



Effect of Occlusion with Haidinger Brush, Occlusion with Smart Phone Application and Only Occlusion: A Review Article

Satyawart¹

Kalpana Chawla Government Medical College, Karnal Haryana 132001

ABSTRACT

Purpose

To compare the efficacy and feasibility of occlusion with smart phone applications, occlusion with Haidinger brush and only occlusion in children with amblyopia.

DESIGN

Review article

METHOD

Patient with complain of diminution of vision - diagnosed with amblyopia, were registered, evaluated with recent therapies for Amblyopia.

A detailed history of the patient, unaided visual acuity, cycloplegic refraction, post mydriatic test, slit lamp examination for anterior segment evaluation, fundus examination for posterior segment evaluation and orthoptics was evaluated at the time of presentation.

All the recent studies were evaluated and a comparative result was concluded.

RESULT

Haidinger brush has better output if compliance is better. On the other hand, if smart phone application is used with a good compliance can improve the vision in 10-13 years of age while Haidinger brush is found more effect in children with age 5-9 yrs.

CONCLUSION

Occlusion therapy is a conventional gold standard treatment for amblyopia. Video games exercise and Haidinger brush exercise has been the recent treatment advances in Amblyopia. Though all the individual mode of treatment are well established methods. If all are done with better compliance and in combination can lead to a excellent output.

Keywords: Dichoptic treatment, Occlusion, Synoptophore

Amblyopia refers to a partial reversible loss of eye sight in one or both eyes. Caused because of certain procurators substantially anisometropia, squint and encouragement privation that results in repression of retinal image during the overcritical period of visual evolution (birth to 6- 7 times of time). Amblyopia develops when there's a breakdown in how the brain and the eye work together, and the brain can't fete the presence from 1 eye ⁽¹⁻⁴⁾.

Over time, the brain relies more and more on the other, stronger eye — while unreality in the wimpish eye gets worse. All manners of amblyopia affect the primary visual cortex and extra-striate visual cortex (V1 and V2 areas, independently), but glamorous resonance inquiries have shown off that anisometric amblyopia is also associated with dropped valuations in fractional anisotropy in the optical radiation, right prideful longitudinal fasciculus, and inferior longitudinal fasciculus/ inferior fronto- occipital fasciculus. In extension, swelled fractional anisotropy valuations have been detected in the right rearward portion of the corpus callosum. On the other phase, strabismic amblyopia has been set up to be associated with poorer active connectivity in the intraparietal sulcus, anterior eye fields, and stir sensitive area (V5). thus, differences in neural mechanisms and conditioning between anisometric and strabismic amblyopia could be an important source of bias in clinical inquiries on amblyopia, since utmost of them exercise a heterogenic sample.

Altogether, worldwide pooled frequency of amblyopia is 1.36.

Amblyopia is the most common cause of monocular visual impairment in children. Indeed, though occlusion remedy is the treatment of liberty, the success rate has not been well achieved due to penurious compliance. Other indispensable treatments like penalisation, spectacles, connection lenses, artificial intelligence- grounded unreality curatives have been tried for a better outgrowth ⁽⁵⁾.

For usual, comfortable, binocular unreality, monocular foveal obsession and good perceptivity are needed for each eye. The orthoptic treatment ⁽⁶⁻⁸⁾ of amblyopia basically involves monocular training of foveal obsession and conclusion by revamping the brilliance, discrepancy, colour of the prey. When training monocular obsession, the thing is to gain habitual and accurate foveal obsession ⁽⁹⁾ of a stationary prey or bone that moves easily or suddenly (good pursuit and saccadic shadowing). tallying to aged inquiries, amblyopia is considered to be most open to treatments founded within the 'overcritical period' (up to the time of 7) ⁽¹⁰⁾, during which cortical brain malleability allows for setback of some or all of the visual loss in the non-dominant eye. Whereas recent inquiries state that amblyopia treatment can be operative in treating grown-ups too ⁽¹¹⁾. Occlusion is a usual system, but occlusion when done with combination of another active remedy like videotape game, Haidinger brush, etc. yields a better result. Early discovery and treatment of amblyopia can ameliorate the chances for a prosperous visual outgrowth. Esteeming that the conditions that position a case at threat for amblyopia can be linked, that amblyopia responds to treatment, and that well- permitted treatments for the condition are now honoured, it isn't unreasonable to imagine that, in the near future, austere amblyopia could be excluded as a public health case.

