



## Forensic Science and the Law: Exploring Legal and Ethical Challenges in Criminal Justice

<sup>1</sup>Ankita Pandey, <sup>2</sup>Dr. Shammi Kesh Roy

<sup>1</sup> Research Scholar, Department of Law YBN University, Ranchi

<sup>2</sup> Associate Professor Department of Law, YBN University, Ranchi

### ABSTRACT :

This study explores the intersection of forensic science and law, focusing on the legal and ethical challenges that arise within the criminal justice system. As forensic evidence plays an increasingly critical role in investigations and trials, issues such as cognitive bias, pressure from law enforcement, and the integrity of evidence handling have come to the forefront. The research identifies key ethical dilemmas faced by forensic practitioners and examines the impact of these challenges on the reliability of forensic evidence in court. Additionally, it emphasizes the need for comprehensive reforms, including standardized practices, enhanced training, and independent oversight, to improve the accuracy and ethical application of forensic science. By addressing these issues, the study aims to contribute to a more robust framework that upholds justice and public confidence in forensic methodologies.

**Keywords:** Forensic Science, Criminal Justice, Ethical Challenges, Legal Issues, Forensic Evidence, Cognitive Bias.

### Introduction :

Forensic science plays a critical role in modern criminal justice systems, offering scientific methods to aid in the investigation and resolution of criminal cases. The integration of forensic evidence—such as DNA analysis, fingerprint identification, and digital forensics—has revolutionized the ways in which crimes are solved, providing law enforcement with powerful tools for both inculcating and exonerating individuals. However, this technological advancement raises significant legal and ethical challenges. For example, concerns about the accuracy and reliability of forensic techniques have been widely debated, especially in light of wrongful convictions resulting from flawed forensic practices (Garrett & Neufeld, 2009). The admissibility of forensic evidence in courts also presents legal complexities, as varying standards like the Frye and Daubert tests in different jurisdictions can influence how evidence is evaluated (Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc., 1993). Furthermore, ethical issues such as the potential for bias in forensic interpretation and the mishandling of evidence highlight the need for stringent ethical oversight (Dror, 2015). Legal scholars emphasize that forensic experts must maintain impartiality to avoid being perceived as advocates for either the defense or prosecution (Saks & Koehler, 2005). Privacy concerns, particularly in digital forensics, have also emerged as significant challenges, with courts grappling with how to balance individual rights against the need for effective law enforcement (Schwartz, 2012). The ethical use of new technologies such as artificial intelligence in forensic analysis further complicates these issues, demanding ongoing legal reforms to address evolving forensic practices (Lander, 2015). These challenges underscore the intricate relationship between forensic science, the law, and the pursuit of justice.

### *The Statement of the Problem*

The integration of forensic science in the criminal justice system is fraught with legal and ethical challenges that can compromise the integrity of evidence. Issues such as cognitive bias, pressures from law enforcement, and inadequate oversight raise concerns about the reliability of forensic analyses. Furthermore, the lack of standardized practices can lead to inconsistencies in evidence handling. These factors collectively threaten the pursuit of justice and public confidence in the legal system.

### *The Need and Significance of the Study*

The need and significance of this study lie in the crucial role that forensic science plays in modern criminal justice systems and the profound legal and ethical challenges it presents. As forensic evidence becomes increasingly pivotal in determining guilt or innocence, concerns about the reliability, accuracy, and ethical use of forensic methods have intensified, particularly in light of wrongful convictions linked to flawed evidence. This study is essential for identifying gaps in forensic practices, understanding the ethical dilemmas faced by practitioners, and evaluating inconsistencies in how forensic evidence is admitted in courts. By addressing these issues, the study contributes to ensuring that forensic science is applied fairly and accurately, thus strengthening the credibility of the justice system, safeguarding individual rights, and promoting legal reforms that balance technological advances with ethical considerations.

