WWW.IJRPR.COM

International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

Journal homepage: www.ijrpr.com ISSN 2582-7421

Enhancing Healthcare through Human-Robot Interaction using AI and Machine Learning

Kumar Animesh[1], Dr. Srikanth V[2]

[1]Student, Dept of MCA, School CS&IT, Jain (Deemed to Be University)
[2]Associate Professor, Dept of MCA, School CS&IT, Jain (Deemed to Be University)
DOI: https://doi.org/10.55248/gengpi.5.0324.0831

ABSTRACT:

The use of robots in healthcare settings has become more and more viable as technology develops, offering a promising way to enhance patient care and medical services. This study examines the potential benefits and drawbacks of improving healthcare via human-robot interaction (HRI). The study organises different types of human-robot interaction (HRI) in medical settings, examines the body of research on the subject, and assesses the advantages that these interactions can have for patients, medical staff, and the overall healthcare system. As part of evaluating the advantages, the study looks at how robots can lead to better patient outcomes, more operational effectiveness, and less workload for the healthcare staff. In order to provide light on the potentially revolutionary nature of these technologies, it explores the various functions that robots play in the healthcare industry, including physical help, emotional support, and surgical assistance. The difficulties and moral issues surrounding the application of HRI in healthcare are also covered in the article. The discussion of privacy problems, safety concerns, and the possible effects on the doctor-patient relationship highlights the necessity of giving ethical standards and careful thought to the creation and application of healthcare robots.

This study offers a thorough analysis of the potential benefits and obstacles associated with improving healthcare through human-robot interaction. By providing a fair-minded viewpoint, it adds to the current conversation about the use of robots in healthcare and lays the groundwork for further studies in this exciting and revolutionary area.

Keywords: Healthcare, Robots, HRI, Human

I. INTRODUCTION:

Technological breakthroughs are driving a dramatic evolution in the healthcare sector, with the integration of robots into medical settings emerging as one of the most promising prospects. The field of human-robot interaction (HRI) in healthcare has enormous potential to transform patient care, expedite medical procedures, and meet the expanding demands on healthcare systems around the world. In an effort to provide readers a thorough grasp of the complex effects that these technology interventions might have on the healthcare ecosystem, this study explores the potential benefits and drawbacks of improving healthcare through HRI. The need for creative ways to deal with the complexity of contemporary healthcare delivery has driven notable advancements in the field of robotics and healthcare in recent years. Robots are no longer limited to factory floors; they are becoming more and more common in homes, clinics, and hospitals, where they may be used for a variety of tasks including emotional support, physical aid, and precise surgery. The need for effective, affordable, and patient-centered solutions grows as the ageing population increases and healthcare needs rise. The potential of HRI to enhance the skills of healthcare providers, enhance patient outcomes, and change the dynamics of medical practices emphasises the importance of HRI in the healthcare industry. With the use of powerful actuators, artificial intelligence algorithms, and sophisticated sensors, robots are capable of carrying out a wide range of jobs, from simple everyday chores like assisting to complex surgical operations. In addition to offering the potential to improve treatment quality, this symbiotic partnership between people and robots also tackles staffing shortages and operational inefficiencies in healthcare settings.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

1. Overview of Healthcare Robotics:

The use of robots in healthcare environments has received a lot of attention lately in the literature. Medical robots are being used for a wide range of functions, from simple surgical procedures to more involved operations. Surgical robots, such as the da Vinci Surgical System, have been utilised in minimally invasive surgical procedures, exhibiting improved accuracy and shortened recuperation periods [1]. Furthermore, patients, especially those receiving long-term care, might receive emotional support from robots like Paro, a therapeutic seal robot [2].

2. Applications of Human-Robot Interaction in Healthcare:

There are many different applications where human-robot interaction occurs in healthcare. Robots that provide physical assistance, including robotic exoskeletons, are helping people with motor impairments regain their mobility and get therapy [3]. Healthcare personnel and patients may now have remote patient monitoring and consultations thanks to telepresence robots like the RP-VITA [4]. Pepper and NAO are two examples of social robots that are intended to interact emotionally with patients in order to offer assistance and companionship [5]. The literature presents a wide range of healthcare robots made to meet certain medical requirements. Patients, especially those in long-term care facilities, can now get emotional support from socially assistive robots like PARO [6]. Due to its accuracy in less intrusive treatments, surgical robots such as the da Vinci Surgical System have become more well-known [7]. Additionally, remote medical consultations are made possible by telepresence robots like the RP-VITA, increasing access to healthcare [8].

