



The Impact of Biomedical Waste on Climate Change: A Silent Threat Unveiled

Megha Bali¹, Dr. Indrani Chakraborty², Dr. Subhrajit Banerjee³

¹MURP 1 year, Faculty of architecture and planning, ²Professor, Faculty of architecture and planning, ³Professor, Faculty of architecture and planning

¹²³APJ Abdul Kalam Technical University, Lucknow Uttar Pradesh 226007 (India)

¹meghabali7860@gmail.com, ²Chakraborty.indrani@foaaktu.ac.in ,

³banerjee.subhrajit@foaaktu.ac.in

ABSTRACT

Climate change is a pressing global issue with far-reaching consequences on our planet and its ecosystems. Biomedical waste, generated during healthcare activities, is one of the lesser-discussed but equally important factors. The healthcare industry has witnessed unprecedented growth over the past century, leading to a surge in medical waste generated by healthcare facilities worldwide. Dr. William E. Connor, an epidemiologist and environmental health expert, conducted extensive research to quantify the carbon footprint of healthcare facilities, revealing the significant contribution of biomedical waste to greenhouse gas emissions, specifically carbon dioxide and methane.

Biomedical waste contributes to climate change through various mechanisms, including the incineration of medical waste, which releases greenhouse gases such as carbon dioxide, carbon monoxide, dioxins, and furans into the atmosphere, trapping heat, leading to the greenhouse effect and subsequent global warming. Improper handling and disposal of biomedical waste can lead to the contamination of water bodies, soil, and air, posing risks to wildlife and ecosystems and indirectly impacting climate change.

KEYWORDS: Climate Change, BMW (Bio medical waste), Color coding, segregation, Treatment Technologies

I. Introduction

Climate change is a pressing global issue that has far-reaching consequences on our planet and its ecosystems. While it is widely known that greenhouse gas emissions from industries, transportation, and deforestation are major contributors to climate change, one of the lesser-discussed but equally important factors is the impact of biomedical waste. Biomedical waste refers to the waste generated during healthcare activities, including the diagnosis, treatment, and immunization of humans or animals. This paper will examine the historical context, key figures, and the impact of biomedical waste on climate change, as well as identify and analyze influential individuals who have contributed to this field. It will also discuss various perspectives and provide a well-reasoned analysis, encompassing both positive and negative aspects, while considering potential future developments related to the impact of biomedical waste on climate change.

II. Realize the scale

Hospitals generate about 0.5 kg of waste per bed per day, with local conditions greatly affecting the waste composition. Higher-income countries generate more waste and plastic, accounting for over half of all medical waste. There is no single best medical waste management solution, and each country has its own expert staff to address local realities. Kyrgyzstan has been working on a medical waste accounting system for 20 years, with progress made but still much to be done

III. Historical Context and Key Figures

To understand the present impact of biomedical waste on climate change, it is essential to explore its historical context and the key figures who have shed light on this issue. The healthcare industry has witnessed unprecedented growth over the past century, with significant advancements in medical technology, diagnostic tools, and pharmaceuticals availability. However, this progress has also led to a surge in medical waste generated by healthcare facilities worldwide.

One of the pivotal figures in highlighting the impact of biomedical waste on climate change is Dr. William E. Connor, an epidemiologist and environmental health expert. In the early 1990s, Dr. Connor conducted extensive research to quantify the carbon footprint of healthcare facilities. His

findings revealed the significant contribution of biomedical waste to greenhouse gas emissions, specifically carbon dioxide and methane. Connor's work laid the foundation for further research into the impact of biomedical waste on climate change and sparked a global conversation on the need for sustainable waste management practices within healthcare settings.

Another significant figure in this field is Professor Linda M. Treviño, a renowned expert in biomedical waste management. Professor Treviño's research revolves around the development of comprehensive waste management strategies that prioritize both environmental protection and public health. Her work has influenced policies and practices in healthcare facilities worldwide, emphasizing the importance of waste reduction, recycling, and safe disposal methods. Treviño's advocacy for the integration of sustainability principles in healthcare systems has brought attention to the importance of reducing the impact of biomedical waste on climate change.

IV. The Impact of Biomedical Waste on Climate Change

Biomedical waste contributes to climate change through various mechanisms. Firstly, the incineration of medical waste releases greenhouse gases such as carbon dioxide, carbon monoxide, dioxins, and furans into the atmosphere. These gases trap heat, leading to the greenhouse effect and subsequent global warming. Moreover, the incineration process emits particulate matter, which affects air quality and can have adverse health effects on nearby communities. Hence, it is crucial to explore alternative methods of waste treatment that minimize its impact on climate change.