### *The Research Questions*

**RQ<sub>1</sub>:** How has forensic science influenced the investigation and resolution of criminal cases in the modern justice system?

**RQ<sub>2</sub>:** What are the key ethical challenges faced by forensic practitioners, and how do they affect the integrity of forensic evidence in criminal trials?

**RQ<sub>3</sub>:** What reforms are necessary to improve the accuracy, reliability, and ethical application of forensic science in the criminal justice system?

### *The Objectives of the Study*

**O<sub>1</sub>:** To examine the role of forensic science in modern criminal justice.

**O<sub>2</sub>:** To identify the ethical dilemmas faced by forensic practitioners.

**O<sub>3</sub>:** To recommend reforms in forensic science and its application in law.

---

### **The Review of Related Literature :**

**Smith, J. H., & Horne, J. S. (2024).** The Value of Forensic DNA Database. *Pakistan Journal of Criminology*, 16(02), 1177-1184. These challenges include logistical, staffing, legal, security, growth, public interest, and international cooperation issues. By examining South Africa's successful NFDD implementation, the authors stress the need for thorough planning and implementing a comprehensive value chain. Drawing insights from South Africa's successful NFDD implementation, the authors emphasize the importance of meticulous planning and implementing a value chain. This value chain should cover DNA evidence collection, follow-up investigations, and presenting evidence in court.

**Starushkevych, A. (2024).** Criminal Investigation Methods According to Hans Gross: Past and Present. Results indicate that forensic evidence was associated with more guilty verdicts and higher confidence in a guilty verdict. Forensic evidence did not change the expected sentence length and did not generally affect the ideal sentence length. However, for rape, respondents believed that the defendant should receive a longer sentence when forensic evidence was presented but forensic evidence did not alter likely sentence that respondents expected the defendant to receive. The results of this study did not support a CSI effect. Overall, this study suggests that forensic evidence – particularly DNA – has a stronger influence during the verdict stage than the sentencing stage.

**Umejiaku, N., & Uzoka, N. C. (2024).** The Role of Evidence in Criminal Justice System in Nigeria: A Legal Appraisal. The work discovered that certain persons are excluded from giving evidence in criminal justice system, such as children, old persons and persons of unsound mind. Further, the work discovered that, there are some evidences that are not admissible by the Courts. Furthermore, the work revealed that there are inherent lapses in our legal framework that impair evidence. Additionally, the work observed factors that exacerbate challenges in the criminal justice system in Nigeria. Finally, the work discovered that Nigeria's criminal justice system administration falls short of international best practices. Accordingly, the work recommends for a review of the Evidence Act 2011 Child's Right.

**Woodman, P. A., Spiranovic, C., Julian, R., Ballantyne, K. N., & Kelty, S. F. (2020).** The impact of chemical trace evidence on justice outcomes: The findings of this research indicate that, to assess the full impact of any discipline of forensic evidence on the criminal justice system, the analysis must take into account the potential for important synergies that may exist with other forensic and non-forensic evidence.

**Starushkevych, A. (2024).** Criminal Investigation Methods According to Hans Gross: Past and Present. After implementing each subsequent stage (step), the investigator should summarize received results (preferably in written, tabular [visual] form). It has been argued that the methods proposed by H. Gross are still relevant today due to the prospects of developing a modern applied scientific area (forensic technologies ensuring visualization in a criminal proceeding) and certain issues of unbiased analysis of relevant forensic information by investigators at the initial stage of investigating crimes.

**Bakhtiar, H. S. (2024).** The Evolution of Scientific Evidence Theory in Criminal Law: The result of this study is historical evolution in the theory of scientific evidence, which has transitioned from relying on testimonies to verifiable scientific data, including advancements in DNA and cyber technology. This scientific approach, characterized by its objectivity, replicability, and measurability, offers a robust foundation for discerning truth and justice, surpassing the limitations of mere factual accounts. Modern criminal law's integration of this evidence signifies a deep-rooted commitment to justice; ensuring verdicts are swift, fair, and grounded in undeniable truth.

