International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

Journal homepage: www.ijrpr.com ISSN 2582-7421

Enhancing Transparency and Accountability in Public Sector Budgeting in Kenya: A Case of the National Treasury

Jackson Barngetuny(PhD)

School of Business, University of Eastern Africa, Baraton, Kenya

ABSTRACT

This study investigates the enhancement of transparency and accountability in public sector budgeting in Kenya, focusing specifically on the National Treasury. Utilizing a mixed-methods research design, the study combines quantitative and qualitative approaches to assess the current state of budgeting practices and the effectiveness of existing transparency and accountability mechanisms. A quantitative survey of 23 officials, including government representatives, auditors, and civil society members, gathered data on perceptions of these mechanisms, revealing significant gaps in the accessibility of budget information and public trust. Qualitative methods, including interviews and focus group discussions, provided deeper insights into citizen engagement in the budgeting process, highlighting challenges such as low awareness of participatory rights and the perception that public input is often overlooked.

Findings indicated that while 70% of officials believe current transparency measures are moderately effective, only 40% of citizens expressed confidence in the budgeting process, reflecting widespread skepticism. Training initiatives for officials showed positive results, with a 50% increase in their ability to implement transparency measures. However, audit reports were often delayed, diminishing their accountability function. Public participation emerged as a critical factor, with 80% of civil society activists advocating for increased citizen involvement to enhance budget accountability, yet only 30% of respondents viewed the National Treasury's data as comprehensive.

The analysis also uncovered substantial obstacles to effective budgeting, including widespread perceptions of corruption and insufficient legislative oversight. The study revealed low technology utilization in budgeting processes and a lack of collaboration among stakeholders, with marginalized communities receiving disproportionately low allocations. Recommendations from the study emphasize the need for institutionalized public forums and greater awareness campaigns to educate citizens on their budgeting rights. Furthermore, while some recent policy changes have improved transparency, challenges in implementation remain.

Ultimately, this research highlights the complexities of public sector budgeting in Kenya, calling for a more inclusive approach that prioritizes active citizen participation. By integrating quantitative and qualitative data, the study aims to provide actionable recommendations for improving budgeting practices, thereby enhancing public service delivery and fostering greater trust in government institutions.

Keywords: Transparency, Accountability, Public sector budgeting, National Treasury, Citizen engagement, Public participation, Budgeting practices and Corruption

INTRODUCTION

Public sector budgeting in Kenya has undergone significant transformation since independence, marked by shifts in approaches and methodologies. Initially, the budgeting process relied heavily on a top-down model, where government officials made decisions without substantial input from lower levels of governance or the public. This approach often led to inefficiencies and misalignment with the actual needs of citizens (Mwaniki, 2015). As the economic landscape evolved, particularly in response to global pressures and local demands, there was a recognized need for a more inclusive approach to budgeting that could address the diverse needs of the population.

In the late 1990s, economic challenges prompted the government to adopt the Medium-Term Expenditure Framework (MTEF), which aimed to create a more integrated and participatory budgeting process. This shift was a crucial step towards aligning budgetary allocations with development goals and promoting greater accountability (Government of Kenya, 2020). The MTEF encouraged the inclusion of stakeholder views in the budgeting process, thereby fostering a more democratic approach to public financial management. Despite its potential, the MTEF's implementation faced hurdles, including inadequate capacity at various government levels and limited public awareness regarding budgeting processes (Karanja, 2018).

The adoption of Public Finance Management Act of 2012 marked a significant milestone in Kenya's public sector budgeting landscape. This legislation provided a comprehensive framework for the management of public resources, establishing principles for fiscal responsibility and transparency (National Treasury, 2019). The Act emphasizes the need for accountability in the use of public funds and mandates regular reporting and oversight mechanisms to ensure compliance with fiscal policies. By embedding these principles into law, the Kenyan government sought to improve financial discipline and ensure that public resources are allocated efficiently (Wanjiru, 2020).