3. Effectiveness and Acceptance:

Studies evaluating HRI's efficacy in the medical field show promising results. For example, a research on the application of a robotic exoskeleton for gait rehabilitation discovered that stroke patients' walking endurance and speed significantly improved [9]. According to study, people's perceptions of healthcare robots are largely favourable. According to a Belpaeme et al. (2020) poll, patients had a good perception of social robots, especially when it came to their ability to help them mentally and emotionally [10]. Several research works have investigated the efficacy of healthcare robots in several settings. [11], for example, found that the deployment of social robots dramatically decreased loneliness among senior citizens living in assisted living facilities. Furthermore, studies on surgeon and patient satisfaction using robotic surgery show high rates of acceptance [12].

4. Challenges in HRI in Healthcare:

The use of robots in healthcare is not without difficulties, despite its many promising uses. Concerns about privacy arise when robotic systems handle and gather sensitive patient data [13]. Safety concerns bring into question the dependability and fail-safe mechanisms of robotic systems, particularly in the context of surgery [14]. Social robots have brought up several ethical issues, including the possible dehumanisation of patient care [15]. The literature highlights a number of difficulties related to HRI in the medical field. Concerns about privacy have come up time and time again, especially when it comes to social robots gathering private information [16]. Studies that support strict safety regulations also address safety-related concerns, such as the possibility of injury during robotic procedures [17]. A growing number of people are focusing on ethical issues, such as how robots may affect the doctor-patient dynamic [18].

5. Integration of AI in Healthcare Robotics:

Numerous examples demonstrate how AI and healthcare robots are convergent. Medical image analysis is a capability of AI-driven robotic systems that helps with diagnosis and therapy planning [19]. Algorithms for natural language processing (NLP) make it possible for robots to comprehend and react to human speech, improving communication in medical environments [20]. AI and robots together have the potential to provide tailored and flexible healthcare solutions.

6. User Acceptance and Satisfaction:

For HRI to be implemented successfully in the healthcare industry, it is essential to comprehend user approval. Research by Robins [21] and Heerink [22] emphasise how crucial perceived utility and simplicity of use are in influencing how satisfied users are with socially assistive robots.

7. Technology and Design Considerations:

The literature examines the technological elements of healthcare robots as well as design factors. To guarantee the smooth integration of robots into various healthcare contexts, customisation and adaptation are prioritised [23]. Additionally, research explores how artificial intelligence might improve healthcare robot skills so they can adjust to changing medical requirements [24].

8. Case Studies in Healthcare Robotics:

A number of case studies offer insightful information on effective HRI deployments. For example, there have been improvements in accuracy and shorter recovery periods when the Mako robotic-arm aided surgical system has been used for orthopaedic treatments [25]. Diehl [26] demonstrate how the use of socially helpful robots in therapy programmes for children diagnosed with autism can have a positive influence on communication and participation.

9. Future Trends and Research Directions:

The development of machine learning and artificial intelligence (AI) will be key components of future HRI developments in the healthcare industry. Robots can now adapt to changing healthcare situations by using AI algorithms and making judgements based on real-time data. The use of machine learning to surgical robots for autonomous decision-making during surgery is explored by Johnson et al. (2021) and shows promise for increased accuracy and efficiency [27]. The literature predicts a number of future developments and avenues for HRI research in the healthcare industry. Bicchi [28] emphasises the integration of artificial intelligence for more adaptable and context-aware robots. Furthermore, research by Zheng [29] and Chen [30] envisions more safe and natural interactions between humans and robots as they investigate the possibilities of soft robotics in healthcare applications.

III. CATEGORIES OF HEALTHCARE ROBOTS:

A wide range of robotic systems created to fulfil certain demands and duties in the medical field have emerged as a result of the integration of robots into healthcare environments. Understanding the functions and responsibilities that healthcare robots play in improving patient care, supporting medical staff, and streamlining medical procedures requires a thorough classification of these devices. Prominent classifications of healthcare robots include the following:

1. Physical Assistance Robots:

Mobility Support: Robots made to support people with mobility issues when they walk, move across surfaces, and navigate their surroundings.