#### **2.1. The Research Gap of the Study**

The research gap in the context of Forensic Science and the Law: Exploring Legal and Ethical Challenges in Criminal Justice lies in the lack of comprehensive analysis that integrates legal, ethical, and practical concerns associated with the application of forensic evidence in criminal justice systems. While existing studies have examined specific aspects, such as the logistical and legal challenges of DNA databases (Smith & Horne, 2024), the influence of forensic evidence on verdicts (Starushkevych, 2024), and the limitations of legal frameworks in certain regions like Nigeria (Umejiaku & Uzoka, 2024), there remains a gap in understanding how these challenges intersect and influence one another. Additionally, there is insufficient focus on how emerging forensic technologies, like cyber and AI-based forensics, fit within current legal frameworks and ethical guidelines, particularly with respect to safeguarding justice and avoiding wrongful convictions. Further research is needed to propose integrated reforms that address these gaps while balancing scientific advancements with ethical considerations in legal processes.

---

### **Research Methodology**

Content analysis, as a methodology for studying Forensic Science and the Law: Exploring Legal and Ethical Challenges in Criminal Justice, involves systematically examining and interpreting textual data from legal documents, forensic reports, case studies, and scholarly literature. This qualitative approach allows for the identification of recurring themes, patterns, and legal principles that highlight the challenges of using forensic science in the

justice system. By analyzing legislative frameworks, court rulings, and ethical guidelines, content analysis can reveal discrepancies in the application of forensic evidence, ethical dilemmas faced by practitioners, and the legal barriers to adopting new technologies. This method provides a structured means to assess both historical and contemporary sources, offering insights into how forensic science is integrated into criminal law and how ethical challenges are addressed, thus facilitating a deeper understanding of the need for reform.

---

## The Analysis and Interpretation

### *O<sub>1</sub>: To examine the role of forensic science in modern criminal justice.*

Forensic science has significantly transformed the investigation and resolution of criminal cases in the modern justice system, providing objective and scientific methods to gather, analyze, and present evidence. Its influence is evident in various domains, including crime scene investigation, evidence evaluation, and courtroom procedures.

#### **Enhanced Crime Scene Investigation**

Forensic science has revolutionized the way crime scenes are processed. Techniques such as DNA analysis, fingerprinting, and trace evidence examination allow investigators to collect and analyze physical evidence that can link suspects to crimes. The systematic approach to evidence collection ensures that critical information is preserved for further analysis (Rogers, 2016).

#### **Improved Accuracy in Evidence Analysis**

Advancements in forensic technologies, such as mass spectrometry and digital forensics, have increased the accuracy and reliability of evidence analysis. These technologies provide detailed insights into materials and biological samples, enabling law enforcement to build stronger cases. For instance, DNA profiling has become a cornerstone of forensic science, allowing for precise identification of individuals (Butler, 2015).

#### **Influence on Verdicts and Sentencing**

Research indicates that the presence of forensic evidence significantly affects jury decisions, leading to higher conviction rates. Studies show that jurors often place considerable weight on forensic evidence when determining guilt, particularly in cases involving serious crimes such as homicide and sexual assault (Starushkevych, 2024). This reliance on scientific evidence enhances the perceived legitimacy of verdicts.

#### **Role in Exonerations**

Forensic science has played a crucial role in exonerating wrongfully convicted individuals. The use of DNA testing has led to the exoneration of numerous individuals who were wrongly imprisoned based on unreliable evidence. Organizations like the Innocence Project have highlighted cases where forensic advancements have corrected injustices, underscoring the importance of accurate forensic practices (Garrett, 2011).

#### **Integration of Forensic Evidence in Legal Frameworks**

The integration of forensic science into legal frameworks has prompted the establishment of standards for the admissibility of forensic evidence in court. Legal precedents, such as the Daubert standard, require that scientific evidence be reliable and relevant, influencing how forensic experts present their findings in legal settings (Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc., 1993). This integration ensures that only credible forensic practices are utilized in criminal proceedings.

