WWW.IJRPR.COM

International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

Journal homepage: www.ijrpr.com ISSN 2582-7421

A STUDY ON FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE EVALUATION: ADANI POWER LTD VS. RELIANCE POWER LTD

Jacob Lalramdina

IV SEMESTER -22MCOM21

ABSTRACT :

This study analyses the financial performance of Adani Power Ltd and Reliance Power Ltd over a recent period. We compare profitability metrics (net profit margin, ROE, ROCE) and operational efficiency ratios (operating profit margin, inventory turnover) to assess the financial health of each company. The analysis reveals significant improvement in profitability for both companies, with Adani Power demonstrating a more consistent positive trend. However, Reliance Power exhibits a more aggressive debt management strategy, reflected in higher debt-to-asset and debt-to-equity ratios compared to Adani Power's conservative approach. These findings suggest that while both companies are improving financially, investors seeking lower risk may favour Adani Power due to its more cautious debt management.

KEYWORDS: Financial Ratios, Profitability, Debt, Liquidity, Valuation

INTRODUCTION :

- Indian Power Giants in Flux: A Financial Analysis of Adani Power and Reliance Power
  India's power sector undergoes a metamorphosis. Soaring demand, renewable energy integration, and government reforms redefine the landscape. Understanding the financial health of key players like Adani Power Ltd (Adani Power) and Reliance Power Ltd (Reliance Power) becomes critical. This study delves into a comparative analysis of these companies using financial ratios.

- The Evolving Power Landscape
  India boasts a massive installed power capacity, yet challenges remain. Meeting surging electricity demand, ensuring reliable supply, and integrating renewables are crucial. Rapid urbanization, industrial growth, and rising living standards drive relentless electricity consumption. The government pushes ambitious renewable energy initiatives – the National Renewable Energy Mission targets 175 GW of renewable capacity by 2022. These transformations necessitate adaptability from power companies.

- Financial Health Through Ratios
  Financial ratios provide a powerful tool to assess a company's financial health, performance, and risk profile. Key metrics offer valuable insights into profitability, operational efficiency, and debt management. This study utilizes profitability ratios (net profit margin, ROE, ROCE), operational efficiency ratios (operating profit margin, inventory turnover), and debt management ratios (debt-to-asset, debt-to-equity).

- Profitability: Unveiling Return Potential
  Profitability ratios measure a company's ability to generate profits from revenue and investments. Both Adani Power and Reliance Power exhibit improvements in net profit margins, with Adani Power demonstrating a more consistent positive trend. ROE measures return on shareholder equity, indicating how effectively a company utilizes shareholder investments for profit generation. ROE has improved for both companies, with Adani Power maintaining a positive trend. ROCE assesses the return on total capital employed (equity and debt). Similar to ROE, both companies show improvement, indicating increasing profitability. Analyzing these ratios alongside market data and future growth projections helps investors identify potentially lucrative investment opportunities.

- Operational Efficiency: Optimizing Resources for Output
  Operational efficiency ratios measure a company's ability to convert resources into production and manage expenses effectively. The operating profit margin reflects the percentage of revenue remaining after accounting for production costs. While both companies have improved their margins, Adani Power's trend appears more consistent. Inventory turnover measures how effectively a company manages its inventory levels. Improvements in inventory turnover for both companies indicate better resource management, potentially reducing holding

costs and improving cash flow. Analyzing operational efficiency ratios alongside production capacity and cost structure can assist investors in assessing a company's ability to navigate market fluctuations and optimize its production processes.

- Debt Management: Balancing Growth with Stability
  Debt management strategies are crucial for ensuring long-term financial stability. Debt-to-asset and debt-to-equity ratios measure the extent to which a company utilizes debt to finance its operations. While both companies have exhibited increases in these ratios, Adani Power has adopted a more conservative approach compared to Reliance Power. A higher debt-to-equity ratio indicates a greater reliance on borrowed funds, which can be risky if not managed effectively. This analysis highlights a key difference in the financial strategies of the two companies. Investors seeking lower risk profiles may favor Adani Power due to its more cautious debt management approach.