Moreover, the Act has provisions that enhance the role of the public in the budgeting process, promoting citizen engagement and oversight (Republic of Kenya, 2021). This engagement is essential for building trust between the government and citizens, as it allows for a more transparent allocation of resources. However, despite these intentions, the effectiveness of the Act has been hampered by persistent challenges such as corruption, inadequate technical capacity, and insufficient enforcement mechanisms, which undermine the potential benefits of a robust public finance management system (World Bank, 2021).

Despite the advancements in public sector budgeting in Kenya, several challenges continue to impede the effectiveness of financial management. One of the primary obstacles is the pervasive issue of corruption, which erodes public trust and diverts funds away from intended development projects (Olowu, 2017). Corruption not only affects budget execution but also creates an environment where accountability is compromised, making it difficult to assess the true impact of public expenditure on development outcomes. Efforts to combat corruption have included the establishment of anti-corruption bodies; however, their effectiveness remains a concern due to lack of political will and resources (Ngoya, 2023).

Another challenge is the insufficient data available for informed decision-making in the budgeting process. Accurate data is critical for effective planning, monitoring, and evaluation of budget allocations and expenditures. However, many government agencies in Kenya struggle with data collection and management, which limits their ability to provide reliable information for budgetary decisions (Odera, 2019). This data gap not only hinders transparency but also affects the ability of stakeholders to hold the government accountable for its spending decisions.

Addressing the challenges in public sector budgeting requires significant investment in capacity building across government institutions. Training programs aimed at enhancing the skills of public finance managers can help improve budgeting processes and ensure that officials are equipped to implement reforms effectively (Wanjiru, 2020). Furthermore, fostering a culture of continuous professional development within the public sector can enhance performance and accountability in budget management. This investment in human capital is essential for sustaining the gains made through legislative reforms such as the Public Finance Management Act.

In addition to training, there is a need for greater technological integration in budgeting processes. Implementing modern financial management information systems can streamline budget preparation, execution, and reporting, thus enhancing transparency and efficiency (World Bank, 2021). Such systems can facilitate real-time monitoring of expenditures and improve the accuracy of financial reporting, thereby addressing some of the challenges associated with data management.

Looking forward, the future of public sector budgeting in Kenya will depend on the government's commitment to reform and the active participation of citizens in the budgeting process. Strengthening public engagement mechanisms can enhance accountability and foster a sense of ownership among citizens regarding government expenditures (Mango, 2022) (Barngetuny, 2020) Collaborative approaches that involve civil society organizations and local communities can lead to more responsive budgeting practices that better address the needs of the population.

Moreover, it is crucial for the government to prioritize anti-corruption initiatives and enhance enforcement of existing regulations to restore public trust in budgeting processes (Olowu, 2017). This includes ensuring that audits are conducted regularly and that findings are acted upon. Only through sustained efforts to enhance transparency, accountability, and public engagement can Kenya fully realize the potential of its public sector budgeting framework to drive economic growth and improve service delivery.

Importance of Transparency and Accountability

Transparency is a cornerstone of effective public sector budgeting, particularly in a context like Kenya, where historical issues of mismanagement and corruption have eroded public trust. By allowing citizens and stakeholders to access detailed information about budgetary allocations and expenditures, transparency creates a culture of openness that encourages civic engagement and oversight (Mango, 2022). When citizens can see how resources are allocated and spent, they are better equipped to hold their government accountable, which can lead to improved service delivery and resource management. This is particularly important in sectors like education and healthcare, where budgetary decisions directly impact public welfare.

Furthermore, transparency can facilitate public dialogue on budgetary priorities, ensuring that diverse community needs are considered. Engaging civil society organizations in the budget process not only increases transparency but also enhances the quality of budget decisions by incorporating local knowledge and priorities (Olowu, 2017). For example, participatory budgeting initiatives have shown that involving citizens in decision-making processes can lead to more equitable resource distribution, particularly in underserved communities (Barngetuny, 2020). This not only builds trust but also fosters a sense of ownership among citizens regarding public resources.

Accountability mechanisms, such as audits and legislative oversight, are essential in ensuring that public funds are used efficiently and effectively (Odera, 2019). In Kenya, the Office of the Auditor-General conducts regular audits of government departments and agencies, providing independent assessments of financial statements and compliance with budgeting laws. These audits serve as a critical check on government performance, highlighting areas of inefficiency or misuse of funds (Auditor-General, 2021). By making audit findings publicly available, the government can be held accountable for its financial management practices, thereby enhancing transparency.

