WWW.IJRPR.COM

International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

Journal homepage: www.ijrpr.com ISSN 2582-7421

A Comprehensive Review of Recycling, Biofuels, and Cleaner Production Technologies in Environmental Management

Soundarya

PG Student, Department of Civil Engineering, Kumaraguru College of Technology, Coimbatore- 641049, Tamil Nadu, India

Abstract:

This review compiles insights from various studies analyzing sustainability, recycling, and environmental impacts across multiple sectors, from bioplastics and biofuels to waste management and renewable energy. It examines life cycle assessments (LCA) of materials like bioplastics, biofuels, and rare earth elements (REEs), alongside innovative technologies for cleaner production, energy recovery, and resource utilization. Recommendations focus on improving methodologies and optimizing sustainability frameworks, emphasizing circular economy and green technology integration. The findings highlight the need for interdisciplinary approaches to address global challenges in resource management, waste reduction, and environmental protection**.**

Keywords : Bioplastics, Life Cycle Assessment (LCA), Biofuels, Cleaner Production, Waste Management, Recycling Technologies, Nanotechnology

Introduction:

The global shift towards sustainability has led to growing research into eco-friendly alternatives across various sectors, from bioplastics to renewable energy. Bioplastics, biofuels, and the recycling of critical materials such as rare earth elements (REEs) have garnered attention due to the scarcity of natural resources and environmental degradation. Simultaneously, waste management practices, particularly in dealing with materials like rice husk ash, carbon and glass fibres, and municipal solid waste, have prompted the development of energy-efficient and circular economy approaches. This paper reviews the sustainability, environmental impact, and technological advances related to cleaner production, resource recovery, and waste-to-energy technologies, offering insights into methodologies and challenges in assessing and improving sustainability across industries.

George et.al(2021) Discuss about the literature review assesses the sustainability of bioplastics compared to petrochemical plastics through life cycle assessment (LCA), analysing 44 studies from 2011 to 2020. It highlights critical methodological choices, identifies common gaps that may lead to misleading conclusions, and recommends enhanced transparency, comprehensive methodologies, and realistic deployment scenarios for LCA practitioners. The review emphasizes the need for rigorous LCA methodologies to accurately evaluate the environmental impacts of bioplastics, ultimately guiding better decision-making in sustainable material development

Rajesh et.al(2020)tells the Rare earth elements (REEs) are crucial for high-tech electrical and electronic materials, yet their natural deposits are scarce, predominantly found in countries like China. This scarcity has heightened reliance on secondary sources such as battery waste, scrap alloys, spent magnets, catalysts, waste LEDs, and fly ash for REE recovery. Most studies employ chemical or bioleaching followed by solvent extraction to achieve clean separation of REEs, with solvent extraction being a critical step due to the low separation tendency of REEs. This review examines various recycling strategies for REEs from secondary wastes, discussing extraction behaviors, methodologies' challenges, advantages, and proposed flowsheets for efficient recovery.

Jeswani et.al(2020) Discuss about the Biofuels are promoted as low-carbon alternatives to fossil fuels, potentially reducing greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions and mitigating climate change impacts from transportation. However, concerns arise over unintended environmental consequences. This review analyzes recent life cycle assessment (LCA) studies on biofuels, noting significant variability in findings due to factors such as feedstock type, production methods, data discrepancies, and methodological choices. Generally, first-generation biofuels can lower GHG emissions compared to fossil fuels, but often do not meet the GHG savings mandated by the EU Renewable Energy Directive (RED). Second-generation biofuels show greater emission reduction potential, provided there is no land-use change (LUC), while third-generation biofuels currently yield higher GHG emissions than fossil fuels. The review also highlights that GHG reductions from biofuels may lead to adverse effects on other environmental metrics, including acidification, eutrophication, water use, and biodiversity. Additionally, it discusses key methodological uncertainties in biofuel LCAs and offers recommendations to improve assessment accuracy.

