

## **International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews**

Journal homepage: [www.ijrpr.com](http://www.ijrpr.com/) ISSN 2582-7421

# **Nutrient Depletion and Health Risks: Analyzing the Consequences of Ultra filtration and Reverse Osmosis in Dairy Processing**

## *Ayesha Liaqat*

Affiliation: Human Nutrition and Dietetics University of Agriculture Faisalabad, Pakistan

## **1. INTRODUCTION:**

Dairy processing is a complex and multifaceted industry that has seen significant advancements in recent decades. Among these advancements, membrane filtration technologies like ultra filtration (UF) and reverse osmosis (RO) have gained prominence for their ability to enhance the efficiency, quality, and sustainability of dairy products. These membrane-based separation processes are critical in modern dairy production, allowing for the concentration and fractionation of milk components such as proteins, lactose, fats, and minerals. As consumer demand grows for high-quality, nutritionally tailored products, such as protein-enriched dairy goods, low-lactose alternatives, and beverages with specialized health benefits, the role of UF and RO has expanded significantly[1]. Ultrafiltration and reverse osmosis are both pressure-driven membrane processes, yet they operate on distinct principles and serve different purposes within the dairy sector. UF is primarily used to concentrate and fractionate proteins by selectively allowing water, lactose, and some minerals to pass through the membrane while retaining larger molecules like proteins. This technology has become integral in producing a wide range of dairy products, including cheese, yogurt, whey protein concentrates (WPC), and milk protein isolates (MPI). For instance, in cheese-making, UF is used to concentrate milk proteins, thereby reducing the amount of milk needed per kilogram of cheese and enhancing yield and texture control. UF has also enabled the production of high-protein, low-fat yogurt variants, catering to health-conscious consumers[2]. On the other hand, reverse osmosis is designed to remove water and concentrate all dissolved and suspended solids, including salts, proteins, and lactose. In the context of dairy processing, RO is commonly employed to pre-concentrate milk or whey before further processing, thus reducing transportation and storage costs. By concentrating the milk, RO minimizes the volume of liquid that must be handled in subsequent stages of production, which is especially beneficial in large-scale operations. Additionally, RO has applications in wastewater treatment within dairy facilities, helping to recover water from effluent streams, which aligns with the industry's growing focus on sustainability and environmental impact reduction[3, 4].

The membranes used in UF and RO systems are made from materials like polyethersulfone (PES) or polyamide, with varying pore sizes tailored to the specific process. UF membranes typically have pore sizes ranging from 1 to 100 nanometers, making them suitable for separating macromolecules such as proteins from smaller components. RO membranes, however, are much denser, with pore sizes on the order of 0.1 to 1 nanometer, allowing them to reject nearly all solutes, including salts and other small molecules, and produce almost pure water. These differences in membrane characteristics dictate the specific applications of UF and RO in dairy processing, with UF being more selective in its separations and RO acting as a more comprehensive concentration method[5, 6].

One of the key drivers of the adoption of UF and RO in dairy processing is the desire for enhanced product quality and consistency. By concentrating or isolating specific components of milk, dairy processors can create products with precisely controlled nutritional profiles, catering to specific consumer demands or dietary requirements. For example, UF enables the production of low-lactose milk and dairy products by selectively removing lactose while retaining valuable proteins and fats. This is particularly advantageous for lactose-intolerant consumers who seek to enjoy dairy without the digestive discomfort associated with lactose consumption. Similarly, RO allows for the production of highly concentrated milk or whey, which can be further processed into products like whey protein isolates or used as ingredients in infant formulas, nutritional supplements, and sports drinks[7, 8]. The implementation of UF and RO in dairy processing aligns with the industry's commitment to sustainability. Both technologies contribute to resource efficiency by reducing the volume of water required in production and minimizing waste. RO, in particular, can be integrated into wastewater treatment systems within dairy plants, enabling the recovery and reuse of water, thereby reducing the environmental footprint of operations. UF membranes, too, allow for the separation and reuse of valuable components, such as proteins and fats, which might otherwise be lost in traditional processing methods. The ability to concentrate products also lowers the energy costs associated with downstream drying processes, such as spray drying, which is commonly used to produce milk powder and whey protein powders[9].