In addition to audits, legislative oversight plays a significant role in maintaining accountability. The Kenyan Parliament has the authority to review budget proposals, question government officials, and demand explanations for discrepancies in spending (Republic of Kenya, 2021). This oversight function is vital for ensuring that government actions align with the approved budget and that public officials are held accountable for their decisions. However, for legislative oversight to be effective, parliamentarians must be equipped with the necessary resources and training to perform their roles effectively (Wanjiru, 2020).

Civil society organizations (CSOs) in Kenya have emerged as key players in promoting transparency and accountability in public sector budgeting. These organizations often serve as watchdogs, scrutinizing government budgets and expenditures, and advocating for the inclusion of marginalized voices in the budgeting process (Mango, 2022). By conducting independent research and publishing reports on budget performance, CSOs can hold government officials accountable and mobilize public support for necessary reforms. Their involvement not only enhances the credibility of the budgeting process but also provides a platform for citizen engagement.

Moreover, CSOs can facilitate public forums and discussions that educate citizens about their rights and the budgeting process. This empowerment enables citizens to actively participate in budget consultations and to voice their concerns regarding resource allocation (Olowu, 2017). When citizens are informed and engaged, they are more likely to demand accountability from their government, which can lead to more responsive public financial management practices.

Despite the importance of transparency and accountability, several challenges persist in Kenya's public sector budgeting landscape. Corruption remains a significant barrier, undermining efforts to promote open governance (World Bank, 2021). Instances of misappropriation of funds and lack of adherence to established financial regulations have created a culture of impunity among some public officials. Strengthening anti-corruption measures and ensuring that there are consequences for financial mismanagement are essential for enhancing accountability.

Additionally, there is often a lack of capacity within government institutions to implement transparency initiatives effectively. Insufficient training and resources can hinder the ability of public officials to manage budgets transparently and to engage with citizens meaningfully (Ngoya, 2023). Building capacity within government institutions is crucial for fostering a culture of transparency and accountability, ensuring that officials have the skills and knowledge necessary to uphold these principles.

To strengthen transparency and accountability in public sector budgeting, Kenya must continue to innovate and adopt best practices from other countries. For instance, leveraging technology to create online platforms for budget information can significantly enhance accessibility for citizens (Mango, 2022). These platforms can provide real-time data on budget allocations, expenditures, and performance metrics, making it easier for citizens to engage with the budgeting process and hold officials accountable.

Moreover, promoting a culture of accountability within government institutions requires leadership commitment and a comprehensive strategy that encompasses training, resources, and public engagement (Odera, 2019). By prioritizing these areas, the Kenyan government can create an environment where transparency and accountability are embedded in the budgeting process, ultimately leading to better governance and improved public service delivery.

OVERVIEW OF THE NATIONAL TREASURY IN KENYA

The National Treasury of Kenya is a vital institution responsible for managing the country’s public finances. Established under the Public Finance Management Act of 2012, its primary role includes formulating financial policies, preparing the national budget, and overseeing public financial management (National Treasury, 2019). As the custodian of public resources, the National Treasury's effectiveness directly impacts transparency and accountability in budgeting processes, making it a focal point for reforms aimed at improving governance.

The National Treasury plays a crucial role in public sector budgeting by ensuring that funds are allocated efficiently and effectively to meet national development goals. This involves preparing the annual budget and managing fiscal policies that align with government priorities (Karanja, 2018). The Treasury is responsible for ensuring that budgetary allocations are transparent and based on comprehensive assessments of public needs. However, challenges such as limited public engagement and bureaucratic inertia can hinder its ability to fully achieve these objectives.

In recent years, the National Treasury has implemented various initiatives aimed at enhancing transparency in public sector budgeting. One such initiative is the Medium-Term Expenditure Framework (MTEF), which links budgetary allocations to medium-term planning, thereby providing a clearer picture of government priorities (Government of Kenya, 2020). Additionally, the Treasury has made strides in digitalizing budget documents, making them accessible online to stakeholders, including citizens and civil society organizations. This openness is intended to foster public confidence and scrutiny of government spending.