#### **Ethical Considerations and Challenges**

Despite its benefits, the use of forensic science also raises ethical concerns, including issues related to bias, the handling of evidence, and the potential for over-reliance on scientific methods. The interpretation of forensic evidence can be subjective, leading to disputes over its validity (Dror, 2015). Addressing these ethical challenges is essential for maintaining the integrity of the justice system and ensuring fair outcomes.

Overall, forensic science has profoundly influenced modern criminal investigations and judicial processes by enhancing the accuracy of evidence analysis, improving conviction rates, and playing a vital role in exonerating the innocent. However, ongoing attention to ethical considerations and legal standards is necessary to fully realize its potential while safeguarding justice.

### *O<sub>2</sub>: To identify the ethical dilemmas faced by forensic practitioners.*

Forensic practitioners operate at the intersection of science and law, facing a range of ethical challenges that can significantly affect the integrity of forensic evidence in criminal trials. These challenges arise from the complexities of scientific analysis, pressures from the legal system, and the moral implications of their work.

#### **Cognitive Bias**

One of the primary ethical challenges in forensic science is cognitive bias, where practitioners' expectations or beliefs may unintentionally influence their analysis and conclusions. Studies have shown that cognitive biases can lead forensic experts to misinterpret evidence or overstate its significance, thereby compromising the objectivity of their findings (Dror, 2015). This bias can affect case outcomes, leading to wrongful convictions or acquittals based on flawed evidence.

#### **Pressure from Law Enforcement**

Forensic practitioners often work closely with law enforcement agencies, which can create ethical dilemmas regarding impartiality. There is a risk that practitioners may feel pressured to produce results that favour law enforcement narratives or to align with the expectations of investigators (Harris, 2012). Such pressures can lead to compromised scientific integrity and the potential manipulation of evidence to fit a particular case narrative, undermining the reliability of forensic analysis.

#### **Disclosure and Transparency**

Ethical challenges also arise regarding the disclosure of forensic methods and the transparency of findings. Practitioners may face dilemmas in providing complete and understandable information about their methodologies and the limitations of their analyses to the courts. Inadequate transparency can lead to misinterpretations of forensic evidence by judges and juries, potentially affecting the outcome of trials (Bureau of Justice Assistance, 2013).

### **Handling of Evidence**

The ethical handling of evidence is critical for maintaining its integrity. Forensic practitioners must adhere to strict protocols for evidence collection, preservation, and analysis. Any lapse in these protocols can result in contamination or loss of evidence, which can compromise its validity in court (National Institute of Justice, 2015). Ethical missteps in evidence handling can have severe consequences, including wrongful convictions or the dismissal of critical evidence.

### **Training and Competence**

Ensuring that forensic practitioners are adequately trained and competent is an ethical responsibility that affects the integrity of forensic evidence. The rapidly evolving nature of forensic technologies necessitates continuous education and skill development (National Academy of Sciences, 2009). Failure to keep abreast of advancements can lead to outdated practices and erroneous conclusions, jeopardizing the reliability of forensic findings presented in court.

### **Conflicts of Interest**

Conflicts of interest present another ethical challenge for forensic practitioners. When practitioners have financial or personal ties to law enforcement agencies, legal firms, or specific cases, their objectivity is compromised. This situation can create ethical dilemmas regarding the impartiality of their analyses and testimonies (Garrett, 2011). Ensuring transparency and managing potential conflicts is vital for preserving the credibility of forensic evidence.

The ethical challenges faced by forensic practitioners have significant implications for the integrity of forensic evidence in criminal trials. Addressing issues such as cognitive bias, pressures from law enforcement, transparency, evidence handling, training, and conflicts of interest is crucial for maintaining the reliability and objectivity of forensic science in the pursuit of justice.

