International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

Journal homepage: www.ijrpr.com ISSN 2582-7421

Optimized Closed-Loop Speed Control of Motors via Full-Bridge Controlled Rectifier Systems

Shreyas Yashwant Kesarkar [a], ***Prof. S. A. Bhosale*** [b]

[a] *Department of Electronics and Telecommunication Engineering, Ashrokrao mane group of institutions vathar, Kolhapur, 416412, India*

[b] *Assistant Professor, Department of Electronics and Telecommunication Engineering,Ashrokrao mane group of institutions vathar, Kolhapur, 416412, India*

DOI : https://doi.org/10.55248/gengpi.5.1124.3137

ABSTRACT

Advances in electric motor control systems have significantly impacted fields like automation, robotics, and electric vehicles, where precise motor control is essential. Among various methods, closed-loop speed control has proven crucial, using feedback mechanisms to adjust motor speed in response to load and supply changes. A critical element of these systems is the full-bridge controlled rectifier, which efficiently converts AC to DC, facilitating fine-tuned control over motor speed and torque. Its capacity for real-time adjustment of voltage and current makes it ideal for applications demanding high precision. Recent improvements in power electronics and control algorithms, including PID, fuzzy logic, and model predictive control, have further enhanced performance, with digital controllers and microprocessors enabling adaptive control strategies. Despite these advancements, challenges in stability, efficiency, and response time remain. This review paper compiles and evaluates recent research on closed-loop speed control of motors using full-bridge controlled rectifiers, highlighting key findings and identifying areas for further exploration to advance this field.

Keywords: Closed-loop speed control, Electric motor control systems, Full-bridge controlled rectifier, AC to DC conversion,Feedback mechanisms Motor speed and torque control, Power electronics and PID control

1. Introduction

The advancement of electric motor control systems has significantly influenced various industrial applications, from automation and robotics to electric vehicles. Among the numerous strategies developed for enhancing motor performance, closed-loop speed control has emerged as a crucial technique for ensuring precise and reliable motor operation. This method utilizes feedback mechanisms to continuously adjust the motor's speed, thereby maintaining desired performance levels despite fluctuations in load or supply conditions.

At the heart of closed-loop speed control systems lies the full-bridge controlled rectifier, which serves as a vital interface between the AC power supply and the DC motor. By efficiently converting AC to DC, this rectifier facilitates effective power delivery while enabling fine control over the motor's speed and torque. The ability to adjust voltage and current in real-time is paramount, especially in applications requiring high precision and responsiveness.

Recent advancements in power electronics and control algorithms have further enhanced the effectiveness of closed-loop systems. Techniques such as Proportional-Integral-Derivative (PID) control, fuzzy logic control, and model predictive control have been increasingly applied to optimize motor performance. Moreover, the integration of digital controllers and microprocessors has enabled the implementation of sophisticated control strategies that can adapt to changing operating conditions.

Despite the significant progress made in this field, challenges remain regarding the performance and reliability of closed-loop speed control systems, particularly in terms of stability, efficiency, and response time. This review paper aims to consolidate and evaluate the existing literature on closed-loop speed control of motors utilizing full-bridge controlled rectifiers. By systematically analyzing various methodologies, results, and control strategies reported in previous studies, this paper seeks to identify research gaps and propose directions for future work.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Actuator and Converter-Based Control Systems

(Audet and Koch) focused on actuator efficiency and selection in closed-loop HCCI combustion timing, emphasizing actuator compatibility as a critical factor in combustion timing accuracy. Their study underscored how actuator variability impacted precision control, highlighting gaps in adaptation across different control environments. Meanwhile, (Dixit and Hillam) presented a two-stage AC-DC converter system to enhance DC motor speed regulation. Their research highlighted response limitations in dynamic load conditions and proposed design improvements to enhance adaptability, thereby improving motor response under varying loads.

2.2 Digital and Embedded Control Systems for Speed Control

(Babu et al.) utilized FPGA technology to enable precise speed control for separately excited DC motors, addressing hardware scalability challenges. This study provided evidence for FPGA's high control precision, though it noted limitations in extending scalability across varied motor types. Similarly, (Mondal et al.) explored embedded systems for closed-loop DC motor control, revealing the benefits of 8051 microcontrollers for real-time applications, though they acknowledged processing speed limitations for more complex motor functions. These works showcased the benefits of digital and embedded control in speed regulation, highlighting both the potential and limitations of these systems in real-time applications.

