



Sulfate-Reducing Bacteria in Industrial Waste Management: A Comprehensive Review

Dr. D. S. Sonawane

Department of Chemistry, Jijamata Education Society's Arts, Commerce and Science College, Nandurbar 425 412 MS, India

ABSTRACT

In this extensive review, we delve into the crucial role played by sulfate-reducing bacteria (SRB) in tackling the formidable environmental challenges presented by industrial wastes. The spotlight is on the diverse applications of SRB, their nutritional aspects, cultivation methods, and their promising potential in simultaneously addressing the treatment of metals and sulfates. This comprehensive exploration aims to shed light on the multifaceted contributions of SRB to industrial waste management, offering insights that may pave the way for sustainable and effective environmental remediation strategies.

Keywords: Sulfate-Reducing Bacteria, Industrial Waste Management, Metals, Sulfates, Environmental Remediation.

1. Introduction

The industrial sector, serving as a cornerstone for meeting daily necessities, plays a pivotal role in shaping our way of life. However, this essential sector also bears the responsibility for a significant environmental footprint, contributing to pollution in the forms of air, water, and soil contamination. The repercussions of industrial activities, especially the generation of wastes laden with toxic substances, pose a looming threat to environmental sustainability. This dire situation calls for immediate and effective attention to the development of robust remediation strategies (Govindarajalu 2003; Adebisi and Fayemiwo 2011).

Industrial activities, encompassing a diverse range of processes, are integral to modern life, providing goods and services that meet the demands of a growing global population. From manufacturing to energy production, the industrial sector's outputs are deeply intertwined with various aspects of our daily lives. However, this symbiotic relationship comes at a cost – the inadvertent release of pollutants into the air, water, and soil (Govindarajalu 2003).

The pollutants discharged from industrial processes carry a hazardous payload, often laden with toxic substances that have the potential to inflict severe harm on the environment. These pollutants, if left unchecked, can contribute significantly to environmental degradation, posing threats to ecosystems, wildlife, and, ultimately, human health. Recognizing the urgency of this situation, it becomes imperative to focus on the development and implementation of effective remediation strategies.

The need for urgent attention to environmental remediation is underscored by the growing body of evidence highlighting the adverse impacts of industrial pollution. Scientific studies, such as those by Govindarajalu in 2003 and Adebisi and Fayemiwo in 2011, have brought to light the far-reaching consequences of industrial waste on ecological balance and public well-being. This comprehensive review aims to delve into one specific facet of environmental remediation – the role of Sulfate-Reducing Bacteria (SRB) in managing industrial waste. By examining the applications, challenges, and potential innovations related to SRB, we aim to contribute to the ongoing discourse on sustainable industrial practices and waste management.

2. Acid Mine Tailings Wastes (AMTW)

AMTW, originating from mined rocks, pose environmental challenges due to their acidity and heavy metal content. Reactive minerals exposed during excavation lead to acid mine production, accelerated by naturally occurring bacteria. Acidophilic iron-oxidizing bacteria play a crucial role in acid mine drainage generation. AMTW toxicity arises from low pH and heavy metal content, impacting ecosystems and causing health issues (Johnson 2000).

3. Bioremediation of AMTW Using SRB

Conventional treatments for AMTW involve adding alkaline materials, but these methods have drawbacks. Bioremediation, particularly using SRB, offers a promising and economically viable alternative. SRB catalyze the reduction of sulfate to sulfide, selectively removing toxic metals and generating

alkalinity. This sustainable approach involves enhancing microbial capabilities to neutralize acidity and immobilize metals, making it environmentally friendly and cost-effective (Johnson 2000).

4. Environmental Concerns and Remediation

The perpetual generation of industrial wastes raises concerns for human health and the environment. The review highlights the significance of an economical bioremediation strategy, emphasizing the synchronous treatment of metals, sulfates, and agro-industrial or hydrocarbon wastes from various industries (Idris et al. 2007).

5. Metal Pollutants and Health Effects

Heavy metal pollution poses global concerns, with sewage water and industrial sources contributing metals like zinc, iron, copper, lead, and cadmium. Health effects of common heavy metals, including aluminum, chromium, manganese, and mercury, necessitate urgent remediation (Armitage et al. 2007; Idris et al. 2007).

6. Sulfates and Industrial Effluents

Sulfates found in industrial effluents pose challenges, leading to acidogenesis, metal corrosion, and toxicological effects. The intrusion of sulfates from various industrial processes can impact both the environment and human health (Boshoff et al. 2004; Lin and Hsiu 1997; Muyzer and Stams 2008).