### ***O<sub>3</sub>: To recommend reforms in forensic science and its application in law.***

To enhance the accuracy, reliability, and ethical application of forensic science within the criminal justice system, several reforms are necessary. These reforms aim to establish standardized practices, improve training and oversight, and foster a culture of transparency and accountability among forensic practitioners.

#### **Standardization of Forensic Practices**

One of the primary reforms needed is the establishment of standardized protocols and best practices across forensic disciplines. Currently, variability in practices can lead to discrepancies in evidence handling and analysis, affecting the reliability of results. The National Academy of Sciences has emphasized the importance of developing universal standards for forensic methodologies to ensure consistency and quality in forensic evidence (National Academy of Sciences, 2009). Implementing standardized practices can help mitigate errors and improve the overall integrity of forensic science.

#### **Enhanced Training and Certification**

Improving the education and training of forensic practitioners is essential for maintaining high standards of competence and ethical conduct. Regular training programs established, focusing on advancements in forensic technologies and ethical decision-making (National Institute of Justice, 2015). Additionally, creating rigorous certification processes for forensic experts can help ensure that practitioners meet the necessary qualifications and stay updated on the latest developments in the field (Forensic Science Regulator, 2018).

#### **Independent Oversight and Review**

Establishing independent oversight bodies for forensic laboratories can enhance accountability and transparency in forensic practices. These bodies should be responsible for conducting regular audits, reviewing laboratory procedures, and ensuring compliance with ethical standards (American Academy of Forensic Sciences, 2015). Independent oversight can help identify and rectify potential biases, errors, or unethical practices, ultimately safeguarding the integrity of forensic evidence presented in court.

#### **Investment in Research and Development**

Investing in research and development is critical for advancing forensic science methodologies and technologies. Funding directed toward developing innovative forensic techniques, improving existing methods, and studying the impacts of forensic evidence on legal outcomes (Bureau of Justice Assistance, 2013). By fostering a robust research environment, the criminal justice system can benefit from the latest scientific advancements, leading to more accurate and reliable forensic analyses.

#### **Clear Ethical Guidelines**

The formulation of clear ethical guidelines for forensic practitioners is essential to address the various ethical challenges they face. These guidelines should outline expectations for impartiality, transparency, and integrity in evidence analysis and presentation (Dror, 2015). By establishing a strong ethical framework, practitioners held accountable for their actions, and the justice system can maintain public confidence in forensic evidence.

---

### **Public Education and Awareness**

Finally, public education and awareness initiatives are necessary to inform the public, law enforcement, and legal professionals about the capabilities and limitations of forensic science. Misconceptions about forensic evidence, often fueled by media portrayals, can lead to unrealistic expectations in the courtroom (Starushkevych, 2024). Educational programs should aim to clarify the role of forensic science, emphasizing the importance of evidence-based practices and ethical considerations in the criminal justice system.

Reforming the forensic science landscape is vital for improving its accuracy, reliability, and ethical application within the criminal justice system. By standardizing practices, enhancing training, establishing independent oversight, investing in research, creating ethical guidelines, and promoting public awareness, stakeholders can ensure that forensic science remains a credible and integral component of justice.

**Conclusion :**

In conclusion, the integration of forensic science into the criminal justice system presents both significant opportunities and complex challenges. While forensic evidence enhances the accuracy and reliability of investigations and contributes to just outcomes, ethical dilemmas and potential biases can undermine its integrity. Addressing these challenges through standardized practices, enhanced training, independent oversight, and clear ethical guidelines is essential for safeguarding the role of forensic science in legal proceedings. By fostering a culture of transparency and accountability, stakeholders can ensure that forensic evidence remains a vital and credible component of the pursuit of justice, ultimately protecting the rights of individuals and reinforcing public trust in the legal system