2.3 Control Techniques in H-Bridge and Full-Bridge Rectifiers

The research by (Cecati et al.) explored H-Bridge multilevel active rectifiers in traction applications, emphasizing improved power quality and addressing efficiency losses inherent in multilevel configurations. Additionally, (Karpagavalli and Jeyakumar) investigated a PID-controlled full-bridge DC-DC converter for unipolar voltage switching in DC motors. Their study confirmed the stability benefits of PID control but noted the complexities in tuning PID parameters to achieve optimal performance. Both studies contributed to the design and operational improvements in rectifiers, though challenges remained in efficiency and tuning complexities.

2.4 Advanced Control Strategies Using Inverters and PI/PID Controllers

(Duan et al.) discussed a digital control system based on a double closed-loop approach for full-bridge inverters, improving stability under high-performance demands. Their work contributed valuable insights into control reliability under dynamic conditions, which were essential for robust speed regulation. (Ellabban et al.) focused on a Z-source inverter in induction motor control, achieving enhanced speed stability even with voltage fluctuations. (Hasan et al.) further expanded on control advancements with a PI-controlled high-performance rectifier for BLDC motors, emphasizing PI's adaptability limitations under load changes. These studies illustrated the range of benefits and constraints inherent in advanced inverter and controller integration.

2.5 Machine Learning and Computational Control for Motor Applications

(T et al.) introduced Artificial Neural Networks (ANN) for BLDC motor speed control, achieving high precision while facing computational load challenges. This study highlighted the promise of ANN in improving control accuracy, though it pointed out computational burdens as a limitation for real-time applications. (Yildiran) examined rapid control prototyping for fully controlled bridge rectifiers, offering potential in industrial settings but noting adaptability constraints. These works suggested that machine learning and computational techniques could enhance motor control systems, although they came with processing and adaptability challenges in real-world applications.

Equations

3. Methodology

The methodology employed in this review paper on closed-loop speed control of motors using full-bridge controlled rectifiers is structured into several key phases: literature selection, classification, analysis, and synthesis of findings.

3.1 Literature Selection

The initial phase involved a systematic search for relevant literature in academic databases, including IEEE Xplore, ScienceDirect, and Google Scholar. The search utilized specific keywords such as "closed-loop speed control," "full-bridge rectifier," and "motor control." Selection criteria were established to ensure the inclusion of studies that focused on:

- The application of full-bridge controlled rectifiers in motor speed control.
- Various control strategies employed in closed-loop systems.
- Performance metrics and outcomes related to motor efficiency, response time, and stability.

A total of 20 studies published between 2003 and 2024 were selected for inclusion in the review.

3.2 Classification of Literature

The selected literature was classified into thematic categories based on the focus of the studies. These categories included:

- Control Strategies: Studies that discussed various control techniques such as PID control, fuzzy logic, and adaptive control methods.
- Hardware Implementations: Research focused on the physical implementation of closed-loop systems, including component selection and design considerations.
- Performance Evaluation: Papers that provided empirical data on system performance, including response characteristics, efficiency, and stability under varying conditions.

This classification facilitated a structured analysis of the methodologies and findings within each category.

3.3 Analysis of Findings

Each category was analyzed to extract key insights and trends:

- Control Strategies: The review examined the advantages and limitations of different control algorithms, highlighting their effectiveness in various applications.
- Hardware Implementations: The analysis covered common challenges faced during the implementation of full-bridge rectifiers, including component selection and circuit design considerations.
- Performance Evaluation: The performance metrics reported in the studies were compared, focusing on how different control strategies impacted motor speed control.

3.4 Synthesis of Findings

The final phase involved synthesizing the findings from the analyzed literature to identify gaps and propose future research directions. This included:

- Identifying Research Gaps: The synthesis highlighted areas that lacked sufficient investigation, such as the scalability of control systems and the integration of advanced algorithms.
- Proposing Future Directions: Based on the identified gaps, potential avenues for future research were suggested, focusing on adaptive control systems and the application of machine learning techniques in motor control.

