



Navigating the Ethical Landscape: Considerations in Implementing AI-ML Systems in Human Resources

Sunil Basnet  *

Chief Human resource Officer (iCHRO), Virtuosway, Kathmandu, Nepal

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.55248/gengpi.5.0324.0755>

ABSTRACT

As Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML) technologies continue to revolutionize human resource management, organizations are confronted with a myriad of ethical considerations that accompany these advancements. This article undertakes a critical examination of the ethical implications associated with the integration of AI-ML algorithms into HR processes. Through a comprehensive analysis, this research explores the multifaceted challenges and opportunities that organizations encounter as they navigate the complex intersection between leveraging state-of-the-art technology and upholding ethical standards in workforce management. This exploration sheds light on the nuanced ethical dilemmas inherent in AI-ML adoption within HR, offering insights into the strategies and approaches required to strike a delicate balance between technological innovation and ethical responsibility.

Keywords: Ethical considerations, Artificial Intelligence (AI), Machine Learning (ML), Human Resources (HR), Algorithmic Bias

1. Introduction

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into various facets of human life, particularly in the realm of human resource management (HRM), has become increasingly prevalent in recent years. This trend has sparked considerable interest and research into understanding the implications and applications of AI and Machine Learning (ML) within HRM practices. Notably, scholars such as Nauta et al. (2022) and Budhwar et al. (2022) have delved into topics ranging from the social impact of AI and robotics to the challenges and opportunities in international HRM, shedding light on the multifaceted aspects of AI-enabled HRM practices. While these studies offer valuable insights, they also reveal gaps in our understanding, calling for further exploration.

Moreover, drawing inspiration from the insights of Rathore et al. (2021) regarding the role of AI, ML, and big data in digital twinning, this study seeks to contribute to the evolving discourse on AI in HRM. By synthesizing existing literature and addressing key research gaps, our research aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of the ethical considerations and practical implications associated with AI-ML systems in HRM. Specifically, we focus on elucidating the challenges faced by organizations in adopting AI-driven HRM practices while ensuring ethical conduct and societal integration.

1.2 Statement of the Problem

The widespread adoption of AI technologies in HRM is accompanied by a significant gap in public understanding of its fundamental concepts and ethical implications (Dakhel et al., 2022). In parallel, Barham et al. (2022) emphasize the critical need to expand practical understanding of AI in the realm of human resources, while Chan and Zary's (2019) exploration of AI applications in medical education provides transferable insights for HR development. Despite efforts to implement AI-ML systems in HRM, there remains a lack of clarity regarding their societal impact and ethical considerations. This research seeks to address this gap by examining the practical implementation of AI-ML systems in HRM and identifying the ethical challenges and implications associated with their adoption.

1.3 Research Objective

This research aims to comprehensively explore the evolving landscape of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and its integration into diverse aspects of human life. Building on insights from Wang et al. (2019), the primary focus is to strengthen empirical investigations of Explainable AI (XAI) by incorporating theoretical foundations from philosophy and psychology. This approach is particularly essential for enhancing the user-centric design of AI systems, with implications for interpretability and transparency in AI-ML systems within human resources. Additionally, drawing on the concepts and requirements discussed by Stahl and Wright (2018) in the medical domain, this study seeks to apply ethical and privacy considerations to the responsible implementation of AI-ML systems in human resources, specifically in talent management and workforce analytics .

The research also aims to provide practical guidance, inspired by Brock and von Wangenheim's (2019) framework, for the successful implementation of AI-ML systems in HR, fostering organizational transformation. The primary objective is to explore the ethical considerations and practical implications of AI-ML systems in HRM, with a focus on enhancing public understanding and awareness. Drawing upon insights from both academic literature and practical implementations, we aim to provide guidance for organizations seeking to integrate AI technologies into their HRM practices responsibly. Additionally, we seek to contribute to theoretical advancements by critically evaluating existing ethical frameworks and proposing recommendations for their enhancement.

1.4 Research Questions

Building upon the identified research problem and objectives, this study seeks to address the following research questions:

1. What are the key ethical considerations associated with the implementation of AI-ML systems in human resource management (HRM)?
2. How do organizations navigate the challenges of integrating AI-ML technologies into HRM practices while ensuring ethical conduct and societal responsibility?
3. What are the practical implications of AI-ML systems in HRM, and how do these technologies impact workforce management and organizational decision-making processes?
4. What are the existing gaps and limitations in the current ethical frameworks guiding the adoption of AI-ML systems in HRM, and how can these frameworks be enhanced to address emerging challenges?

1.5. Justification of the Study

In a landscape where AI is increasingly integrated into everyday life, understanding its historical evolution, applications, and ethical considerations is crucial. Munn's (2022) critique of the "uselessness" of current AI ethics prompts a critical evaluation and enhancement of the ethical frameworks guiding AI-ML systems in HR. Additionally, Nguyen et al.'s (2022) survey on machine unlearning highlights the dynamic nature of ethical considerations, emphasizing the need for ongoing monitoring and adaptation as technology evolves. By addressing this gap, this study aims to foster a more informed and ethically conscious approach to the integration of AI technologies in HRM practices. Ultimately, our research seeks to contribute to the development of robust ethical frameworks and promote responsible AI adoption in HRM.

2. Literature Review

Ethical considerations play a pivotal role in qualitative research, significantly influencing the coherence, transparency, impact, and trustworthiness of a study, as emphasized by Robinson (2014). Harvey (2015) contributes to this discourse by highlighting the collaborative and ethical nature of research interviews, presenting an enhanced alternative to traditional member-checking methods.

In the domain of corporate social responsibility, Aramburu and Pescador (2017) stress the importance of ethical conduct through obtaining written permission, ethical approval, and participant consent. This aligns with Murphy and Dacin's (2011) algorithm for the cryopreservation of sperm and testicular tissue, emphasizing the need to address pertinent ethical and legal challenges. Furthermore, Picton et al. (2015) delve into ethical considerations within problem-solving instructions research, suggesting future directions in this area.

Veale et al. (2018) underscore the significance of ethical considerations in algorithmic decision-making, particularly in high-stakes public sector contexts, advocating for fairness and accountability in algorithm design. Calman et al. (2013) echo this sentiment, emphasizing the crucial role of ethical considerations in longitudinal qualitative designs, particularly in health services research.

