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ABSTRACT

Purpose - The articles aim to provide a bibliometric and content analysis discourse on Inclusivity in Higher Education.

In recent years, inclusivity has become a crucial focus in higher education, reflecting society's growing emphasis on diversity, fairness, and social justice. This paper conducts a thorough analysis of discussions about inclusivity in higher education, using both quantitative (bibliometric analysis) and qualitative (content analysis) methods. The goal is to gain insights into current research status, identify major themes and trends, and pinpoint areas for future research and action. The bibliometric analysis delves into the academic landscape by studying publication trends, authorship patterns, and citation networks related to inclusivity in higher education. This approach provides a broad view of the field, highlighting key authors, influential works, and how research has evolved over time.

Additionally, the content analysis looks at the qualitative side of inclusivity discourse. By examining a wide range of scholarly articles, reports, and policy documents, the study uncovers common themes such as access and participation, diverse curricula, inclusive teaching methods, institutional policies, and student experiences. Through careful analysis and coding, the paper reveals the diverse nature of inclusivity efforts in higher education and how these themes evolve across different contexts and disciplines.

Moreover, the study takes a critical approach to assess the depth and breadth of inclusivity discussions, tackling issues like intersectionality, power dynamics, and the voices of marginalized groups in academic settings. By engaging with critical pedagogy, social justice theories, and inclusive excellence, the paper aims to contribute to ongoing dialogues on transformative practices and policies that create a fairer and more inclusive higher education environment.

The research findings offer valuable insights for educators, policymakers, and researchers interested in advancing inclusivity in higher education. By combining quantitative and qualitative analyses, the study presents a comprehensive understanding of the challenges, opportunities, and best practices for promoting inclusivity in diverse educational contexts. Through collaboration and informed strategies, the higher education community can work towards establishing more inclusive and fair learning environments that benefit all students, regardless of their backgrounds or identities.

INTRODUCTION

Inclusive education is a strategy in higher education that promotes welcoming and valuing all students in classroom settings. It's not just a method but a philosophy aiming to enhance learning and participation for everyone in a shared educational environment. The idea behind inclusive education is continuous improvement, challenging any situations that exclude individuals (Ainscow 1998; Sapon-Shevi 2003).

In recent years, there's been a significant focus on establishing inclusive educational environments in primary and secondary schools globally, driven by changes in legislation and educational policies. The UN Convention's Article 24 reinforces the right to education for all, emphasizing equal access to schooling regardless of individual characteristics.

However, ensuring educational justice goes beyond just offering equal opportunities; it involves creating a fair and inclusive environment for everyone (Xu 2012; Bell-mann and Merkens 2019).

Inclusive education practices aim to make studying equally accessible to all, regardless of disability, background, or other factors, allowing students to learn together without discrimination. While developed nations like Britain and the USA have adopted pedagogical differentiation, developing countries like India are yet to fully embrace inclusive educational settings (Gyldendahl Jensen, 2021).

Public sectors are increasingly recognizing the importance of inclusion, reflected in their policies and visions. The National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 in India, for instance, focuses on inclusivity through curriculum, teaching methods, and resources.
Q1. What is inclusive education?

Q2. Why is inclusive education important as need of the day?

Q3. How can the principle of inclusive education contribute to achieving the Sustainable Development Goal on Quality Education?

**METHODOLOGY**

Content analysis examines term frequency and connections, while bibliometrics evaluate the significance and spread of scientific publications (Cuccurullo et al., 2016). Combining these techniques through triangulation ensures a comprehensive analysis. This study focuses on the business aspects of inclusive education using bibliometric analysis (Dada, 2016). Researchers across various fields find Bibliometrix intriguing because it allows for descriptive analysis, starting with using bibliographic databases (Secinaro and Calandra, 2020). Similar to a few other bibliometric studies (Baima et al., 2020), this research explores inclusivity in higher education by analyzing the ten most impactful works, uncovering underlying patterns in the field and suggesting potential research directions.