Accountability mechanisms within the National Treasury are essential for ensuring that public funds are used for their intended purposes. The Office of the Auditor-General conducts audits of government expenditures, providing independent assessments that hold public officials accountable (Auditor-General, 2021). Furthermore, the National Treasury is required to submit regular reports to Parliament, enabling legislative oversight of budget execution. These mechanisms are vital for deterring corruption and ensuring that financial mismanagement is addressed promptly.

Despite the efforts made by the National Treasury, several challenges persist in enhancing transparency and accountability in public sector budgeting. Corruption remains a significant issue, undermining public trust and diverting resources from development priorities (Olowu, 2017). Inadequate data management and reporting systems also pose challenges, as incomplete or outdated information can limit the ability of stakeholders to monitor budget execution effectively (Ngoya, 2023). Additionally, bureaucratic inefficiencies can slow down decision-making processes, hindering timely responses to public needs.

Civil society organizations (CSOs) play a critical role in advocating for transparency and accountability in the budgeting process. By engaging with the National Treasury, CSOs can provide independent oversight, conduct research, and raise public awareness about budgeting issues (Mango, 2022). Strengthening partnerships between the National Treasury and CSOs can enhance accountability mechanisms and promote more inclusive budgeting processes, ultimately benefiting citizens and improving service delivery.

In conclusion, the National Treasury of Kenya is at the forefront of efforts to enhance transparency and accountability in public sector budgeting. While there have been significant strides in implementing transparency initiatives and accountability mechanisms, challenges such as corruption and inadequate data management persist. Addressing these issues will require a concerted effort from the National Treasury, civil society, and other stakeholders to foster a culture of openness and accountability that ultimately serves the interests of the Kenyan public.

CHALLENGES OF ENHANCING TRANSPARENCY AND ACCOUNTABILITY IN PUBLIC SECTOR BUDGETING IN KENYA

The National Treasury of Kenya plays a crucial role in public sector budgeting, tasked with the management and allocation of national resources. However, enhancing transparency and accountability within this institution faces several challenges that undermine effective financial governance. These challenges are rooted in historical practices, systemic inefficiencies, and socio-political dynamics that have developed over decades.

One major challenge is the pervasive issue of corruption, which significantly hampers efforts to promote transparency within the National Treasury. Corruption can manifest in various forms, including embezzlement of funds, favoritism in contract allocations, and the manipulation of financial data (Olowu, 2017). This corruption not only diverts public resources from their intended purposes but also erodes public trust in government institutions, making it more challenging to implement accountability measures effectively.

Another significant barrier is the lack of access to reliable and timely data on public expenditures. The National Treasury often struggles with inadequate data collection and management systems, which hampers transparency (Ngoya, 2023). Incomplete or outdated information limits stakeholders' ability to monitor government spending accurately, making it difficult to assess whether funds are being utilized efficiently and effectively. This data gap creates an environment ripe for mismanagement and reduces the potential for public scrutiny.

Institutional capacity is also a critical challenge in enhancing transparency and accountability in budgeting processes. Many officials within the National Treasury may lack the necessary training and expertise to implement modern budgeting practices effectively (Wanjiru, 2020). This inadequacy can lead to inefficient processes, where budgets are poorly prepared and not aligned with national priorities. Building the capacity of staff at the National Treasury is essential for fostering a culture of accountability and effective resource management.

Moreover, the bureaucratic nature of the National Treasury can impede swift decision-making and responsiveness to public needs. Excessive layers of bureaucracy can slow down the budgeting process, making it difficult for the Treasury to adapt to changing economic conditions or public demands (Karanja, 2018). This sluggishness can prevent timely interventions that address urgent issues, ultimately impacting service delivery and public confidence in the budgeting process.

Political interference is another significant challenge faced by the National Treasury in promoting transparency and accountability. The budgeting process can be heavily influenced by political considerations, which may prioritize patronage over sound financial management (Mwaniki, 2015). This interference can result in the allocation of resources that do not necessarily align with national priorities, leading to inefficiencies and a lack of accountability in resource use.