Jittin et.al (2020) provide and discuss about the Rice husk ash (RHA) is often disposed of as waste, leading to significant environmental pollution; however, its pozzolanic properties present valuable applications in the construction sector as a sustainable alternative. This review examines the use of RHA in cleaner production of construction materials, including blended cement, alkali-activated binders, and bricks, as well as its applications in soil stabilization and subgrade treatment. It discusses the physical, chemical, and mineralogical properties of RHA, which are crucial for enhancing the engineering properties of RHA-blended construction products. The review highlights that incorporating 10-20% RHA can significantly improve both

short-term and long-term engineering performance of construction materials. Overall, RHA emerges as an energy-efficient and cost-effective solution for the construction industry, with the paper providing recommendations for its utilization in cleaner production practices.

Sankar et.al (2019) Discuss about the increasing use of carbon and glass fibers has raised concerns regarding their waste disposal methods, leading to significant accumulations of composite waste that contain valuable fibers. This review article provides an overview of existing recycling methods for composite wastes, focusing on fiber recovery and the retention of their properties. It examines various treatment techniques, including mechanical recycling, thermal recycling (with methods such as fluidized bed and pyrolysis), and chemical recycling, including solvolysis under critical conditions. The review also discusses the environmental and economic aspects of these methods, prioritizing approaches based on their sustainability. Furthermore, it identifies research gaps and emphasizes the importance of a circular economy in closing the life-cycle loop for these valuable fibers, enabling their reintegration into re-manufactured composites.

Swayansu et.al (2021) highlights the significance of biosurfactants as eco-friendly alternatives to synthetic surfactants, emphasizing their biodegradability and lower toxicity. It explores the potential of utilizing municipal solid waste and agricultural by-products as cost-effective substrates for biosurfactant production, which not only addresses waste management challenges but also promotes resource recovery and sustainability. The review discusses various biotechnological methods for production, the economic and environmental benefits of using waste streams, and identifies research gaps, particularly in scaling up production and optimizing pretreatment techniques. Overall, it advocates for a circular bioeconomy that minimizes waste and maximizes resource utilization through innovative biotechnological solutions.

Muhammad et,al (2021) Discuss about the green technology indicators for cleaner production and sustainable investments in Pakistan, emphasizing the urgent need for effective frameworks aligned with the United Nations' Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). Utilizing a systematic literature review from the SCOPUS database, the study identifies a gap in research linking green technologies with cleaner production, particularly in developing countries. It develops an integrated framework prioritizing eight key indicators: Environmental Quality, Resource Utilization, Agriculture & Forestry, Green Building, Energy Utilization, Green Transport, Life Health, and Ecology Safety, employing the Fuzzy Delphi Method and Fuzzy Analytical Hierarchy Process (FAHP) for evaluation. The findings reveal that Energy Utilization and Agriculture & Forestry are the most significant indicators, with specific sub-criteria like Supply Chain and Sustainable Food Security receiving the highest weight scores. This research contributes to understanding the role of green technologies in achieving sustainability in Pakistan and offers insights for policymakers and stakeholders in promoting cleaner production practices.

Adewale et.al (2021)review paper provides a comprehensive overview of brine management in desalination processes, focusing on emerging technologies, life cycle assessment (LCA), and methodologies for metal recovery. It highlights the environmental challenges posed by brine, a by-product of desalination, which contains high salinity and potentially toxic metals. The authors discuss advanced brine management techniques, including brine minimization methods such as pressure retarded osmosis and microbial desalination cells, as well as direct disposal and reuse strategies. The paper also critiques existing LCA methodologies for assessing the ecotoxic effects of brine disposal and proposes an improved "group-by-group" approach for evaluating aquatic toxicity. Overall, the authors advocate for viewing brine as a resource rather than waste and recommend hybrid processes for effective brine management.

B.F. Giannetti et.al (2020)highlights the essential role of cleaner production (CP) in achieving the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), emphasizing the need for tailored CP practices, timely interventions, and interdisciplinary collaboration. It showcases contributions to energy efficiency and renewable energy, particularly for SDGs 7 and 12, while identifying research gaps related to SDGs 5 and 16, underscoring the necessity for a broader understanding and application of CP strategies across all SDGs.