Database Selection For the bibliometric analysis of academic publications from 2020 to 2024, SCOPUS was chosen. Its extensive coverage of scholarly literature globally, rigorous quality controls, and detailed author and institutional information made it the ideal choice.

Keyword Selection The authors conducted two rounds of keyword selection for relevant publications. Initially, they reviewed thirty open-access articles and systematic reviews to identify potential keywords for SCOPUS database searches. Subsequently, ten academics evaluated these keywords, and based on their feedback, terms were added or excluded.

**RESEARCH GAP:**

1. **Longitudinal Impact Studies:** We need to look at how inclusive practices play out over time in higher education. Many studies just scratch the surface, focusing on immediate results. But to make informed decisions, we must dig deeper and see how these practices evolve and their lasting effects unfold.

2. **Intersectionality and Educational Outcomes:** We're talking about how various factors like socioeconomic status, gender, sexual orientation, and race intersect to shape educational experiences and outcomes. It's complex and needs more in-depth exploration to grasp its full impact.

3. **Policy Implementation and Evaluation:** Even with inclusive policies in place, there's a gap in understanding how they're actually put into action and how well they work across different educational settings. We need research that dives into this to gauge the effectiveness of these policies in real-world scenarios.

4. **Comparative Effectiveness Research:** Looking at how different nations and educational systems approach inclusivity can teach us a lot. This kind of research helps us identify what works best and what challenges arise in fostering inclusion across diverse contexts.

5. **Technology and Diversity Support:** With technology playing a bigger role in education, we're curious about how digital tools and online platforms can support diversity and inclusivity. There's a lot to explore here to find innovative ways tech can make learning environments more inclusive.

**OBJECTIVES:**

1. Evaluate the trends and patterns in scholarly publications related to inclusivity in higher education through bibliometric analysis, focusing on publication frequency, citation networks, and collaboration patterns among authors and institutions.

2. Identify key thematic areas and emerging topics in the discourse on inclusivity in higher education through content analysis of scholarly articles, reports, and policy documents, highlighting areas of consensus, controversy, and innovation.

3. Explore the impact and implications of inclusivity initiatives in higher education, including their influence on teaching practices, curriculum development, institutional policies, student experiences, and the overall advancement of diversity, equity, and inclusion in academic settings.
These same approach was used as follows:

Procurement of articles for bibliometric analysis

This study utilized SCOPUS and a range of carefully selected keywords to retrieve 286 publications related to inclusive education. Three methods were employed to identify relevant studies: database searches, citation searches in other articles, and direct communication with corresponding authors. The selection process followed the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) framework, involving identification, screening, eligibility assessment, and final selection. Ultimately, 235 papers focusing on the bibliometric analysis of inclusive education were chosen.

Performance analysis

This study uses various indicators to analyze performance, including total publications, citations, author connections, countries, and journal categories. While there are many ways to assess scientific impact, the chosen indicators are straightforward for interpretation. The h-index, a widely recognized citation metric introduced by Hirsch (2005), is particularly notable. It measures both productivity and the significance of scholarly work (Vanclay, 2007). The h-index indicates the number of articles with at least "h" citations.

However, comparing researchers solely based on their h-index, especially across different disciplines or career stages, is not ideal (Kelly and Jennions, 2006). To address this limitation, the m-index adjusts the h-index based on the number of years between a researcher's first and most recent publication. This modification enables fairer comparisons among researchers at various career stages and provides a more nuanced evaluation (Hirsch, 2007).

Scientific Mapping

Scientific mapping uncovers patterns in social relationships, intellectual advancements, and knowledge structures. Social structures showcase global connections, while co-occurrence analysis clarifies conceptual frameworks. Intellectual structure reveals the evolution of disciplines (Cobo et al., 2012). Specifically, co-occurrence analysis unveils the conceptual framework of inclusion in higher education, depicted through thematic maps highlighting thematic clusters. The study also delves into co-authorship dynamics among academics using the Louvain cluster approach and association strength normalization.