#### **2. ULTRAFILTRATION:**

Ultra filtration (UF) is a membrane-based filtration process that separates particles and solutes based on size and molecular weight, effectively retaining macromolecules and suspended solids while allowing water and low-molecular-weight solutes to pass through. This process has widespread applications in various fields, including water treatment, biotechnology, food processing, and medical treatments such as dialysis. UF operates at a lower pressure than reverse osmosis (RO), but its efficacy in filtering particles from a solution makes it a crucial component in multiple industries[10, 11].

#### *2.1 Principles of Ultrafiltration:*

Ultra filtration is driven by pressure gradients. The process involves a semi-permeable membrane that separates components in a solution. The solution is forced across the membrane surface, allowing water and smaller solutes (such as salts, sugars, and peptides) to permeate while retaining larger particles such as proteins, colloids, and microorganisms. The filtration efficacy is typically determined by the membrane's molecular weight cut-off (MWCO), a critical parameter that defines the smallest size of the molecules that the membrane can retain[12, 13].



**Figure 1 Two types of filtration mechanisms followed by UF**

#### *2.2 Types of Ultrafiltration Membranes*

Ultrafiltration (UF) membranes are essential components in various filtration processes, where they serve to separate particles and macromolecules from liquids. These membranes are characterized by their molecular weight cut-off (MWCO), membrane material, and configuration, each of which determines their performance in specific applications. Understanding the different types of UF membranes helps in selecting the appropriate membrane for particular industrial, environmental, or medical uses[14, 15].







## **3. REVERSE OSMOSIS:**

Reverse osmosis (RO) is a widely utilized separation process that employs semi-permeable membranes to remove ions, molecules, and larger particles from solutions. Originally developed for desalination, RO technology has evolved to become a cornerstone in various fields, including water purification, food processing, pharmaceuticals, and wastewater treatment. The principle of reverse osmosis is fundamentally rooted in the concept of osmosis, where water naturally flows through a semi-permeable membrane from a region of lower solute concentration to a region of higher solute concentration. In contrast, reverse osmosis applies external pressure to reverse this natural process, allowing water to flow from a higher concentration area to a lower concentration area, effectively purifying the water[19-21].

At the heart of the RO process is the semi-permeable membrane, which selectively allows water molecules to pass while rejecting larger solutes such as salts, organic compounds, and microorganisms. The efficiency of the RO membrane is characterized by its pore size, which typically ranges from 0.0001 to 0.001 microns. This fine filtration capability makes RO particularly effective in desalination, where it can remove up to 99% of dissolved salts and impurities from seawater or brackish water[22]. The RO process begins with pre-treatment, where the feedwater is conditioned to reduce fouling and scaling on the membrane surface. This step often involves the removal of suspended solids, chlorination, and the addition of anti-scalants. Following pretreatment, the water is pumped under high pressure (typically 50-80 bar for seawater applications) through the RO membrane. As the water permeates the membrane, the retained solutes are concentrated on one side, while the purified water, or permeate, flows to the other side. The concentrated waste stream, known as the brine, is then disposed of or further treated, depending on the application[23].

The application of reverse osmosis spans a diverse range of industries. In the municipal water sector, RO systems are employed to provide high-quality drinking water from various sources, including surface water, groundwater, and seawater. The technology is particularly vital in arid regions where freshwater resources are scarce. In industrial settings, RO is utilized for producing ultrapure water required in electronics manufacturing, pharmaceuticals, and food and beverage processing, where stringent quality standards must be met[24]. The principle of reverse osmosis (RO) is based on the fundamental process of osmosis, which is the movement of solvent molecules across a semi-permeable membrane from an area of lower solute concentration to an area of higher solute concentration. This natural process aims to equalize solute concentrations on both sides of the membrane. Reverse osmosis, however, reverses this natural flow by applying external pressure to the solution with higher solute concentration, thereby forcing solvent molecules to move in the opposite direction—from an area of higher solute concentration to an area of lower concentration[25]. Reverse osmosis (RO) systems can be categorized based on their design, application, and scale.