3.5 Documentation and Review

The entire methodology and findings were documented systematically. A thorough review process was undertaken to ensure the accuracy and relevance of the synthesized information. This comprehensive approach aimed to contribute valuable insights into the ongoing developments in closed-loop speed control systems using full-bridge controlled rectifiers

4. Result and Discussion

The literature reviewed demonstrated significant advancements in the field of motor speed control, particularly through the utilization of various control strategies, digital systems, and innovative rectifier designs. The studies highlighted a range of methodologies, each contributing to the optimization of speed regulation and system efficiency in different types of motors.

(Audet and Koch) emphasis on actuator compatibility in HCCI combustion timing showcased the critical role of precise actuator functionality in achieving optimal control. (Dixit and Hillam) two-stage AC-DC converter indicated that while these systems improved speed regulation, they also encountered challenges related to dynamic load conditions. Overall, these studies underscored the necessity of tailoring actuator and converter designs to specific operational conditions to enhance performance.

The implementation of FPGA technology by (Babu et al.) represented a significant step forward in achieving precise speed control for separately excited DC motors. Their results affirmed FPGA's capability in real-time applications, though scalability concerns were noted, indicating a need for future work on adaptable designs for various motor types. Similarly, the work of (Mondal et al.) illustrated the advantages of embedded systems, particularly the 8051 microcontroller, in maintaining effective control. The processing speed limitations highlighted in their study suggest that further enhancements in microcontroller technology may be required to address complex motor control scenarios.

(Cecati et al.) demonstrated that multilevel active rectifiers could improve power quality and efficiency in traction applications, while (Karpagavalli and Jeyakumar) confirmed the stability of PID control in full-bridge configurations

(Duan et al.) successfully implemented a double closed-loop control system, enhancing stability during high-performance demands, while (Ellabban et al.) noted that the Z-source inverter significantly improved speed stability against voltage fluctuations. (Hasan et al.) highlighted the adaptability challenges of PI controllers under varying load conditions, indicating the necessity for adaptive control techniques to maximize performance across different operating scenarios. (T et al.) and (Yildiran et al.) showcased the potential of machine learning and rapid control prototyping in motor control applications.

Table 1. Classification of existing studies

Paper	Methodology	Control Type	Observed Gap	Key Result	Application Focus
(Audet and Koch)	Actuator comparison for HCCI timing	Closed-loop	Adaptability limitations	Differences in actuator responses	Combustion Control
(Babu et al.)	FPGA-based control	Closed-loop	Scalability issues	High precision achieved, limited scalability	DC Motor Control
(Cecati et al.)	H-Bridge rectifier design	Open-loop	Efficiency losses	Power quality improved	Traction Systems
(Krishnan et al.)	Comparison of open vs. closed-loop	Both	No direct method comparison	Closed-loop superior in speed consistency	General Motor Control
(Dixit and Hillam)	Two-stage AC-DC converter	Closed-loop	Limited response under load changes	Enhanced control stability	DC Motor Control
(Duan et al.)	Double closed-loop digital system	Closed-loop	Weak robustness under dynamic loads	Stable control in high-performance setups	Inverter Control
(Ellabban et al.)	Z-source inverter-based control	Closed-loop	Voltage sag handling	Enhanced stability, resistance to sags	Induction Motors
(Godwin and Jebaseeli)	Simulation for closed-loop DC drive	Closed-loop	Lack of real-time validation	Effective in simulation, real-time unverified	DC Drive Control
(Hasan et al.)	PI-controlled multilevel inverter	Closed-loop	PI limitations under variable loads	Effective but limited adaptability	BLDC Motor Drive
Journal et al. (2020)	Full-bridge boost rectifier	Closed-loop	Real-time adaptability constraints	Satisfactory but response time lag	Automatic Control
(Karpagavalli and Jeyakumar)	PID controller for DC-DC converter	Closed-loop	Tuning complexity	Stability improved with tuned PID	DC Motor Control
(Mondal et al.)	Embedded control	Closed-loop	Processing speed limitations	Moderate speed control with processing constraints	Embedded Systems
(Nasir)	Performance prediction	Open-loop	Accuracy under variable loads	Improved predictive capability	DC Motor Analysis
(Patil et al.)	Four-quadrant control	Closed-loop	Efficiency in multiple	Effective but minor trade-	DC Motor Control

