WWW.IJRPR.COM

International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

Journal homepage: www.ijrpr.com ISSN 2582-7421

Constructing an Ideal Portfolio: Exploring Risk and Return in Three Different Sectors

[1]S Mohan Kumar, [2] Dr. Sudindra V R

[2]Faculty of Management Studies (CMS Business School), Jain Deemed to be University, Bengaluru.

ABSTRACT

This research paper explores the intricate dynamics of risk and return within the Information Technology, Banking, and Automobile sectors, utilizing historical data sourced from the National Stock Exchange (NSE) spanning 2013 to 2023. Employing systematic simple random sampling, it selects five representative companies from each sector for unbiased analysis. Through meticulous examination of key financial metrics, including mean returns, variance, standard deviation, covariances, and beta values, insights into individual stock risk profiles are garnered. By applying the Treynor Measure, two portfolios are constructed: the Balanced Market Portfolio and the Aggressive Growth Portfolio, offering practical investment strategies. Bridging theory with practice, this paper contributes to portfolio management knowledge, empowering stakeholders with actionable insights for navigating financial markets. Through rigorous methodology and comprehensive analysis, it sheds light on the multifaceted relationship between risk and return across sectors, aiding informed decision-making in an evolving economic landscape.

Keywords: Risk and Return, Simple Random Sampling, Treynor Measure, Portfolio Management, Financial Markets, Investment Strategy, Risk Management.

I. INTRODUCTION

This study is rooted in the complex realities of modern financial markets, where investors face multifaceted challenges in achieving a balance between risk and return. In today's economic landscape, marked by volatility and uncertainty, understanding the behaviors and dynamics of specific sectors is imperative for effective portfolio management. Sectors such as Information Technology, Banking, and Automobile exhibit unique characteristics, risks, and growth prospects, necessitating a nuanced approach to portfolio construction.

By conducting an in-depth exploration of these sectors, this research aims to uncover insights that are essential for constructing portfolios that are both robust and diversified. Leveraging sophisticated quantitative methodologies like the Treynor Measure allows for a deeper understanding of risk-adjusted returns within each sector, facilitating the identification of optimal investment opportunities.

Moreover, by integrating sectoral analysis with portfolio optimization strategies, this study bridges the gap between theory and practice, offering practical guidance for investors and financial practitioners alike. The findings not only enrich academic discourse but also have tangible implications for real-world investment decisions, empowering stakeholders to navigate the complexities of financial markets with confidence.

Ultimately, the goal of this study is to equip stakeholders with the knowledge and tools necessary to enhance portfolio performance in an increasingly uncertain environment. By promoting informed decision-making and advancing portfolio management practices, this research contributes to the ongoing evolution of investment strategies and fosters resilience in the face of market challenges.

II. NEED FOR THE STUDY

This study delves into the intricate dynamics of risk and return within specific sectors—Information Technology (IT), Banking, and Automobile—highlighting the vital role of sectoral analysis in portfolio management. Despite its acknowledged importance, a significant gap exists in the literature regarding the integration of sectoral insights into portfolio optimization methodologies.

The research seeks to address this gap by investigating how the application of the Treynor Measure, a widely recognized metric for assessing risk-adjusted returns, can facilitate the construction of balanced portfolios across these diverse sectors. Through meticulous examination, it aims to uncover insights into the underlying factors driving performance variations within each industry, including technological advancements, regulatory frameworks, market trends, and broader macroeconomic influences.

Furthermore, the study aims to elucidate the challenges and opportunities inherent in sectoral diversification, providing investors and financial practitioners with actionable insights to navigate these complexities effectively. Ultimately, this research strives to advance portfolio management practices, offering practical guidance for constructing resilient portfolios tailored to individual risk preferences and investment objectives.

III. OBJECTIVES OF THE RESEARCH

- Calculating both risk and return metrics and estimating the beta coefficient for a range of stocks.
- Selecting 15 stocks across three distinct sectors and conducting an analysis of their respective beta values.
- Crafting a diversified investment portfolio by selecting shares with lower beta coefficients, aiming to minimize overall portfolio risk.

IV. LITERATURE REVIEW

The review of literature encompasses a comprehensive synthesis of existing research relevant to portfolio management, risk assessment methodologies, and sectoral analysis. Previous studies exploring the relationship between risk and return across various sectors, as well as empirical investigations into portfolio diversification strategies, will be examined. Academic and industry sources providing insights into the application of the Treynor Measure and its efficacy in portfolio management will be reviewed to inform the current research.

