



## Unveiling the Significance of Planktonic Components: Key Players in Aquatic Food Chains

*Usha Kumari<sup>a</sup>, Dr. Vineeta Bhadora<sup>a</sup>, Dr. Manglesh K. Jawalkar<sup>a</sup>, Dr. Sarita Murmu<sup>b</sup>*

<sup>a</sup>Department of Zoology, Madhyanchal professional university, Bhopal, M.P. India

<sup>b</sup>Department of Zoology, BBMK University, Dhanbad, Jharkhand

### ABSTRACT

Aquatic ecosystems are intricately governed by food chains, where planktonic components emerge as indispensable contributors. This comprehensive review delves into the nuanced significance of these microscopic organisms, elucidating their pivotal role in maintaining the delicate equilibrium of aquatic food chains. Encompassing a spectrum of planktonic species, from the foundational phytoplankton to the diverse zooplankton, we scrutinize their multifaceted interactions within aquatic environments. Our analysis encompasses the crucial functions of planktonic communities, emphasizing their role in nutrient cycling, energy transfer, and overall ecosystem health. Examining the impact of planktonic organisms on larger species and ecosystem dynamics, we elucidate the interconnectedness that defines aquatic environments. Furthermore, this review illuminates the vulnerabilities of planktonic components to environmental changes, offering insights into the potential repercussions for entire ecosystems. By unraveling the complexities of planktonic contributions, this review provides a comprehensive understanding of the fundamental processes shaping aquatic environments. In conclusion, this exploration underscores the critical importance of planktonic components in sustaining the health and resilience of aquatic ecosystems. Recognizing their significance is paramount for informed conservation and sustainable management practices. As humanity faces unprecedented environmental challenges, a thorough comprehension of the role played by planktonic organisms becomes essential for safeguarding the intricate web of life within aquatic realms.

**Keywords:** Planktonic components, Aquatic ecosystems, Food chains, Phytoplankton, Zooplankton, Nutrient cycling, Energy transfer, Ecosystem health

### Introduction

Aquatic ecosystems, comprising diverse environments such as oceans, lakes, and rivers, are intricate networks where life unfolds in a delicate dance of interconnected relationships. Central to these ecosystems are the complex food chains that sustain life underwater. Within this intricate web, planktonic components emerge as key players, orchestrating fundamental processes that shape the health and dynamics of aquatic environments.

### Backdrop of Aquatic Ecosystems

Aquatic ecosystems cover approximately 71% of the Earth's surface, harboring an astonishing diversity of life. These ecosystems are not only home to an array of organisms but also serve critical ecological functions, influencing global nutrient cycles, climate regulation, and the overall well-being of the planet (1). At the heart of these ecosystems lies the concept of food chains, where energy is transferred from one organism to another, forming the basis of life underwater.

### The Essence of Planktonic Components

Plankton, a collective term encompassing a myriad of microscopic organisms, constitutes a vital component of aquatic food chains. These organisms can be broadly categorized into phytoplankton and zooplankton, each playing a distinctive role in the intricate tapestry of aquatic life (2). Phytoplankton, comprised of microalgae and cyanobacteria, are the primary producers, harnessing solar energy through photosynthesis and forming the foundation of aquatic food webs (3). Zooplankton, on the other hand, includes small animals ranging from tiny crustaceans to larval forms of larger organisms, serving as primary consumers and linking phytoplankton to higher trophic levels (4).

### Nutrient Cycling and Energy Transfer

The importance of planktonic components in aquatic ecosystems extends beyond their role as primary producers and consumers. These microscopic organisms actively participate in nutrient cycling, facilitating the movement of essential elements like carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus through the

ecosystem (5). The intricate dance of energy transfer begins with the photosynthetic prowess of phytoplankton, converting sunlight into organic matter. This energy then cascades through the food web as zooplankton graze on phytoplankton, and larger organisms consume the smaller ones, forming a dynamic transfer of energy and nutrients (6).

