



Modify Conditions of Hardy-Rogers' Fixed Point Theorem

Archana Chaudhari¹, Basel Hardan^{2}, Alaa Abdallah³, Ahmed A. Hamoud⁴, Kirtiwant P. Ghadle⁵ and Jayashree Patil⁶*

¹Department of Mathematics, College of Agriculture Badnapur Dist. Jalna. 431202 archubkharat@gmail.com

^{2,3}Department of Mathematics, Abyan University, Abyan, Yemen. bassil2003@gmail.com (B. H), maths.aab@bamu.ac.in (A.A)

⁴Department of Mathematics, Taiz University, Taiz P.O. Box 6803, Yemen drahmedselwi985@gmail.com

^{2,3,5}Department of Mathematics, Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar Marathwada University, Chhatrapati Sambhajanagar, Maharashtra, India ghadle.maths@bamu.ac.in (K.G)

⁶Department of Mathematics, Vasant Rao Naik Mahavidyalaya, Cidco, Chhatrapati Sambhajanagar, Maharashtra, India. jv.patil29@gmail.com

ABSTRACT.

A basic version of the Hardy-Rogers fixed point theorem is presented in this study.

Keywords: Banach theory; generalized space; fixed point theorem; contractive mapping.

2010 MSC: 46B07; 54F05; 47H10; 47H09.

1. Introduction

It is well known that the Banach contraction principle plays an important role in various fields of science, especially in functional analysis and applied mathematics. Banach [2] proved the existence and uniqueness of a point $x \in X$, such that $T: X \rightarrow X$ is a contraction mapping, i.e. for all $x, y \in X, \lambda \in [0, 1)$,

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \lambda d(x, y) \quad (1.1)$$

In [10] Kannan generalized (1.1), for all $x, y \in X$ where $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$.

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha [d(Tx, x) + d(Ty, y)], \quad (1.2)$$

Then many attempts were made that expanded and developed to (1.1). Reich [17] obtained his results in turn generalized to (1.2). In [9] Hardy and Rogers introduced a generalization of a fixed point theorem of Reich, as the following:

Theorem 1.1 Let (X, d) be a metric space and T a self-mapping on X , for all $x, y \in X$ satisfying the condition

$$1. d(Tx, Ty) \leq ad(x, Tx) + bd(y, Ty) + cd(x, Ty) + ed(y, Tx) + fd(x, y) \quad (1.3)$$

Where, a, b, c, e, f are nonnegative and we set $\alpha = a + b + c + d + f$. Then

a. If X is a complete and $\alpha < 1$, T has a unique fixed point.

b. If (1.3) is modified to the condition $x \neq y$ implies

$$1. d(Tx, Ty) < ad(x, Tx) + bd(y, Ty) + cd(x, Ty) + ed(y, Tx) + fd(x, y),$$

in this case we assume X is compact, T is continuous and $\alpha = 1$, then T has a unique fixed point.

Recently, many researchers have extensively studied these types of fixed point theorems ([4-8], [12-15]). Many of the concepts have been introduced recently in the Hardy-Rogers theory from those studies we mention, Rangamma [16] proved Hardy and Rogers type common fixed point theorem for a family of self-maps in cone 2-metric spaces, in the same way. Chifu [3] present some fixed point results in b-metric spaces using a contractive condition of Hardy-Rogers type concerning the functional H . A new direction to the literature of common fixed point theorems related to T -Hardy-Rogers contraction mappings, Banach pair of mappings, and cone metric spaces due to Rhymend in [18]. A modified class of Hardy-Rogers p -proximate cyclic contraction in uniform spaces was introduced by Olisama [11]. Abbas [1] proved some fixed point theorems for a T -Hardy-Rogers contraction in the setting of partially ordered partial metric spaces. Some fixed point theorems for a generalized almost Hardy-Rogers type F -contraction in metric-like

space were introduced by Saipara in [19]. The main result of this paper is to study the modified conditions of Hardy-Rogers fixed point theorem and prove.