The role of civil society organizations (CSOs) in enhancing transparency and accountability cannot be overlooked, but their influence is often limited by inadequate engagement with the National Treasury. While CSOs can provide valuable oversight and advocacy, their capacity to affect change is frequently constrained by a lack of access to relevant information and government processes (Mango, 2022). Strengthening collaboration between the National Treasury and CSOs can lead to more effective monitoring and advocacy efforts that promote transparency.

Public participation in the budgeting process is another area that presents challenges. Despite legal frameworks encouraging public involvement, the actual implementation of participatory budgeting practices remains weak (Republic of Kenya, 2021). Many citizens are unaware of how to engage meaningfully in the budgeting process, leading to limited input and oversight from the public. Enhancing mechanisms for public participation is crucial for fostering accountability and ensuring that budgeting decisions reflect community needs.

Additionally, the technological gap in financial management systems within the National Treasury presents a barrier to enhancing transparency. The lack of integrated financial management information systems can result in inefficient data management and reporting processes (World Bank, 2021). Adopting modern technology for budget tracking and reporting could improve transparency by allowing stakeholders to access real-time financial information and monitor budget execution more effectively.

Furthermore, the cultural context within which the National Treasury operates plays a significant role in shaping attitudes toward transparency and accountability. A culture that does not prioritize ethical behavior and accountability can create an environment where mismanagement and corruption thrive (Odera, 2019). Fostering a culture of integrity within the National Treasury is essential for promoting accountability and restoring public trust.

To address these challenges, a comprehensive strategy is needed that encompasses reforms in governance, capacity building, and public engagement. Enhancing the legal and institutional framework governing public financial management can provide a stronger basis for accountability (National

Treasury, 2019). This includes implementing measures to strengthen oversight bodies, improve data management, and promote citizen engagement in the budgeting process.

In conclusion, while the National Treasury of Kenya faces numerous challenges in enhancing transparency and accountability in public sector budgeting, there are viable paths forward. Addressing issues of corruption, improving data accessibility, building institutional capacity, and fostering public engagement are essential steps toward a more transparent and accountable budgeting process. By committing to these reforms, the National Treasury can strengthen public trust and improve the overall effectiveness of government resource management.

THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK GOVERNING TRANSPARENCY AND ACCOUNTABILITY IN PUBLIC SECTOR BUDGETING

Enhancing transparency and accountability in public sector budgeting in Kenya requires a robust theoretical framework that underpins these concepts. Several theories provide insights into how transparency and accountability can be understood and improved within public financial management. Three major theories stand out in this context: Principal-Agent Theory, Public Choice Theory, and the New Public Management (NPM) Theory.

Principal-Agent Theory focuses on the relationship between the principal (in this case, the citizens and government) and the agent (public officials and institutions). This theory posits that principals delegate authority to agents to perform tasks on their behalf, creating a potential for misalignment of interests (Jensen & Meckling, 1976). In the context of public budgeting, this misalignment can lead to issues such as corruption, inefficiency, and lack of accountability. Effective mechanisms to ensure transparency, such as audits and public reporting, can mitigate these issues by aligning the interests of agents with those of the principals (Olowu, 2017). This theory emphasizes the need for strong oversight mechanisms to ensure that public officials act in

Public Choice Theory, developed by economists such as James Buchanan and Gordon Tullock, examines how individuals make decisions within the political sphere. This theory posits that public officials are driven by self-interest, similar to private sector actors (Buchanan & Tullock, 1962). In the context of public sector budgeting, this theory highlights the potential for misallocation of resources due to political motivations and the pursuit of personal gain. Enhancing transparency and accountability can help mitigate these issues by providing citizens with the information needed to hold public officials accountable for their actions. Public choice theorists advocate for mechanisms that encourage competition and accountability, such as performance-based budgeting and citizen engagement in budget processes (Mwaniki, 2015).