## **4. APPLICATIONS IN DAIRY PROCESSING:**

Ultrafiltration (UF) and reverse osmosis (RO) are two advanced membrane filtration technologies that play significant roles in dairy processing, enhancing product quality, efficiency, and sustainability. These processes are particularly valuable in the production of cheese, yogurt, and whey protein, among other dairy products. In cheese production, UF is employed to concentrate milk proteins, allowing for the efficient separation of casein and whey proteins. By selectively retaining proteins while allowing water, lactose, and minerals to pass through, UF facilitates the production of high-quality cheese with desirable textures and flavors [26, 27]. This concentration process not only improves yield but also reduces the volume of waste generated during cheesemaking, as whey is often a byproduct that can be further processed into valuable products, such as whey protein concentrates or powders. Moreover, UF can enhance the functional properties of proteins, making them more suitable for various applications, including low-fat and reduced-sugar dairy products[28]. RO complements UF by further concentrating liquid streams in dairy processing. It is particularly effective in the desalination of whey, where RO removes lactose, salts, and other small solutes while retaining valuable proteins. This is crucial for producing whey protein isolate, a popular ingredient in sports nutrition and health supplements. By employing RO, dairy manufacturers can significantly reduce the waste stream associated with whey, transforming it into high-value products while minimizing environmental impact. Additionally, RO can be used to concentrate milk and cream, facilitating the production of evaporated milk and creamers. The concentration achieved through RO not only improves shelf life by reducing the water content but also enhances flavor and nutritional profiles[29].

Both UF and RO technologies contribute to improved efficiency in dairy processing by reducing energy consumption and minimizing water usage. By implementing these membrane filtration methods, dairy processors can achieve higher recovery rates of valuable components, thereby maximizing resource utilization. The use of these technologies also aligns with sustainability initiatives in the dairy industry, as they help reduce water and energy footprints while promoting the circular economy by repurposing byproducts[30].



#### **Table 3 Application in dairy industry**

#### **5. NUTRIENT DEPLETION IN DAIRY PROCESSING DUE TO OSMOSIS AND ULTRAFILTRATION**

Dairy processing techniques, particularly osmotic processes like reverse osmosis (RO) and ultrafiltration (UF), are essential for enhancing product quality and efficiency. However, while these methods provide significant advantages, they can also lead to nutrient depletion, impacting the overall nutritional profile of dairy products. Understanding the mechanisms behind nutrient loss in these processes is crucial for optimizing dairy production and maintaining product quality [31-33].

#### *5.1 Mechanisms of Nutrient Depletion*

- **Selective Retention of Nutrients**: Both RO and UF membranes are designed to separate molecules based on size and charge. This selective retention can result in the loss of smaller, water-soluble vitamins and nutrients. For example, essential vitamins such as vitamin B12 and folate may pass through the membrane, leading to lower concentrations in the final product[34].
- **Concentration of Nutrients**: While RO and UF concentrate certain components, such as proteins and fats, they can inadvertently lead to the relative depletion of other nutrients. For instance, the concentration of proteins may increase, but the accompanying vitamins and minerals that are not retained may become less available in the final product, affecting the overall nutrient balance[35].
- **Osmotic Pressure and Solute Interaction**: The application of osmotic pressure in these processes can impact the stability of certain nutrients. For example, some vitamins are sensitive to heat and pressure, leading to degradation during processing. The pressure applied in RO and UF systems can create conditions that may accelerate the degradation of sensitive nutrients[35].
- **Changes in pH**: The concentration processes involved in RO and UF can alter the pH of the dairy product. Changes in pH can affect the solubility and stability of various nutrients. For instance, calcium and phosphate solubility can be influenced by pH, potentially leading to precipitation and loss of these important minerals[36].
- **Increased Microbial Activity**: Nutrient depletion can also occur indirectly through increased microbial activity in concentrated solutions. As nutrients become more concentrated, they may create an environment conducive to microbial growth, leading to spoilage and further nutrient loss. This is particularly relevant in whey processing, where concentrated proteins and lactose can serve as substrates for microbial fermentation[37].
- **Chemical Reactions**: The processes of osmosis and ultrafiltration can also lead to chemical reactions that degrade certain nutrients. For example, interactions between proteins and other components in the concentrated matrix can lead to the formation of Maillard reaction products, which may diminish the availability of certain amino acids and other nutrients[38].