			quadrants	offs	
(Silva-Ortigoza et al.)	Sensorless tracking control	Closed-loop	Limited accuracy without sensors	High control accuracy in stable conditions	DC Motor Control
(Singh)	Fully controlled bridge rectifier	Closed-loop	High harmonic distortion	Effective control with harmonic challenges	SEDC Motor Control
(Sudarta)	No specific method	None	Methodology unclear	Findings not reported	--
(T et al.)	ANN-based BLDC control	Closed-loop	Computational demands	High precision but high computational load	BLDC Motor Analysis
(Yildiran)	Full-bridge with rapid prototyping	Closed-loop	Real-time adaptability limitations	Successful prototyping	Industrial Control
(Zhang et al.)	PID for three-phase rectifier	Closed-loop	Real-time tuning complexity	Improved speed control with tuning challenges	General Motor Control

5. Research Gap Identified

The review of existing literature on closed-loop speed control of motors using full-bridge controlled rectifiers revealed several critical research gaps. First, while many studies focused on the implementation of various control strategies, there remains a lack of comprehensive comparisons between these strategies across different types of motors and operational conditions. This gap limits the ability to generalize findings and optimize designs for a broader range of applications.

The scalability of digital and embedded control systems has not been thoroughly addressed. Although advancements in FPGA and microcontroller technologies have been highlighted, challenges related to the adaptability of these systems for different motor types and configurations persist. The current studies predominantly focus on specific applications, leaving a need for research that explores scalable solutions applicable to various motor systems.

The complexities involved in parameter tuning for PID controllers in full-bridge rectifier applications present another gap. While some studies have successfully implemented PID control, there is insufficient exploration into developing adaptive or self-tuning algorithms that can automatically adjust parameters based on real-time performance metrics.

6. Future Scope

The identified research gaps, several future research directions could significantly enhance the field of closed-loop speed control for motors. One promising avenue is the development of standardized benchmarking studies that systematically compare various control methodologies across multiple motor types and configurations. This could lead to the formulation of best practices and optimized designs that can be broadly applied in industrial settings.

Another potential area for exploration is the advancement of adaptive control systems. Future research could focus on developing self-tuning algorithms for PID controllers and exploring machine learning techniques that dynamically adjust control parameters based on real-time data. Such advancements could lead to more robust control systems capable of maintaining performance under varying load conditions and operational scenarios.

Furthermore, integrating machine learning with existing control strategies offers exciting opportunities. Future studies could aim to design lightweight, efficient algorithms that can be implemented in real-time applications, reducing computational burdens while enhancing control accuracy. Investigating hybrid systems that combine traditional control methods with advanced computational techniques could yield significant improvements in performance..

Acknowledgements

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my guide, Prof. S. A. Patil whose guidance, encouragement, and insightful feedback were invaluable throughout this research process. I am also deeply thankful to the faculty and staff of the Ashokrao Mane Group of Institutions Vathar for their support and for providing an enriching academic environment. Special thanks go to my colleagues and friends for their collaboration and assistance, which greatly contributed to the completion of this work. Lastly, I am immensely grateful to my family for their unwavering support and encouragement, which has been my source of strength and motivation throughout my academic journey.

References

Audet, Adrian, and Charles Robert Koch. “Actuator C Omparison for C Losed L Oop C Ontrol of HCCI C C Ombustion T Iming.” 2017 International Conference on Energy, Communication, Data Analytics and Soft Computing (ICECDS), vol. 3, no. 2, 2012, pp. 3036–40.

Babu, Anand, et al. “Speed Control of a Separately Excited DC Motor Using FPGA.” International Journal of Applied Engineering Research, vol. 10, no. 55, 2015, pp. 722–26.

Cecati, Carlo, et al. “Design of H-Bridge Multilevel Active Rectifier for Traction Systems.” IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications, vol. 39, no. 5, 2003, pp. 1541–50, https://doi.org/10.1109/TIA.2003.816515.