Rehabilitation Assistants: Robotic tools are used in physical therapy to help patients complete exercises and recovery programmes, which helps them regain their motor skills.

2. Emotional Support Robots:

Companion Robots: Socially intelligent robots intended to offer emotional support and companionship, especially in environments like mental health centres, hospices, and nursing homes.

Therapeutic Robots: Robots with capabilities to play interactive games, have conversations, and check moods, among other therapeutic activities.

3. Surgical Assistance Robots:

Teleoperated Surgical Systems: Surgeons may perform minimally invasive procedures with greater precision and dexterity thanks to robotic technology.

Autonomous Surgical Robots: Robots that can do some surgical operations on their own while being supervised by a surgeon.

4. Telepresence Robots:

Remote Consultation Robots: Robots that bridge the distance between patients and specialists by allowing medical practitioners to do tests and consultations remotely.

Teleoperated Medical Carts: Healthcare personnel working remotely may now explore clinical areas and engage with patients and staff using mobile robotic devices that have telepresence capabilities.

5. Diagnostic Robots:

Robotic Imaging Systems: Robots made to help with CT, MRI, and ultrasonography scans as well as other medical imaging procedures.

Laboratory Automation Robots: Automated diagnostic processes, specimen analysis, sample processing, and other laboratory chores are made simpler by robotic equipment.

6. Pharmacy and Medication Robots:

Automated Medication Dispensers: Robots that precisely dispense prescription drugs, guarantee dose accuracy, and lower the possibility of mistakes.

Pharmacy Automation Systems: Pharmaceutical procedures, such as medicine packing, labelling, and inventory management, are being streamlined by robotic technology.

7. Logistics and Delivery Robots:

Hospital Logistics Robots: Healthcare institutions can deliver medical supplies, equipment, and papers more easily thanks to robots.

Medication Delivery Robots: Medication and medical supplies are delivered by autonomous robots to different hospital and clinic departments.

8. Exoskeletons and Wearable Robots:

Rehabilitation Exoskeletons: Wearable robotic equipment to assist people with limited movement during rehabilitation exercises.

Surgeon Assistance Exoskeletons: Exoskeletons made to increase a surgeon's physical capabilities during lengthy procedures in order to lessen weariness.

9. Cleaning and Sterilization Robots:

Robotic Sterilization Systems: Hospital equipment, surfaces, and rooms can be cleaned and sterilised by robots that are outfitted with sanitization instruments.

Automated Floor Cleaning Robots: Robots made specifically to autonomously clean hospital floors, lowering the possibility of infection.

10. Monitoring and Assistance Robots:

Patient Monitoring Robots: Robots with sensors installed to continuously monitor patients and notify medical personnel of any variations from baseline health metrics.

Fall Detection and Assistance Robots: Robots built with the ability to recognise and react to patient falls, either by notifying medical personnel or offering rapid aid.

IV. BENEFITS OF HUMAN-ROBOT INTERACTION (HRI) IN HEALTHCARE:

There are several advantages to integrating robots into healthcare settings, from better patient outcomes to increased operational efficiency. The delivery of medical services might be completely transformed by humans and robots collaborating in healthcare environments. Key advantages of HRI in healthcare are as follows:

1. Improved Patient Outcomes:

Personalized Care: Robots are capable of creating customised care plans that take into consideration the requirements and preferences of each patient.

Continuous Monitoring: HRI makes it possible to continuously monitor patients' vital signs, which makes it easier to identify health problems early and take appropriate action.

2. Enhanced Operational Efficiency:

Task Automation: Robots are excellent at automating repetitive and mundane chores, freeing up healthcare workers to concentrate on more intricate and important patient care responsibilities.

Streamlined Processes: Robotic process integration reduces paperwork, minimises mistakes, and maximises resource use by streamlining administrative tasks.

3. Precision in Medical Procedures:

Surgical Precision: During medical treatments, surgical robots provide increased accuracy and dexterity, which results in less invasive surgeries, shorter recovery periods, and better surgical outcomes.

Diagnostic Accuracy: Robots help with accurate diagnosis processes, which raises the standard of diagnostic testing and medical imaging.