Modify The Hardy-Rogers Fixed Point Theorem.

Theorem 2.1 Let X be a Complete metric space and let $f: X \rightarrow X$ a continuous self-mapping on X , suppose f satisfying the condition (1.3) for all $x, y \in X, x \neq y$ and for some $m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4, m_5 \in [0, 1]$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^5 m_i < 1$. Then f has a unique fixed point.

Proof. Let x_0 be an arbitrary point in X and $\{X_{n-1}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be the sequence of iterations for f at x_0 , such that

$$f(x_{n-1}) = x_n. \quad (2.1)$$

We let that $x_{n-1} \neq x_n$ for all $n \in N$. Therefore $d(x_{n-1}, x_n) = d(f(x_{n-2}), f(x_{n-1}))$, by (1.3) we get

$$d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \leq m_1 d(x_{n-2}, f(x_{n-2})) + m_2 d(x_{n-1}, f(x_{n-1})) + m_3 d(x_{n-2}, f(x_{n-1})) + m_4 d(x_{n-1}, f(x_{n-2})) + m_5 d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}).$$

By (2.1) we get

$$d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \leq m_1 d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) + m_2 d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + m_3 d(x_{n-2}, x_n) + m_4 d(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}) + m_5 d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}).$$

By triangle inequality for some $x_{n-2} \leq x_{n-1} \leq x_n$, we obtained

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{n-1}, x_n) &\leq m_1 d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) + m_2 d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + m_3 d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) + m_3 d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + m_5 d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}). \\ &= \left(\frac{m_1 + m_3 + m_5}{1 - m_2 - m_3} \right) d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

And,

$$d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \leq \left(\frac{m_1 + m_3 + m_5}{1 - m_2 - m_3} \right)^2 d(x_{n-3}, x_{n-2}).$$

So, if we repeat this work we obtained

$$d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \leq \left(\frac{m_1 + m_3 + m_5}{1 - m_2 - m_3} \right)^n d(x_0, x_1) \quad (2.3)$$

For some $s \geq n - 1$, we have

$d(x_{n-1}, x_s) \leq d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \dots + d(x_{s-1}, x_s)$ by (4) we conclusion that

$$d(x_{n-1}, x_s) \leq \{\beta^n + \beta^{n+1} + \dots + \beta^s\} d(x_0, x_1), \text{ where } \beta = \left(\frac{m_1 + m_3 + m_5}{1 - m_2 - m_3} \right), \text{ and since } \sum_{i=1}^5 m_i < 1. \text{ Therefore } \beta^n \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then, $d(x_{n-1}, x_s) \rightarrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$.

Every Cauchy sequence $\{x_{n-1}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in X is convergence, since X is Banach space. i.e. There exist $z_1 \in X$ such that $x_n \rightarrow z_1$, also we have a continuous map, then

$$f\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n\right) = f(z_1), \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = z_1.$$

Hence, z_1 is a fixed point of f in X .

We need to prove that z_1 is a unique fixed point of f in X , for that we let there exist another fixed point $z_2 \in X$, such that $z_1 \neq z_2$ and $f(z_1) = z_1, f(z_2) = z_2$, therefore by (1.3)

$$d(z_1, z_2) = d(f(z_1), f(z_2)) \leq m_1 d(z_1, f(z_1)) + m_2 d(z_2, f(z_2)) + m_3 d(z_1, f(z_2)) + m_4 d(z_2, f(z_1)) + m_5 d(z_1, z_2).$$

$$d(z_1, z_2) \leq (m_3 + m_4 + m_5) d(z_1, z_2), \quad (m_3 + m_4 + m_5) < 1.$$

Then $d(z_1, z_2) \leq 0$, which implies that $d(z_1, z_2) = 0$, so $z_1 = z_2$ and z_1 is a unique fixed point of f in X .