The analysis and discussion section focus on performance assessment, examining variables such as publication trends over time, country-wise publication patterns, notable authors, and journal analysis.
Sources(s): Authors own compilation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Journal</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>TotalCiti</th>
<th>TCperYe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DAY JK; JOVERNO S; RUSSELL ST</td>
<td>SAFE AND SUPPORTIVE SCHOOLS FOR LGBT YOUTH: ADDRESSING EDUCATIONAL INEQUITIES THROUGH INCLUSIVE POLICIES AND PRACTICES</td>
<td>JOURNAL OF SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGY</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>10.667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANCHEZ AA; SOUTHGATE E; ROGERS G; DUVIVIER RJ</td>
<td>INCLUSION OF LESBIAN, GAY, BISEXUAL, TRANSGENDER, QUEER, AND INTERSEX HEALTH IN AUSTRALIAN AND NEW ZEALAND MEDICAL EDUCATION</td>
<td>LGBT HEALTH</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REINA R; HUTZLER Y; INIGUEZ- SANTIAGO MC; MORENO-MURCIA JA</td>
<td>STUDENT ATTITUDES TOWARD INCLUSION IN PHYSICAL EDUCATION: THE IMPACT OF ABILITY BELIEFS, GENDER, AND PREVIOUS EXPERIENCES</td>
<td>ADAPTED PHYSICAL ACTIVITY QUARTERLY</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOLLEMACHE N; SHREWSBURY D; LLEWELLYN C</td>
<td>QUE(E) RYING UNDERGRADUATE MEDICAL CURRICULA: A CROSS-SECTIONAL ONLINE SURVEY OF LESBIAN, GAY, BISEXUAL, TRANSGENDER, AND QUEER CONTENT INCLUSION IN UK UNDERGRADUATE MEDICAL EDUCATION</td>
<td>BMC MEDICAL EDUCATION</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>6.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WALING A; ROFFEE JA</td>
<td>SUPPORTING LGBTIQ+ STUDENTS IN HIGHER EDUCATION IN AUSTRALIA: DIVERSITY, INCLUSION AND VISIBILITY</td>
<td>HEALTH EDUCATION JOURNAL</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ULLMAN J</td>
<td>BREAKING OUT OF THE (ANTI)BULLYING ‘BOX’: NYC EDUCATORS DISCUSS TRANS/GENDER DIVERSITY-INCLUSIVE POLICIES AND CURRICULUM</td>
<td>SEX EDUCATION</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2.571</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Looking at publication data provides insights into global academic research trends. The United States leads in total articles published, followed closely by the United Kingdom, Australia, and Canada. While individual countries may have more publications, collaborations across nations are crucial as they show a commitment to addressing global challenges together.

The USA has the highest publication frequency, indicating a significant contribution to research output worldwide. South Africa is notable for prioritizing international research collaborations, with a high percentage of publications involving other countries. Other countries showing substantial international cooperation include Sweden, Ireland, Canada, Spain, and Italy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Most Impactful Authors</th>
<th>Most Productive Authors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rank</td>
<td>Authors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>GOWEN LK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>WINGES-YANEZ N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>MEYER EJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>PETER T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>BROWN M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>MCCANN E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>DAM GT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>HEEMSKERK I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>VOLMAN M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>COULTER RWS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source(s): Author’s compilation Most impactful authors' analysis
With an h-index and g-index of three, MEYER EJ and PETER T are highly productive and make a noticeable impact. Additionally performing well, DAM GT, HEEMSKERK I, and VOLMAN M match h-index and g-index values of 3. WINGES-YANEZ N and GOWEN LK demonstrate a minimal degree of productivity and influence. COULTER RWS, BROWN M, and MCCANN E all have a moderate amount of influence. In analyzing the productivity of the ten listed authors based on their citation metrics including h-index, g-index, m-index, and number of publications (NP), we gain valuable insights into their impact and influence within academia. MEYER EJ and PETER T demonstrate outstanding performance with an h-index and g-index of 3, indicating significant impact and productivity.

Most Productive Author.

With an m-index of 0.3, these researchers have a solid average citation rate per paper, indicating a significant impact in their respective research areas across their three publications each. DAM GT, HEEMSKERK I, and VOLMAN M stand out for their outstanding performance, boasting an h-index and g-index of 3, which highlights their substantial scholarly contributions.