**REFERENCE :**

1. Aditya, A., Rai, D., Nair, G. P., Harsha, K. S., Singh, R., Kakde, R. V., ... & Srilaxmi, H. P. Media trials and independence of Judiciary: representation of women in Indian media trials and their sensationalism. *CHANGING LANDSCAPE OF NEWS MEDIA & ENTERTAINMENT*, 355.
2. Airout, M. M. M., & Azam, S. I. A. A. (2023). The Use of Forensic Evidence in Jordanian Criminal Investigations and Trials. *Migration Letters*, 20(S10), 1168-1182.
3. AllahRakha, N. (2024). Demystifying the Network and Cloud Forensics' Legal, Ethical, and Practical Considerations. *Pakistan Journal of Criminology*, 16(2).
4. Anand, N. N. (2022). Media Trial in India and Case Analysis of Priyadarshini Mattoo Case with Respect to Media Trial. *Part 1 Indian J. Integrated Rsch. L.*, 2, 1.
5. Anwar, S., Bayer, P., & Hjalmarsson, R. (2012). The impact of jury race in criminal trials. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 127(2), 1017-1055.
6. Bakshi, G. (2017). The 'Nirbhaya' Movement: An Indian Feminist Revolution.
7. Banaj, P. K., & Ray, R. K. Exploring the intersection of Criminal Law and Forensic Science in the Digital Age.
8. Bhatia, K. (2021). Media Trial: An Analysis and Its Latest Judicial Trends. *Issue 3 Int'l JL Mgmt. & Human.*, 4, 5715.
9. Brown, W. J., Duane, J. J., & Fraser, B. P. (1997). Media coverage and public opinion of the OJ Simpson trial: Implications for the criminal justice system. *Communication Law and Policy*, 2(2), 261-287.
10. Carr, S., Piasecki, E., & Gallop, A. (2020). Demonstrating reliability through transparency: a scientific validity framework to assist scientists and lawyers in criminal proceedings. *Forensic science international*, 308, 110110.
11. Darby, W. C., MacIntyre, M., Cockerill, R. G., Stephens, D. B., Weinstock, R., & Darby, R. R. (2023). In the Courts: Ethical and Legal Implications of Emerging Neuroscience Technologies Used for Forensic Purposes. In *Ethics and Clinical Neuroinnovation: Fundamentals, Stakeholders, Case Studies, and Emerging Issues* (pp. 173-193). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
12. Deka, M. (2022). Crime and Justice in Mass Media. *Issue 3 Indian JL & Legal Rsch.*, 4, 1.
13. Dey, A. (2018). Analysing the Nirbhaya Case through the Lens of the Social-movement Framework. In *Nirbhaya, New Media and Digital Gender Activism* (pp. 89-123). Emerald Publishing Limited.
14. Dutta, M., Ghosh, B., Biswas, A., & Kingsley, D. Forensic odontology: An analysis of challenges met by the Indian odontologist.
15. Easow, J. M., Bhosale, N. K., Pramodhini, S., & Priyadarshini, R. (2024). Case Series of Melioidosis in a Tertiary Health Care Centre in Puducherry, India. *Journal of Pure & Applied Microbiology*, 18(1).
16. Faraldo Cabana, P. (2023). Technical and legal challenges of the use of automated facial recognition technologies for law enforcement and forensic purposes. In *Artificial Intelligence, social harms and human rights* (pp. 35-54). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
17. Findlay, M., & Grix, J. (2003). Challenging forensic evidence? Observations on the use of DNA in certain criminal trials. *Current Issues in Criminal Justice*, 14(3), 269-282.
18. Francese, S., & King, R. S. (Eds.). (2024). *Driving Forensic Innovation in the 21st Century: Crossing the Valley of Death*. Springer Nature.
19. Garrett, B. L. (2016). Constitutional regulation of forensic evidence. *Wash. & Lee L. Rev.*, 73, 1147.
20. Granja, R., Machado, H., & Queirós, F. (2021). The (de) materialization of criminal bodies in forensic DNA phenotyping. *Body & Society*, 27(1), 60-84.
21. Guleria, A., Krishan, K., Sharma, V., & Kanchan, T. (2024). ChatGPT: forensic, legal, and ethical issues. *Medicine, Science and the Law*, 64(2), 150-156.
22. Hamlin, C. (2013). Forensic cultures in historical perspective: Technologies of witness, testimony, judgment (and justice?). *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science Part C: Studies in History and Philosophy of Biological and Biomedical Sciences*, 44(1), 4-15.
23. Kaur, R. (2017). Mediating rape: The Nirbhaya effect in the creative and digital arts. *Signs: Journal of Women in Culture and Society*, 42(4), 945-976.
24. Khalaf, M. A. (2023). Media coverage of criminal trials. *International Journal of Doctrine, Judiciary and Legislation*, 4(1), 294-322.
25. Lapsia, T. P. (2015). Impact of the "Nirbhaya" rape case: Isolated phenomenon or social change?
26. Lazareva, V. A., & Solovyova, N. A. (2021). Prospects for reforming the criminal procedure through the introduction of information technologies as well as issues associated with their compatibility with the psychology of criminal proceedings. In *"Smart Technologies" for Society, State and Economy 13* (pp. 1176-1184). Springer International Publishing.
27. Lieberman, J. D., Carrell, C. A., Miethe, T. D., & Krauss, D. A. (2008). Gold versus platinum: Do jurors recognize the superiority and limitations of DNA evidence compared to other types of forensic evidence?. *Psychology, Public Policy, and Law*, 14(1), 27.
28. Lillquist, R. E. (2002). A Comment on the Admissibility of Forensic Evidence. *Seton Hall. L. Rev.*, 33, 1189.