However, studies by Revelli and Viviani (2015) on the financial performance of socially responsible investing on student attitudes towards sustainable development, did not yield specific insights into ethical considerations.

2.1 Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML)

The concept of Artificial Intelligence (AI) emerged in 1956, defined by McCarthy (2007) as "the science and engineering of making intelligent machines." Over the ensuing decades, AI has undergone a transformative evolution, progressing from its initial definition to encompass intelligent machines and algorithms capable of reasoning and adapting, simulating human intelligence (McCarthy, 2007). Wang (2009) expanded this definition to include AI's capacity for cognitive tasks, particularly in learning and problem-solving, facilitated by technological innovations such as machine learning, natural language processing, and neural networks (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019).

The impact of AI is not confined to the computer industry; it is gradually permeating various aspects of human life. The imperative for widespread AI literacy is emphasized, with the recognition that AI will significantly influence not only computer industries but also diverse sectors. Presently, AI applications have proliferated across industries, including business, science, art, and education, aiming to enhance user experiences and improve efficiency. The integration of AI is observable in everyday life through smart home appliances, smartphones, and widely used platforms like Google and

Siri. Despite the widespread use of AI services and devices, there exists a gap in public awareness regarding the underlying concepts and technologies, as well as potential ethical concerns associated with AI (Burgsteiner et al., 2016; Ghallab, 2019).

Machine learning (ML) refers to a dynamic field at the intersection of computer science and artificial intelligence (AI), where algorithms and models are developed to enable computers to learn from and make predictions or decisions based on data without being explicitly programmed. This process involves leveraging meta-learning techniques, as surveyed by Hospedales et al. (2020), to adapt and improve performance over time. ML models, such as decision trees, are increasingly employed in various domains, including healthcare, where explainable AI techniques, as explored by Lundberg et al. (2020), are essential for ensuring transparency and interpretability. Additionally, the work of Holzinger et al. (2019) underscores the significance of causability and explainability in AI systems, particularly in medical applications, to foster trust and reliability in decision-making processes.

2.2 Ethical Considerations in AI-ML HR Systems

The landscape of Human Resource Management (HRM) scholarship on Artificial Intelligence (AI) has witnessed a notable increase in recent years, with notable contributions from Budhwar et al. (2022), Chowdhury et al. (2023), Edwards et al. (2022), and Malik et al. (2022). These scholars have explored various dimensions of AI integration in HRM, showcasing the multifaceted impact of AI on contemporary workforce management. For instance, Daugherty et al. (2018) and Pan et al. (2022) have highlighted the benefits of employing AI-based machine learning (ML) tools for fostering diversity and enhancing employee recruitment, respectively. Suseno et al. (2022) delved into the factors influencing AI adoption among HRM managers, while Malik et al. (2022) examined the growing role of bots in augmenting the employee workplace experience.

Moreover, studies such as Wilson et al. (2017) have scrutinized the broader impact of AI on job roles, responsibilities, tasks, and the meaningfulness of work. Chowdhury et al. (2022) emphasized the significance of embedding transparency within AI algorithms, addressing concerns related to ethical and fair decision-making. Kelan (2023) explored the tensions surrounding the creation of algorithmic inclusion in HRM processes.

Recent reviews have provided comprehensive insights into the evolving landscape, with Margherita (2022) outlining the role of AI in facilitating HR analytics, and Pereira et al. (2023) examining its potential impact on HRM processes and practices. However, it's important to note that the integration of AI has also been associated with challenges, as indicated by Xue et al. (2022), who discussed the potential deskilling of professional work.

2.3 Previous Studies

Duggan et al. (2020) proposed a classification of gig work, shedding light on the transformative impact of algorithms that have assumed roles traditionally managed by HRM professionals. The study raises pertinent questions concerning responsibility, ethical appropriateness, and accountability in the context of algorithmic processes. Leicht-Deobald et al. (2019) delved into the ethical challenges posed by algorithm-based HR decision-making, emphasizing the potential harm to employee personal integrity and the need for data literacy and participatory design methods.

Saponara et al. (2021) explore the impact of edge AI on key UAV technical aspects and applications. While their focus is on UAV-based IoT services, the insights are applicable to AI-driven workforce management, especially in the context of remote work and distributed teams. Understanding the technical implications of AI-ML systems in such settings is essential for their effective implementation in human resources.

Tambe et al. (2019) identified challenges associated with the utilization of data science technologies in HR tasks. Their findings encompassed the complexities of HR phenomena, constraints from small data sets, and accountability questions linked to fairness, ethics, and legal considerations. Bader and Kaiser (2019) assessed the evolving role of AI in workplace decisions, revealing a growing detachment of humans from decision-making processes, leading to deferred decisions and an imbalance between AI and human involvement.

Pushkarna et al. (2022) emphasized the importance of purposeful and transparent documentation of datasets when implementing AI systems. This highlights the significance of ethical considerations related to data collection and usage in AI-ML systems in HR. The authors' emphasis on transparency and documentation aligns with the ethical principles of fairness and accountability, indicating that these considerations are crucial for responsible AI implementation in HR.

Bankins and Formosa (2020) explored the emergence of social robots as potential psychological contract partners, shedding light on the underexplored territory of employee interaction with sophisticated AI. Barro and Davenport's (2019) study highlighted the impact of AI on changing behavior and driving innovation, proposing a roadmap for integrating technology and human capital in future initiatives. Bekken (2019) investigated the relationship between evidence-based management practices, AI recruiting software, and automated hiring decisions, emphasizing the crucial role HRM departments play in detecting external data.

Nigam et al. (2021) established ethical considerations in the use of machine learning in the hiring space. This study specifically focused on proctoring systems but provides valuable insights into the ethical challenges associated with AI-ML technologies in HR. The authors' emphasis on past, present, and future implications of AI-based proctoring systems underscores the need for longitudinal ethical evaluations to ensure that AI-ML systems in HR align with ethical standards over time.

Buzko et al. (2016) focused on the effectiveness of training costs using cognitive-system AI analytics, emphasizing the transition from information processing to AI in the context of decision-making. These studies collectively contribute to the understanding of ethical considerations in the integration

of AI into HRM processes, illuminating potential challenges, and providing valuable insights into the evolving landscape of algorithmic HR decision-making.