---

### **Ecosystem Health and Stability**

The health and stability of aquatic ecosystems hinge on the delicate balance maintained by planktonic components. The abundance and diversity of planktonic organisms influence the overall biodiversity of aquatic environments (7). Changes in planktonic populations can have cascading effects, impacting the entire ecosystem. For instance, alterations in phytoplankton abundance can influence the availability of food for herbivorous zooplankton, subsequently affecting higher trophic levels such as fish populations (8). Understanding and monitoring these dynamics are crucial for effective ecosystem management and conservation.

---

### **Interconnectedness in Aquatic Environments**

The interconnectedness of planktonic components with other biotic and abiotic factors in aquatic environments underscores the complexity of these ecosystems. Physical factors such as temperature, light availability, and nutrient concentrations profoundly influence planktonic dynamics (9). Additionally, biotic interactions, including predation, competition, and symbiosis, further shape the structure and functioning of planktonic communities (10). As such, unraveling the intricate web of interactions involving planktonic organisms is essential for gaining a holistic understanding of aquatic ecosystems.

---

### **Vulnerabilities to Environmental Changes**

Despite their microscopic size, planktonic components are not immune to the impacts of environmental changes. Global phenomena such as climate change, ocean acidification, and pollution pose significant threats to planktonic communities (11). Changes in temperature and nutrient availability can alter the composition and distribution of planktonic species, potentially leading to disruptions in ecosystem dynamics (12). Recognizing these vulnerabilities is paramount for predicting and mitigating the potential consequences of ongoing environmental changes.

Given the central role of planktonic components in aquatic ecosystems, a comprehensive review becomes imperative. This paper aims to synthesize existing knowledge, drawing upon a wealth of research spanning ecology, oceanography, and limnology, to provide a nuanced understanding of the significance of planktonic organisms in sustaining aquatic food chains. By exploring their roles in nutrient cycling, energy transfer, and overall ecosystem health, this review seeks to highlight the interconnectedness of planktonic components and their vulnerability to environmental changes. Furthermore, it underscores the importance of informed conservation and sustainable management practices to ensure the resilience of aquatic ecosystems in the face of unprecedented global challenges.

In the subsequent sections, we will delve into the diverse world of planktonic organisms, examining their ecological functions, interactions, and the implications of their dynamics for broader ecosystem health. By synthesizing the current state of knowledge, this review aims to contribute valuable insights to the scientific community, resource managers, and policymakers involved in the conservation and sustainable management of aquatic environments.

---

### **The planktonic component: important part of the food chain in aquatic environments.**

The term "plankton" refers to the tiny aquatic creatures that live in open water known as the pelagic environment. These creatures either lack the ability to move at all or move only very slowly, rendering them incapable of withstanding the motion induced by currents. According to APHA (1985), the word "zooplankton" is used to describe planktonic creatures that originate from animal sources, while the term "phytoplankton" is used to describe planktonic organisms that originate from plant sources. Phytoplankton, which are the aquatic environment's main producers, play a crucial role in the organisation of aquatic food webs. Within these food webs, there is a link between the primary production of phytoplankton and the amount of energy that is produced. The fast expansion of phytoplankton biomass, the appearance of toxic algal blooms, decreased water clarity as a result of increased primary production, and the depletion of oxygen in deeper water layers are all characteristics of the natural process known as eutrophication. This environmental issue may be traced back to human activities, which are responsible for the excessive introduction of nutrients and organic debris into lakes and the watersheds that surround them. This is the root cause of the problem. The types of freshwater phytoplankton that were identified in the Indian subcontinent may be broken down into the following categories:

Brown algae, also known as Phaeophyceae, are multicellular sea creatures that belong to the phylum Cyanophyceae, on the other hand, are blue-green algae and are officially referred to as prokaryotic organisms. This family of algae is categorised under the phylum Phaeophyceae. These organisms are referred to as "cyanobacteria" because of their capacity to fix atmospheric nitrogen, their resemblance to gram-negative bacteria, and the makeup of their cellular walls. On the other hand, these organisms have thallus structures that are very similar to those seen in other types of algae. In addition, they have a photosynthetic system that is effective in producing oxygen, and they have a variety of pigments that assist in the operation of chlorophyll. This enables chlorophyll to do its job. They are found in significant numbers in aquatic habitats together with a wide variety of other forms of algae. It is usual practise to classify members of the Cyanophyceae family according to one of two main morphological types: filamentous or coccoid. The term "coccoid forms"

refers to a group of structures that includes many different types of structures. These structures include solitary cells, clusters of unicellular organisms grouped into aggregates, colonies showing regular or irregular patterns, and habitats that resemble pseudoparenchyma. Some species have many different kinds of filaments, which may vary from simple uniseriate filaments to highly complex heterotrichous filaments. The heterotrichous filaments have the power to go through evolutionary changes and grow into specialised structures known as heterocysts and akinetes. These structures may be distinguished from one another by their names. These structures serve the role of spores in the organism. The creatures are found all over the world and inhabit settings that are distinguished by large shifts in the levels of light intensity, pH, and the availability of nutritional supplies. The term "aquatic habitat" refers to a wide variety of ecosystems, some of which are natural while others are man-made.

The Chlorophyceae are a prominent category of algae that are extensively dispersed in freshwater habitats. They are also usually referred to as green algae. Because chlorophyll a and b are present, the cells typically have a green hue to them. This colouring is caused by the presence of chlorophyll. Chloroplasts of various species have distinctive forms, and their distribution inside the cells of the respective species is not uniform. In addition to this, it has been discovered that the chloroplast contains pyrenoids in its structure. There are several groups of organisms that contain more than one nucleus, however the majority of species only have one nucleus in their cells. It is not uncommon to see flagellated cells in both the vegetative phase and the reproductive units of an organism. The variety that can be seen in the thallus is the primary factor that is used in the categorization of Chlorophyceae into its several orders.

Individual cells that are members of the family Euglenophyceae are characterised by their ability to move and by the possession of one or, on occasion, two unique flagella, which contribute to the members of this family's capacity to swim. A unique pharynx may be seen at the front portion of the cell, whereas autotrophic organisms have several chloroplasts of varying forms in this area. The cells of a euryglenoid are encased in a proteinaceous pellicle, which plays a supporting role in the organism's capacity to assume a variety of guises as necessary. These creatures are often seen in aqueous habitats that have high concentrations of organic material, especially in a wide variety of aquatic bodies.

The term "taxonomic classification" refers to the hierarchical system that is used to classify and describe living entities based on the qualities that they share and the evolutionary connections that exist between them. Bacillariophyceae is the name given to a taxonomic class of algae that is more popularly known as diatoms. Although most members of the group are made up of a single cell, there are times when they may congregate into colonies or present themselves in a pseudofilamentous pattern. The bulk of the individuals in the group are single-celled. The cell walls of diatoms are often impregnated with silica, and one particular type of these organisms has shown extraordinary preservation as microfossils. Diatoms are classified as unicellular algae. It is standard practise to refer to the cellular structure of diatoms as a frustule. This structure is distinguished by the presence of exquisite ornamentation on its surface. The taxonomy of diatoms relies heavily on the ornamentation that these organisms possess. The cells may exhibit either bilateral or radial symmetry, depending on the circumstances. The frustules of diatoms are made up of two different components that are referred to as the epitheca and the hypotheca, respectively. Girdle bands serve to link each of these individual components to one another. Numerous different marks may be seen on the surfaces of the valves. Pennales are the name given to organisms that display bilateral symmetry, whilst Centrales are the name given to organisms that indicate radial symmetry.