R. Sacchi et.al (2022) highlights about the document focuses on the development of Prospective Life Cycle Assessment (pLCA) methods to evaluate future environmental impacts of technologies, driven by changes in energy systems and industries. The integration of pLCA with Integrated Assessment Models (IAMs) has gained attention recently, though its use has been limited to specific sectors like power generation and vehicles. Efforts such as the THEMIS model and studies linking IAM scenarios to pLCA have improved the evaluation of technologies. However, challenges remain in applying IAMs to large-scale industrial activities, which the tool premise seeks to address by providing a streamlined approach for generating prospective databases for pLCA.

Ankit et.al (2020)highlights how nanotechnology has made significant strides in addressing global water scarcity and contamination challenges. It discusses the applications of nanomaterials for water purification, including filtration, desalination, and contaminant sensing. Recent advancements in nanotechnology have provided solutions for removing heavy metals, pathogens, and other pollutants, while challenges such as scaling up for commercial use and ensuring the affordability of these technologies remain key concerns.

Tawfik A. Saleh (2020) discuss about the provided document outlines the environmental challenges posed by sulfur compounds in petroleum products. Various analytical techniques, such as gas chromatography and X-ray fluorescence, have been developed to determine sulfur levels. Additionally, sulfur removal technologies, including hydrodesulfurization, oxidative desulfurization, and bio-desulfurization, are discussed. Despite advancements, there remain challenges in reducing sulfur content to meet environmental standards while maintaining cost-effectiveness and efficiency**.**

Himanshu et.al (2021)explores how integrating Industry 4.0, cleaner production (SCP), and circular economy (CE) practices can enhance sustainability in manufacturing. It highlights the growing need for businesses to adopt sustainable models due to environmental and regulatory pressures. Industry 4.0 technologies, such as IoT and big data, along with SCP and CE practices, enable better resource use, waste reduction, and supply chain efficiency. The review identifies a gap in frameworks to assess sustainability performance and proposes a multi-criteria decision-making approach to address it.

Anil et.al (2021)examines the challenges posed by increasing municipal solid waste (MSW) due to urbanization and population growth. It highlights how improper waste management contributes to environmental degradation, including greenhouse gas emissions and public health risks. The review explores various waste-to-energy (WTE) technologies, such as incineration, pyrolysis, and anaerobic digestion, that offer sustainable solutions for energy recovery from MSW. Additionally, the review addresses the potential of these technologies to mitigate environmental impacts and contribute to a circular economy by converting waste into valuable resources while reducing reliance on landfills and non-renewable energy sources. The paper concludes with insights into technological advances, challenges, and perspectives in the field of waste management and energy recovery.

Song Cheng et.al (2021) tells and focuses on biochar made from poplar sawdust as a sustainable method for removing lead and cadmium from wastewater. It emphasizes the toxicity and environmental risks posed by these heavy metals, common in industrial waste. Biochar's cost-effectiveness and efficiency in adsorption are highlighted, comparing it favorably to traditional methods like chemical precipitation. The biochar's porous structure and functional groups enhance its ability to capture these metals. It also supports clean production and sustainable development, promoting biochar as a valuable tool for waste management and environmental protection.

Conclusion:

The reviewed studies underscore the critical need for transparent, comprehensive methodologies, particularly in life cycle assessments (LCA), to ensure accurate evaluations of environmental impacts. The findings suggest that while advancements have been made in recycling, biofuel production, and waste management, significant challenges remain, particularly in scaling up technologies and optimizing production methods. The reviews advocate for the integration of circular economy principles, improved sustainability frameworks, and a stronger focus on green technology indicators to address global environmental issues. This comprehensive approach is crucial for guiding future research and policymaking in sustainable development and cleaner production.