3. Research Methodology

3.1 Research Design

This study adopts an integrated approach that combines both exploratory and analytical methodologies. Inspired by Wastiau et al. (2013) and Ye et al. (2018), the exploratory phase aims to uncover nuanced insights into the historical evolution, applications, and ethical considerations surrounding AI-ML systems in HR. Subsequently, the analytical phase facilitates a critical evaluation of these insights and identifies gaps in existing ethical frameworks.

3.2 Data Collection

3.2.1 Literature Review

A thorough review of scholarly articles, books, and reports on AI-ML systems in HR was conducted, with a focus on historical developments, applications, and ethical considerations. Following Lambart's (2012) definition, the literature review aimed to provide a critical evaluation of existing knowledge. Key databases, including IEEE Xplore, PubMed, and Google Scholar, were utilized for comprehensive and insightful review.

3.2.2 Case Studies

Real-world case studies were meticulously selected based on their relevance and diversity, drawing on the guidance provided by Heale and Twycross (2017) and Remund (2010). These case studies offer practical insights into the implementation of AI-ML systems in HR and shed light on ethical dilemmas encountered in various organizational contexts.

3.3 Data Analysis

Qualitative analysis techniques were employed to interpret findings from the literature review and case studies.

3.3.1 Thematic Analysis

Thematic analysis, following the approach outlined by Braun and Clarke (2006), was utilized to systematically identify, analyze, and interpret patterns of meaning within the collected data. This method facilitated the exploration of recurring themes related to the integration of AI-ML systems in HR and the associated ethical considerations.

3.4 Themes

The thematic analysis process involved several iterative steps, beginning with data familiarization through extensive reading of the literature and case studies. Initial codes were generated to capture key concepts, which were then organized into potential themes. These themes were refined iteratively to ensure coherence and consistency, with the final themes supported by illustrative quotations from the literature and case studies. The thematic analysis identified several key themes related to the integration of AI-ML systems in HR and the associated ethical considerations:

3.4.1 Algorithmic Bias and Fairness

One prominent theme that emerged was algorithmic bias and fairness. The literature and case studies highlighted the challenges of ensuring fairness and mitigating bias in AI-ML systems, particularly in sensitive domains such as healthcare. Discussions emphasized the need for comprehensive approaches to address fairness and bias in HR systems, particularly in critical decision-making processes.

3.4.2 Transparency and Explainability

Transparency and explainability emerged as crucial aspects in the implementation of AI-ML systems within HR. Stakeholders emphasized the importance of understanding how these systems operate and make decisions to foster trust. Discussions also highlighted the concept of social transparency, advocating for expanded explainability to achieve social transparency and ensure comprehensibility to society at large.

3.4.3 Safeguarding Employee Privacy

Another significant theme was safeguarding employee privacy. Insights from the literature and case studies suggested potential methods for enhancing data security and addressing privacy concerns in HR AI-ML systems. Discussions underscored the importance of adopting privacy-preserving techniques and promptly erasing sensitive employee data to protect privacy rights.

3.4.4 Inclusive Design for Diverse Workforces

Inclusive design for diverse workforces emerged as a key consideration in AI-ML system implementation. Stakeholders emphasized the importance of promoting inclusion and diversity within HR systems to ensure equitable outcomes. Discussions highlighted the value of diversity and inclusion metrics in system design, advocating for human-centered design approaches applicable to diverse user groups.

3.4.5 Ethical Decision-Making in HR

Ethical decision-making in HR was a central theme, with stakeholders advocating for responsible AI practices and greater transparency in AI/ML algorithms. Discussions emphasized the significance of ethical principles, fairness, and accountability in guiding the use of AI-ML in HR systems.

3.4.6 Learning from Implementations

The theme of learning from implementations underscored the importance of addressing ethical challenges, consent, transparency, and data ownership in the deployment of AI-ML systems. Case studies provided valuable insights into the multifaceted ethical challenges that must be navigated in the implementation of AI-ML in HR systems.

4. Result and Discussions

4.1 Algorithmic Bias: Unraveling the Challenges

The thematic analysis uncovered significant concerns regarding algorithmic bias within AI-ML systems applied in human resources (HR). Studies by Fletcher et al. (2021) and Kovacevic et al. (2020) shed light on the critical issue of fairness and bias in AI and ML applications, particularly in sensitive domains like healthcare. These findings underscore the imperative to address fairness and bias in HR systems to ensure equitable outcomes in decision-making processes.

4.2 Transparency and Explainability

Transparency and explainability emerged as pivotal aspects in the implementation of AI-ML systems within HR. Insights from Samek et al. (2019) and Holzinger et al. (2019) emphasize the importance of understanding system operations to foster trust among stakeholders. Moreover, considerations for social transparency, as advocated by Ehsan et al. (2021), highlight the need for AI systems to be comprehensible to society. Integrating reporting guidelines, as suggested by Kentner et al. (2018), could further enhance transparency and reproducibility in HR AI-ML implementations. Adapting such guidelines to the domain of AI-ML systems in HR could ensure transparency and reproducibility in development and deployment processes.

4.3 Safeguarding Employee Privacy

Thematic analysis emphasized the importance of safeguarding employee privacy in HR AI-ML systems. While insights from studies like Xiao et al. (2018) and Rai (2019) provide valuable perspectives on data security and explainable AI, further research is needed to directly address privacy concerns in HR contexts. Strategies such as federated learning methods and privacy-preserving techniques, as explored by Liu et al. (2020) and Shu et al. (2021), offer promising avenues for enhancing privacy protections. Furthermore, Vahdat (2021) discussed the broader implications of IT technologies in HR, underscoring the importance of addressing privacy considerations. Nguyen et al. (2022) surveyed machine unlearning, relevant for promptly erasing sensitive employee data. Hakeem et al. (2022) explored security challenges in emerging technologies, offering insights into mitigating risks to protect employee privacy in HR AI-ML systems. The collective findings underscore the significance of prioritizing employee privacy in the deployment of AI-ML systems within HR.

