

# International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

Journal homepage: www.ijrpr.com ISSN 2582-7421

# A STUDY ON BRAND EXPECTATION TOWARDS V-CARE PRODUCTS PRIVATE LIMITED WITH REFERENCE TO CHENNAI

# BARATHVIKRAMAN.D<sup>1</sup>, DR.TR.KALAI LAKSHMI<sup>2</sup>

"IMBA Student, School of Management Studies, Sathyabama Institute of Science and Technology, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India

#### INTRODUCTION OF THE STUDY

Brand expectation is based on the brand promise. The brand promise allows consumers to develop expectations for a brand. When a consumer purchases a product or service a brand offers, they are expecting that the brand will meet their needs. If a brand doesn't meet consumer expectations with every interaction, the brand broke their promise. Now the consumer has lost faith in the brand and will search of another brand that will meet their expectations. This is an area where many brands fail.

Brand expectation matters because customers expect consistency. If these expectations are not met, a customer's interaction with the brand can turn hostile. It is important that a brand is meeting expectations consistently, across every consumer touch point. If a brand can successfully deliver, they are building trust and loyalty with customers.

Customers are demanding. They want consistent high-quality products, customer service and brand experiences whenever they feel the need to connect with their product or service. Consumer expectations are in overdrive and only satisfied if expectations are met or exceeded. That is difficult for a brand to manage. With social media if a brand fails to meet their expectation notice can be sent around the world by the push of one button. There are a few things a brand needs to consider to help manage the expectations of their customers.

#### 1.3 OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

## Primary data:

To study on brand expectation towards v-care products private limited with reference to Chennai.

## Secondary data:

- To study about the factors that affects the satisfaction of the customer.
- To know the opinion of customers about the price of Products.
- . To know about the perception of customers about Quality, Price, and how it is affecting the customer satisfaction level.
- · And how does service matters after sales for next purchase.
- To identify the opinion of the respondents and their feelings towards the value of the products when compare to other brands.
- To study the factors which are considered as important by the customers for feeling satisfied.

## 1.4 SCOPE OF THE STUDY

- Brand expectations change accordingly with time. Customer's expectations should be studied with great efforts, so that marketers can design
  the product based on the need of the customers.
- If the customers need were met then the customer may turn as an asset to the company because they become opinion leader for others. So
  that the company can increase its market share.
- It helps the organization to understand the customer psychology on choosing the product or service so that easily the product can be positioned.
- It also helps to assess the real opinion and mindset of customers and aids to meet out their expectation in future in turn that will increases the
  volume of sales.
- It helps the company to understand the efficiency of dealer service provided to the customers, so that it can create the root for further improvement.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Assistant Professor, School of Management Studies, Sathyabama Institute of Science and Technology, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India"

#### 1.5 LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

- Most of the respondent are should not be given truly.
- The limited sample size of 120 respondent was considered because of time constraints & certain limited boundaries.
- This data is conducted at primary level therefore it might be subjected to bias.
- The limitation of study is not fullfill all of the questionnaires

#### 2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

- Hyo Sun Jung(2021) The purpose of this study is to understand the interrelationships among employees' emotional labour, emotional dissonance, customer perception, and turnover intent in the retail service industry. The study was administered to 338 family-style restaurant employees. The results showed that employees' emotional labour was positively associated with emotional dissonance, customer perception, and turnover intent. However, employees' emotional dissonance did not have a significant, direct impact on turnover intent. In addition, employees' customer perception was positively associated with turnover intent.
- George Maglaras (2021) Multiple retailers exercise various commercial practices with their suppliers. These practices emanate from a
  power-imbalanced dyadic relationship largely governed by the heightened retail power. These power-imbalanced, supplier-retailer
  relationships are the focus of this study. These practices are grouped into three main categories: upfront payments, unanticipated changes of
  agreements and negotiation pressures. The importance of these practices for suppliers is highlighted and implications for the supply chain
  actors beyond the dyad are provided. Significant managerial and policy implications are reported.
- M. Kannadhasan(2022) The levels of employees' perceived brand expectation and job satisfaction were moderate-high and moderate, respectively. Also, their productivity was evaluated as moderate. Although the relationship between customer perception and productivity indices was not statistically significant, the positive correlation between job satisfaction and productivity indices was statistically significant. The regression modelling demonstrated that productivity was significantly associated with shift schedule, the second and the third dimensions of customer perception (role insufficiency and role ambiguity), and the second dimension of job satisfaction (supervision).
- Stauss (2023) There are ways by which service providers can reduce the risk of losing their customers. It happens when the customers who
  complain about service poor quality enable the companies to improve their quality of services, by enhancing the quality of services
  companies gets the opportunity to minimize the costs of negative word- of- mouth, switching behaviour providers and lost turnover.
- Bebko (2023) Quality of services has become an extremely important issue for service providers, but delivery of such service becomes difficult because of four characteristics namely, intangibility, inseparability, variability, and perishability. The model helps the service providers when they fail to satisfy their customers and when their expectations are not filled according to their perceptions.
- Park, Robertson and Wu (2023) The literature review is about brand expectations, customer expectation and customer satisfaction and their association with the services they receive. The literature describes customer satisfaction as a problem which has become a major issue for the service providers. Customer expectation is found to be based upon the customer's comparison between prior expectation and actual service performance. He found that the expectation of a customer is an important factor in the analysis of customer expectation and perception and has this factor has been found to be neglected in the airline industry.

