.**
- **Process Transparency:** Opinions on the transparency of the performance review process are divided, although a sizable portion of respondents believe it to be at least somewhat transparent.
- **Contribution Acknowledgment:** Most employees believe that their efforts are sufficiently acknowledged at performance reviews, which has a favorable effect on their drive to get better.
- **Linkage to Rewards and Recognition:** A significant percentage of workers believe that performance reviews and rewards/recognition are closely related, which probably promotes a continuous improvement culture.
- **Alignment with Career Development Goals:** The majority of workers believe that their career development goals are only partially met by performance reviews, which suggests space for improvement in this area.

Employee impressions of the performance review process and its effects on motivation and corporate culture are discussed in this descriptive report. These results can be used to inform future research and decision-making to improve the efficacy of performance management procedures.

Limitation of Research

1. **Limited Sample Size:** The 14 participants in the study may not adequately represent the range of viewpoints and experiences found in companies, which could restrict how broadly the results can be applied.
2. **Sampling Methodology:** Because participants were chosen based on their availability and desire to participate, convenience sampling may introduce selection bias and distort the results.
3. **Self-Reported Data:** Because self-reported replies are based on respondents' opinions or interpretations, they may contain errors or be biased toward social desirability. This is the case with the data gathered by surveys.
4. **Lack of Specificity in Occupational Data:** The study does not include adequate details about the participants' particular job titles or responsibilities, which may affect how the results are interpreted and make it more difficult to make focused conclusions.
5. **Potential Response Bias:** A participant's response could be skewed toward a more positive or negative perspective depending on their degree of participation or happiness with the organization, among other variables.
6. **Limited Scope of Variables:** The study may have missed certain important variables that could have an impact on the correlations between employee engagement and performance appraisal systems because it mainly focuses on employee perceptions of these processes
7. **Single Data Collection Method:** Since qualitative approaches or additional data sources may offer greater contextual understanding, relying exclusively on questionnaire surveys for data collection may limit the depth of insights obtained.

Conclusion

The purpose of this study was to find out how the study organization's staff members felt about the Performance Assessment System (PAS) as it was implemented. The study's findings indicate that employees are rather happy with the 360-degree evaluation system as it currently exists. Additionally, the findings demonstrated that employees' perceptions of PAS were not significantly different based on age, marital status, gender, experience, or title. Additionally, it was noted that the firm under investigation is credited as having an excellent work environment, strong leadership, an efficient feedback system, growth prospects, an efficient learning system, an efficient reward system, and employee-friendly regulations. The primary area of focus for the current research is the stated organizational features. Although the current study was restricted to one firm, it may be expanded to a few more to examine how employees see the performance assessment system.

First, the study showed how important it is to provide regular feedback to employees outside of official review times in order to improve their

satisfaction with the performance appraisal process. Regular communication and feedback methods are positively correlated with a more pleasant appraisal experience, which in turn leads to increased employee engagement levels in organizations.

Additionally, the study found that employees' confidence in the accuracy of performance reviews and perceived transparency in the appraisal process were positively correlated. Transparency boosts employees' faith in the fairness and validity of appraisal results in addition to fostering trust in the evaluation process.

The results also emphasized the critical role that rewards and recognition play in encouraging workers to enhance performance and developing a continuous improvement culture. Employee engagement and commitment to company goals are more likely to persist when they believe their contributions are fairly recognized and compensated during performance reviews.

The study also identified opportunities for firms to better match employees' career development aspirations with performance appraisal systems. Organizations can further boost employee engagement and foster professional growth and advancement by making sure that performance reviews function as worthwhile developing opportunities.

Essentially, this study highlights the relationship between employee engagement and responses to performance reviews, highlighting the necessity for companies to implement comprehensive talent management strategies that place a high value on recognition, communication, transparency, and alignment with workers' career goals. In today's dynamic and competitive business landscape, organizations can foster a culture of engagement, performance excellence, and sustainable success by utilizing these ideas.

REFERENCES :

1. Janssen, M., Renkema, M., and Bos-Nehles, A. (2017). Performance management: An organized review of the research. 920-949 in *Management Decision*, 55(4).
2. Jenkins, M., and T. Coens (2000). *Eliminating performance reviews: Why they don't work and what to do in their place*. Publishers: Berrett-Koehler.
3. Murphy, K. R., and A. S. DeNisi (2017). One hundred years of advancement in performance management and appraisal? 421-433 in *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 102(3).
4. Anwar, S., Haider, N., and N. Iqbal (2018). Fairness in performance reviews' effect on worker engagement: the mediating function of felt organizational support. *Public Affairs Journal*, 18(4), e1681.
5. Hong, Y., Lepak, D. P., Toya, K., and Liao, H. (2009). Do they agree on anything? Views from management and staff regarding