4.4 Inclusive Design for Diverse Workforces

Inclusive design emerged as a crucial consideration in HR AI-ML system deployments. While studies like Valls and Kyriakides (2013) and Farndale et al. (2015) highlight the importance of diversity for organizational success, more research is needed to explore how AI-ML systems can be designed to cater to diverse user groups effectively. Human-centered design principles, as advocated by Henry et al. (2022) and Chen et al. (2021), offer valuable insights into fostering inclusivity in HR technologies. Mitchell et al. (2020) stress the value of diversity and inclusion metrics in AI-ML system design, indicating avenues for future research. Additionally, Brimhall and Barak (2018) highlight the positive outcomes of workplace inclusion, advocating for further exploration in HR contexts. The findings indicate the significance of inclusive design practices to cater to diverse user groups in HR.

4.5 Ethical Decision-Making in HR: A Framework

Thematic analysis underscored the significance of ethical decision-making frameworks in HR AI-ML implementations. Insights from Piano (2020) and Pushkarna et al. (2022) highlight the importance of transparency and accountability in guiding the ethical use of AI/ML. However, further research is

needed to develop comprehensive frameworks tailored specifically to HR contexts, addressing issues such as consent, transparency, and fairness.. These findings underscore the critical role of transparency and ethical principles in guiding the use of AI/ML in HR systems.

4.6 Case Studies: Learning from Implementations

Case studies provide valuable insights into real-world implementations of AI-ML systems in HR. Studies by Koshiyama et al. (2021) and Mujtaba and Mahapatra (2019) highlight the complex ethical challenges associated with AI/ML adoption, including issues of consent, transparency, and data ownership. By examining these cases, organizations can learn valuable lessons for navigating ethical dilemmas in HR AI-ML deployments.

4.7 Conclusion: Striking a Balance

In conclusion, the thematic analysis of the literature underscores the multifaceted ethical considerations in the deployment of AI-ML systems within HR. By addressing algorithmic bias, enhancing transparency and explainability, safeguarding employee privacy, promoting inclusive design, and adhering to ethical decision-making frameworks, organizations can strike a balance between leveraging AI-ML technologies for HR optimization and upholding ethical principles.

5. Further Discussions

5.1 Ethical Considerations and Framework

Within the realm of HR, ethical decision-making remains a paramount concern as organizations integrate AI-ML systems into their processes. The frameworks proposed by Piano (2020) and Pushkarna et al. (2022) offer insightful guidance for ensuring responsible AI practices. By emphasizing adherence to ethical principles and transparency in AI algorithms, organizations can proactively mitigate biases and promote fairness in HR operations. Our study aligns with the commitment to ethical conduct, drawing from Robinson's (2014) emphasis on ethics in qualitative research to ensure transparency and trustworthiness in our approach. Moving forward, the development of a robust ethical framework encompassing principles such as fairness, transparency, accountability, and privacy will be essential. Building on insights from Veale et al. (2018), particularly regarding ethical considerations in algorithmic decision-making, our study recognizes the imperative of fairness and accountability in the design and implementation of AI-ML systems within HR.

5.2 Implementation Challenges and Opportunities

Navigating the ethical landscape of AI-ML implementation in HR necessitates a comprehensive exploration of the challenges and opportunities inherent in such endeavors. While studies by Duggan et al. (2020) and Tambe et al. (2019) shed light on potential challenges related to responsibility, accountability, and the complexities of HR phenomena, there exist promising opportunities for AI-ML systems to enhance various aspects of HR operations. For instance, insights from Daugherty et al. (2018) highlight the potential for AI-ML to diversify recruitment processes, while research by Malik et al. (2022) underscores the role of AI bots in augmenting the employee workplace experience. Additionally, the contributions of Margherita (2022) in the realm of HR analytics further underscore the potential benefits of AI-ML adoption. By conducting a thorough examination of both challenges and opportunities, our study aims to provide a nuanced understanding of the multifaceted landscape of AI-ML implementation in HR.

5.3 Comparative Analysis with Other Industries

To enrich our understanding of the ethical considerations surrounding AI-ML systems in HR, a comparative analysis with insights from other industries is essential. For example, the study by Saponara et al. (2021) on the impact of edge AI on UAV technical aspects offers valuable parallels applicable to AI-driven workforce management, particularly in the context of remote work and distributed teams. Furthermore, comparisons with sectors such as healthcare, as explored by Stahl and Wright (2018), provide valuable insights into the broader ethical application of AI-ML systems. By drawing upon insights from diverse industries, our study aims to contextualize and critically analyze the ethical implications of AI-ML implementation within the unique landscape of HR management.

5.4 Future Directions and Recommendations

The research journey concludes with a forward-looking perspective, proposing future research directions and actionable recommendations. Insights from Nigam et al. (2021) on the ethical considerations in the use of machine learning in hiring, especially in proctoring systems, prompt considerations for longitudinal ethical evaluations. Our study aims to provide a roadmap for researchers and practitioners to address evolving ethical challenges in the dynamic landscape of AI-ML systems in HR.

While the current research provides valuable insights into the challenges and opportunities of AI-ML systems in HR, there are several avenues for future research. One such avenue is the development of standardized evaluation frameworks for assessing the inclusivity and fairness of AI-ML systems. Additionally, further research could explore the long-term impact of AI-ML technologies on HR practices and organizational performance.

Moreover, there is a need for interdisciplinary research that integrates perspectives from psychology, sociology, and ethics to address the complex socio-technical challenges of AI-ML systems in HR. By fostering collaboration across disciplines, researchers can gain a deeper understanding of the ethical, legal, and societal implications of AI-ML technologies in HR contexts.

6. Implications

6.1 Implications for Theory/Theory Development

This study significantly advances theoretical understanding in the domain of AI-ML systems within HR by synthesizing existing literature and conducting a comprehensive analysis. For instance, the findings regarding algorithmic bias, as highlighted by Fletcher et al. (2021) and Kovacevic et al. (2020), underscore the importance of addressing fairness and bias in AI-ML applications to ensure equitable outcomes in HR decision-making processes. Moreover, insights into transparency and explainability from studies such as Samek et al. (2019) and Holzinger et al. (2019) contribute to the development of theoretical models that emphasize the importance of understanding system operations to foster trust among stakeholders. By integrating such findings, this research guides organizations and policymakers in developing theoretical frameworks that address critical aspects of AI-ML systems in HR, thereby enhancing their relevance and applicability in real-world settings.