Co-occurrence Cluster-

Cluster I: Inclusive education aims to provide equal opportunities by addressing barriers related to abilities and socioeconomic backgrounds. While there are global efforts to align teaching methods with inclusive values, implementation challenges persist. Creating inclusive classrooms reflects a broader push for fairness in education, supporting students' aspirations for higher education through safe environments, updated curricula, and teacher readiness.
Cluster II: This cluster extensively examines inclusive practices, diversity, and gender issues in education, likely exploring their impact on policy, societal views, and educational outcomes. It may delve into curriculum design, teacher training, and the experiences of diverse student groups in educational settings.

Cluster III: Prioritizing diversity and inclusive education, this cluster focuses on overcoming obstacles like gender biases and ensuring fair access. It explores inclusive practices in higher education, teacher readiness, and curriculum development, also considering their broader societal impacts such as community engagement and social equity.

Cluster IV: This cluster delves deep into inclusive strategies, connecting education, diversity, and inclusion with broader socioeconomic, environmental, and policy contexts. It underscores how inclusive education can significantly contribute to global social justice and sustainable development efforts.

Co-citation

Cluster I

The data analysis underscores a robust interdisciplinary focus within academic research, particularly centered on education, diversity, and inclusion. Notable scholars like Asongu S.A., Kosciw J.G., and Taylor C. have significantly contributed to the discourse, as evidenced by their citations and link strengths. Key themes such as gender diversity, LGBTQ+ rights, and inclusive education are highlighted. This research reveals a strong collaborative network, emphasizing the transformative potential of inclusive education for promoting social justice and equitable access. There is a growing recognition of the economic, social, and health-related benefits associated with inclusive educational practices. This comprehensive approach informs policy development and community advocacy, reflecting broader societal goals of diversity and inclusion.

Cluster II: This research cluster delves into gender, diversity, and inclusion in education, offering a rich landscape for exploration. Scholars examine critical areas like gender identity, LGBTQ+ inclusion, and policy implementation, shedding light on societal structures and educational practices. Future research could explore intersectionality, policy impacts, teacher training, youth empowerment, and global comparisons, contributing significantly to advancing inclusive education and promoting equitable outcomes for all students.

Cluster III: This author cluster's analysis highlights significant contributions in inclusive education and diversity, especially regarding the intersection of educational policies with social justice and human rights. Key themes include teacher training, higher education institutional policies, and global perspectives on inclusive practices. Future research should prioritize empirical studies to assess the impact of inclusive education interventions on student outcomes and societal attitudes. Collaboration across disciplines like education, psychology, sociology, and public health is also recommended for addressing complex diversity and inclusion issues effectively.

Cluster IV: This cluster proposes innovative teaching models that prioritize inclusivity and cater to diverse student needs in higher education. Collaborative efforts across disciplines are crucial for exploring emerging topics like digital learning technologies, global education initiatives, and culturally responsive teaching practices. Ongoing evaluation of interventions promoting diversity, equity, and inclusion is key for driving positive change and enhancing the impact of higher education on student outcomes and societal progress.
Cluster V: Scholars like Knisely & Butler have made significant contributions, paving the way for educational research to delve into vital areas like gender diversity, inclusive education, and effective teacher training. Their work emphasizes the importance of promoting fairness and social justice in educational settings, aligning with global efforts to advance diversity and inclusion. Future studies could explore intersections with environmental sustainability, innovative business strategies, and other relevant domains, offering fresh perspectives and novel approaches.

Bibliography Coupling –


Cluster II: Day, Ioverno, and Russell's (2019) work highlights how inclusive policies benefit LGBT youth in education, extending to higher education environments, as discussed by Westerlund (2018). This cluster emphasizes the importance of safe and supportive school environments and advocates for interdisciplinary approaches to promote equity and social justice in education.