#### *5.2 Impact on Product Quality*

The depletion of nutrients due to osmosis and ultra filtration can have significant implications for the quality and nutritional value of dairy products. For instance, the production of whey protein isolates through UF can yield a product high in protein but low in essential vitamins and minerals, which may not meet the nutritional expectations of consumers. Similarly, in cheese production, while UF enhances yield, the potential loss of water-soluble vitamins can compromise the health benefits associated with cheese consumption[39].

#### *5.3 Macronutrient and Micronutrient Depletion:*

In dairy processing, the application of advanced techniques such as ultrafiltration (UF) and reverse osmosis (RO) plays a critical role in improving product quality and operational efficiency. However, these processes can also lead to the loss of essential macronutrients—namely proteins, fats, and carbohydrates—affecting the overall nutritional value of the final products. Understanding the mechanisms behind macronutrient loss during these processes is vital for optimizing dairy production and ensuring that the nutritional integrity of dairy products is maintained[40]. One of the primary macronutrients affected during dairy processing is protein. In ultrafiltration, proteins are concentrated by selectively retaining them while allowing smaller molecules such as water, lactose, and minerals to pass through. While this concentration can enhance the protein content in products like cheese and whey protein isolates, it may also result in the loss of certain protein fractions, particularly smaller peptides and denatured proteins. The concentration process can cause changes in protein structure, leading to altered solubility and functionality. For instance, the denaturation of proteins can affect their ability to form emulsions and foams, which are important characteristics in various dairy products[41].

Fats are another macronutrient that can experience loss during dairy processing, particularly in systems employing ultrafiltration and reverse osmosis. In 2019, the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) released guidelines for adaptable designs that included non-binding suggestions for their creation, use, and disclosure [42]. Although the primary goal of these processes is often to concentrate proteins or remove lactose, the fat content can inadvertently be reduced. During ultrafiltration, fat globules may be partially retained or pass through the membrane depending on their size, leading to variability in fat content across different batches of processed dairy products. The loss of fats not only impacts the flavor and mouthfeel of dairy products but can also reduce their energy density, affecting consumer satisfaction and nutritional quality[43]. Carbohydrates, particularly lactose, are also impacted during the processing of dairy products. In reverse osmosis, the aim is often to concentrate milk or whey while removing excess lactose. Although this is beneficial for producing lactose-free products, it can lead to a significant reduction in carbohydrate content in the final product, which is particularly relevant for consumers who rely on dairy as a source of energy. The removal of lactose can also affect the texture and taste of products, as lactose contributes to the sweetness and creamy mouthfeel characteristic of many dairy items[44].

Micronutrient loss during dairy processing, particularly through techniques like ultrafiltration (UF) and reverse osmosis (RO), poses a significant concern for maintaining the nutritional quality of dairy products. Micronutrients, including vitamins and minerals, are crucial for various physiological functions, yet their retention can be compromised during these processes. For instance, water-soluble vitamins such as B vitamins (e.g., B2, B12, and folate) are susceptible to depletion as they can pass through the membranes along with water and smaller solutes. Similarly, the concentration processes involved in UF and RO can alter the stability of vitamins, particularly sensitive ones like vitamin C and certain B vitamins, which may degrade under pressure and heat. Additionally, minerals such as calcium and magnesium can be affected by changes in pH and ionic strength during processing, leading to potential precipitation and loss. The reduction of these vital micronutrients can adversely impact the nutritional profile of dairy products, making them less beneficial for consumers. To address this challenge, dairy processors can implement strategies such as fortification and optimizing processing conditions to enhance the retention of micronutrients, thereby ensuring the nutritional integrity of their products[45].