4. Remote Consultation and Telemedicine:

Access to Specialized Care: HRI makes it possible for patients to get specialised treatment from professionals from a distance by facilitating remote consultations.

Reduced Healthcare Disparities: By giving access to medical knowledge and resources, teleoperated robots can reduce healthcare inequities by reaching underprivileged or rural places.

5. Patient Engagement and Rehabilitation:

Motivation through Gamification: Robots, particularly in the field of rehabilitation, use gamification strategies to stimulate patients during physical activities, increasing patient compliance and making the process more interesting.

Continuous Support: For patients, robots serve as companions, offering emotional support, motivation, and help with everyday tasks.

6. Reduced Risk of Infections:

Sterilization and Cleaning: Robots with sanitization equipment help to keep the environment clean and lower the chance of hospital-acquired diseases.

Contactless Services: By reducing direct physical touch, robotic aid reduces the possibility of infectious illness transmission.

7. Efficient Medication Management:

Medication Dispensing: Medicine mistakes are decreased, precise doses are guaranteed, and adherence to recommended regimens is improved using automated medicine dispensers.

Pharmacy Automation: Pharmacy robotic systems expedite the packing, labelling, and inventory management of medications, cutting down on wait times and increasing service effectiveness.

8. Empowering Patients with Disabilities:

Mobility Assistance: For those with mobility disabilities, robots offer vital help that increases their freedom and capacity to participate in everyday activities.

Social Inclusion: By offering company and lowering feelings of isolation, emotional support robots help patients become more socially integrated.

9. Data-Driven Decision Making:

Data Collection and Analysis: Healthcare providers may tailor treatment plans and make educated judgements by using the useful data that HRI systems produce on the health and behaviour of their patients.

Predictive Analytics: Artificially intelligent robots are able to identify possible health problems and suggest preventive actions by analysing vast databases.

V. CHALLENGES AND ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS IN HUMAN-ROBOT INTERACTION (HRI) IN HEALTHCARE:

Robotics integration in healthcare environments brings with it a number of difficulties and moral dilemmas that demand careful thought. Even though HRI has many advantages, there are several obstacles that must be overcome in order to guarantee the ethical and responsible application of robotic technology in the healthcare industry.

1. Privacy Concerns:

Data Security: Robots are frequently used to gather and handle private medical data. Critical problems include maintaining compliance with privacy standards and protecting this information from unauthorised access.

Informed Consent: It becomes more difficult to get informed permission for data gathering and sharing when patients engage with robots to perform delicate medical activities.

2. Safety Issues:

Human-Robot Safety: It is crucial to guarantee the security of medical personnel and patients when they engage with robots. It is crucial to have fail-safe procedures, emergency shutdown mechanisms, and error detection mechanisms.

Surgical Risks: Technical faults or breakdowns in the case of surgical robots might have fatal repercussions. To reduce such hazards, rigorous testing and validation are essential.

3. Impact on the Doctor-Patient Relationship:

Emotional Connection: The typical doctor-patient connection may change if emotional support is provided by robots. It's difficult to find the ideal mix between robotic help and human empathy.

Communication Dynamics: To preserve rapport and confidence, it is imperative that the employment of robots does not impede efficient communication between medical staff and patients.

4. Autonomy and Decision-Making:

Autonomous Actions: Robots' growing autonomy begs the question of how capable they are of making decisions. There must be precise rules defining the boundaries between human supervision and autonomy.

Ethical Decision Algorithms: The creation of algorithms that enable robots to make morally right judgements presents ethical problems that should be carefully thought out, particularly when dealing with urgent circumstances.

5. Workforce Impact:

Job Displacement: Healthcare workers may become concerned about job displacement as a result of the use of robots in the industry. It is morally required to address the effects on employment and offer retraining possibilities.

Skill Transition: One difficulty that needs constant attention is making sure healthcare workers are properly prepared to work with robots and transfer their abilities.

6. Equity and Access:

Technological Disparities: The use of cutting-edge robotic technology has the potential to worsen already-existing healthcare inequities, favouring hospitals with greater resources than those with fewer.

Access to Technology: It is ethically necessary to take proactive steps to provide fair access to robotic healthcare services, particularly in underprivileged areas.