Theorem 2.2 Let X be a Banach space and let f_1, f_2 two continuous self-mappings on, satisfying the condition

$$\begin{aligned} d(f_1(x), f_2(y)) &\leq m_1 d(x, f_1(x)) + m_2 d(y, f_2(y)) + m_3 d(x, f_2(y)) + m_4 d(y, f_1(x)) + m_5 d(x, y) \\ (2.4) \text{ for all } x, y \in X, x \neq y \text{ and for some } m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4, m_5 \in [0, 1] \text{ such that } \sum_{i=1}^5 m_i < 1. \text{ Then } f_1 \text{ and } f_2 \text{ have a unique common fixed point.} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For $x_0 \in X, y_0 \in X$ take $f_1(x_{n-1}) = x_n, f_2(y_{n-1}) = y_n$. So,

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_k, y_k) &= d(f_1(x_{k-1}), f_2(y_{k-1})) \leq m_1 d(x_{k-1}, f_1(x_{k-1})) + m_2 d(y_{k-1}, f_2(y_{k-1})) + m_3 d(x_{k-1}, f_2(y_{k-1})) + \\ &\quad + m_4 d(y_{k-1}, f_1(x_{k-1})) + m_5 d(x_{k-1}, y_{k-1}). \\ &= m_1 d(x_{k-1}, x_k) + m_2 d(y_{k-1}, y_k) + m_3 d(x_{k-1}, y_k) + m_4 d(y_{k-1}, x_k) + m_5 d(x_{k-1}, y_{k-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Also we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^n d(x_k, y_k) = \sum_{k=1}^n d(f_1(x_{k-1}), f_2(y_{k-1})) \leq$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^n [m_1 d(x_{k-1}, x_k) + m_2 d(y_{k-1}, y_k) + m_3 d(x_{k-1}, y_k) + m_4 d(y_{k-1}, x_k) + m_5 d(x_{k-1}, y_{k-1})] +$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^n d(x_k, y_k) \leq [m_1 d(x_0, x_n) + m_2 d(y_0, y_n) + m_3 \sum_{k=1}^n d(x_{k-1}, y_k) +$$

$$+ m_4 \sum_{k=1}^n d(y_{k-1}, x_k) + m_5 \sum_{k=1}^n d(x_{k-1}, y_{k-1})].$$

And,

$$\sum_{k=1}^n d(x_{k+1}, y_k) \leq [m_1 d(x_1, x_n) + m_2 d(y_0, y_n) + m_3 \sum_{k=1}^n d(x_k, y_k) +$$

$$+ m_4 \sum_{k=1}^n d(y_{k-1}, x_{k+1}) + m_5 \sum_{k=1}^n d(x_k, y_{k-1})].$$

Also,

$$\sum_{k=1}^n d(x_k, x_{k+1}) \leq (m_1 + m_5) d(x_0, x_n) + (m_2 + m_3) d(x_1, x_{n+1}).$$

We get that

$$\sum_{k=1}^n d(x_k, x_{k+1}) \leq \sum_{k=1}^n d(x_k, y_k) + \sum_{k=1}^n d(y_k, x_{k+1}).$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{k=1}^n d(x_k, x_{k+1}) < \infty. \quad (2.5)$$

This implies $d(x_k, x_{k+1}) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, we see that $\{x_k\}$ is a

Cauchy sequence in X . Similarly we can show that $\{y_k\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X , and since X is a Banach space, then X is complete, so there exist a common fixed point in X ,

$$\text{Let } z_1 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n, \quad z_2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n, \quad \text{for all } z_1, z_2 \in X.$$

This implies that

$$d(x_n, z_1) \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty$$

$$d(y_n, z_2) \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty$$

As f_1, f_2 are continuous mapping we get

$$d(f_1(x_n), f_1(z_1)) \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty$$

$$d(f_2(y_n), f_2(z_2)) \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty$$