## **6. Health Risks Associated with Nutrient Depletion:**

Nutrient depletion in dairy processing can lead to several health risks. Insufficient protein intake may result in muscle wasting and compromised immune function, while the loss of essential vitamins and minerals can lead to deficiencies that impair overall health. For instance, a deficiency in calcium can increase the risk of osteoporosis, whereas inadequate B vitamins can lead to anemia and neurological disorders. The depletion of lactose-free options may also affect individuals who rely on dairy for carbohydrate intake, potentially leading to energy deficits[46].





#### **7. Nutrient Fortification in Dairy Products**

Nutrient fortification in dairy products is a crucial strategy employed to enhance the nutritional value of these foods and address potential deficiencies in the diet. As processing techniques like ultra filtration and reverse osmosis can lead to the loss of essential vitamins and minerals, fortification becomes essential in maintaining the overall health benefits of dairy. Common nutrients added during fortification include calcium, vitamin D, B vitamins (such as B12 and riboflavin), and minerals like zinc and magnesium. For instance, adding vitamin D to milk enhances calcium absorption, promoting better bone health. Similarly, fortifying yogurt with probiotics and vitamins can boost its functional properties, making it beneficial for gut health and overall immunity. The fortification process must be carefully controlled to ensure that the added nutrients are bioavailable and do not alter the sensory qualities of the product. Regulatory guidelines often govern the fortification process to ensure safety and efficacy. By implementing nutrient fortification, dairy producers can help consumers meet their dietary requirements, particularly for populations at risk of deficiencies, thereby enhancing the overall nutritional profile of dairy products and promoting public health[49, 50].

#### **8. FUTURE DIRECTIONS:**

As the global dairy industry faces increasing demands for higher quality products, enhanced nutritional profiles, and sustainable practices, future directions in dairy processing and nutrient management are becoming more critical than ever. Innovations in technology, shifts in consumer preferences, and a growing emphasis on health and sustainability are driving changes that will shape the dairy sector in the coming years. This article explores potential future directions, focusing on advancements in processing technologies, nutrient fortification strategies, and sustainable practices aimed at addressing nutrient depletion and improving the overall quality of dairy products[51, 52]. One of the most significant trends in dairy processing is the continued development and adoption of advanced membrane filtration technologies. Ultrafiltration (UF) and reverse osmosis (RO) have already transformed the industry, but future advancements may lead to even more efficient and selective processes. For instance, the development of novel membrane materials with enhanced selectivity could allow for better retention of essential nutrients while effectively removing undesirable components. Innovations such as smart membranes that can adapt to different processing conditions in real-time may further optimize nutrient retention and improve product quality. Moreover, the integration of artificial intelligence and machine learning in process monitoring and control could enhance efficiency, reduce waste, and ensure consistent product quality[53, 54]. Another area of growth is the focus on bioactive compounds and functional ingredients in dairy products. Future research may uncover new bioactive peptides and compounds derived from milk proteins that offer health benefits beyond traditional nutrition. For example, research into the antihypertensive, immunomodulatory, and antioxidant properties of specific dairy peptides could lead to the development of functional dairy products that cater to health-conscious consumers. Furthermore, enhancing the nutritional profile of dairy products through the incorporation of plant-based ingredients and fibers could meet the rising demand for healthful options that align with plant-based diets without compromising the sensory attributes of traditional dairy[55].

The future of nutrient fortification in dairy products will likely focus on addressing specific dietary deficiencies and public health concerns. For instance, the fortification of dairy with omega-3 fatty acids, which are often under-consumed in many populations, could offer significant health benefits. Strategies to incorporate these essential fats into milk and yogurt without altering taste or texture will be critical in appealing to consumers. Additionally, as awareness of the gut microbiome continues to grow, there may be increased interest in fortifying dairy products with probiotics and prebiotics to promote digestive health. This shift could lead to the emergence of new functional dairy categories, appealing to consumers seeking health-enhancing products[56].