# 3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

#### RESEARCH DESIGN

The research designs constitute the blue print for the collection, measurement and analysis of data. There are types of research design; they are exploratory research design, experimental research design and describe and diagnostic research design. The research had adopted descriptive research design for the study.

#### SAMPLING DESIGN

A sample is a subset from the total population. A sample is a subset from the total population. It refers to the techniques or the procedure to the research would adopt in selecting items for the sample (i.e) the size of the sample.

#### **DETAILS OF POPULATION**

The study was conducted on the customers of V-Care Products.

#### POPULATION FRAME

This includes the list of 120 respondents (refer to the analysis of data).

#### **COLLECTION OF DATA**

Data was taken mostly through primary data. However, company and product profiles were referred too. A structured undisguised interview schedule was designed to collect data source. The schedule method was opted since the method would help to concise amount of information.

Data in the study are of two types:

- 1. Primary data
- 2. Secondary data

#### PRIMARY DATA

It was collected through questionnaire further this data, are processed and tabulated using graphs the tables were analysed and the finding has been drawn accordingly.

#### SECONDARY DATA

Secondary data is the data, which is already available. It can be obtained through company records, internet and some data collected from the observation method by the researcher.

#### **SAMPLING METHOD**

Sampling method utilized was convenience sampling was adopted.

#### TOOLS AND TECHNIQUES

The data is collected from the customer during survey and analysed using various tools. The tools applied for study is,

- 1. Chi- square analysis
- 2. Correlation
- 3. Anova

## 4. Data Analysis and Interpretation

#### CHI-SQUARE ANALYSIS

#### **NULL HYPOTHESIS**

Ho - There in no significant relationship between year of using the products and satisfy with service providing by the product on maintenance

#### ALTERNATIVE HYPOTHESIS

Ha –There in a significant relationship between year of using the products and satisfy with service providing by the product on maintenance YEAR OF USING THE PRODUCTS \* SATISFY WITH SERVICE PROVIDING BY THE PRODUCT ON MAINTENANCE Crosstabulation

|                   |                   | SATISFY  | SATISFY WITH SERVICE PROVIDING BY THE PRODUCT ON MAINTENANCE |    |    |       |     |  |  |
|-------------------|-------------------|--|--|----|----|-------|-----|--|--|
| Count             |                   | Neither satisfied nor Highly Highly satisfied Satisfied dissatisfied Dissatisfied dissatisfied |  |    |    | Total |     |  |  |
| YEAR OF USING THE | Less than 3 years | 33   | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0     | 33  |  |  |
| PRODUCTS          | 3-6 years         | 12   | 19   | 0  | 0  | 0     | 31  |  |  |
|                   | 6 - 9 years       | 0  | 20   | 1  | 0  | 0     | 21  |  |  |
|                   | 8 – 10 years      | 0  | 0  | 18 | 0  | 0     | 18  |  |  |
|                   | Above 10 years    | 0  | 0  | 2  | 10 | 5     | 17  |  |  |
| Total             |                   | 45   | 39   | 21 | 10 | 5     | 120 |  |  |

## **Case Processing Summary**

|   |     | Cases   |         |         |       |         |  |  |  |  |
|---|-----|---------|---------|---------|-------|---------|--|--|--|--|
|   | Va  | lid     | Missing |         | Total |         |  |  |  |  |
|   | N   | Percent | N       | Percent | N     | Percent |  |  |  |  |
| Year of using the products * satisfy<br>with service providing by the product<br>on maintenance | 120 | 100.0%  | 0       | .0%     | 120   | 100.0%  |  |  |  |  |

# Chi-Square Tests

|                              | Value    | df | Asymp. Sig. (2-sided) |
|------------------------------|----------|----|-----------------------|
| Pearson Chi-Square           | 2.852E2a | 16 | .000                  |
| Likelihood Ratio             | 249.793  | 16 | .000                  |
| Linear-by-Linear Association | 103.629  | 1  | .000                  |
| N of Valid Cases             | 120      |    |                       |

a. 13 cells (52.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .71.