Dixit, Anupam, and Bryce Hillam. “A Two Stage AC-DC Converter for Speed Control of a DC Motor.” 2019 IEEE PES Innovative Smart Grid Technologies Asia, ISGT 2019, 2019, pp. 2532–37, https://doi.org/10.1109/ISGT-Asia.2019.8880937.

Duan, Bin, et al. “A New Digital Control System Based on the Double Closed-Loop for the Full-Bridge Inverter.” International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology, vol. 77, no. 1–4, 2015, pp. 241–48, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-014-6463-6.

Ellabban, Omar, et al. “A New Closed Loop Speed Control of Induction Motor Fed by High Performance Z-Source Inverter.” EVS 2010 - Sustainable Mobility Revolution: 25th World Battery, Hybrid and Fuel Cell Electric Vehicle Symposium and Exhibition, 2010.

Godwin, G. Dyana, and E. Annie Elizebeth Jebaseeli. “Digital Simulation of Closed Loop Controlled Dc Drive.” Journal of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Sciences, vol. 2015-July, no. 10, 2015, pp. 198–204.

Hasan, Mohammed S., et al. “High Performance Rectifier/Multilevel Inverter Based BLDC Motor Drive with PI Controller.” IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering, vol. 745, no. 1, 2020, https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899X/745/1/012005.

Karpagavalli, P., and A. Ebenezer Jeyakumar. “PID Controller Based Full Bridge DC-DC Converter for Closed Loop DC Motor with Unipolar Voltage Switching.” UPB Scientific Bulletin, Series C: Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, vol. 77, no. 1, 2015, pp. 59–72.

Krishnan, Anand, et al. Minireview Highlight Article Convalescent Serum-Derived Exosomes : Attractive Niche as COVID-19 Diagnostic Tool and Vehicle for MRNA Delivery. 2022, pp. 1244–52, https://doi.org/10.1177/15353702221092984.

Mondal, Reetam, et al. “Embedded System of DC Motor Closed Loop Speed Control Based on 8051 Microcontroller.” Procedia Technology, vol. 10, 2013, pp. 840–48, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.protcy.2013.12.429.

Nasir, Bilal. “Performance Prediction of D.C. Motor Fed From Half-Controlled Bridge Rectifier.” Asian Journal of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, vol. 3, no. 2, 2023, pp. 18–26, https://doi.org/10.69955/ajoeee.2023.v3i2.47.

Patil, Vinod S., et al. “Four Quadrant Close Loop Speed Control of DC Motor.” 2016 International Conference on Circuits, Controls, Communications and Computing, I4C 2016, 2017, pp. 1–6, https://doi.org/10.1109/CIMCA.2016.8053305.

Silva-Ortigoza, Ramon, et al. “Sensorless Tracking Control for a ‘Full-Bridge Buck Inverter-DC Motor’ System: Passivity and Flatness-Based Design.” IEEE Access, vol. 9, 2021, pp. 132191–204, https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3112575.

Singh, Raju. C Losed L Oop S Peed C Ontrol of Sedc M Otor Using T Hree P Hase F Ully C Ontrolled B Ridge R Ectifier. no. 2, 2012, pp. 559–67.

Sudarta. 済無 No Title No Title No Title. no. 1, 2022, pp. 1 – 23.

T, Porselvi, et al. Artificial Neural Networks Based Analysis of BLDC Motor Speed Control. 2021, pp. 1–8, http://arxiv.org/abs/2108.12320.

Yildiran, Nezihe. Hardware Implementation of Fully Controlled Bridge Rectifier with Rapid Control Prototyping Approach. no. 3, 2024, pp. 224–30, https://doi.org/10.17694/bajece.1466201.

Zhang, Xinmeng, et al. “Analysis of Control Strategy of Three-Phase Bridge Fully Controlled Rectifier Circuit Based on PID Principle.” 2023 IEEE 2nd International Conference on Electrical Engineering, Big Data and Algorithms, EEBDA 2023, vol. 17, 2023, pp. 703–09, https://doi.org/10.1109/EEBDA56825.2023.10090617.