PROBLEM STATEMENT :

The power sector in India is witnessing intense competition and rapid growth, with Adani Power and Reliance Power being critical players in this industry. However, there needs to be a more comprehensive and comparative financial analysis between these two companies. To make informed decisions, investors and stakeholders must thoroughly understand their financial performance, including revenue generation, profitability, debt management, and market valuation. This study aims to address this gap by conducting a detailed comparative financial analysis of Adani Power and Reliance Power, providing valuable insights into their financial health, and facilitating more informed investment decisions.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE:

- Jill Hooks, 2007: This research examines the financial performance of three entities over fifteen years. The aim is to determine the influence of corporatization, commercialization, and ownership form on the reported financial performance of three entities.
- Wei Sun, 2010: This paper discusses some theories of the system of performance evaluation and analyses the merits and disadvantages of these theories. this paper brings forward the system of performance evaluation with the method of fuzzy mathematics.
- Yunus, N.M.,Malik, S.A., 2012:The use of financial models is to predict the performance of a company. The theoretical analysis in the development of the model is done using a matrix.
- Rungi, M., and Stulova, V., 2013:The current study investigates the impact of absorptive capacity on financial performance in the context of corporate acquisitions. Quantitative research was carried out based on European ICT companies that were subject to acquisition in 2008. The results demonstrate that absorptive capacity entails a direct effect on financial performance.
- Hajek, P., & Olej, V., 2014: This paper develops a methodology to extract concepts containing qualitative information from corporate annual reports. The methodology makes it possible to compare the concepts with future financial performance easily. The results suggest that annual reports differ in terms of the concepts emphasized in reflecting future financial performance.

OBJECTIVES:

1. To study the profitability analysis of Adani Power and Reliance Power
2. To examine operational efficiency of Adani Power and Reliance Power

METHODOLOGY

This study employs a comparative financial analysis to assess the performance of Adani Power Ltd (Adani Power) and Reliance Power Ltd (Reliance Power). We focus on profitability, operational efficiency, and debt management strategies to gain insights into their financial health.
Financial data for both companies will be gathered from publicly available sources, ensuring consistency and transparency. These sources may include:

- Company Annual Reports: Annual reports are a rich source of financial information, including income statements, balance sheets, and cash flow statements.
- Financial Databases: Reputable financial databases like Bloomberg or Mergent Online provide historical financial data and key ratios.
- Company Websites: Investor relations sections of company websites often provide financial reports and presentations.

RESEARCH GAP

Despite the availability of financial statements and various financial ratios for both Adani Power Ltd and Reliance Power Ltd, there is a lack of in-depth analysis regarding the specific factors contributing to their financial performance discrepancies. While existing literature may provide general comparisons of financial metrics between companies in the power sector, there is limited research focusing on the nuanced differences in operational strategies, market positioning, regulatory environments, or other contextual factors that may explain variations in financial performance between Adani Power Ltd and Reliance Power Ltd.

DATA COLLECTION METHOD

The analysis is based on secondary data sources.
Primary Data: There is no primary data.
Secondary Data: Information or data that has been gathered and analyzed by someone other than the original researcher is referred to be a secondary source. It entails using already published materials like books, papers, journals, databases, or other documents that offer knowledge or insight on a certain subject or inquiry.
For this study, Data is gathered from various sources, including the annual report of Adani and Reliance Power Ltd, their respective website, articles, and company publications. Previous initiatives and books on finance are also used as references.

HYPOTHESIS OF THE STUDY

Hypothesis 1

H0: There is no significant difference between profitability ratios of the selected companies.
H1: There is a significant difference between profitability ratios of the selected companies.

Hypothesis 2

H0: There is no significant relation between COGs, operating profit margin and Inventory Turnover ratio of the selected companies.
H1: There is a significant relation between COGs, operating profit margin and Inventory Turnover ratio of the selected companies.

DATA USED FOR ANALYSIS

Profitability Analysis:-

Net profit margin
Return on Net Worth/Equity
Return on Capital Employee
Return on Assets
Operational Efficiency Cost of goods sold
Operating Profit Margin
Inventory Turnover
Debt Management Strategies
Debt-to-Asset
Debt-to-Equity
Debt-to-Capital

TOOL FOR DATA COLLECTION

Since the study is secondary data collection method, the tool for data collection is a Direct Method. The data was collected using Google with several websites related to the topic.

TOOL FOR DATA ANALYSIS

The various data analysis tool used in this study are as follows:

1. Anova Test and
2. Multiple Regression Analysis

DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION:

TEST 1: ANOVA TEST

Aim: To test the significant difference in the profitability of Adani Power and Reliance Power.

Null Hypothesis (H_0): There is no significant difference in the profitability of Adani Power and Reliance Power.

Alternative Hypothesis (H_a): There is a significant difference between the profitability of Adani Power and Reliance Power.

Table 1

ANOVA					
	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	2.756	1	2.756	.067	.802
Within Groups	327.684	8	40.961		
Total	330.441	9			

Interpretation:

The p-value (Sig.) associated with the F-statistic is 0.802, which is greater than the conventional significance level of 0.05. Since the p-value is greater than 0.05, we fail to reject the null hypothesis (H0) that there is no significant difference in the profitability of Adani Power and Reliance Power.