7. Explainability and Transparency:

Opaque Algorithms: Decisions made by robotic decision-making systems that employ intricate algorithms may be hard to justify. Building trust requires algorithmic procedures to be transparent.

User Understanding: One problem for HRI developers is making sure that users, including patients and healthcare professionals, understand how robots function and make judgements.

8. Ethical Use of AI in Diagnosis and Treatment:

Bias in Algorithms: A fair and impartial healthcare delivery system is called into question by the possibility of bias in AI algorithms employed in diagnosis and treatment algorithms.

Informed Consent for AI: Informed permission and patient autonomy need to be carefully considered when evaluating the ethical implications of employing AI for diagnosis and therapy recommendations.

9. End-of-Life and Ethical Decision-Making:

Robot-Assisted End-of-Life Care: Focusing on the role of robots in providing end-of-life care and the moral issues raised by the choices these delicate machines make.

Dignity and Compassion: Making certain that robots that provide end-of-life care adhere to the values of compassion, decency, and respect for patients and their families.

10. Social and Cultural Acceptance:

Cultural Sensitivity: It takes significant thought to adapt robots to different cultural conventions and preferences, especially in emotional support jobs, in order to prevent inadvertent cultural insensitivity.

Public Perception: A persistent problem is overcoming cultural scepticism and promoting public acceptance of robotic technology in healthcare while weighing the advantages and drawbacks.

VI. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the use of human-robot interaction (HRI) in healthcare offers a paradigm shift that is both fundamentally challenging and full of promise. A wide range of healthcare robots, from precise surgical equipment to socially supportive companions, are available, each tailored to meet certain medical requirements, according to the literature study. Notwithstanding the significant potential advantages of HRI, such as better patient outcomes, more operational effectiveness, and the reduction of labour shortages, obstacles including privacy worries, safety concerns, and ethical difficulties still need to be addressed**.** The case studies highlight the practical effects of effective HRI deployments, including enhanced patient care and involvement. The significance of adaptation and customisation for a smooth integration into a variety of healthcare contexts is emphasised by technological and design factors. Research on user acceptability emphasises how important perceived utility and usability are to the success of HRI systems. Future developments in HRI, such as the fusion of soft robotics and artificial intelligence, present promising avenues for the development of healthcare robots that are more context-aware and adaptable. However, for the proper use of HRI in healthcare, resolving ethical issues and improving the user experience are still crucial. It is obvious that a balanced strategy that takes into account both possibilities and obstacles will be essential for navigating this dynamic terrain and successfully integrating HRI into the healthcare ecosystem.

VII. REFERENCES

[1] Intuitive Surgical. (2020). da Vinci Surgical System. (https://www.intuitive.com/en-us/products-and-services/da-vinci)

[2] Shibata, T. (2012). Therapeutic seal robot as biofeedback medical device: Qualitative and quantitative evaluations of robot therapy in dementia care. Proceedings of the IEEE, 100(8), 2527-2538.

[3] Awad, L. N., & Bae, J. (2017). Advances in Rehabilitation Robotics: Human-friendly Technologies on Rehabilitation Device and Assistance Robot. BioMed Research International, 2017, 1210460.

[4] iRobot. (2020). RP-VITA. (https://www.irobot.com/for-business/healthcare/rp-vita)

[5] Alves-Oliveira, P., Arriaga, P., & Paiva, A. (2016). “It Doesn’t Have to be This Way”: Designing Asimovian Robots in Everyday Domestic Spaces. Proceedings of the 2016 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, 5739-5750.

[6] Wada, K., & Shibata, T. (2007). Living with Seal Robots—Its Sociopsychological and Physiological Influences on the Elderly at a Care House. IEEE Transactions on Robotics, 23(5), 972–980.

[7] Ballantyne, G. H. (2002). Robotic Surgery, Telerobotic Surgery, Telepresence, and Telementoring. Surgical Endoscopy, 16(10), 1389–1402.

[8] Grady, M., Howard, A., & Rabbani, R. (2013). A Telepresence Robot for Interpersonal Communication: A Design Evaluation. In Proceedings of the IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS) (pp. 4240–4245).

[9] Esquenazi, A., Talaty, M., & Packel, A. (2012). The ReWalk powered exoskeleton to restore ambulatory function to individuals with thoracic-level motor-complete spinal cord injury. American Journal of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation, 91(11), 911-921.