That is meaning

$$d(z_1, f_1(z_1)) = d(f_1^{-1}(f_1(z_1)), f_1(z_1)) \leq m_1 d(f_1(z_1), f_1^{-1}(f_1(z_1))) + m_2 d(z_1, f_1(z_1)) +$$

$$+ m_3 d(f_1(z_1), f_1(z_1)) + m_4 d(z_1, f_1^{-1}(f_1(z_1))) + m_5 d(f_1(z_1), z_1) \quad (2.6)$$

$$= m_1 d(f_1(z_1), z_1) + m_2 d(z_1, f_1(z_1)) + m_5 d(f_1(z_1), z_1).$$

$$= (m_1 + m_2 + m_5) d(z_1, f_1(z_1)).$$

Hence,

$$f_1(z_1) = z_1.$$

Similarly we can show that $f_2(z_2) = z_2$.

Now, for each $m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4, m_5 \in [0, 1)$ and $z_1, z_2 \in X$, we get

$$d(z_1, z_2) = d(f_1(z_1), f_2(z_2)) \leq m_1 d(z_1, f_1(z_1)) + m_2 d(z_2, f_2(z_2)) + m_3 d(z_1, f_2(z_2)) +$$

$$+ m_4 d(z_2, f_1(z_1)) + m_5 d(z_1, z_2).$$

$$d(z_1, z_2) \leq m_1 d(z_1, z_1) + m_2 d(z_2, z_2) + m_3 d(z_1, z_2) + m_4 d(z_2, z_1) + m_5 d(z_1, z_2).$$

$$= (m_3 + m_4 + m_5) d(z_1, z_2)$$

Therefore,

$$d(z_1, z_2) \leq 0.$$

Hence,

$$z_1 = z_2. \text{ This implies that } z_1 \text{ is common fixed point of } f_1 \text{ and } f_2 \text{ in } X.$$

Now, let $z_3 \in X$ be another fixed point of f_1 and f_2 in X such that

$$f_1(z_3) = z_3 \quad \text{and} \quad f_2(z_3) = z_3.$$

Therefore,

$$d(z_1, z_3) = d(f_1(z_1), f_2(z_3)) \leq m_1 d(z_1, f_1(z_1)) + m_2 d(z_3, f_2(z_3)) + m_3 d(z_1, f_2(z_3)) + m_4 d(z_3, f_1(z_1)) + m_5 d(z_1, z_3).$$

$$d(z_1, z_3) \leq m_1 d(z_1, z_1) + m_2 d(z_3, z_3) + m_3 d(z_1, z_3) + m_4 d(z_3, z_1) + m_5 d(z_1, z_3). \\ = (m_3 + m_4 + m_5) d(z_1, z_3).$$

Then, $d(z_1, z_3) \leq 0$.

This implies that $z_1 = z_2 = z_3$. Thus z_1 is the unique common fixed point of f_1 and f_2 in X .