Cluster III: McCann and Brown’s (2018) systematic review on integrating LGBTQ+ health issues into healthcare education showcases transformative possibilities for higher education. Insights from researchers like Tordoff (2021), Owen (2014), and Meyer (2015) underscore the need for inclusive curricula and professional training. This cluster calls for proactive changes to foster inclusivity and responsiveness in higher education.
Cluster IV: The impact of LGBT+ health issues on healthcare education, as studied by McCann and Brown (2019), highlights the importance of inclusive curricula and training for future healthcare professionals. This cluster advocates for comprehensive approaches to diversity and inclusion in higher education, addressing intersecting topics like gender, education, and healthcare policy.

Cluster V: Malins' (2015) study on inclusive education in elementary classrooms and related research by Toft (2020) and Meyer (2015) prompt exploration into the impact of inclusivity on higher education. This cluster emphasizes lessons learned from inclusive practices and their influence on gender diversity, educational equity, and social inclusion. Policymakers and educators can use these insights to create more supportive and inclusive learning environments.

THEMATIC MAP:

The thematic map helps readers understand the breadth and depth of topics covered by the writers over time (Figure of thematic map). This analysis used co-occurrence terms and a component to create theme maps.

Thematic maps are straightforward, showing how themes appear in four quadrants based on their density (Y-axis) and centrality (X-axis) (Cobo et al., 2012). Centrality assesses how interconnected a theme is with others, indicating its importance in the field or inter-cluster interaction. Density gauges the cohesion within a cluster, showing how themes within it are connected to form a topic.

Motor Theme: The upper-right quadrant of the thematic map represents themes with high centrality and density, signifying well-developed and significant topics in the research field (Figure 6).

The main theme on the thematic map covers vital topics such as nursing education, cultural competency, staff attitudes, gender identity, and healthcare quality. These themes align with fostering inclusivity in higher education, encouraging institutions to create inclusive learning environments that support all students’ achievement and well-being.

Niche Themes:

The upper-left quadrant of Figure 7 represents transdisciplinary themes that are not strongly interconnected internally (low density) but have a notable influence on other topics (high centrality).

Within the context of inclusivity in higher education, the niche theme highlighted in the thematic map centers on health disparities, medical student education, learning, and motivation within the learning system. This specialized area of study underscores the importance of addressing health inequities and promoting inclusivity in healthcare education. Despite its low density, the theme's high centrality signifies its potential impact on related areas.

The keywords reflect a focus on understanding and meeting the needs of diverse groups in healthcare education, emphasizing the importance of fostering inclusive learning environments and supporting student success.

Source(s): Author’s creation using Bibliometrix R·Package
Emerging or Declining theme-

In the lower-left quadrant of Figure 8, emerging or declining themes are highlighted due to their low centrality and density. These topics have the potential to shift vertically, gaining importance, and horizontally, gaining coherence, indicating their status as new developments or trends in the field.

The thematic maps reveal a theme related to inclusivity in higher education that is either growing or declining. This theme emphasizes social sciences, curriculum diversity, teaching methods, social inclusion, underrepresented groups, and developing nations. Despite their low presence and impact currently, the increasing focus on these areas underscores the importance of inclusive practices, diverse curricula, and supportive learning environments.

Source(s): Author’s creation using Bibliometrix R-Package

Basic theme-

Finally, academic specialty themes with low centrality and a high density are found in the lower-right quadrant. These themes are well-developed internally. The basic theme in the thematic map focuses on various aspects of inclusivity in higher education, including student experiences, government policies, professional development, and inclusive practices. The keywords suggest a broad range of topics, such as student diversity, government initiatives, professional standards, and inclusive education practices.

Source(s): Author’s creation using Bibliometrix R-Package
In this study, the bibliometric and content analysis of inclusion in higher education reveals numerous important conclusions and insights. The report provides a comprehensive analysis of publication trends, collaboration patterns, and significant works in the field of inclusion in higher education. The thematic map and cluster analysis further clarify key issues and emerging areas of interest in scholarly discussions on inclusion.

The findings indicate a growing interest in diversity in higher education, particularly focusing on topics such as teacher preparation, curriculum development, and addressing LGBTQ+ issues. This information can be valuable for researchers, decision-makers, and educators seeking to stay updated on the latest advancements in the field. It’s notable that countries with well-established economies like the UK, USA, and Australia are leading in productive research output.