Dinophyceae are a family of unicellular, motile creatures that are distinguished by the presence of two flagella. These organisms belong to the kingdom Dinophyceae. The position of one flagellum is inside a furrow that is aligned longitudinally, whereas the location of the second flagellum is within a furrow or groove that is aligned transversely. It is standard practise to refer to the second flagellum as the trailing flagellum, while the first flagellum is the one that is thought to be responsible for moving the cell in its forward direction. It is the rotating motion of the flagella that is responsible for the movement of the cells in a forward direction. In lieu of a cell wall, motile cells have a thick pellicle that serves as a distinguishing feature of these cells. One of the characteristics that sets these cells apart is a pellicle that, in addition to being called theca, may have varying degrees of thickness. According to Anand (1998), species of dinoflagellates are considered to be unarmoured if they do not have thecal plates on the surface of their bodies. On the other hand, armoured dinoflagellates may be identified by the horny projections that are present on their outer coating.

Zooplankton are very important because they act as a trophic link between primary producers and higher trophic levels. This helps the food web function more efficiently. There are many different kinds of creatures that make up the zooplankton that lives in freshwater, such as ostracods, copepods, rotifers, protozoa, and cladocerans. The majority of these creatures get the bulk of their nutrition from various kinds of phytoplankton and bacterioplankton, which serve as their principal sources of nourishment. The appearance of secondary consumers is caused by the consumption of primary consumers by numerous bigger species that feed smaller zooplankton. Detritivores is a classification that fits some of the creatures that belong to this category. They actively look for and eat organic materials, which may be connected to the substrate (like phytoplankton), present in the water column, or deposited on the surface of the silt. This organic matter may be consumed in any of these three locations. In addition, some of these species are consumed by other types of aquatic macrofauna, which in turn provide fish with an important source of food. There are five main categories that may be applied to zooplankton that lives in freshwater.

It is generally agreed that protozoa are the earliest known living species. The basic habitat in which zooplankton live is made up of a diverse collection of unicellular creatures belonging to a number of different taxonomic families. The little size of protozoans makes it difficult to sample them in their natural environments. Flagellates and ciliates are the only types of protozoans that may be found in planktonic environments. Within the realm of unicellular protozoa, heterotrophic nanoflagellates serve as the major consumers of free-living bacteria and smaller heterotrophic nanoflagellates. In extremely eutrophic lentic habitats, it is not uncommon to find heterotrophic nanoflagellates. In these situations, the concentration of these nanoflagellates generally varies from 105 to 108 per litre. These creatures generally vary in size from 1.0 to 20 micrometres in diameter. This category consists of non-pigmented organisms that are closely related to pigmented phytoplankton species and have a close relationship with them. Ciliates may be anywhere in size from eight micrometres to three hundred micrometres, and their population density can vary anywhere from 102 to 104 people per litre. The bigger

planktonic ciliates are the ones that are engaged in the process of heterotrophic nanoflagellate and very tiny nanophytoplankton consumption by the plankton. On the other hand, the tiniest of the planktonic ciliates are the ones that are grazing on the picoplankton. Ciliates typically exhibit symbiotic connections with green algae such as zoochlorellae, or they acquire chloroplasts by the consumption of algae. Both of these mechanisms are common. The categorization of two groups of amoebae comes under the category of protozoans (Edmondson, 1959; Battish, 1992). Protozoans are typically found in sediments, littoral aquatic vegetation, and numerous forms of meroplanktonic life (Edmondson, 1959; Battish, 1992).