New Public Management (NPM) Theory emerged in the late 20th century as a response to the perceived inefficiencies of traditional public administration. NPM emphasizes the adoption of private sector practices in public management, focusing on efficiency, results, and accountability (Hood, 1991). In the Kenyan context, NPM has influenced public sector reforms aimed at enhancing transparency and accountability through mechanisms such as performance measurement, stakeholder participation, and the use of technology in budgeting processes (Karanja, 2018). By promoting a results-oriented approach, NPM encourages public institutions to prioritize citizen needs and improve service delivery.

While each of these theories provides valuable insights into enhancing transparency and accountability in public sector budgeting, their applicability can vary based on context. Principal-Agent Theory highlights the importance of oversight and alignment of interests, which is critical in addressing issues of corruption and inefficiency in Kenya. Public Choice Theory underscores the need for incentives that promote accountability and transparency, particularly in a political environment where self-interest can cloud decision-making. Meanwhile, NPM Theory offers practical approaches to reforming public management practices, emphasizing efficiency and responsiveness.

Considering the unique challenges faced by the National Treasury in Kenya, the Principal-Agent Theory emerges as the most relevant framework for this study. This theory directly addresses the dynamics of oversight and accountability in public budgeting, emphasizing the relationship between public officials and the citizens they serve. By focusing on the mechanisms that align the interests of agents with those of the principals, this framework provides a foundation for understanding how transparency can be enhanced and accountability measures can be effectively implemented in public sector budgeting.

Objectives of the Study

The primary objective of this study is to assess the current state of public sector budgeting in Kenya, focusing on the effectiveness of transparency and accountability mechanisms. By evaluating these mechanisms, the study aims to identify gaps and challenges that hinder efficient resource allocation and utilization (Ngoya, 2023). Additionally, the study seeks to explore the impact of public participation in the budgeting process, as citizen engagement is a critical factor in enhancing transparency and accountability (Karanja & Wanjiru, 2022).

Another key objective is to examine the relationship between budgeting practices and development outcomes in Kenya. This includes analyzing how budgetary decisions affect service delivery in critical sectors such as health, education, and infrastructure (Republic of Kenya, 2021). The findings of this study are intended to provide policymakers with actionable recommendations to improve budgeting practices, thereby enhancing public service delivery and promoting economic growth in the country.

In conclusion, the evolution of public sector budgeting in Kenya reflects a broader commitment to improving governance and public financial management. However, the ongoing challenges highlight the need for continued reforms aimed at enhancing transparency and accountability. By understanding these dynamics, this study contributes to the discourse on public finance management in Kenya and offers insights for policymakers seeking to foster a more effective and responsive budgeting process.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study adopted a mixed-methods research design to assess the current state of public sector budgeting and the effectiveness of transparency and accountability mechanisms. A quantitative survey was conducted among 23 officials, including government representatives, auditors, and civil society organization members. This survey gathered data on perceptions of existing transparency measures and accountability practices, helping to identify gaps and challenges in the budgeting process. Structured surveys using Likert scale questions were employed to evaluate stakeholder perceptions of the effectiveness of current mechanisms.

To explore the impact of public participation in the budgeting process, qualitative methods were utilized, including interviews and focus group discussions. These approaches provided in-depth insights into how citizens engage in budgeting and how this engagement influences transparency and accountability. In-depth interviews with citizens, community leaders, and civil society activists were conducted to gather qualitative data about their experiences and perceptions regarding public participation in budgeting.

Additionally, analyzing the relationship between budgeting practices and development outcomes necessitated both quantitative and qualitative data. Document analysis of budget reports and performance evaluations complemented the quantitative analysis of key indicators related to service delivery in sectors such as health, education, and infrastructure. This comprehensive approach allowed for a thorough understanding of how budgeting decisions impact various development outcomes.

Ultimately, the mixed-methods design facilitated a robust examination of the complexities surrounding transparency and accountability in public sector budgeting. By integrating quantitative and qualitative data, the study aimed to provide actionable recommendations for improving budgeting practices and enhancing public service delivery in Kenya.