Reference

- [1] M. Abbas, H. Aydi, S. Radenović, fixed point of T -Hardy-Rogers contractive mappings in partially ordered partial metric spaces, International journal of mathematics and mathematical sciences, 2012(2011), 11 pages.
- [2] S. Banach, Sur Les operations' dand Les ensembles abstrait et Leur application aux equations, integrals Fundam. Math., (3)133-181, 1922.
- [3] C. Chifu, Gabriela PatruseL., fixed point results for multi valued Hardy-Rogers contractions in b-metric spaces, Faculty of sciences and mathematics, University of Nic, Serbia, 31:8(2017),2499-2507.
- [4] K. P. Ghadle, J. Patil, B. Hardan and A. A. A. Abdallah, A Study on Orthogonally in Generalized Normed Spaces, J. Drug Design. Bioinform. 1(1)(2023), 5-7.
- [5] A. A. Hamoud, J. Patil, B. Hardan, A. Bachhav, H. Emadifar, H. Gunerhan, Generalization Contractive Mappings on Rectangular b -Metric Space, Advances in Mathematical Physics, 2022(2022), 10 pages.
- [6] B. Hardan, J. Patil, A. A. Hamoud, A. Bachhav, H. Emadifar, A. Ghanizadeh, S. A. Edalatpanah, H. Azizi, On $(\gamma, \eta)_{(f,g)}$ -contractions in extended b-metric spaces, Advances in Mathematical Physics, 2022(2022), 8 pages.
- [7] B. Hardan, J. Patil, A. Chaudhari and A. Bachhav, Approximate Fixed Points for n -Linear Functional by (μ, σ) - Nonexpansive Mappings on n -Banach spaces, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Modeling, 1(1),(2020), 20-32.
- [8] B. Hardan, J. Patil, A. Chaudhari and A. Bachhav, Caristi Type Fixed Point Theorems of Contractive Mapping with Application, One Day National Conference on Recent Advances In Sciences Held on: 13th February 2020, 609-614.
- [9] G. E. Hardy, T. D. Rogers, A generalization of fixed point theorem of Reich, Canada. Math. Bull. Vol. 16(2), 1973.
- [10] R. Kannan., some remarks on fixed points., Bull Calcutta Math.Soc. 60(1960),71-76.
- [11] V. Ollisama, Johnson Olalern and Hudson Akewe., Best proximity point results for Hardy-Rogers p -proximal cyclic contraction in uniform spaces, fixed point theory and applications., 18(2018).
- [12] J. Patil, B. Hardan, A. Bachhav, On generalized metric space type and related fixed point results, International Journal of Advance and Innovative Research, 8(4)(2021), 273-278.
- [13] J. Patil, B. Hardan, M. Abdo, A. Chaudhari, and A. Bachhav, A fixed Point Theorem for Hardy-Rogers Type on Generalized Fractional Differential Equations, Advances in the Theory of Nonlinear Analysis and its Applications, 4(4)(2020), 407-420.
- [14] J. Patil and B. Hardan, On Fixed Point Theorems in Complete Metric Space, Journal of Computer and Mathematical Sciences. 10(7)(2019), 1419-1425.
- [15] J. Patil and B. Hardan, A. Bachhav, Suzuki Type Common Fixed Point Result on h -Metric Space, International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology, 2(3)(2022), 5 pages.
- [16] M. Rangamma and P. Rama Bhadra, Hardy and Rogers type contractive condition and common fixed point theorem in cone-2-metric space for a family of self-maps., Global journal of pure and applied mathematics. Vol. 12, 3(2016), pp.2375-2385.
- [17] S. Reich., Kannan's fixed point theorem, Bull, Univ. Mat. Italiana., (4)4(1971),1-11.
- [18] V. Rhymend, R. Hemavathy., Common fixed point theorem for T -Hardy-Rogers contraction mapping in a cone metric space, International mathematical forum, 5, 2010, no.30, 1495-1506.
- [19] P. Saipara, Konorawt Khammahawong., fixed point theorem for a generalized almost Hardy-Rogers- type F -contractive on metric-like spaces. Mathematical methods in the applied sciences. 2019, doi.org/10.1002/mma.5793.

@@@@@@@@@@@@

- [1] M. Alfuraidan, Mustafa Bachar, Mohamed A. Khamsi., A graphical version of Reich's fixed point theorem, Journal on nonlinear science and applications, 9(2016), 3931-3938.
- [9] S. Kumar, Tiwari and Kaushik Das, cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems for generalized T-Reich contraction with c-distance, vol. 2017, (1-9)2017.
- [10] T. Lazar, Ghiocel Mot, Silviu Szentesi, The theory of Reichs' fixed point theorem for multivalued, Fixed point theory and applicatios: 178421(2010).
- [11] A. Nastasi and Pasquale vetro Filomate, Ageneralization of Riech's fixed point theorem for multi-valued mappings.,vol.31, No.11(2017), pp. 3295-3305.
- [17] B. Sharbu, Alemayehu Geremew, Asfawosen Baerhaue, A common fixed point theorem for Reich type co-cyclic contraction in dislocated quasi metric space., Ethiopian journal of sciences and Technology, vol 10.No. 2(2017).
- [18] C. Yu-Qing, On a fixed point problem of