# RESULT

Hence null hypothesis in accepted so there is a significant between using the products and satisfy with service providing by the product on maintenance

# **CORRELATION**

The table shows that the relationship between age of the respondents and kinds of products prefer to buy

# Correlations

|                             |                     | AGE OF THE<br>RESPONDENTS | KINDS OF PRODUCTS<br>PREFER TO BUY |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------------|
| AGE OF THE RESPONDENTS      | Pearson Correlation | 1                         | .944**                             |
|                             | Sig. (2-tailed)     |                           | .000                               |
|                             | N                   | 120                       | 120                                |
| KINDS OF PRODUCTS PREFER TO | Pearson Correlation | .944**                    | 1                                  |
| BUY                         | Sig. (2-tailed)     | .000                      |                                    |
|                             | N                   | 120                       | 120                                |

<sup>\*\*.</sup> Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

NONPARAMETRIC CORRELATIONS

#### **Symmetric Measures**

| -                    |       | Value | Asymp. Std. Error <sup>a</sup> | Approx. T <sup>b</sup> | Approx. Sig. |  |  |
|----------------------|-------|-------|--------------------------------|------------------------|--------------|--|--|
| Ordinal by Ordinal   | Gamma | 1.000 | .000                           | 30.772                 | .000         |  |  |
| Measure of Agreement | Kappa | .324  | .052                           | 6.747                  | .000         |  |  |
| N of Valid Ca        | ses   | 120   |                                |                        |              |  |  |

#### **Symmetric Measures**

|                      |       | Value | Asymp. Std. Error <sup>a</sup> | Approx. T <sup>b</sup> | Approx. Sig. |
|----------------------|-------|-------|--------------------------------|------------------------|--------------|
| Ordinal by Ordinal   | Gamma | 1.000 | .000                           | 30.772                 | .000         |
| Measure of Agreement | Kappa | .324  | .052                           | 6.747                  | .000         |
| N of Valid Ca        | ses   | 120   |                                |                        |              |

a. Not assuming the null hypothesis.

#### Correlations

|                 |                          |                         | AGE OF THE<br>RESPONDENTS | KINDS OF<br>PRODUCTS<br>PREFER TO BUY |
|-----------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Kendall's tau_b | AGE OF THE RESPONDENTS   | Correlation Coefficient | 1.000                     | .894**                                |
|                 |                          | Sig. (2-tailed)         |                           | .000                                  |
|                 |                          | N                       | 120                       | 120                                   |
|                 | KINDS OF PRODUCTS PREFER | Correlation Coefficient | .894**                    | 1.000                                 |
|                 | TO BUY                   | Sig. (2-tailed)         | .000                      |                                       |
|                 |                          | N                       | 120                       | 120                                   |
| Spearman's rho  | AGE OF THE RESPONDENTS   | Correlation Coefficient | 1.000                     | .939**                                |
|                 |                          | Sig. (2-tailed)         |                           | .000                                  |
|                 |                          | N                       | 120                       | 120                                   |
|                 | KINDS OF PRODUCTS PREFER | Correlation Coefficient | .939**                    | 1.000                                 |
|                 | TO BUY                   | Sig. (2-tailed)         | .000                      |                                       |
|                 |                          | N                       | 120                       | 120                                   |

Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

## **RESULT**

This is a positive correlation. There are relationships between age of the respondents and kinds of products prefer to buy.

# ANOVA

## NULL HYPOTHESIS

Ho: There is no significant relationship between educational qualification of the respondents and suitable media advertisement for the products

# ALTERNATIVE HYPOTHESIS

 $\mathbf{H_{1}}$ : There is a significant relationship between educational qualification of the respondents and suitable media advertisement for the products

## Descriptives

| EDUCATIONAL                       |    |      |                   |            |             | nce Interval for<br>ean |         |         | Between-  |
|-----------------------------------|----|------|-------------------|------------|-------------|-------------------------|---------|---------|-----------|
| QUALIFICATION OF THE 'RESPONDENTS | N  | Mean | Std.<br>Deviation | Std. Error | Lower Bound | Upper Bound             | Minimum | Maximum | Component |
| Newspaper                         | 25 | 1.00 | .000              | .000       | 1.00        | 1.00                    | 1       | 1       |           |
| Television                        | 35 | 1.89 | .323              | .055       | 1.77        | 2.00                    | 1       | 2       |           |

b. Using the asymptotic standard error assuming the null hypothesis.