Research, such as Jegadeesh and Titman (1993), underscores the importance of diversification across sectors in portfolio management. Diversifying investments across different industries helps spread risk and can potentially enhance returns. Li et al. (2002) further supports this notion, demonstrating empirically that portfolios diversified across sectors tend to exhibit lower volatility and higher risk-adjusted returns compared to concentrated portfolios.

Basu (2010) and Zhang et al. (2012) delve into sector-specific risk factors that influence the performance of individual sectors. Understanding these factors, such as technological disruptions, regulatory changes, and market trends, is crucial for assessing sectoral risk and optimizing portfolio allocation across different industries.

The Treynor Measure, proposed by Treynor (1965), evaluates the risk-adjusted return of an investment relative to its beta, providing a valuable tool for portfolio optimization. Amenc et al. (2015) and Konchitchki and Patatoukas (2014) demonstrate the effectiveness of this measure in constructing optimal portfolios across diverse sectors by balancing risk and return considerations.

Studies by Brynjolfsson and McAfee (2014) and Chen et al. (2018) explore the Information Technology (IT) sector's dynamics, including technological innovations, market trends, and competitive landscapes. These insights are essential for assessing the IT sector's risk and return profiles and guiding portfolio allocation decisions.

Demirgüç-Kunt and Huizinga (2010) and Berger et al. (2016) analyze the performance of banking sectors under various regulatory regimes and macroeconomic conditions. Understanding sector-specific risk factors, such as regulatory changes and systemic risks, is crucial for optimizing portfolio allocation in the banking sector.

Research by Lee et al. (2019) and Su et al. (2020) examines the automobile industry's risk exposure to factors such as consumer preferences, regulatory changes, and supply chain disruptions. Assessing these sector-specific risks is vital for constructing optimal portfolios across the automobile industry.

Fama and French (1992) and Campbell et al. (2001) investigate the relationship between macroeconomic indicators and sectoral performance, providing insights into the macroeconomic factors influencing sectoral risk and return profiles. Understanding these relationships is essential for effective portfolio allocation across sectors.

Jorion (2006) and Hull (2012) explore risk management strategies in sectoral investments, including hedging techniques and derivatives usage. These strategies are crucial for mitigating sector-specific risks and protecting portfolios from adverse sectoral movements.

Empirical studies by Grinblatt and Titman (1993) and Carhart (1997) assess the performance of diversified portfolios across different sectors. These studies provide insights into the effectiveness of sectoral diversification strategies in enhancing risk-adjusted returns and guiding portfolio allocation decisions.

DeMiguel et al. (2009) and Blitz and van Vliet (2007) investigate dynamic asset allocation and sector rotation strategies. These strategies optimize portfolio performance by capitalizing on sectoral trends and market cycles, guiding investors in adjusting portfolio allocations dynamically to maximize returns while managing risks.

V. INDUSTRY AND COMPANY ANALYSIS FOR BETA CALCULATIONS

The researchers developed their own criterion for selecting sectors and related Companies in order to build the best diversified portfolio with the lowest betas. The following conditions were carefully examined for the selection of Industries and Companies, as listed below:

1) Sector specific fundamental analysis for the selection of stocks from various sectors.

2) Historical returns, Standard Deviation, Beta of the concerned companies (IT, Banking, Automobile, FMCG and Pharmaceuticals) eight companies taken from each sector

3) 10 years companies return and Index return (SENSEX)

PARTICULARS	**DESCRIPTION**
Sample Size	Sectors: 3 Sectors chosen from the Index i.e., Information Technology, Banking, Automobile Companies: - 15 Companies selected
Data Collection	Chosen sectors and companies underwent rigorous evaluations, with a decade-long dataset for thorough analysis.
Research Design	Descriptive and Quantitative
Sources of Data	The secondary data has been collected though BSE India (10 Years data from 2013 - 2023 is taken for the research)
Method of Calculating Beta	Beta has been calculated using Risk Free Rate of Return and Treynor Ratio.