Wheel carriers, which are also known as rotifers, are a collective term for a group of very minute creatures. Within the population of plankton, the rotifers, which are invertebrates with soft bodies and are categorised as metazoans, hold a large amount of importance. In most cases, it has been discovered that protozoans are around 10 times more common than them. The cilia that exhibit a circular movement, which performs two roles including motility and the transfer of food particles towards the mouth cavity, are referred to as "corona," and the name "corona" is used to characterise this movement. The digestive system has a specialist group of oral appendages called trophi. These trophi are located in the mouth. These essential trophi have the role of pulverising and capturing bits of food as they pass through them. The area of the body known as the oral cavity is normally found in the frontal part of the body. There is a large disparity between the abundance of sessile widespread rotifer species, which is estimated to be more than 300, and the relatively lower number of about 100 planktonic species. This disparity is due to the fact that sessile widespread rotifer species are more likely to be found in sediments. The littoral zones are home to a plethora of these rotifers, which are often found in association with plants and sediments. In the presence of favourable environmental circumstances, such as an abundant food supply, an acceptable temperature, and an appropriate photoperiod, planktonic rotifers have a life cycle that is relatively short. The study that Dhanapathi carried out in the year 2000 lent credence to the notion that rotifers, given appropriate environmental circumstances, are capable of rapidly reproducing themselves.

Taxonomically speaking, crustaceans are included under the phylum Arthropoda, which is one of the most well-known classes of animals. The phylum under study has the greatest variety of species, solidifying its status as the most comprehensive taxonomic collection that can be found within the kingdom of zooplankton. In addition to this, it has the highest position within the systematics hierarchy and is the most important consumer within the food chain. In healthy ecosystems, when the impacts of human activity on the environment are either nonexistent or to a very small extent, this particular subset of the population makes up a significant proportion of the total population.

The existence of branching horns is the defining characteristic of cladocerans, which are a group of creatures that are the subject of this conversation. Cladocerans are a kind of crustacean that provide important benefits and are a source of food that is rich in nutrients for fish that occupy higher trophic levels. Cladocerans provide substantial advantages. Within the context of the food chain, the zooplankton creatures perform an important purpose. The existence of a carapace, an exterior protective exoskeleton mostly made of chitin, is a characteristic that is commonly seen in cladocerans. Cladocerans are popularly referred to as "water fleas" because of their distinctive second antennae, which serve a dual purpose in assisting mobility. This has led to the name "water fleas" becoming general use. Cladocerans are classified as filter feeders due to their ability to filter water in order to collect the organisms that are present in that water. This ability allows them to feed on plankton. Cladocerans have a very high susceptibility to the effects of even extremely low levels of pollution. According to what was revealed by Murugan in 1998, the group that is the focus of this investigation participates in the ingestion of algae, bacterioplankton, and tiny zooplankton.

There are three main classifications that may be applied to copepods, which are also known to as oar feet. These are the harpacticoids, the cyclopoids, and the calanoids. In spite of the fact that copepods are not nearly as common as cladocerans, they are nonetheless important fish creatures that may be negatively affected by unfavourable environmental circumstances brought on by an excessive amount of human activity in aquatic environments. Because of their stiff exoskeletons and long, well-developed limbs, copepods have more resilience and mobility in compared to other species of zooplankton. This is because their exoskeletons are more rigid. This creature has a complicated life cycle, which includes a drawn-out period of maturity and challenges associated with differentiating between early stages of its larval development. They get the most of their nutrition from the tiny zooplankton that make up the majority of their food. This is the primary source of nutrients for them. Cyclopoid copepods, which are classified as one of the three different types of copepods, most often exhibit a predatory or carnivorous mode of nutrition. They will aggressively seek for and devour fish larvae in addition to several other kinds of zooplankton. The nutritional preferences of calanoid copepods, which are a subset of copepods that are categorised as the second kind, may vary depending on a number of characteristics such as age, gender, the time of year, and the availability of food. Cyclopoid copepods are distinguished by their feeding habit, which consists of the ingestion of detritus, algae, and bacteria. Because of their omnivorous eating habit, calanoid copepods ingest a wide variety of food items, including bacteria, detritus, rotifers, ciliates, and algae. Calanoid copepods are well recognised for this trait. The third type, which is composed of harpacticoid copepods, mostly demonstrates a benthic biological niche. According to Kalff (2002), in contrast to cladocera, copepods have shown a greater degree of resistance to the effects of changes in the surrounding environment.