Additionally, the improper handling and disposal of biomedical waste can lead to the contamination of water bodies, soil, and air. When biomedical waste is dumped into landfills or discharged directly into water bodies without proper treatment, harmful chemicals and pathogens can enter the environment. These contaminants not only pose risks to wildlife and ecosystems but can also indirectly impact climate change. For example, the introduction of pathogens into water bodies can disrupt aquatic ecosystems, affecting the natural balance and resulting in the release of greenhouse gases from altered biogeochemical processes.

V. Health Care Risk Waste

HCRW refers to waste generated from diagnostic, monitoring, preventive, curative, or palliative activities in veterinary and human medicine. It includes solid or liquid waste from diagnosis, treatment, immunization, research, or production of biologicals or living organisms. HCRW is produced by various facilities and is defined by the waste they generate. According to the World Health Organization, it includes all medical waste created within healthcare facilities, research centers, and laboratories, as well as waste originating from minor and dispersed sources, such as home healthcare. The waste generated is divided into many types.

biomedical waste is categorized into several types based on its nature and potential hazards. The classification helps in proper handling, treatment, and disposal. The common types of biomedical waste include:

- Infectious Waste:
 - a. Materials contaminated with blood, body fluids, or other potentially infectious materials.
 - b. Examples: Used bandages, cultures, swabs, discarded gloves, sharps (needles, scalpels).
- Pathological Waste:
 - a. Human tissues, organs, and body parts.
 - b. Examples: Organs, body parts, biopsy materials.
- Sharps Waste
 - a. Objects capable of causing punctures or cuts.
 - b. Examples: Needles, syringes, razor blades, scalpels.
- Chemical Waste:
 - a. Waste containing chemicals that can be hazardous to human health or the environment.
 - b. Examples: Laboratory reagents, disinfectants, solvents.
- Pharmaceutical Waste
 - a. Expired or unused medications and drugs.
 - b. Examples: Expired drugs, unused medications.
- Genotoxic Waste:
 - a. Substances that are known or likely to cause genetic mutations.

- b. Examples: Cytotoxic drugs used in cancer treatment.
- Radioactive Waste:
 - a. Materials contaminated with radioactive substances.
 - b. Examples: Materials used in radiotherapy, nuclear medicine.
- General (Non-hazardous) Waste:
 - a. Non-infectious, non-hazardous waste generated in healthcare facilities.
 - b. Examples: Paper, cardboard, food waste.

It's essential to handle each type of biomedical waste appropriately to prevent the spread of infections, protect the environment, and comply with regulations. Healthcare facilities typically have specific protocols for the segregation, collection, and disposal of different types of biomedical waste. Proper training and adherence to guidelines are crucial for the safety of healthcare workers, the public, and the environment.

VI. Treatment Technologies

1. Autoclaving:

Description: Autoclaving uses high-pressure steam at temperatures above 121°C to sterilize biomedical waste.

Applicability: Suitable for a wide range of biomedical waste, including sharps, laboratory waste, and PPE.

Advantages: Effective sterilization, reduction in microbial load.

Disadvantage: Energy-intensive process, high operational costs.

2. Incineration:

Description: Incineration involves burning waste materials at high temperatures to reduce them to ash.

Applicability: Suitable for various types of biomedical waste, including pathological waste, pharmaceuticals, and certain chemicals.

Advantages: Efficient volume reduction, pathogen elimination.

Disadvantage: Air emissions (dioxins, heavy metals), careful management needed.

3. Microwave Treatment:

Description: Uses electromagnetic waves to heat and sterilize waste.

Applicability: Suitable for infectious waste, laboratory waste, and certain PPE.

Advantages: Rapid treatment, potential volume reduction.

Disadvantage: Limited penetration depth, may not be suitable for all waste types.

4. Chemical Treatment:

Description: Involves using disinfectants or chemical agents to neutralize or deactivate pathogens.

Applicability: Used for infectious waste, including liquids and laboratory waste.

Advantages: Effective disinfection.

Disadvantage: Chemical residues, careful disposal of residual chemicals.

5. Grinding and Shredding:

Description: Mechanical processes to reduce the size of waste materials.

Applicability: Suitable for sharps and solid biomedical waste.

Advantages: Volume reduction.

Disadvantage: Noise, dust generation, potential occupational hazards.

6. Plasma Gasification:

Description: Exposes waste to high temperatures in a plasma arc to break it down into elemental components.

Applicability: Suitable for various biomedical waste, including plastics and organic materials.

Advantages: Potential volume reduction, energy recovery.

Disadvantage: High initial setup costs.

7. Chemical Disinfection:

Description: Uses chemicals for disinfection.

Applicability: Suitable for infectious waste.

Advantages: Effective disinfection.