VI. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This report offers a thorough analysis of risk and return across different sectors, specifically Information Technology, Banking, and Automobile. By exploring these sectors, we aim to uncover the relationship between risk and return within each one. Through historical data, statistical measures, and portfolio simulations, we provide insights into the advantages and challenges of diversifying across industries. Our research focuses on identifying optimal equity portfolios in the Indian capital markets as of June 31, 2023, considering various objectives and constraints. Using ten years of return and risk data, we aim to confirm the correlation between risk and return for these portfolios, providing rationale for our findings.

VII. ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

COMPANIES (x)

COMPANIES (X)								
Stocks	**Mean**	**Varients**	**Standard Deviation**	**Covarient**	**Beta**	**Total Risk**	**Sytematic Risk**	**Unsystematic Risk**
Infosys	-0.03	0.15	0.39	0.01	1.12	39%	13%	26%
TCS	0.06	0.04	0.19	0.01	1.13	19%	13%	6%
HCL	0.19	0.60	0.77	0.05	3.68	77%	42%	35%
Tech Mahindra	0.08	0.21	0.46	0.03	2.66	46%	30%	16%
Birla Soft	0.33	0.85	0.92	0.04	2.76	92%	32%	60%
HDFC Bank	0.13	0.06	0.25	0.01	0.89	25%	10%	15%
ICIC BANK	0.06	0.11	0.34	0.00	-0.16	34%	-2%	36%
SBI	0.02	0.16	0.40	0.00	-0.28	40%	-3%	43%
Kotak Bank	0.14	0.10	0.32	0.03	2.09	32%	24%	8%
IDFC Bank	0.10	0.16	0.40	0.03	2.17	40%	25%	16%
Tata Motors	0.18	0.38	0.61	0.03	2.05	61%	24%	38%
Maruti	0.23	0.13	0.37	0.02	1.63	37%	19%	18%
TVS Motors	0.49	0.69	0.83	0.06	4.62	83%	53%	30%
Ashok Leyland	0.36	0.40	0.64	0.04	2.66	64%	31%	33%
Eicher Motors	0.20	0.55	0.74	0.04	2.79	74%	32%	42%

Market (y)	
Mean	0.13
Varients	0.01
Standard Deviation	0.11

Interpretation

The data suggests a comprehensive insight into the risk and return characteristics of stocks within the Information Technology (IT), Banking, and Automobile sectors. Let's take a closer look at a few stocks to illustrate their performance and risk profiles.

For instance, consider Infosys and Birla Soft within the IT sector. Infosys exhibits a mean return of -0.03, suggesting a slight negative average return over the specified period. However, Birla Soft shows a considerably higher mean return of 0.33, indicating stronger performance. This discrepancy in mean returns implies varying levels of profitability between these two IT stocks.

Moving to the Banking sector, let's examine HDFC Bank and ICICI Bank. HDFC Bank demonstrates a mean return of 0.13, indicating a positive average return, whereas ICICI Bank has a mean return of 0.06, reflecting a slightly lower performance. Despite both banks operating within the same sector, their mean returns diverge, suggesting differences in financial health or market perception.

Now, shifting focus to the Automobile sector, let's analyse Tata Motors and Maruti. Tata Motors boasts a mean return of 0.18, indicating a relatively favourable performance, while Maruti exhibits a higher mean return of 0.23, suggesting even stronger performance within the same sector. This comparison highlights the varying degrees of success among Automobile stocks, influenced by factors such as product innovation, market demand, and competitive positioning.

1. BALANCED MARKET PORTFOLIO

Balanced Market Portfolio								
	SL No.	Companies	Rp	Rf	(Rp-Rf)	Beta	Treynor = (Rp-Rf)/Beta	Rank
IT	1	INFOSYS LTD	-27%	6%	-33%	1.12	-0.297207061	7
	2	TATA CONSULTANCY SERVICES LTD	63%	6%	57%	1.13	0.499612194	5
	3	TECH MAHINDRA	84%	6%	77%	2.66	0.291225405	6
BANKING	4	HDFC BANK LTD	126%	6%	119%	0.89	1.336047525	3
	5	ICICI BANK	61%	6%	54%	-0.16	-3.393053491	9
	6	SBI	16%	6%	10%	-0.28	-0.347747366	8
AUTOMOBILE	7	TATA MOTORS	180%	6%	174%	2.05	0.846685366	4
	8	MARUTI SUZUKI INDIA LTD	231%	6%	225%	1.63	1.374787359	1
	9	ASHOK LEYLAND	365%	6%	358%	2.66	1.346630207	2

Interpretation

The provided data outlines the composition of a Balanced Market Portfolio across three sectors: Information Technology (IT), Banking, and Automobile. Each sector is represented by a selection of companies, and their performance metrics are evaluated based on various factors such as returns, beta values, and Treynor measures.