Sustainability is another pressing issue that will shape the future of dairy processing. With growing concerns about environmental impact, dairy producers are increasingly adopting sustainable practices to reduce their carbon footprint, conserve water, and minimize waste. Innovations in energy-efficient processing technologies and waste recovery systems can play a pivotal role in achieving sustainability goals. For instance, integrating anaerobic digestion systems to convert dairy waste into biogas for energy generation can help close the loop in dairy production, creating a more sustainable cycle. Additionally, the development of circular economy initiatives, where byproducts from dairy processing are repurposed into value-added products, can enhance resource efficiency and reduce waste[57].

### **9. CONCLUSIONS:**

In conclusion, the use of ultrafiltration (UF) and reverse osmosis (RO) in dairy processing has become increasingly popular due to their ability to concentrate proteins, reduce lactose, and extend shelf life. However, while these techniques offer significant advantages, they also raise important concerns regarding nutrient depletion and potential health risks. The primary impact of UF and RO is the selective retention and rejection of various components within milk. As a result, essential macronutrients such as proteins, fats, and carbohydrates are often altered, with specific protein fractions retained and smaller molecules, including lactose and certain minerals, reduced. This can lead to a significant loss of micronutrients, particularly calcium, magnesium, and fat-soluble vitamins such as A, D, E, and K. The reduction in these vital nutrients can have profound implications for consumer health, especially for vulnerable populations such as children, pregnant women, the elderly, and individuals with dietary restrictions.