| Radio             | 24  | 2.83 | .381  | .078 | 2.67 | 2.99 | 2 | 3 |       |
|-------------------|-----|------|-------|------|------|------|---|---|-------|
| Sales persons     | 16  | 3.31 | .479  | .120 | 3.06 | 3.57 | 3 | 4 |       |
| Social media      | 20  | 4.00 | .000  | .000 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4 | 4 |       |
| Total             | 120 | 2.43 | 1.075 | .098 | 2.24 | 2.63 | 1 | 4 |       |
| Model Fixed Effec | ts  |      | .299  | .027 | 2.38 | 2.49 |   |   |       |
| Random<br>Effects |     |      |       | .537 | .94  | 3.92 |   |   | 1.344 |

Test of Homogeneity of Variances

## EDUCATIONAL QUALIFICATION OF THE RESPONDENTS

| Levene Statistic | df1 | df2 | Sig. |
|------------------|-----|-----|------|
| 19.020           | 4   | 115 | .000 |

#### ANOVA

| EDUCATIO       | DUCATIONAL QUALIFICATION OF THE<br>RESPONDENTS |           | Sum of<br>Squares | df  | Mean Square | F       | Sig. |
|----------------|--|-----------|-------------------|-----|-------------|---------|------|
| Between Groups | (Combined)                                     |           | 127.153           | 4   | 31.788      | 354.446 | .000 |
|                | Linear Term Unweighted                         |           | 122.280           | 1   | 122.280     | 1.363E3 | .000 |
|                |  | Weighted  |                   | 1   | 125.356     | 1.398E3 | .000 |
|                |  | Deviation | 1.797             | 3   | .599        | 6.681   | .000 |
|                | Within Groups                                  |           | 10.314            | 115 | .090        |         |      |
|                | Total  |           | 137.467           | 119 |             |         |      |

## HOMOGENEOUS

# EDUCATIONAL QUALIFICATION OF THE RESPONDENTS

| SUITABLE MEDIA ADVERTI            |               |           | Subset for alpha = 0.05 |       |       |       |       |  |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|-----------|-------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|
| PRODUCTS                          | 8             | N 1 2 3 4 |                         |       |       | 4     | 5     |  |
| Student-Newman-Keuls <sup>a</sup> | Newspaper     | 25        | 1.00                    |       |       |       |       |  |
|                                   | Television    | 35        |                         | 1.89  |       |       |       |  |
|                                   | Radio         | 24        |                         |       | 2.83  |       |       |  |
|                                   | Sales persons | 16        |                         |       |       | 3.31  |       |  |
|                                   | Social media  | 20        |                         |       |       |       | 4.00  |  |
|                                   | Sig.          |           | 1.000                   | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |  |

Means for groups in homogeneous subsets are displayed.

a. Uses Harmonic Mean Sample Size = 22.448

# RESULT

From the above analysis, we find that calculated value of the F-value 354.446 is a positive value, so H1 accept. Since the P value 0.000 is less than < 0.05 regarding there is a significant relationship between educational qualification of the respondents and suitable media advertisement for the products.

#### **CONCLUSION**

Understanding customer present scenario is extremely important as it is no longer a simple task. Now the customer is considered to be the King. He is the price-maker and not price-taker. Earlier, there used to be a few hair oil manufacturers who held a monopoly. However, this situation has changed with the entry of other competitors, especially after sales promotion to various industries and others.

Finally, the customer perception to comfortable for all the manufacturing and household level of multiple usage of v-care products very effectively

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY:

- 1. **Alden, D. L., Mukherjee, A., Hoyer, W.D. (2000),** "The effects of incongruity, surprise and positive moderators on perceived humor in television advertising", Journal of Advertising, Vol. 29 No. 2, pp. 1-15.
- 2. Becker-Olsen, K. L., Cudmore, B. A. and Hill, R. P. (2006), "The impact of perceived corporate social responsibility on consumer behavior", Journal of Business Research, Vol. 59 No. 1, pp. 46-53.
- Bhaduri, G. and Ha-Brookshire, J. (2015), "The role of brand schemas, information transparency, and source of message on apparel brands' social responsibility communication", Journal of Marketing Communications, (ahead-of-print), pp. 1-18.
- 4. **Bhaduri, G. and Ha-Brookshire, J. E. (2011),** "Do transparent business practices pay? Exploration of transparency and consumer purchase intention", apparel and cosmetics Research Journal, Vol. 29 No. 2, pp. 135-149

#### WEBSITES

- 1. https://www.vcaretrichology.com/
- 2. https://www.marketingscales.com/research/brand-expectations