Firstly, examining the IT sector within the Balanced Market Portfolio, we observe varying levels of performance among the included companies. For instance, Infosys Ltd demonstrates a negative return of -27%, indicating a decline in value over the specified period. Conversely, Tata Consultancy Services Ltd (TCS) showcases a positive return of 63%, suggesting significant growth. These contrasting performances reflect the diversity within the IT sector and highlight the importance of careful stock selection.

Moving to the Banking sector, HDFC Bank Ltd stands out with a substantial return of 126%, indicating robust performance during the evaluated period. Meanwhile, ICICI Bank and SBI exhibit more modest returns of 61% and 16%, respectively. Interestingly, ICICI Bank shows a negative beta value of -0.16, suggesting a counter-cyclical relationship with the market. Such insights into individual stock behaviour provide valuable information for portfolio construction and risk management strategies.

In the Automobile sector, Tata Motors, Maruti Suzuki India Ltd, and Ashok Leyland demonstrate notable returns of 180%, 231%, and 365%, respectively. These companies exhibit strong growth potential within the sector, contributing positively to the overall performance of the Balanced Market Portfolio. Additionally, the calculated beta values and Treynor measures offer insights into each company's risk-adjusted performance relative to the market.

The Treynor measures serve as a key metric for evaluating the risk-adjusted returns of each company within the portfolio. For example, Maruti Suzuki India Ltd achieves the highest Treynor measure of 1.37, indicating superior risk-adjusted performance compared to other companies. Conversely, ICICI Bank records a notably negative Treynor measure of -3.39, signalling higher risk exposure relative to its returns.

2. AGGRESSIVE GROWTH PORTFOLIO

Aggressive Growth Portfolio								
	SL No.	Companies	Rp	Rf	(Rp-Rf)	Beta	Treynor = (Rp-Rf)/Beta	Rank
IT	1	HCL INFOSYSTEMS LTD	187%	6%	181%	3.68	0.490841277	6
	2	TECH MAHINDRA LTD	84%	6%	77%	2.66	0.291439429	8
	3	BIRLASOFT LTD	328%	6%	321%	2.76	1.16414516	3
BANKING	4	KOTAK MAHINDRA BANK LTD	1098%	6%	1092%	2.09	5.213189992	1
	5	IDFC LIMITED	96%	6%	89%	2.17	0.411410726	7
	6	SBI	16%	6%	10%	-0.28	-0.347747366	9
AUTOMOBILE	7	TVS MOTOR COMPANY LTD	487%	6%	480%	4.62	1.040458543	4
	8	ASHOK LEYLAND LTD	365%	6%	358%	2.66	1.344337016	2
	9	EICHER MOTORS LTD	199%	6%	193%	2.79	0.692477774	5

Interpretation

The Aggressive Growth Portfolio comprises companies from three sectors: Information Technology (IT), Banking, and Automobile. This portfolio aims to maximize returns by investing in high-growth potential companies, often characterized by higher risk levels. Analysing the portfolio composition reveals interesting insights into the performance and risk profiles of the selected companies.

In the IT sector, HCL Infosystems Ltd, Tech Mahindra Ltd, and Birla soft Ltd demonstrate varying levels of returns and risk. HCL Infosystems exhibits the highest return of 187%, indicating substantial growth potential. Birla soft Ltd follows closely with a return of 328%, while Tech Mahindra Ltd shows a return of 84%. Despite their high returns, these companies also have relatively high beta values, reflecting their sensitivity to market fluctuations.

Moving to the Banking sector, Kotak Mahindra Bank Ltd stands out with an exceptional return of 1098%, indicating robust performance and strong growth prospects. This substantial return is accompanied by a relatively high beta value of 2.09, suggesting significant market sensitivity. Conversely, IDFC Limited and SBI demonstrate lower returns of 96% and 16%, respectively, highlighting the diversity within the sector.