#### **References:**

- 1. Castro-Muñoz R, Ruby-Figueroa R (2019) Membrane technology for the recovery of high-added value compounds from meat processing Coproducts. Sustain Meat Prod Process:127–143. https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-12-814874-7.00007-9.
- 2. Klomklao S, Benjakul S (2017) Utilization of tuna processing byproducts: protein hydrolysate from skipjack tuna (Katsuwonus pelamis) viscera. J Food Process Preserv 41. https://doi.org/10.1111/jfpp.12970.
- 3. Rajewska P, Janiszewska J (2017) The possibility for the use of ultrafiltration for the treatment of potato processing water. J Mach Constr Maintenance Probl Eksploat:131–139.
- 4. Abejón, R., et al., Optimal design of industrial scale continuous process for fractionation by membrane technologies of protein hydrolysate derived from fish wastes. Sep Purif Technol, 2018. 197.
- 5. Aghapour Aktij, S., et al., Feasibility of membrane processes for the recovery and purification of bio-based volatile fatty acids: a comprehensive review. J Ind Eng Chem, 2020. 81.
- 6. Argenta, A.B. and A.D.P. Scheer, Membrane separation processes applied to whey: a review. Food Rev Int, 2020. 36.
- 7. Barukčić, I., R. Božanić, and U. Kulozik, Influence of process temperature and microfiltration pre-treatment on flux and fouling intensity during cross-flow ultrafiltration of sweet whey using ceramic membranes. Int Dairy J, 2015. 51.
- 8. Bogler, A., S. Lin, and E. Bar-Zeev, Biofouling of membrane distillation, forward osmosis and pressure retarded osmosis: principles, impacts and future directions. J Membr Sci, 2017. 542.
- 9. Bortoluzzi, A.C., J.A. Faitão, and M. Luccio, Dairy wastewater treatment using integrated membrane systems. J Environ Chem Eng, 2017. 5.
- 10. Brião, V.B., et al., Water recovery from dairy rinse water by reverse osmosis: giving value to water and milk solids. Resour Conserv Recycl, 2019. 140.
- 11. Cao, K., R. Zhi, and G. Zhang, Photosynthetic bacteria wastewater treatment with the production of value-added products: a review. Bioresour Technol, 2020. 299.
- 12. Castro-Muñoz, R., C. Conidi, and A. Cassano, Membrane-based technologies for meeting the recovery of biologically active compounds from foods and their by-products. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr, 2019. 59.
- 13. Chen, J., et al., Photosynthetic bacteria-based technology is a potential alternative to meet sustainable wastewater treatment requirement? Environ Int, 2020. 137.
- 14. Chong, A.S.M., et al., Functionalization of large-pore mesoporous silicas with organosilanes by direct synthesis. Microporous Mesoporous Mater, 2004. 72.
- 15. Dabestani, S., J. Arcot, and V. Chen, Protein recovery from potato processing water: pre-treatment and membrane fouling minimization. J Food Eng, 2017. 195.
- 16. Damar, I., K. Cinar, and H.A. Gulec, Concentration of whey proteins by ultrafiltration: comparative evaluation of process effectiveness based on physicochemical properties of membranes. Int Dairy J, 2020. 111.
- 17. Dang, X., et al., Recovery and utilization of collagen protein powder extracted from chromium leather scrap waste. Environ Sci Pollut Res, 2019. 26.
- 18. Das, B., et al., Recovery of whey proteins and lactose from dairy waste: a step towards green waste management. Process Saf Environ Prot, 2016. 101.
- 19. Forghani, B., et al., Recovery of a protein-rich biomass from shrimp (Pandalus borealis) boiling water: a colloidal study. Food Chem, 2020. 302.
- 20. Fritsch, C., et al., Processing, valorization and application of bio-waste derived compounds from potato, tomato, olive and cereals: a review. Sustain, 2017. 9.
- 21. Ganju, S. and P.R. Gogate, A review on approaches for efficient recovery of whey proteins from dairy industry effluents. J Food Eng, 2017. 215.
- 22. Gao, J., et al., Treatment of wastewater containing nickel by complexation- ultrafiltration using sodium polyacrylate and the stability of PAA-Ni complex in the shear field. Chem Eng J, 2018. 334.
- 23. Gascón, V., et al., Mesoporous silicas with tunable morphology for the immobilization of laccase. Molecules, 2014. 19.
- 24. Almeida, A.M., Barbados Blackbelly: the Caribbean ovine genetic resource. Trop Anim Health Prod, 2018. 50.
- 25. Almeida, A.M., Improving animal production and health in the tropics-the challenge of humankind. Trop Anim Health Prod, 2018. 50.
- 26. Almeida, A.M., et al., Scrotal, testicular and semen characteristics of young Boer bucks fed winter veld hay: the effect of nutritional supplementation. Small Ruminant Research, 2007. 73.
- 27. Baur, I., et al., Improving dairy production in Malawi: a literature review. Tropical Animal Health and Production, 2017. 49.
- 28. Britt, J.H., et al., Invited review: Learning from the future-A vision for dairy farms and cows in 2067. Journal of Dairy Science, 2018. 101.
- 29. Cugno, G., et al., The Goat (Capra hircus) Mammary Gland Mitochondrial Proteome: A Study on the Effect of Weight Loss Using Blue-Native PAGE and Two-Dimensional Gel Electrophoresis. PLoS One., 2016. 11.