REFERENCES:

1. Adewale S. Bello, Nabil Zouari, Dana A. Da'ana, John N. Hahladakis, Mohammad A. Al-Ghouti (2021) “An overview of brine management: Emerging desalination technologies, life cycle assessment, and metal recovery methodologies” Journal of Environmental Management https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2021.112358
2. Anil V. Shah, Vijay Kumar Srivastava, Swayansu Sabyasachi Mohanty, Sunita Varjani (2021) “Municipal solid waste as a sustainable resource for energy production:State-of-the-art review” Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineeringhttps://doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2021.105717
3. Ankit Nagar and Thalappil Pradeep (2020) “Clean Water through Nanotechnology: Needs,Gaps, and Fulfillment” ACS Nano 2020, 14, 6420−6435 https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.9b01730
4. B.F. Giannetti,F. Agostinho, J.J. Cabello Eras, Zhifeng Yang, C.M.V.B. Almeida (2020) “Cleaner production for achieving the sustainable development goals” Journal of Cleaner Production 271 (2020) 122127
5. George Bishop, David Styles, Piet N.L. Lens (2021) “ Environmental performance comparison of bioplastics and petrochemical plastics: A review of life cycle assessment (LCA) methodological decisions” Resources, Conservation & Recycling https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2021.105451
6. Harish K. Jeswani1, Andrew Chilvers andAdisaAzapagic (2020) “Environmental sustainabilityof biofuels: a review” https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.c.5208549.
7. Himanshu Gupta a, Ashwani Kumar b, Pratibha Wasan (2021) “ Industry 4.0, cleaner production and circular economy: An integrativeframework for evaluating ethical and sustainable businessperformance of manufacturing organizations” Journal of Cleaner Productionhttps://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.126253
8. Muhammad Ikram, Marcos Ferasso, Robert Sroufe, Qingyu Zhang (2021) “ Assessing green technology indicators for cleaner production and sustainable investments in a developing country context”Journal of Cleaner Production 322 (2021) 129090
9. R. Sacchi,T. Terlouw, K. Siala, A. Dirnaichner, C. Bauer, B. Cox, C. Mutel, V. Daioglou, G. Luderer (2022) “PRospectiveEnvironMental Impact asSEment (premise): A streamlined approach to producing databases for prospective life cycle assessment using integrated assessment models” Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews 160 (2022) 112311
10. Rajesh Kumar Jyothi, ThriveniThenepalli, Ji WhanAhn,Pankaj Kumar Parhi, Kyeong Woo Chung, Jin-Young Lee (2020) “Review of rare earth elements recovery from secondary resources for clean energy technologies: Grand opportunities to createwealthfrom waste” Journal of CleanerProductionhttps://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.122048
11. Sankar KaruppannanGopalraj · Timo Kärki (2020) “A review on the recycling of waste carbon fibre/glass fibre-reinforcedcomposites: fibre recovery, properties and life-cycle analysis” SN Applied Sciences 2:433 | https://doi.org/10.1007/s42452-020-2195-4
12. Song Cheng, Yongzhi Liu a,c, Baolin Xing, Xiaojing Qin, Chuangxiang Zhang, Hongying Xia d (2021) “Lead and cadmium clean removal from wastewater by sustainable biocharderived from poplar saw dust” Journal of Cleaner Productionhttps://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.128074
13. Swayansu Sabyasachi Mohanty, Yamini Koul1,2, Sunita Varjani1* , Ashok Pandey3, Huu Hao Ngo4,Jo-Shu Chang5, Jonathan W. C. Wong6 and Xuan-Thanh Bui (2021) “A critical review on various feedstocks as sustainable substrates for biosurfactants production: a way towards cleaner production” https://doi.org/10.1186/s12934-021-01613-3
14. Tawfik A. Saleh (2020) “Characterization, determination and elimination technologies for sulfur from petroleum: Toward cleaner fuel and a safe environment” Trends in Environmental Analytical Chemistryhttps://doi.org/10.1016/j.teac.2020.e00080
15. V. Jittin, A. Bahurudeen, S.D. Ajinkya (2020) “Utilisation of rice husk ash for cleaner production of differentconstruction products” Journal of Cleaner Productionhttps://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.121578