TEST 2: MULTIPLE REGRESSION TEST

2.1 ADANI POWER

Aim: To test whether the profitability of Adani is influenced by operational efficiency and capital structure.

Null Hypothesis (H_0): The profitability of Adani is not influenced by operational efficiency and capital structure.

Alternative Hypothesis (H_a):The profitability of Adani is influenced by operational efficiency and capital structure.

TABLE NO. 2.1

Model Summary				
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.966[a]	.934	.868	2.23256
a. Predictors: (Constant), Adani_Capital, Adani_OE				

TABLE NO. 2.2

ANOVA[a]					
Model	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.

1	Regression	141.008	2	70.504	14.145	.066[b]
	Residual	9.969	2	4.984		
	Total	150.977	4			
a. Dependent Variable: Adani_Profitability						
b. Predictors: (Constant), Adani_Capital, Adani_ OE						

Interpretation:

From the above ANOVA Table Regression (Model Row). The model explains a substantial portion of the variation in Adani's profitability, with a sum of squares of 141.008 and two degrees of freedom (df). 70.504 is the mean square, which is calculated by dividing the sum of squares by their df (141.008 / 2). The F-value, or the ratio of the model's mean square to the residuals' mean square, is 14.145. This indicates that, overall, the model is statistically significant at the alpha level of.066; nevertheless, one usually compares this number to a significance threshold (α) of 0.05. The p-value in this case is just above 0.05, indicating marginal significance and advising care in how the data are interpreted as unquestionably important. Residual: This is the variation that the model is unable to account for. The mean square error (MSE) is 4.984 with a df of 2 and a sum of squares of 9.969, which is the total squared divergence of the observed values from the predicted values. The regression's F-value is computed using this value. The overall variation in Adani_Profitability, with 4 degrees of freedom and a sum of squares of 150.977.

TABLE NO. 2.3

Coefficients[a]						
Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardize d Coefficients	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(Constant)	-1.410	2.047		-.689	.562
	Adani_OE	.547	.214	1.145	2.549	.126
	Adani_Capital	-.139	.315	-.199	-.442	.702
a. Dependent Variable: Adani_Profitability						

Interpretation:

From the above Coefficients Table it shows that Adani_OE: Keeping everything else equal, the coefficient of 0.547 indicates that Adani's profitability rises by 0.547 units for every unit improvement in operational efficiency. At the traditional α level of 0.05, this impact is marginally significant (p =.126), suggesting a positive but not statistically significant association. Adani_Capital: Keeping everything else equal, the coefficient of -0.139 indicates a modest decline in profitability with higher capital investment. However, this association is not statistically significant (p =.702), suggesting that there is no meaningful relationship between capital investment and depending on this analysis, the effect profitability.

The results of the regression model demonstrate a relationship between the profitability of the Adani Group and the two predictors, capital investment and operational efficiency. The relationship between operational efficiency and profitability is positive but not statistically significant. There is a negative correlation with capital investment, although it is not statistically significant. Although there might be a connection between these factors and profitability, the results are not definitive and should be interpreted cautiously, according to the model's marginal overall relevance.

2.2 RELIANCE POWER

Aim: To test the profitability of Reliance is influenced by operational efficiency and capital structure.
Null Hypothesis (H_0): The profitability of Reliance Power is not influenced by operational efficiency and capital structure.
Alternative Hypothesis (H_a): The profitability of Reliance Power is influenced by operational efficiency and capital structure.

TABLE NO. 2.4

Model Summary				
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.633[a]	.401	-.198	7.27530
a. Predictors: (Constant), Reliance_Capital, Reliance_OE				

TABLE NO. 2.5
ANOVA[a]

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	70.848	2	35.424	.669	.599[b]
	Residual	105.860	2	52.930		
	Total	176.708	4			
a. Dependent Variable: Reliance_Profitability						
b. Predictors: (Constant), Reliance_Capital, Reliance_OE						

Interpretation :

From the above ANOVA Table the regression coefficient (70.848) indicates the amount of variation that the model can account for. The variation not explained by the model is represented by the residual (105.660). Total (176.708): This represents the overall fluctuation in "Reliance_Profitability." df (Degrees of Freedom): This Since there are two independent variables in a regression, the value of df is 2. The value of df for Residual is 2, which is determined by subtracting the number of estimated parameters (including the intercept) from the total observations. There may be very few observations in the dataset, as indicated by the total df of 4. Mean square is calculated by dividing the total squares by the matching derivative. The values for Regression and Residual are 35.424 and 52.930 respectively. F: To determine if the model is statistically significant in explaining the variance in the dependent variable, the F-statistic (0.669) is used. It's a comparatively cheap value. Sig. (Significance): The model is not statistically significant at typical significance levels (e.g., 0.05), according to the p-value linked to the F-statistic of 0.599. This shows that there is no significant relationship between the independent variables and "Reliance_Profitability."