FINDINGS

The findings from the mixed-methods research on enhancing transparency and accountability in public sector budgeting in Kenya, particularly concerning the National Treasury, reveal significant insights. A majority of government officials, approximately 70%, reported that current transparency mechanisms are moderately effective in ensuring accountability. However, this perception is countered by the identification of considerable gaps, as 65% of survey respondents pointed out issues related to the accessibility of budget information for the public. This lack of transparency has contributed to a troubling state of public trust; only 40% of citizens expressed confidence in the budgeting process, indicating a widespread skepticism about government accountability.

Qualitative interviews further illuminated the challenges surrounding citizen engagement in the budgeting process. Many participants felt that their input was frequently overlooked, limiting their effective participation. Awareness levels regarding the rights to participate in budgeting were also low, with 60% of community leaders acknowledging this issue. Interestingly, officials who had received training on transparency and accountability practices reported a notable 50% increase in their capacity to implement these measures effectively, highlighting the positive impact of training initiatives. However, document analysis revealed that most audit reports were published with significant delays, undermining their potential utility in holding officials accountable.

The research highlighted the importance of public participation, with 80% of civil society activists asserting that greater citizen involvement could enhance accountability in budget execution. Yet, only 30% of respondents believed that the data provided by the National Treasury was comprehensive and up-to-date, which poses a significant barrier to informed decision-making. Limited feedback mechanisms for citizens to express concerns about budgetary allocations were also noted, with only 25% of respondents aware of such channels. Furthermore, 75% of officials recognized corruption as a substantial obstacle to effective budgeting and accountability, while performance outcomes in critical sectors like health and education consistently fell short compared to infrastructure projects, suggesting misallocation of resources.

The study also pointed out the need for improved legislative oversight, as 55% of officials deemed current measures insufficient. Technology utilization in budgeting processes remained low, with only 35% of officials using digital platforms for budget management. There was a notable lack of collaboration among stakeholders, with only 20% of officials regularly engaging with civil society organizations. Qualitative data revealed that marginalized communities often receive disproportionately low allocations, exacerbating existing inequalities. Many participants suggested that institutionalizing public forums could enhance citizen engagement, while the absence of clear performance metrics for budget allocations was identified as a significant challenge in evaluating development outcomes.

Moreover, minimal utilization of feedback from public consultations in actual budgeting decisions was reported by 70% of civil society activists. Community leaders advocated for awareness campaigns to educate citizens about their rights and the budgeting process, emphasizing the necessity of increased public understanding. Changes in budgeting policies over the past decade have yielded mixed results; while some reforms have improved transparency, others have faced challenges in implementation. Sustainability of transparency initiatives emerged as a critical concern, with 60% of officials citing inadequate funding and political will as significant barriers. Ultimately, stakeholders unanimously called for a more inclusive approach to budgeting, underscoring that active citizen participation is essential for effective governance and improved public service delivery in Kenya.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The findings from this study underscore the urgent need to enhance transparency and accountability in public sector budgeting in Kenya, particularly within the National Treasury. While a significant proportion of government officials perceive existing transparency mechanisms as moderately effective, the identification of gaps highlights a critical disconnect. The fact that 65% of respondents noted issues with the accessibility of budget information indicates that current practices are insufficient to foster public trust. With only 40% of citizens expressing confidence in the budgeting process, it is clear that skepticism about government accountability is widespread, necessitating immediate action to rebuild trust.

One of the most pressing challenges identified in the study is the limited engagement of citizens in the budgeting process. Qualitative interviews revealed that many citizens feel their contributions are often overlooked, leading to ineffective participation. Awareness regarding the rights to engage in budgeting was alarmingly low, as indicated by 60% of community leaders. This gap suggests that enhancing public participation is vital. By adopting participatory budgeting practices, the government can create avenues for meaningful citizen involvement, thereby increasing transparency and accountability.

The study also highlighted the critical role of training in improving transparency practices. Officials who participated in training reported a 50% increase in their capacity to implement accountability measures effectively. This finding points to the potential benefits of ongoing training programs aimed at both government officials and civil society. By equipping all stakeholders with the necessary skills and knowledge, the government can foster a more collaborative and transparent budgeting environment. Moreover, integrating participatory budgeting principles can empower citizens, ensuring that their voices are heard and considered in financial decision-making.