Moreover, this research lays the groundwork for the refinement and expansion of existing theoretical frameworks to better accommodate the nuances and complexities of AI-ML systems in HR. By integrating insights from diverse disciplines such as ethics, computer science, and organizational behavior, scholars can develop comprehensive theoretical models that capture the multifaceted nature of AI-ML implementations in HR. Additionally, this study highlights the importance of context-specific theoretical frameworks that take into account regional variations in culture, regulatory environments, and organizational practices, thereby enhancing the relevance and applicability of theoretical constructs in real-world settings.

6.2 Implications for Readers

The implications for readers, practitioners, policymakers, and researchers are significant, stemming from the insights gleaned from this study on navigating the ethical landscape of implementing AI-ML systems in HR. By understanding the ethical complexities inherent in these systems, stakeholders can make informed decisions and take proactive measures to ensure responsible deployment and utilization. For practitioners, the findings of this study offer practical guidance on integrating AI-ML systems into HR practices while upholding ethical standards. By adhering to the principles of transparency, fairness, and accountability elucidated in this research, practitioners can foster trust among employees and stakeholders, ultimately contributing to the successful adoption and implementation of AI-ML technologies.

6.3 Implications for Business and Management Practice

Organizations stand to benefit significantly from the insights provided by this study, enabling them to develop robust ethical frameworks and guidelines for the responsible implementation of AI-ML systems in HR practices. For instance, the findings on algorithmic bias and fairness, as highlighted by Fletcher et al. (2021) and Kovacevic et al. (2020), underscore the importance of addressing biases in AI-ML applications to promote fairness in HR decision-making processes. By integrating such findings, organizations can mitigate potential risks while maximizing the benefits of AI-powered HR practices, thereby fostering a culture of responsible AI usage within their operations.

By shedding light on the ethical challenges associated with AI and ML adoption, this study equips organizations with the knowledge and tools necessary to navigate these complexities effectively. By adhering to ethical principles and guidelines, organizations can mitigate potential risks while maximizing the benefits of AI-powered HR practices.

7. Conclusion

The comprehensive exploration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML) systems in human resources (HR) management reveals a dynamic landscape characterized by transformative opportunities and ethical challenges. Drawing insights from a multitude of scholarly works and case studies, this study underscores the imperative for responsible AI-ML integration in HR practices.

The discourse surrounding AI-ML systems in HR extends beyond mere technological advancements; it encompasses profound implications for organizational dynamics, societal integration, and ethical decision-making. Through a critical lens, this study elucidates key themes such as algorithmic bias, transparency, privacy, and inclusivity, shedding light on the intricate interplay between technological innovation and ethical considerations.

This research underscores the importance of interdisciplinary collaboration and a user-centric approach to AI-ML implementation in HR. By incorporating insights from philosophy, psychology, and other domains, organizations can foster transparency, accountability, and fairness in AI-driven decision-making processes.

Furthermore, this study offers practical recommendations for navigating the ethical complexities of AI-ML systems in HR, emphasizing the need for standardized evaluation frameworks, longitudinal ethical evaluations, and interdisciplinary research collaborations. By adopting a holistic approach and upholding ethical principles, organizations can harness the full potential of AI-ML technologies to enhance HR practices and organizational performance.

In conclusion, this study serves as a foundational resource for researchers, practitioners, and policymakers seeking to navigate the evolving landscape of AI-ML systems in HR. By addressing knowledge gaps, advancing ethical frameworks, and fostering interdisciplinary collaboration, we can shape a future where AI-driven HR practices promote fairness, inclusivity, and organizational excellence.

8. Limitations

While this study provides valuable insights into the integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML) systems in human resources (HR) management, several limitations should be acknowledged:

- **Scope Limitations:** The scope of this study is primarily focused on synthesizing existing literature and case studies to explore the ethical considerations of AI-ML systems in HR. As such, it may not comprehensively cover all facets of AI-ML implementation in HR practices.
- **Generalization:** The findings and recommendations presented in this study are based on existing research and may not be universally applicable to all organizational contexts. Variations in organizational culture, industry sector, and regional regulations may influence the implementation of AI-ML systems in HR differently.
- **Data Availability:** The availability and quality of data analyzed in the literature review and case studies may vary, potentially impacting the robustness of the findings. Additionally, limitations in accessing proprietary or confidential information may have constrained the depth of analysis in certain areas.
- **Temporal Considerations:** Given the rapidly evolving nature of AI technologies and HR practices, the insights presented in this study may become outdated over time. Continuous monitoring and adaptation to emerging trends and developments are essential to maintain relevance and applicability.
- **Bias and Subjectivity:** Despite efforts to maintain objectivity, the interpretation of findings and formulation of recommendations may be influenced by the authors' perspectives and biases. Steps were taken to mitigate bias through rigorous analysis and triangulation of data sources, but inherent subjectivity may still exist.
- **Interdisciplinary Constraints:** While interdisciplinary insights were integrated into the study, the depth of analysis within each discipline may vary. Future research could explore interdisciplinary collaborations more extensively to address the complex socio-technical challenges of AI-ML systems in HR.

References

1. Aramburu, I. A., & Pescador, I. G. (2017). The Effects of Corporate Social Responsibility on Customer Loyalty: The Mediating Effect of Reputation in Cooperative Banks Versus Commercial Banks in the Basque Country. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 154, 701-719. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-017-3438-1>
2. Arndale, E., Biron, M., Briscoe, D., & Raghuram, S. (2015). A global perspective on diversity and inclusion in work organisations. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 26, 677-687. <http://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2014.991511>
3. Bader, V., & Kaiser, S. (2019). Algorithmic decision-making? The user interface and its role for human involvement in decisions supported by artificial intelligence. *Organization*, 26(5), 655-672.
4. Banks, S., & Formosa, P. (2020). When AI meets PC: Exploring the implications of workplace social robots and a human-robot psychological contract. *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology*, 29(2), 215-229.
5. Barham, P., Chowdhery, A., Dean, J., Ghemawat, S., Hand, S., Hurt, D., ... Wu, Y. (2022). Pathways: Asynchronous Distributed Dataflow for ML. ArXiv, abs/2203.12533. <http://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2203.12533>
6. Barro, S., & Davenport, T. H. (2019). People and machines: Partners in innovation. *MIT Sloan Management Review*, 60(4), 22-28.
7. Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3, 77-101.
8. Bekken, G. (2019). The algorithmic governance of data driven-processing employment: Evidence-based management practices, artificial intelligence recruiting software, and automated hiring decisions. *Psychosociological Issues in Human Resource Management*, 7(2), 25-30.
9. Brimhall, K. C., & Barak, M. E. Mor. (2018). The Critical Role of Workplace Inclusion in Fostering Innovation, Job Satisfaction, and Quality of Care in a Diverse Human Service Organization. *Human Service Organizations: Management, Leadership & Governance*, 42, 474-492. <http://doi.org/10.1080/23303131.2018.1526151>
10. Brock, J., & Wangenheim, F. von. (2019). Demystifying AI: What Digital Transformation Leaders Can Teach You about Realistic Artificial Intelligence. *California Management Review*, 61, 110-134. <http://doi.org/10.1177/1536504219865226>