TABLE NO. 2.6

Coefficients[a]

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardize d Coefficients	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(Constant)	17.201	9.826		1.750	.222
	Reliance_OE	-.110	.135	-.492	-.815	.501
	Reliance_Capital	-3.121	2.865	-.658	-1.089	.390
a. Dependent Variable: Reliance_Profitability						

Interpretation :

From the above table it shows that at the 0.05 level, the p-value of 0.222 indicates that it is not statistically significant. Reliance_OE (Coefficient: -0.110): This indicates that there is a 0.110unit drop in "Reliance_Profitability" for every unit rise in "Reliance_OE". Nevertheless, the pvalue of 0.501 suggests that there is no statistical significance for this effect. Reliance Capital (Coefficient: -3.121): This indicates that there is a 3.121 unit correlation between a unit rise in "Reliance Capital" and a unit drop in "Reliance Profitability." Once more indicating that there is no statistical significance in this association is the p-value of 0.390. Based on the ANOVA and regression coefficients, it appears that in this model, "Reliance_Capital" and "Reliance_OE" do not significantly predict "Reliance_Profitability". The elevated p-values in the complete model and There is no statistically significant difference among the individual predictors. The very low degrees of freedom suggest that there may be a few possible causes for this, including a small sample size, weak predictor effects, or a weak correlation between the selected predictors and "Reliance_Profitability.

FINDINGS :

Following are the findings of the study:

- Return on Equity (ROE) increased from 4.41% in 2020 to 35.05% in 2023, indicating better utilization of shareholders' funds.
- Return on Capital Employed (ROCE) also improved from 3.05% in 2020 to 17.53% in 2023, reflecting enhanced efficiency in capital utilization.
- Adani Power exhibited a positive trend in net profit margin, ROE, and ROCE over the years.
- Adani Power demonstrated a conservative approach towards debt, with a gradual increase in debt-to-asset and debt-to-equity ratios.
- Debt-to-asset ratio increased from 2.04 in 2021 to 14.06 in 2023.
- Debt-to-equity ratio increased from 0.77 in 2021 to 2.21 in 2023.
- Reliance Power exhibited a mixed trend in debt management, with fluctuations in debtto-asset and debt-to-equity ratios.
- Debt-to-asset ratio increased from 0.31 in 2021 to 4.10 in 2023.
- Debt-to-equity ratio increased from 0.53 in 2019 to 7.38 in 2023, indicating a more leveraged position.

SUGGESTIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Debt Scrutiny: Both companies should conduct a thorough review of their debt structure, ensuring that the increase in leverage is sustainable and aligned with their growth plans.
2. Market Risks: Given the nature of the power industry, fluctuations in commodity prices and regulatory changes can impact profitability. Regular risk assessments should be conducted to mitigate these risks.
3. Efficiency Measures: Both Reliance Power and Adani Power should continue focusing on improving operational efficiency. This includes optimizing costs, enhancing inventory management, and streamlining processes to ensure sustainable growth.
4. Transparency: Both companies should maintain transparent communication with investors regarding their financial strategies, debt management plans, and steps taken to address any concerns highlighted in the analysis.
5. Diversification: Exploring opportunities for diversification in energy sources or geographic markets can reduce dependency on specific factors affecting the power industry.

BIBLIOGRAPHY :

BOOKS AND JOURNAL

1. Analysis of Financial Statements by P.L. Ahuja (2023, 8th Edition). This book is a comprehensive guide to financial statement analysis, covering various aspects like ratio analysis, cash flow analysis, and profitability analysis
2. Financial Statement Analysis: A Step-by-Step Approach by S.N. Maheshwari (2023, 6th Edition). This book covers the three main financial statements - balance sheet, income statement, and cash flow statement - and explains how to analyze them for profitability, liquidity, solvency, and growth potential.
3. Research Methodology: Theory and Techniques" by N. Sreenivasulu - Published in 2017 by B S Publications in Hyderabad, India.
4. Research Methodology: Methods and Analysis" by K.V. Reddy and V.H. Manikandan - Published in 2017 by Oxford University Press in New Delhi, India
5. Swadia (2018) A Comparative Study of Profitability of Selected Pharma Companies of India Vol. 7, No. 1; 2018
6. Vyas, 2015: A Comparative Study on Performance Evaluation of Selected Banks Listed in BSE , Volume : 3, Issue : 10 ISSN : 2249-7307