- 30. Ferreira, A.M., et al., The sheep (Ovis aries) muscle proteome: Decoding the mechanisms of tolerance to Seasonal Weight Loss using label-free proteomics. J Proteomics, 2017. 16.
- 31. Halmemies-Beauchet-Filleau, A., et al., Review: Alternative and novel feeds for ruminants: nutritive value, product quality and environmental aspects. Animal, 2018. 12.
- 32. Hernandez-Castellano, L., et al., Milk somatic cell count, lactate dehydrogenase activity, and immunoglobulin G concentration associated with mastitis caused by different pathogens: A field study. Schweiz Arch Tierheilkd, 2017. 159.
- 33. Hernández-Castellano, L.E., et al., The goat (Capra hircus) mammary gland secretory tissue proteome as influenced by weight loss: A study using label free proteomics. J Proteomics, 2016. 145.
- 34. Hernández-Castellano, L.E., et al., Endocrine and metabolic changes in transition dairy cows are affected by prepartum infusions of a serotonin precursor. Journal of Dairy Science, 2017. 100.
- 35. Jones, B.A., et al., Zoonosis emergence linked to agricultural intensification and environmental change. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 2013. 110.
- 36. Ledgard, S.F., et al., Effects of the nitrification inhibitor dicyandiamide (DCD) on pasture production, nitrous oxide emissions and nitrate leaching in Waikato. New Zealand, New Zealand Journal of Agricultural Research, 2014. 57.
- 37. Lérias, J.R., et al., Establishment of the biochemical and endocrine blood profiles in the Majorera and Palmera dairy goat breeds: the effect of feed restriction. J Dairy Res, 2015. 82.
- 38. Miller, B., et al., Ovine liver proteome: Assessing mechanisms of seasonal weight loss tolerance between Merino and Damara sheep. J Proteomics, 2019. 191.
- 39. Miller, B.A., et al., The ovine hepatic mitochondrial proteome: Understanding seasonal weight loss tolerance in two distinct breeds. PLoS One, 2019. 14.
- 40. Palma, M., et al., Mammary gland and milk fatty acid composition of two dairy goat breeds under feed-restriction. J Dairy Res, 2017. 84.
- 41. Pulina, G., et al., Invited review: Current production trends, farm structures, and economics of the dairy sheep and goat sectors. J Dairy Sci, 2018. 101.
- 42. Makutam, V., S. Achanti, and M. Doostan, INTEGRATION OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN ADAPTIVE TRIAL DESIGNS: ENHANCING EFFICIENCY AND PATIENT-CENTRIC OUTCOMES. International Journal of Advanced Research, 2024. 12: p. 205-215.
- 43. Rojas-Downing, M.M., et al., Climate change and livestock: impacts, adaptation, and mitigation. Clim. Risk Manag., 2017. 16.
- 44. Wall, S.K., et al., Supraphysiological oxytocin increases the transfer of immunoglobulins and other blood components to milk during lipopolysaccharide- and lipoteichoic acid-induced mastitis in dairy cows. J Dairy Sci, 2016. 99.
- 45. Astrup, A., N.R.W. Geiker, and F. Magkos, Effects of full-fat and fermented dairy products on cardiometabolic disease: food is more than the sum of its parts. Adv Nutr, 2019. 10.
- 46. Baldwin, H. and J. Tan, Effects of diet on acne and its response to treatment. Am J Clin Dermatol, 2021. 22.
- 47. Cavero-Redondo, I., et al., Milk and dairy product consumption and risk of mortality: an overview of systematic reviews and meta-analyses. Adv Nutr, 2019. 10.
- 48. Chapa, J., et al., Evaluation of environmental performance of dietary patterns in the United States considering food nutrition and satiety. Sci Total Environ, 2020. 722.
- 49. Clay, N., T. Garnett, and J. Lorimer, Dairy intensification: drivers, impacts and alternatives. Ambio, 2020. 49.
- 50. Dinu, M., et al., Vegetarian, vegan diets and multiple health outcomes: a systematic review with meta-analysis of observational studies. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr, 2017. 57.
- 51. Drouin-Chartier, J.P., et al., Systematic review of the association between dairy product consumption and risk of cardiovascular-related clinical outcomes. Adv Nutr, 2016. 7.
- 52. Drouin-Chartier, J.P., et al., Comprehensive review of the impact of dairy foods and dairy fat on cardiometabolic risk. Adv Nutr, 2016. 7.
- 53. Fructuoso, I., et al., An overview on nutritional aspects of plant-based beverages used as substitutes for cow's milk. Nutrients, 2021. 13.
- 54. Geburt, K., et al., A comparative analysis of plant-based milk alternatives part 2: environmental impacts. Sustainability, 2022. 14.
- 55. Grant, C.A. and A.L. Hicks, Comparative life cycle assessment of milk and plant-based alternatives. Environ Eng Sci, 2018. 35.
- 56. Guo, J., et al., Milk and dairy consumption and risk of cardiovascular diseases and all-cause mortality: dose-response meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. Eur J Epidemiol, 2017. 32.
- 57. Haas, R., et al., Cow milk versus plant-based milk substitutes: a comparison of product image and motivational structure of consumption. Sustainability, 2019. 11.