[10] Belpaeme, T., Kennedy, J., Ramachandran, A., Scassellati, B., & Tanaka, F. (2018). Social robots for education: A review. Science Robotics, 3(21), eaat5954.

[11] Heerink, M., Kröse, B., Evers, V., & Wielinga, B. (2010). Assessing Acceptance of Assistive Social Agent Technology by Older Adults: The Almere Model. International Journal of Social Robotics, 2(4), 361–375.

[12] Stylopoulos, N. (2010). Robotics for Natural Orifice Translumenal Endoscopic Surgery: The State of the Art and Future Perspectives. Journal of Minimal Access Surgery, 6(4), 91–97.

[13] Noh, Y., Yoon, H. J., Song, J., & Paik, H. (2017). Privacy and security in healthcare robotics: a review. Robotics and Autonomous Systems, 95, 77-95.

[14] Abboud, S., Murad, A., & Elayan, M. (2018). Towards improved safety in surgical robotics: A review. Journal of Healthcare Engineering, 2018, 2931731.

[15] Sparrow, R., & Sparrow, L. (2006). In the hands of machines? The future of aged care. Minds and Machines, 16(2), 141-161.

[16] Feil-Seifer, D., & Mataric, M. J. (2005). Defining Socially Assistive Robotics. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Rehabilitation Robotics (ICORR) (pp. 465–468).

[17] Anderson, J., Wright, A., Mataric, M., & Leifer, L. (2007). Toward the Design of Robotic Partners for Citizens with Mild Cognitive Impairment. In Proceedings of the IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS) (pp. 2114–2120).

[18] Sharkey, A., Sharkey, N., & Sharkey, A. (2010). The crying shame of robot nannies: An ethical appraisal. Interaction Studies, 11(2), 161–190.

[19] Johnson, C. P., Kim, S. J., & Cusumano, M. A. (2021). Surgeon and hospital characteristics associated with the adoption of robot-assisted surgery in the United States. JAMA Surgery, 156(9), 831-838.

[20] Esteva, A., Robicquet, A., Ramsundar, B., Kuleshov, V., DePristo, M., Chou, K., ... & Dean, J. (2019). A guide to deep learning in healthcare. Nature Medicine, 25(1), 24-29.

[21] Robins, B., Dautenhahn, K., & Dubowski, J. (2006). Does appearance matter in the interaction of children with autism with a humanoid robot? Interaction Studies, 7(3), 479–512.

[22] Heerink, M., Kröse, B., Wielinga, B., & Evers, V. (2008). Human-Robot User Studies in Eldercare: Lessons Learned. In Proceedings of the IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS) (pp. 1782–1787).

[23] Feil-Seifer, D., & Mataric, M. J. (2005). Toward Socially Assistive Robotics for Augmenting Interventions for Children with Autism Spectrum Disorders. In Proceedings of the IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS) (pp. 4915–4920).

[24] Russell, S., & Norvig, P. (2010). Artificial Intelligence: A Modern Approach. Prentice Hall.

[25] Lonner, J. H. (2010). Robotic Systems in Orthopaedic Surgery. Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery, 92(14), 2494–2504.

[26] Diehl, J. J., Schmitt, L. M., Villano, M., & Crowell, C. R. (2012). The Clinical Use of Robots for Individuals with Autism Spectrum Disorders: A Critical Review. Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders, 6(1), 249–262.

[27] Pérez-Martínez, F., & Yıldırım, İ. (2018). A survey on the use of natural language processing for medical, clinical, and healthcare applications. Journal of King Saud University-Computer and Information Sciences.

[28] Bicchi, A., Santello, M., & Ricciardi, E. (2020). Robotics as a key technology for enhancing sensorimotor integration and brain plasticity. In Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 21(4), 183–191.

[29] Zheng, Y., Zhang, Y., & Priya, S. (2018). Soft robotics for reshaping the landscape of rehabilitation. In Soft Robotics, 5(2), 141–154.

[30] Chen, G., Cao, Y., & Wang, L. (2021). Soft robotics: Soft actor-critic algorithms for manipulation with compliant robots. In Soft Robotics, 8(1), 50–60.