In the Automobile sector, TVS Motor Company Ltd, Ashok Leyland Ltd, and Eicher Motors Ltd showcase notable returns of 487%, 365%, and 199%, respectively. These companies exhibit strong growth potential within the sector, contributing positively to the overall performance of the Aggressive Growth Portfolio. Additionally, their relatively high beta values indicate significant market sensitivity, aligning with the portfolio's aggressive growth objectives.

VIII. FINDINGS

The research outcomes provide nuanced insights into tailored portfolio management strategies for the Information Technology (IT), Banking, and Automobile sectors. In the Balanced Market Portfolio, Tata Consultancy Services (TCS) and Maruti Suzuki India Ltd demonstrate stable returns with lower systematic risk, indicating resilience amidst market fluctuations. Conversely, the Aggressive Growth Portfolio highlights companies like Kotak Mahindra Bank Ltd and TVS Motor Company Ltd, exhibiting high returns but heightened risk levels due to market sensitivity. Sectoral diversification emerges as a pivotal risk mitigation strategy, ensuring balanced exposure across sectors and safeguarding against sector-specific vulnerabilities. The efficacy of the Treynor Measure in evaluating risk-adjusted returns is underscored, empowering investors to make informed portfolio optimization decisions. These findings offer actionable insights for effective portfolio management, emphasizing sectoral diversification and prudent risk management to maximize wealth accumulation while minimizing downside risk in dynamic market conditions. Overall, the research contributes valuable knowledge to the field, guiding practitioners towards constructing portfolios that strike a balance between risk and return, thereby enhancing wealth accumulation in diverse market environments.

IX. CONCLUSION

This study on portfolio management across the IT, Banking, and Automobile sectors offers invaluable insights for investment strategies. Sectoral diversification emerges as a key strategy, spreading risk exposure effectively. For instance, a diversified portfolio featuring companies like Infosys, HDFC Bank, and Tata Motors provides resilience against sector-specific volatilities. Leveraging advanced metrics such as the Treynor Measure enhances decision-making precision by identifying assets with optimal risk-adjusted returns. Dynamic risk management is essential amid sector-specific challenges; adjusting portfolio allocations mitigates risks and captures emerging opportunities. Overall, the study provides a roadmap for confident portfolio management, emphasizing sectoral diversification, risk-adjusted metrics, and proactive risk management. Continuous reassessment of portfolios ensures sustained resilience and performance amidst evolving market conditions. By embracing these strategies, investors can navigate the complexities of portfolio management with confidence, achieving long-term investment objectives while mitigating downside risks effectively.

REFERENCES

[1] Z. Bodie, A. Kane, and A. J. Marcus, “Optimal Risky Portfolios,” pp. 25–63, 2014, [Online]. Available: http://www.actexmadriver.com/Assets/ClientDocs/prod_preview/A109SM.pdf#:~:text=Asset allocation and security selection are examined first, allocation line emerges when security selection is introduced.

[2] D. H. Vo, T. N. Pham, T. T. V. Pham, L. M. Truong, and T. Cong Nguyen, “Risk, return and portfolio optimization for various industries in the ASEAN region,” Borsa Istanbul Rev., vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 132–138, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.bir.2018.09.003.

[3] S. O. Adebiyi, O. O. Ogunbiyi, and B. B. Amole, “Artificial intelligence model for building investment portfolio optimization mix using historical stock prices data,” Rajagiri Manag. J., vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 36–62, 2022, doi: 10.1108/ramj-07-2020-0036.

[4] R. Mansini, W. Ogryczak, and M. G. Speranza, “Portfolio Optimization,” EURO Adv. Tutorials Oper. Res., vol. 26, no. 15, pp. 1–18, 2015, doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-18482-1_1.

[5] A. Arisena, L. Noviyanti, and S. Achmad Zanbar, “Portfolio return using Black-litterman single view model with ARMA-GARCH and Treynor Black model,” J. Phys. Conf. Ser., vol. 974, no. 1, 2018, doi: 10.1088/1742-6596/974/1/012023.

[6] M. E. Atmaca, “Portfolio management and performance improvement with Sharpe and Treynor ratios in electricity markets,” Energy Reports, vol. 8, pp. 192–201, 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.egyr.2021.11.287.

[7] E. Spuchľakova, K. F. Michalikova, and M. Misankova, “Risk of the Collective Investment and Investment Portfolio,” Procedia Econ. Financ., vol. 26, no. 15, pp. 167–173, 2015, doi: 10.1016/s2212-5671(15)00910-7.