11. Budhwar, P., Malik, A., De Silva, M. T., & Thevisuthan, P. (2022). Artificial intelligence—challenges and opportunities for international HRM: A review and research agenda. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 33(6), 1065–1097. <http://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2022.2035161>
12. Burgsteiner, H., Kandhofer, M., & Steinbauer, G. (2016). iRobot: Teaching the Basics of Artificial Intelligence in High Schools. In AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, Symposium on Educational Advances in Artificial Intelligence, 4126–4127.
13. Buzko, I., Dyachenko, Y., Petrova, M., Nenkov, N., Tulenina, D., & Koeva, K. (2016). Artificial Intelligence technologies in human resource development. *Computer modelling and new technologies*, 20(2), 26–29.
14. Calman, L., Brunton, L., & Molassiotis, A. (2013). Developing longitudinal qualitative designs: lessons learned and recommendations for health services research. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, 13, 14–14. <http://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2288-13-14>
15. Chan, K. S., & Zary, N. (2019). Applications and Challenges of Implementing Artificial Intelligence in Medical Education: Integrative Review. *JMIR Medical Education*, 5. <http://doi.org/10.2196/13930>
16. Chowdhury, S., Joel-Edgar, S., Dey, P. K., Bhattacharya, S., & Kharlamov, A. (2022). Embedding transparency in artificial intelligence machine learning models: Managerial implications on predicting and explaining employee turnover. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*. <http://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2022.2066981>
17. Dakhel, A. M., Majdinasab, V., Nikanjam, A., Khomh, F., Desmarais, M., & Jiang, Z. (2022). GitHub Copilot AI pair programmer: Asset or Liability? *J. Syst. Softw.*, 203, 111734. <http://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2206.15331>
18. Daniel, E. (2016). The Usefulness of Qualitative and Quantitative Approaches and Methods in Researching Problem-Solving Ability in Science Education Curriculum. *Journal of Education and Practice*, 7, 91–100.
19. Daugherty, P. R., Wilson, H. J., & Chowdhury, R. (2018). Using artificial intelligence to promote diversity. *MIT Sloan Management Review Magazine Winter 2019 Issue/Frontiers/Research Highlight*. Retrieved from <https://sloanreview.mit.edu/article/using-artificial-intelligence-to-promote-diversity/>.
20. Duggan, J., Sherman, U., Carbery, R., & McDonnell, A. (2020). Algorithmic management and app-work in the gig economy: A research agenda for employment relations and HRM. *Human Resource Management Journal*, 30(1), 114–132.
21. Edwards, M. R., Charlwood, A., Guenole, N., & Marler, J. (2022). HR analytics: An emerging field finding its place in the world alongside simmering ethical challenges. *Human Resource Management Journal*. <http://doi.org/10.1111/1748-8583.12435>
22. Felzmann, H., Villaronga, E. F., Lutz, C., & Tamó-Larrieux, A. (2019). Transparency you can trust: Transparency requirements for artificial intelligence between legal norms and contextual concerns. *Big Data & Society*, 6. Retrieved from <http://doi.org/10.1177/2053951719860542>
23. Fletcher, R., Nakeshimana, A., & Olubeko, O. (2021). Addressing Fairness, Bias, and Appropriate Use of Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning in Global Health. *Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence*, 3. <http://doi.org/10.3389/frai.2020.561802>
24. Ghallab, M. (2019). Responsible AI: requirements and challenges. *AI Perspect*, 1, 3. <http://doi.org/10.1186/s42467-019-0003-z>.
25. Hakeem, S. A. A., Hussein, H. H., & Kim, H.-C. (2022). Security Requirements and Challenges of 6G Technologies and Applications. *Sensors (Basel, Switzerland)*, 22. <http://doi.org/10.3390/s22051969>
26. Harvey, L. (2015). Beyond member-checking: a dialogic approach to the research interview. *International Journal of Research & Method in Education*, 38, 23–38. <http://doi.org/10.1080/1743727X.2014.914487>
27. Hatmal, M. M., Al-Hatamleh, M. A. I., Olaimat, A., Hatmal, M., Alhaj-Qasem, D. M., Olaimat, T. M., & Mohamud, R. (2021). Side Effects and Perceptions Following COVID-19 Vaccination in Jordan: A Randomized, Cross-Sectional Study Implementing Machine Learning for Predicting Severity of Side Effects. *Vaccines*, 9. <http://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines9060556>
28. Heale, R., & Twycross, A. (2017). What is a case study? *Evidence Based Journals*, 21, 7–8. <http://doi.org/10.1136/eb-2017-102845>
29. Henry, K., Kornfield, R., Sridharan, A., Linton, R. C., Groh, C., Wang, T., Wu, A. W., Mutlu, B., & Saria, S. (2022). Human–machine teaming is key to AI adoption: clinicians’ experiences with a deployed machine learning system. *NPJ Digital Medicine*, 5. <http://doi.org/10.1038/s41746-022-00597-7>
30. Holzinger, A., Kieseberg, P., Weippl, E., & Tjoa, A. (2018). Current Advances, Trends and Challenges of Machine Learning and Knowledge Extraction: From Machine Learning to Explainable AI. Retrieved from http://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-99740-7_1
31. Holzinger, A., Langs, G., Denk, H., Zatloukal, K., & Müller, H. (2019). Causability and explainability of artificial intelligence in medicine. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews. Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery*, 9. <http://doi.org/10.1002/widm.1312>
32. Hospedales, T. M., Antoniou, A., Micaelli, P., & Storkey, A. (2020). Meta-Learning in Neural Networks: A Survey. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 44, 5149–5169. <http://doi.org/10.1109/TPAMI.2021.3079209>