29. Machado, H., Neiva, L., Granja, R., & Silva, S. (2024). Ethical, legal, and social challenges of next-generation sequencing technologies (NGS) in forensic criminal identification. In *Next Generation Sequencing (NGS) Technology in DNA Analysis* (pp. 551-569). Academic Press.
30. Mangal, D., Chaudhary, A., Kumar, S., Srivastava, A., & Ashutosh, K. MEDIA TRIAL IN INDIA: A CONTROVERSIAL FREEDOM.
31. Mukherjee, D. (2019). *Bombay hustle: Making movies in a colonial city*. Columbia University Press.
32. Nelufule, N., Masango, M., & Singano, T. (2024, May). The Future of Digital Forensic Investigations: Keeping the Pace with Technological Advancements. In *2024 47th MIPRO ICT and Electronics Convention (MIPRO)* (pp. 1843-1848). IEEE.
33. Odah, M. (2024). The Double Helix of Justice: The Crucial Role of DNA in Advancing Criminal Investigations.
34. Pachauri, M. D. (2024). Impact of Science on Evidence and Justice in Criminal Justice System. *Migration Letters*, 21(S6), 1452-1457.
35. Patil, H. B., & Chowbe, A. (2024). An Examination of Digital Evidence and Its Relevance for Indian Forensic. *Educational Administration: Theory and Practice*, 30(5), 6445-6452.
36. Peterson, J. L., Hickman, M. J., Strom, K. J., & Johnson, D. J. (2013). Effect of forensic evidence on criminal justice case processing. *Journal of forensic sciences*, 58, S78-S90.
37. Piraianu, A. I., Fulga, A., Musat, C. L., Ciobotaru, O. R., Poalelungi, D. G., Stamate, E., ... & Fulga, I. (2023). Enhancing the evidence with algorithms: how artificial intelligence is transforming forensic medicine. *Diagnostics*, 13(18), 2992.
38. Pokhariyal, P., Jadhav, G., & Dubey, D. (2024). Redefining Justice: The Transmutation via Tenets of Forensics. In *Forensic Justice* (pp. 121-135). Routledge.