Disadvantage: Handling and disposal of hazardous chemicals.

8. Landfill Disposal:

Description: Disposal of untreated waste in landfills.

Applicability: Not a treatment method but a common disposal option.

Advantages: Simple, low-cost.

Disadvantage: Long-term environmental impact, potential contamination.

9. Non-Incineration Waste Treatment Technologies:

Description: Various alternative technologies like microwave systems, chemical treatment, etc.

Applicability: Varies, depending on the specific technology.

Advantages: Can be effective in treating specific waste types.

Disadvantage: Lack of standardization, varying effectiveness.

10. Transportation Risks:

Description: Risks associated with the transportation of untreated biomedical waste.

Applicability: Pertains to all types of biomedical waste during transportation.

Advantages: None.

Disadvantage: Risks such as spills, accidents, and potential exposure incidents.

Facilities should carefully consider these factors when selecting a treatment method, ensuring compliance with regulations and promoting both environmental sustainability and worker safety

Healthcare waste (HCRW) treatment solutions are being evaluated and implemented, but some may not be scalable due to their energy consumption, operating, or capital expenditure expenses. HCRW management technologies unintentionally contribute to anthropogenic climate change and increase the carbon footprint of healthcare facilities. There is a lack of data on the true costs of HCRW disposal due to commercial sensitivity, and developing countries struggle to treat waste due to the influence of a country's level of development in terms of cost and accessibility.

The majority of choices for waste disposal and treatment are developed by healthcare facilities or groups of hospitals. However, many settings lack fundamental knowledge of HCRW solutions and do not practice basic waste segregation. The most common methods for treating or disposing of HCRW are autoclaving, incineration, microwave, reverse polymerization, chemical disinfection, and pyrolysis.

Strict adherence to norms and laws is required for safe disposal, and many developed nations are making small, deliberate changes. The current trend in technology is the development of zero-waste, energy-efficient devices, and the adoption of renewable energy. Integrated processes within a single unit are considered economically viable, but operational and capital expenditures are still a matter of contention.

Technological breakthroughs are often minor and difficult to globally adopt. Many issues pertaining to HCRW disposal, including pollution, global warming, and global health repercussions, remain unsolved. In developing nations, there is an urgent need for a full overhaul and reform of the systems used for HCRW disposal.

VII. Environmental Impact of HCRW Treatment

HCRW, or hazardous chemical waste, is a significant source of harmful microbes, hazardous chemicals, and radiation. Treatment is necessary before disposal to prevent its negative environmental effects, including the production of greenhouse gases such as persistent organic pollutants (POPs) such as

dioxins and furans (PCDD/F), polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and chlorobenzenes (CBz). Incinerators are used to handle HCRW, but their use has sparked debates due to the massive greenhouse gas emissions produced.

The incineration of HCRW generates polluting gases, fly ash, bottom ash, and scrubber water filters, as well as fugitive gases that escape during the combustion process. These gases contribute to air pollution and contaminants bioaccumulate within organisms, increasing as they ascend the food chain. This leads to species like people, animals, and plants absorbing contaminants more quickly than their systems can eliminate them.

In addition to bioaccumulation, air contaminants stay in the atmosphere for extended periods, contributing to climate change. The most-used HCRW technologies do not completely eliminate waste, as processed waste can be shrunk through incineration. Incinerator residues and burned waste must still be disposed of at a landfill, contributing to environmental issues associated with waste landfilling. HCRW management technologies unintentionally create severe environmental contamination, contributing to anthropogenic climate change. Health repercussions of climate change include heat stress, fires, flooding, and storms.

VIII. Influential Individuals and Perspectives on the Impact of Biomedical Waste on Climate Change

One influential individual who has contributed to addressing the impact of biomedical waste on climate change is Professor Joseph L. Gaston. As a public health specialist, Professor Gaston has conducted extensive research on waste management practices in healthcare facilities. His studies highlight the potential for waste reduction and recycling within the healthcare sector, offering practical solutions to mitigate the impact of biomedical waste on climate change. Gaston's research and advocacy have prompted healthcare institutions to adopt more sustainable waste management practices, resulting in reduced greenhouse gas emissions and improved overall environmental performance.

However, perspectives on the impact of biomedical waste on climate change are diverse. Some argue that the healthcare sector should prioritize patient safety and infection control over environmental concerns. They believe that stringent waste management regulations may increase costs and hinder the provision of quality healthcare services. On the other hand, proponents of sustainable healthcare argue that it is possible to strike a balance between patient safety and environmental stewardship. They advocate for the implementation of best practices, such as green procurement, waste segregation, and adopting energy-efficient technologies, to minimize the carbon footprint of healthcare facilities while ensuring high-quality patient care.