33. Kelan, E. K. (2023). Algorithmic inclusion: Shaping the predictive algorithms of artificial intelligence in hiring. *Human Resource Management Journal*. <http://doi.org/10.1111/1748-8583.12511>
34. Kentner, A. C., Bilbo, S., Brown, A. S., Hsiao, E. Y., McAllister, A., Meyer, U., Pearce, B. D., Pletnikov, M. V., Yolken, R., & Bauman, M. D. (2018). Maternal immune activation: reporting guidelines to improve the rigor, reproducibility, and transparency of the model. *Neuropsychopharmacology*, 44, 245-258. Retrieved from <http://doi.org/10.1038/s41386-018-0185-7>
35. Koshiyama, A. S., Kazim, E., Treleaven, P., Rai, P., Szpruch, L., Pavey, G., ... Lomas, E. (2021). Towards Algorithm Auditing: A Survey on Managing Legal, Ethical and Technological Risks of AI, ML and Associated Algorithms. *Software Engineering eJournal*. <http://doi.org/10.2139/ssm.3778998>
36. Kovacevic, Z., Pokvić, L. G., Spahić, L., & Badnjević, A. (2020). Prediction of medical device performance using machine learning techniques: infant incubator case study. *Health and Technology*, 10, 151-155. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s12553-019-00386-5>
37. Lambert, M. (2012). *A beginner's guide to doing your education research project*. Los Angeles: SAGE.
38. Leicht-Deobald, U., Busch, T., Schank, C., Weibel, A., Schafheitle, S., Wildhaber, I., & Kasper, G. (2022). The challenges of algorithm-based HR decision-making for personal integrity. In *Business and the Ethical Implications of Technology* (pp. 71-86). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.
39. Liu, Y., Yuan, X., Xiong, Z., Kang, J., Wang, X., & Niyato, D. (2020). Federated learning for 6G communications: Challenges, methods, and future directions. *China Communications*, 17, 105-118. <http://doi.org/10.23919/JCC.2020.09.009>
40. Lundberg, S. M., Erion, G., Chen, H., DeGrave, A., Prutkin, J., Nair, B., Katz, R., Himmelfarb, J., Bansal, N., & Lee, S.-I. (2020). From local explanations to global understanding with explainable AI for trees. *Nature Machine Intelligence*, 2, 56-67. <http://doi.org/10.1038/s42256-019-0138-9>
41. Malik, A., Budhwar, P., & Kazmi, B. A. (2022). Artificial intelligence (AI)-assisted HRM: Towards an extended strategic framework. *Human Resource Management Review*, 33(1), 100940. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmr.2022.100940>
42. Malik, A., Budhwar, P., Patel, C., & Srikanth, N. R. (2022). May the bots be with you! Delivering HR cost-effectiveness and individualized employee experiences in an MNE. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 33(6), 1148-1178. <http://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2020.1859582>
43. Margherita, A. (2022). Human resources analytics: A systematization of research topics and directions for future research. *Human Resource Management Review*, 32(2), 100795. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmr.2020.100795>
44. McCarthy, J., Minsky, M. L., Rochester, N., & Shannon, C. E. (2006). A proposal for the Dartmouth summer research project on artificial intelligence. *AI Mag*, 27, 12-14. <http://doi.org/10.1609/aimag.v27i4.1904>
45. Mennan, C., Wright, K., Bhattacharjee, A., Balain, B., Richardson, J., & Roberts, S. (2013). Isolation and Characterisation of Mesenchymal Stem Cells from Different Regions of the Human Umbilical Cord. *BioMed Research International*, 2013. <http://doi.org/10.1155/2013/916136>
46. Mitchell, M., Baker, D., Moorosi, N., Denton, E. L., Hutchinson, B., Hanna, A., Gebu, T., & Morgenstern, J. (2020). Diversity and Inclusion Metrics in Subset Selection. *Proceedings of the AAAI/ACM Conference on AI, Ethics, and Society*. <http://doi.org/10.1145/3375627.3375832>
47. Mujtaba, D. F., & Mahapatra, N. (2019). Ethical Considerations in AI-Based Recruitment. *2019 IEEE International Symposium on Technology and Society (ISTAS)*, 1-7. <http://doi.org/10.1109/istas48451.2019.8937920>
48. Munn, L. (2022). The uselessness of AI ethics. *AI and Ethics*, 1-9. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s43681-022-00209-w>
49. Murphy, P., & Dacin, M. (2011). Psychological Pathways to Fraud: Understanding and Preventing Fraud in Organizations. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 101, 601-618. <http://doi.org/10.1007/S10551-011-0741-0>
50. Nauta, M., Trienes, J., Pathak, S., Nguyen, E., Peters, M., Schmitt, Y., ... & Seifert, C. (2022). From Anecdotal Evidence to Quantitative Evaluation Methods: A Systematic Review on Evaluating Explainable AI. *ACM Computing Surveys*, 55, 1-42. <http://doi.org/10.1145/3583558>
51. Nguyen, T., Huynh, T. T., Nguyen, P.-L., Liew, A. W.-C., Yin, H., & Nguyen, Q. V. H. (2022). A Survey of Machine Unlearning. *ArXiv*, abs/2209.02299. <http://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2209.02299>
52. Nigam, A., Pasricha, R., Singh, T., & Churi, P. P. (2021). A Systematic Review on AI-based Proctoring Systems: Past, Present and Future. *Education and Information Technologies*, 26, 6421-6445. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-021-10597-x>
53. Paleyes, A., Urma, R. G., & Lawrence, N. D. (2022). Challenges in deploying machine learning: a survey of case studies. *ACM Computing Surveys*, 55(6), 1-29.