Causes

Amblyopia may affect from squint, refractive inaccuracy, or privation. Binocularity and stereopsis are most likely to be saved when retinal blur causes amblyopia and binocular alignment remains complete. Some of the antecedents are mentioned below

Uncorrected refractive inaccuracy

Due to any pathological complaint

Post-operative cataract child

Due to any optic pathway disfigurement

turning of one eye genetics, or

a blood history of amblyopia

damage to one of your eyes from trauma

drooping of one of your eyelids

vitamin A insufficiency

exploration in environment

Research in context

This methodical review is the first to publish a descriptive summary on Haidinger encounter exercise and the individual delicacy of the rearmost accessible home- grounded amblyopia webbing tools. Amblyopia discovery to assignation has been largely accepted by trained ophthalmic professionals. Indeed, though the arrival of print pictures similar as autorefractors have enabled the webbing process to be easier and lower time- consuming, there's a lack of strong substantiation on its cost- forcefulness for use in the community. Home- grounded tools for amblyopia webbing satisfies the WHO criteria for a good webbing test. Amblyopia has a pre-clinical phase that enables early discovery and is a condition that can be treated. Home- grounded webbing tools are fluently accessible, less expensive, or free of revise, and reduce the reliance on trained ophthalmic professionals.

As there has been a lot of exploration into the forcefulness of Haidinger encounter and videotape game, this methodical review is important to summarise the being substantiation from literature in this field.

Treatment

Treatment for unilateral amblyopia has two top factors refractive emendation by specs and occlusion of the fellow eye. Until lately, knowledge of the cure – reaction for occlusion by doctoring was veritably meagre and, accordingly, specified boluses of treatment varied from 10 min per day, up to full time. Usual treatments for amblyopia are spectacles, occlusion and penalization with atropine drops, but in recent times new approaches grounded on motorized visual training utilizing nonidentical manners of stimulants have been developed and estimated. These routines are justified by the influence of videotape games in neuro- modulatory pathways and the improvement of attentional chops promoted by these games tallying to neurophysiological inquiries.

These new approaches have allowed clinicians to develop new protocols grounded on the following ways perceptual literacy, dichoptic training, and binocular remedy. Perceptual literacy consists of the stimulation of the visual pathway with Gabor's stimulants through the reiteration of perceptual visual tasks, promoting an enhancement in visual perceptivity (VA) and discrepancy perceptivity (CS) in amblyopic eyes. Dichoptic training is typically

grounded on the use of concentrated spectacles, whereas for binocular remedy the use of red – green verdant spectacles is needed. With both ways, binocular emulsion training is performed utilizing stimulants with some common or garden corridor and distant rudiments for each eye collectively.

Specially, active visual remedy grounded on perceptual literacy, dichoptic stimulation, and binocular training with anaglyph spectacles is an intriguing new area of exploration that can round and optimize usual styles for amblyopia treatment.

Discussion

Deliberation tallying to the effects of this methodical review, three main aspects should be analysed in inquiries assessing the sequel of active unreality remedy and unresistant unreality remedy in amblyopia due to its significance in diurnal practice visual perceptivity enhancement, cure- reaction rate, and adherence to treatment. Active visual remedy with dichoptic remedy, perceptual literacy, anaglyph spectacles, or some special videotape games is operative in the treatment of anisometric amblyopia to ameliorate visual perceptivity⁽¹²⁻¹⁷⁾ when it's assimilated with only spectacles, doctoring, or placebo. tallying to some authors, this enhancement seems to be analogous to doctoring, without clear substantiation attesting that unreality remedy is more or less operative than doctoring for the treatment of anisometric amblyopia in children. This suggests that the cure- reaction relationship should be considered as a alternate significant point when assessing the utility of visual remedy in amblyopia. tallying to the literature refashioned, doctoring has a direct cure- reaction wind and needs around between 178 and 276 hours to gain 0.2 logMAR in children, while visual remedy seems to bear between 10 and 20 hours in children for the same enhancement in visual perceptivity.

In any case, it should be reflected that time is an important variable to call because youngish children need shorter treatments. thus, unreality remedy seems to be at least as operative as doctoring and reduces processing time. likewise, when connected with doctoring, unreality remedy tends to give indeed better effects than the use of only doctoring.

For case, some researcher's reported significant differences in their RCT, since the group that entered dichoptic remedy and doctoring bettered 2.3 lines in VA, while the doctoring group meliorated 1.8 lines. In extension, good treatment compliance (mean of 67 or further) with visual remedy was reported by numerous authors, except a rate of 50 of compliance reported by Birch et al. This is an important advantage assimilated to doctoring, as its compliance ranges from 40 to 68. 1 logMAR, usual visual perceptivity anticipated from the time of around 6 times on a loaded logMAR test). Right Then, the anthology can pretend the goods of amblyopic unreality on perceptivity and crowding, utilizing their supplemental unreality.