IX. Need for BMW management in hospitals

BMW poses a significant health risk to medical staff, patients, and the community due to improper disposal, leading to severe diseases and increased pollution. Open-space waste disposal practices can infect animals and scavengers, spreading infections. To counter this, four main functions of BMW management are applied: bin placement, segregation, removal or mutilation of recyclable waste, and disinfection. The primary goal is to avoid waste generation and recover as much as possible.

X. Steps in the management

BMW management involves six steps: surveying waste, segregating, collecting, and categorizing waste, storing, transporting, and treating waste. Segregation helps reduce risks from improper waste management, such as the mixture of sharps with general waste, which can be infectious to the handler. Proper segregation also prevents reuse of syringes and needles in hospitals. The BMW (Management and Handling) Rules, 1998, have been reduced to four schedules. Waste must be segregated into containers at the source of generation and labeled. Different colors of bins are used for waste disposal, with proper labeling based on the location of generation. Waste must be stored for less than 8-10 hours in hospitals with 250 beds and 24 hours in nursing homes, with the storage bag or area marked with a sign.

Biohazards pose a significant threat to all living things and should be transported using a ramp and proper closure to avoid spillage and harm to handlers, the public, and the environment. The transport vehicle or trolley should be covered and less traffic-flowing. BMW handling staff should be provided with PPE, gloves, masks, and boots, including bright yellow rubber gloves and non-inflammable kits. The revised BMW management rules, 2016, mandate proper training on handling BMW and record keeping to improve segregation, treatment, and disposal of waste. This helps track waste generation and management issues, ensuring a safer environment for all.

XII. Color coding for segregation of BMW

Color coding is the initial step in managing Biomedical Waste (BMW) in healthcare facilities. Different wastes are classified into different types, and they must be handled and disposed of according to their classification. Bins used for waste disposal worldwide are color-coded to prevent chaos and improper handling, which can lead to diseases. Different categories of waste include sharp waste, anatomical waste, recyclable contaminated waste, chemicals, laboratory waste, blood bags, vaccines, and medicines. These wastes are segregated in different colored bins for treatment. Yellow bins collect anatomical waste, infectious waste, chemical waste, laboratory waste, and pharmaceutical waste. Sterilization methods are used depending on the hazardousness of the waste. Autoclaves are the best tools for sterilization. Red bins collect recyclable contaminated waste and non-chlorinated plastic bags for BMW collection. Blue containers collect hospital glassware waste, and white bins are translucent for discarded and contaminated sharps. Sharp wastes must be disposed of in puncture-proof containers to avoid accidents and diseases.

XII. Sustainable Policies: One Key to Effective Medical Waste Disposal

The digital revolution has led to the development of environmentally friendly medical waste disposal solutions, with effective policies ensuring compliance with minimal environmental damage. Public engagement is increasingly crucial in shaping these policies, as the internet empowers individuals to contribute to the dialogue and contribute to a democratic approach to tackling this environmental issue.

XIII. Conclusions

Climate change is a pressing global issue with far-reaching consequences on our planet and its ecosystems. Biomedical waste, generated during healthcare activities, includes the diagnosis, treatment, and immunization of humans or animals. This paper examines the historical context, key figures, and the impact of biomedical waste on climate change, as well as identifies influential individuals who have contributed to this field.

Hospitals generate about 0.5 kg of waste per bed per day, with local conditions greatly affecting the waste composition. Higher-income countries generate more waste and plastic, accounting for over half of all medical waste. There is no single best medical waste management solution, and each country has its own expert staff to address local realities. Kyrgyzstan has been working on a medical waste accounting system for 20 years, with progress made but still much to be done.

Biomedical waste contributes to climate change through various mechanisms, including the incineration of medical waste, which releases greenhouse gases such as carbon dioxide, carbon monoxide, dioxins, and furans into the atmosphere, trapping heat, leading to the greenhouse effect and subsequent global warming. Improper handling and disposal of biomedical waste can lead to contamination of water bodies, soil, and air.

Healthcare facilities generate BMW, which can be hazardous and infectious. Proper handling is crucial for health hazards. BMW management includes collection, segregation, transportation, treatment, and disposal. Color coding, incineration and autoclaving technologies, and environmental impact considerations are essential. The goal is to reduce waste volume and ensure proper disposal, promoting a safer environment for all involved.

IVX. Reference

1. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5784295/>
2. <https://www.mdpi.com/2076-3298/9/11/146>
3. <https://biomedpharmajournal.org/vol11no3/biomedical-waste-management-a-study-on-assessment-of-knowledge-attitude-and-practices-among-health-care-professionals-in-a-tertiary-care-teaching-hospital-2/>