The analysis underscores the need for further research in specific areas to bridge knowledge gaps. For instance, while inclusion of LGBTQ+ students is gaining attention, more research is needed to understand their unique needs and experiences in educational settings. Similarly, exploring how intersectionality impacts educational outcomes requires more investigation.

Moving forward, longitudinal studies can monitor the effects of inclusive practices over time. Comparative research across nations and educational systems can provide valuable insights into effective strategies for fostering inclusion in higher education. Additionally, investigating the role of technology, such as digital tools and online platforms, in supporting diversity in higher education is a promising avenue for future research.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Major themes and agenda for future research</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Linsenmeyer W.; Heiden-Rootes K.; Drallmeier T.; Rahman R.; Buxbaum E.; Rosen W.; Gombos B.; Otte A.</td>
<td>Advancing Inclusion of Transgender and Gender-Diverse Identities in Clinical Education: A Toolkit for Clinical Educators</td>
<td>The research paper “Advancing Inclusion of Transgender and Gender-Diverse Identities in Clinical Education” by Linsenmeyer et al. (2023) equips clinical educators with tools and practices to create inclusive environments. It likely covers curriculum development, pedagogy, professional development, and suggests future research avenues.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phillippi S.; Thomas C.L.; Gastanaduy M.; Hammack A.; Sawyer M.</td>
<td>Inclusive policy development in a community-based alternative education program serving LGBT youth</td>
<td>The research paper “Inclusive Policy Development in a Community-Based Alternative Education Program for LGBT Youth” by Phillippi et al. (2020) explores creating inclusive policies for LGBT youth. It emphasizes the importance of supportive environments and suggests future research avenues.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butler C.</td>
<td>The Role of Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion Principles in Enhancing the Quality of Urologic Resident Education and Advancing Gender Diverse Care</td>
<td>The study delves into how DEI principles bolster gender-diverse care and enhance urologic resident education. It underscores the importance of integrating DEI into urologic training to ensure inclusive treatment. Future research could explore effective integration strategies and assess outcomes following DEI-focused education.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
López-Medina E.F. | LGBTIQ+ inclusive education: A path towards critical thinking | The article explores integrating LGBTIQ+ issues into classrooms, emphasizing diversity, critical thinking, and creating a safe environment for LGBTIQ+ students. It likely discusses curriculum integration, teacher training, and suggests future research on inclusive education's impact on student outcomes. (Continued)

Asengu S.A.; Nounamo Y.; Nchang H.; Tadadjeu S. | Gender inclusive intermediary education, financial stability and female employment in the industry in Sub-Saharan Africa | The study likely explores how gender-inclusive education supports women's workforce skills and financial security, and its impact on female workforce participation. Future research may include effect assessments, comparisons, longitudinal and intersectional studies, and policy analyses.

Valderrábano J.H.; Thomas C.; Freeman C. | A path is emerging: Steps towards an lgbt+ inclusive education for mexican students; [Um caminho está surgindo: Passos em direção a uma educação inclusiva lgbt+ para estudantes mexicanos]; [Un camino está surgiendo: Pasos hacia una educación incluyente en cuestiones lgbt+ para estudiantes en méxico] | The paper "A Path is Emerging: Steps Towards LGBT+ Inclusive Education for Mexican Students" by Valderrábano et al. (2021) likely assesses LGBT+ inclusive education in Mexico, addressing current practices, challenges, proactive measures like curriculum development and teacher training, student impact, and recommendations for future research and policy improvements. (Continued)

Gray J. | Living in Anti-Intellectual Times: Addressing Transgender Inclusion in Second Language Teaching and Teacher Education | The study explores methods to enhance transgender-inclusive language teaching and stresses creating a supportive environment for transgender students. It underscores the importance of teacher training for discussing transgender inclusion and suggests future research on its impact on student achievement and effective teacher preparation methods. The paper emphasizes raising awareness and advocating for transgender inclusion in second language instruction.
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