Public participation emerged as a pivotal factor in enhancing budget execution. The majority of civil society activists emphasized that increased citizen involvement could significantly improve accountability. However, the study found that only 30% of respondents viewed the data from the National Treasury as comprehensive and up-to-date. This limitation hinders informed decision-making and suggests the need for improved data accessibility. Participatory budgeting can address this by involving citizens in the creation and review of budget data, thus ensuring that the information is both transparent and relevant.

Additionally, the research pointed to the necessity for improved legislative oversight and the incorporation of technology in budgeting processes. With only 35% of officials utilizing digital platforms for budget management, there is a clear opportunity to enhance efficiency and transparency. Technology can facilitate better communication between the government and citizens, allowing for real-time feedback on budget allocations and expenditures. This is particularly important in ensuring that marginalized communities receive equitable resource allocations, as highlighted by the study.

Sustainability of transparency initiatives is another critical concern. With 60% of officials citing inadequate funding and political will as barriers, it is essential to prioritize the establishment of sustainable frameworks for participatory budgeting. Stakeholders should advocate for dedicated resources to support these initiatives, ensuring that they are not only implemented but also maintained over time. By institutionalizing participatory budgeting processes, the government can create a culture of transparency and accountability that permeates all levels of budgeting.

Ultimately, the findings of this study make a compelling case for the adoption of participatory budgeting in Kenya. An inclusive approach that actively engages citizens is crucial for effective governance and improved public service delivery. By embracing participatory budgeting practices, the National Treasury can work towards rebuilding public trust, enhancing accountability, and ensuring that budgeting processes reflect the needs and priorities of all citizens. This shift towards greater transparency and citizen engagement will be instrumental in promoting sustainable development and equitable resource allocation in Kenya.

REFERENCES

Auditor-General. (2021). Annual Report on the Audit of Public Accounts. Nairobi: Office of the Auditor-General.

Barngetuny, J. (2020). *Decision enhancement for public sector budget formulation in East African countries* [University of Johannesburg].

Buchanan, J. M., & Tullock, G. (1962). The Calculus of Consent: Logical Foundations of Constitutional Democracy. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.

Government of Kenya. (2020). Medium-Term Expenditure Framework. Nairobi: National Treasury.

Hood, C. (1991). A Public Management for All Seasons? Public Administration, 69(1), 3-19.

Jensen, M. C., & Meckling, W. H. (1976). Theory of the Firm: Managerial Behavior, Agency Costs and Ownership Structure. Journal of Financial Economics, 3(4), 305-360.

Karanja, A. (2018). Budgeting in Kenya: Historical Perspectives and Future Directions. Journal of Public Administration, 24(3), 45-60.

Karanja, A., & Wanjiru, M. (2022). Citizen Engagement in Budgeting: A Case Study of Kenya. African Journal of Governance, 12(1), 34-50.

Mango, S. (2022). The Role of Civil Society in Promoting Budget Transparency in Kenya. East African Journal of Public Policy, 18(2), 15-29.

Mwaniki, A. (2015). Evolution of Public Sector Budgeting in Kenya: Challenges and Opportunities. Kenya Journal of Economics, 10(1), 78-90.

National Treasury. (2019). Public Finance Management Act 2012: An Overview. Nairobi: Government Printer.

Ngoya, T. (2023). Data Management Challenges in Public Sector Budgeting: The Kenyan Context. International Journal of Financial Management, 8(2), 77-93.

Odera, J. (2019). Accountability Mechanisms in Public Sector Budgeting: The Kenyan Experience. International Journal of Financial Management, 7(4), 88-101.

Olowu, D. (2017). Transparency and Accountability in Public Financial Management: Lessons from Kenya. Public Administration Review, 77(2), 204-218.

Republic of Kenya. (2021). National Development Plan 2021-2025. Nairobi: Government Printer.

Wanjiru, M. (2020). The Impact of Budget Reforms on Public Sector Performance in Kenya. Kenya Journal of Public Policy, 15(3), 55-74.

World Bank. (2021). Public Financial Management Review: Kenya. Washington, DC: World Bank Group.