Ostracods are a group of creatures that fall under the scientific category known as Arthropoda. The bivalved structure of these animals, which is reminiscent of shellfish, is what differentiates them from other similar groups. These creatures spend the most of their time living in aquatic environments, more especially among colonies of macrophytes and on the bottom of lakes. Their diet is mostly composed of dead plankton and organic materials, often known as detritus. These are the principal food sources for these animals. It was discovered in a research that was carried out by Chakrapani (1996) that fishes and benthic macroinvertebrates devour ostracods in a sequential way. This was one of the findings of the study.

---

## Conclusion

In conclusion, the review presented here contributes to the growing body of knowledge on the fundamental roles of planktonic components in aquatic ecosystems. By synthesizing existing research, it offers a foundation for future investigations and informs conservation efforts aimed at preserving the

delicate balance of life in our oceans, lakes, and rivers. Recognizing the significance of these microscopic organisms is not only essential for scientific understanding but is also a call to action for sustainable practices that ensure the continued vitality of our aquatic environments in the face of an ever-changing world. Looking ahead, further research is needed to deepen our understanding of planktonic dynamics and their responses to ongoing environmental changes. Integrating advanced technologies, such as remote sensing and molecular techniques, will provide a more nuanced perspective on the intricacies of planktonic communities. Moreover, interdisciplinary collaborations between ecologists, oceanographers, and climatologists are crucial for addressing the complex challenges posed by a changing environment.

---

**References:**

---

1. Hays, G. C., Richardson, A. J., & Robinson, C. (2005). Climate change and marine plankton. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 20(6), 337-344.
2. Irigoien, X., Flynn, K. J., & Harris, R. P. (2005). Phytoplankton blooms: a 'loophole' in microzooplankton grazing impact? *Journal of Plankton Research*, 27(4), 313-321.
3. Behrenfeld, M. J., O'Malley, R. T., Siegel, D. A., McClain, C. R., Sarmiento, J. L., Feldman, G. C., ... & Esaias, W. E. (2006). Climate-driven trends in contemporary ocean productivity. *Nature*, 444(7120), 752-755.
4. Kiørboe, T., Saiz, E., & Viitasalo, M. (1996). Prey switching behaviour in the planktonic copepod *Acartiatonsa*. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 143, 65-75.
5. Boyce, D. G., Lewis, M. R., & Worm, B. (2010). Global phytoplankton decline over the past century. *Nature*, 466(7306), 591-596.
6. Platt, T., Fuentes-Yaco, C., Frank, K. T., & Petrie, B. (2003). Spring algal bloom and larval fish survival. *Nature*, 423(6942), 398-399.
7. Sommer, U., & Lengfellner, K. (2008). Climate change and the timing, magnitude, and composition of the phytoplankton spring bloom. *Global Change Biology*, 14(6), 1199-1208.
8. Edwards, M., & Richardson, A. J. (2004). Impact of climate change on marine pelagic phenology and trophic mismatch. *Nature*, 430(7002), 881-884.
9. Behl, S., Mengis, N., Rojas, M., & Boersma, M. (2011). Influence of environmental factors on planktonic communities in large floodplain rivers: The River Paraná, South America. *Science of the Total Environment*, 409(1), 116-125.
10. Stibor, H., Vadstein, O., & Diehl, S. (2004). Predator-induced defense mechanisms in zooplankton: A new understanding. *Limnology and Oceanography*, 49(6), 1908-1918.
11. IPCC. (2014). *Climate Change 2014: Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II, and III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. IPCC.
12. Bopp, L., Le Quéré, C., Heimann, M., Manning, A. C., & Monfray, P. (2002). Climate-induced oceanic oxygen fluxes: Implications for the contemporary carbon budget. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 16(2), 1022.