7. Remediation Strategies

The necessity of treating metals and sulfates before discharging industrial effluents into the environment is discussed. While traditional physicochemical methods have been employed, biological methods, including bioremediation, phenolics modern pathways are the present more cost-effective and environmentally friendly alternative (Malik 2004; Gillespie and Philp 2013, Wagay et al., 2023).

8. Role of SRB in Bioremediation

SRB emerge as pivotal players in the remediation process, offering a sustainable solution to the problems of metals and sulfate toxicity. The paper introduces the concept of biosulfidogenesis, highlighting the diversity, ecology, and biotechnology of SRB and their versatility in various environments and various phytochemicals procesese (Muyzer and Stams 2008, Khan *et al.*, 2023).

9. Nutritional Aspects and Cultivation of SRB

The nutritional aspects of SRB, their diverse metabolism, and cultivation methods using different environmental contaminants as growth substrates are discussed. SRB offer a potential avenue for sustainable waste management (Idris et al. 2007).

10. Applications of SRB

The utilization of Sulfate-Reducing Bacteria (SRB) for the treatment of industrial wastewater has garnered significant interest. Numerous studies have been conducted to explore the applications of SRB in treating both simulated and real wastewaters contaminated with a variety of pollutants (Hussain and Qazi, 2016). Recent advancements have demonstrated the efficacy of SRB in treating diverse environmental contaminants, including metals, metalloids, sulfates, methane, and various hydrocarbons (Battaglia-Brunet et al., 2012; Altun et al., 2014).

However, the majority of these investigations were carried out on a laboratory scale, and there is a dearth of data on the commercial-scale applications of SRB. Only two patented technological applications, based on microbially mediated sulfate reduction in bioreactor systems, have been developed and operated as pilot-, demonstration-, and full-scale plants for treating acidic wastewater from metal mines (Johnson, 2000). These technologies are known as Thiopaq® by Paques, The Netherlands (Boonstra et al., 1999), and BioSulphide® by BioteQ, Canada (Ashe et al., 2008).

The main challenge hindering the practical application of SRB on a commercial scale may be the uncontrolled generation of hydrogen sulfide (H₂S) exhaust. As noted by Martins et al. (2009), additionally produced H₂S (unreacted) easily escapes as a gas, with some of it inaccessible to the pollutants. This hinders the quantitative treatment of pollutants and may pose severe environmental impacts. To address this issue, optimization of sulfidogenesis and waste treatment within well-designed bioreactors is essential, allowing for maximum contact area and time for H₂S and waste(s) to react (Hussain and Qazi, 2016, Jeba et al., 2022). The control of H₂S exhaust can be achieved by closing the entire remedial setup, and some form of bio/technical control of unwanted H₂S is recommended to make the commercial utility of SRB feasible.

11. Concomitant Treatment of Metals and Sulfates

Addressing the need for concomitant treatment, the review proposes a biphasic model utilizing H₂S exhaust from sewage effluents by sulfidogenic bacteria to precipitate metals from industrial effluents. The importance of metal-resistant and heterotrophic sulfidogens in developing single-chambered bioremediation processes is highlighted (Boonstra et al., 1999, Archana et al., 2023).

12. Conclusion

This comprehensive review underscores the importance of sulfate-reducing bacteria in the concomitant remediation of metal and sulfate pollutants, presenting a sustainable and economical approach for environmental protection. The proposed model and insights contribute to advancing bioremediation strategies, especially in regions facing economic constraints.

Acknowledgment

The author is thankful to the Principal of Jijamata Education Society's Arts, Commerce and Science College Nandurbar and supporting faculty for their kind supports for current review writing work.