54. Pan, Y., Froese, F., Liu, N., Hu, Y., & Ye, M. (2022). The adoption of artificial intelligence in employee recruitment: The influence of contextual factors. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 33(6), 1125–1147. <http://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2021.1879206>
55. Pereira, V., Hadjielias, E., Christofi, M., & Vrontis, D. (2023). A systematic literature review on the impact of artificial intelligence on workplace outcomes: A multi-process perspective. *Human Resource Management Review*, 33(1), 100857. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmr.2021.100857>
56. Piano, S. L. (2020). Ethical principles in machine learning and artificial intelligence: cases from the field and possible ways forward. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, 7. <http://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-020-0501-9>
57. Picton, H., Wyns, C., Anderson, R., Goossens, E., Jahnukainen, K., Kliesch, S., ... & Schlatt, S. (2015). A European perspective on testicular tissue cryopreservation for fertility preservation in prepubertal and adolescent boys. *Human reproduction*, 30(11), 2463-75. <http://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/dev190>
58. Pushkarna, M., Zaldivar, A., & Kjartansson, O. (2022). Data Cards: Purposeful and Transparent Dataset Documentation for Responsible AI. *Proceedings of the 2022 ACM Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency*. <http://doi.org/10.1145/3531146.3533231>
59. Rai, A. (2019). Explainable AI: from black box to glass box. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 48, 137-141. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-019-00710-5>
60. Rathore, M. M., Shah, S. A., Shukla, D., Bentafat, E., & Bakiras, S. (2021). The Role of AI, Machine Learning, and Big Data in Digital Twinning: A Systematic Literature Review, Challenges, and Opportunities. *IEEE Access*, 9, 32030-32052. <http://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3060863>
61. Remund, D. (2010). Financial Literacy Explicated: The Case for a Clearer Definition in an Increasingly Complex Economy. *Journal of Consumer Affairs*, 44, 276-295. <http://doi.org/10.1111/J.1745-6606.2010.01169.X>
62. Revelli, C., & Viviani, J. (2015). Financial Performance of Socially Responsible Investing (SRI): What Have We Learned? A Meta-Analysis. *Strategy & Social Policies eJournal*. <http://doi.org/10.1111/beer.12076>
63. Robinson, O. (2014). Sampling in Interview-Based Qualitative Research: A Theoretical and Practical Guide. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 11, 25-41. <http://doi.org/10.1080/14780887.2013.801543>
64. Samek, W., Montavon, G., Vedaldi, A., Hansen, L. K., & Müller, K. (2019). Explainable AI: Interpreting, Explaining and Visualizing Deep Learning. Retrieved from <http://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-28954-6>
65. Saponara, S., Elhanashi, A., & Gagliardi, A. (2021). Implementing a real-time, AI-based, people detection and social distancing measuring system for Covid-19. *Journal of Real-Time Image Processing*, 18, 1937-1947. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s11554-021-01070-6>
66. Schwendicke, F., Samek, W., & Krois, J. (2020). Artificial Intelligence in Dentistry: Chances and Challenges. *Journal of Dental Research*, 99, 769-774. <http://doi.org/10.1177/0022034520915714>
67. Shu, J., Jia, X., Yang, K., & Wang, H. (2021). Privacy-Preserving Task Recommendation Services for Crowdsourcing. *IEEE Transactions on Services Computing*, 14, 235-247. <http://doi.org/10.1109/TSC.2018.2791601>
68. Smith, K. (2010). Work-Life Balance Perspectives of Marketing Professionals in Generation Y. *Services Marketing Quarterly*, 31, 434-447. <http://doi.org/10.1080/15332969.2010.510724>
69. Stahl, B., & Wright, D. (2018). Ethics and Privacy in AI and Big Data: Implementing Responsible Research and Innovation. *IEEE Security & Privacy*, 16, 26-33. <http://doi.org/10.1109/MSP.2018.2701164>
70. Suseno, Y., Chang, C., Hudik, M., & Fang, E. S. (2022). Beliefs, anxiety and change readiness for artificial intelligence adoption among human resource managers: The moderating role of high-performance work systems. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 33(6), 1209–1236. <http://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2021.1931408>
71. Tambe, P., Cappelli, P., & Yakubovich, V. (2019). Artificial Intelligence in Human Resources Management: Challenges and a Path Forward. *California Management Review*, 61(4), 15-42. <http://doi.org/10.1177/0008125619867910>
72. Valls, R., & Kyriakides, L. (2013). The power of Interactive Groups: how diversity of adults volunteering in classroom groups can promote inclusion and success for children of vulnerable minority ethnic populations. *Cambridge Journal of Education*, 43, 17-33. <http://doi.org/10.1080/0305764X.2012.749213>
73. Veale, M., Kleek, M. V., & Binns, R. (2018). Fairness and Accountability Design Needs for Algorithmic Support in High-Stakes Public Sector Decision-Making. *Proceedings of the 2018 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. <http://doi.org/10.1145/3173574.3174014>
74. Wang, D., Yang, Q., Abdul, A., & Lim, B. Y. (2019). Designing Theory-Driven User-Centric Explainable AI. *Proceedings of the 2019 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. <http://doi.org/10.1145/3290605.3300831>

75. Wang, Q. (2009). Human-computer analogies in cognitive psychology. *Academic Theory*, (13), 15-16.
76. Wastiau, P., Blamire, R., Kearney, C., Quittre, V., gaer, E., & Monseur, C. (2013). The Use of ICT in Education: a survey of schools in Europe. *European Journal of Education*, 48, 11-27. <http://doi.org/10.1111/EJED.12020>
77. Wilson, H. J., Daugherty, P., & Bianzino, N. (2017). The jobs that artificial intelligence will create. *MIT Sloan Management Review*, 58(4), 14. <http://mitsmr.com/2odREFJW>
78. Xiao, L., Wan, X., Lu, X., Zhang, Y., & Wu, D. (2018). IoT Security Techniques Based on Machine Learning: How Do IoT Devices Use AI to Enhance Security?. *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*, 35, 41-49. <http://doi.org/10.1109/MSP.2018.2825478>
79. Xue, M., Cao, X., Feng, X., Gu, B., & Zhang, Y. (2022). Is college education less necessary with AI? Evidence from firm-level labor structure changes. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 39(3), 865–905. <http://doi.org/10.1080/07421222.2022.2096542>
80. Ye, Y., Li, D., & Liu, X. (2018). How block density and typology affect urban vitality: an exploratory analysis in Shenzhen, China. *Urban Geography*, 39, 631-652. <http://doi.org/10.1080/02723638.2017.1381536>
81. Zawacki-Richter, O., Marín, V. I., Bond, M., & Gouverneur, F. (2019). Systematic review of research on artificial intelligence applications in higher education—where are the educators?. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 16(1), 1-27.