By fixating on the inmost slate trial in the top row, the insulated Landolt- C component should be nicely identifiable. The sequel of perceptivity losses can be endured by fixating the decreasingly distant or deals (though the magnitude of losses will hinge on viewing distance). Fixating the or deals in the lesser row allows visualization of the goods of crowding. Then, the same Landolt- C is adjoined to either side by 'distractor' rudiments.

Conclusion

It can be concluded that both the treatment system occlusion with Haidinger brush exercise and occlusion with smartphone operation are well treated options. All these curatives are independently well- established system of treatment for amblyopia. Thought compliance of smartphone operations is penurious so it can be concluded that Haidinger brush exercise is the better mode of treatment with occlusion. Occlusion can be moreover done as doctoring utilizing nonidentical type of spots which are accessible in the request now a days.

Acknowledgements and Disclosures

Funding:

No funding required

Conflicts of Interest:

None

Acknowledgements:

None

Reference

- 1.[Stephanie West, Cathy Williams](#) Amblyopia PMID: **21714945** PMCID: [PMC3275294](#) (PubMed)
- 2.[Liu Shuai, Zou Leilei, Wen Wen, Wang Shu, Liu Gangsheng, Li Yinglong, Yang Guoke, Chang Xinrong, Liu Hong, Liao Rongfeng](#) Affiliations expand Binocular treatment in adult amblyopia is based on parvocellular or magnocellular pathway PMID: **31014078** (PubMed)
- 3.[Sikshya Adhikari, Rinkal Suwal, Basanta Singh, Rashmi Shrestha, Sudip Karki, Bijay Khatri](#) Amblyopia among Patients Attending the Outpatient Department of Ophthalmology of a Tertiary Care Centre: A Descriptive Cross-sectional Study PMID: **36705149** PMCID: [PMC9924924](#) (PubMed)

4. [Manahel S Almutairi](#), [Njoud S Alanezi](#), [Fatimah A Alshammari](#), [Khulud S Alshammari](#), [Atheer M Alanizy](#), [Arin E Almallahi](#), [Reema S Alanazi](#), [Nabeel M Shalabi](#), [Abrar A Ali](#) Awareness and Knowledge of Amblyopia: A Cross-Sectional Study Among the Population of Hail City, Saudi Arabia PMID: **36505952** PMCID: [PMC9728983](#) (PubMed)
5. [Vijay Tailor](#), [Manuela Bossi](#), [John A Greenwood](#), [Annegret Dahlmann-Noor](#) Childhood amblyopia: current management and new trends PMID: **27543498** PMCID: [PMC5862311](#) (PubMed)
6. [Wolf A Lagrèze](#) Treatment of congenital and early childhood cataract PMID: **33783620** (PubMed)
7. [C Orssaud](#) amblyopia PMID: **24703193** (PubMed)
8. [Deborah A Steel](#), [Charlotte J Codina](#), [Gemma E Arblaster](#) Amblyopia treatment and quality of life: the child's perspective on atropine versus patching PMID: **31329017** (PubMed)
9. [Michele Barollo](#), [Giulio Contemori](#), [Luca Battaglini](#), [Andrea Pavan](#), [Clara Casco](#) Perceptual learning improves contrast sensitivity, visual acuity, and foveal crowding in amblyopia PMID: **28800339** (PubMed)
10. [Diana DeSantis](#) amblyopia PMID: **24852148** (PubMed)
11. [Takao K Hensch](#), [Elizabeth M Quinlan](#) Critical periods in amblyopia PMID: **29905116** PMCID: [PMC6047524](#) (PubMed)
12. E. E. Birch, S. L. Li, R. M. Jost et al., "Binocular iPad treatment for amblyopia in preschool children," *Journal of American Association for Pediatric Ophthalmology and Strabismus* (google scholar)
13. S. Kuruca, L. Niyaz, O. E. Yucel, and N. D. Erkan, "Results of CAM therapy in amblyopic patients," *Vestnik Oftal'mologii*, (google scholar)
14. A. Singh, P. Sharma, and R. Saxena, "Evaluation of the role of monocular video game play as an adjuvant to occlusion therapy in the management of anisometropic amblyopia," *Journal of Pediatric Ophthalmology & Strabismus*, (google scholar)
15. Y. Iwata, T. Handa, H. Ishikawa, T. Goseki, and N. Shoji, "Evaluation of the effects of the occlu-pad for the management of anisometropic amblyopia in children," *Current Eye Research* (google scholar)
16. P. Deshpande, P. Bhalchandra, A. Nalgirkar, and S. Tathe, "Improvement of visual acuity in residual meridional amblyopia by astigmatic axis video games," *Indian Journal of Ophthalmology*, (google scholar)
17. C. Gambacorta, M. Nahum, I. Vedamurthy et al., "An action video game for the treatment of amblyopia in children: a feasibility study," *Vision Research*, (google scholar)