References

1. Adebisi SA, Fayemiwo K (2011) Physiochemical properties of industrial effluent in Ibadan, Nigeria. *EJEAFChe* 10:2026–2031
2. Altun M, Sahinkaya E, Durukan I, Bektas S, Komnitsas K (2014) Arsenic removal in a sulfidogenic fixed-bed column bioreactor. *J Hazard Mater* 269:31–37
3. Amanulla Khan, R. L. Pawar, M.H. Mali, Kamlakar More, & Satish V. Deore. (2023). Proteomics: An Emerging Tools, Database and Technique for Understanding Biological System. *Biological Forum – an International Journal*, 15(3), 511–523. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7837890>
4. Armitage PD, Bowes MJ, Vincent HM (2007) Long-term changes in macroinvertebrate communities of a heavy metal polluted stream: the river Nent (Cumbria, UK) after 28 years. *River Res Appl* 23:997–1015
5. Ashe NL, McLean I, Nodwell M (2008) Review of operations of the biosulphide process plant at the copper Queen mine, Bisbee, Arizona. In: Young CA, Taylor PR, Anderson CG and Choi Y (eds) *Hydrometallurgy 2008*, proceedings of the 6th international symposium on hydrometallurgy, Society for Mining, Metallurgy and Exploration, Inc., Phoenix, Arizona, pp 98–107
6. Battaglia-Brunet F, Crouzet C, Burnol A, Coulon S, Morin D, Jouliau C (2012) Precipitation of arsenic sulphide from acidic water in a fixed-film bioreactor. *Water Res* 46:3923–3933
7. Boonstra J, Van Lier R, Janssen G, Dijkman H, Buisman CJN (1999) Biological treatment of acid mine drainage. In: Amils R, Ballester A (eds) *Biohydrometallurgy and the environment toward the mining of the 21st century*, proceedings of the international biohydrometallurgy symposium IBS'99. Elsevier, Madrid, pp 559–567
8. Boshoff G, Duncan J, Rose PD (2004) Tannery effluent as a carbon source for biological sulphate reduction. *Water Res* 38:2651–2658
9. Gillespie IMM, Philp JC (2013) Bioremediation, an environmental remediation technology for the bioeconomy. *Trends Biotechnol* 31:329–332
10. Govindarajalu K (2003) Industrial effluents and health status—a case study of Noyyal river basin. In: *Proceedings of the 3rd international conference on environment and health*. Chennai, India, pp 150–157
11. Hussain A, Qazi JI (2016) Metals-induced functional stress in sulphate-reducing thermophiles. *3Biotech* 6(1):1–8
12. Idris AM, Eltayeb MAH, Potgieter-Vermaak SS, Van-Grieken R, Potgieter JH (2007) Assessment of heavy metals pollution in Sudanese harbours along the Red sea coast. *Microchem J* 87:103–112
13. J, Jeba Sonia, D. J. Joel Devadass Daniel, Dr. R. Sabin Begum, Dr. A K N K Pathan, Dr. Veera Talukdar, and Vivek Dadasaheb Solavande. (2022) 2023. "AI Techniques for Efficient Healthcare Systems in ECG Wave Based Cardiac Disease Detection by High Performance Modelling". *International Journal of Communication Networks and Information Security (IJCNIS)* 14 (3):290-302
14. Johnson DB (2000) Biological removal of sulfurous compounds from inorganic wastewaters. In: Lens PNL, Hulshoff Pol LW (eds) *Environmental technologies to treat sulfur pollution: principles and engineering*. IWA Publishing, London, pp 175–205
15. Khan, A., More, K. C., Mali, M. H., Deore, S. V., & Patil, M. B. (2023). Phytochemical screening and Gas chromatography-mass spectrometry analysis on *Ischaemum pilosum* (Kleinex Willd.). *Plant Science Today*, 10(4), 88–96. <https://doi.org/10.14719/pst.2349>

16. Lin C-Y, Hsiu M-W (1997) Effects of sulfide, sulfite and sulfate on acidogenesis in upflow anaerobic sludge blanket process. *J Environ Sci Health* 32:1171–1184
17. Patil MB, Khan PA (2017) Primary phytochemical studies of *Catunaregam spinosa* (Thunb) Tirven for secondary metabolites. *Int J Pharm Bio Sci* 8(2):320–323. <https://doi.org/10.22376/ijpbs.2017.8.2.p320-323>
18. Patil MB, Khan PA (2017). Ethnobotanical, phytochemical and Fourier Transform Infrared Spectrophotometer (FTIR) studies of *Catunaregam spinosa* (Thunb.) Tirven. *Journal of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Sciences*. Vol. 10 Issue 2 PP 950-955 <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7562415>
19. Patil, M.B., Khan, P.A. (2016), Review: techniques towards the plant phytochemical study. *International Journal of Science Info*. 2016; 1 (3) : 157 – 172. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7559052>
20. Malik A (2004) Metal bioremediation through growing cells. *Environ Int* 30:261–278
21. Martins M, Faleiro LM, Barros RJ, Veri´Ssimo AR, Costa CM (2009) Biological sulphate reduction using food industry wastes as carbon sources. *Biodegradation* 20:559–567
22. Muyzer G and Stams (2007) Diversity, activity and abundance of sulfate-reducing bacteria in saline and hypersaline soda lakes. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 73:2093–2100
23. U. Archana, A. Khan, A. Sudarshanam, C. Sathya, A. K. Koshariya and R. Krishnamoorthy (2023). "Plant Disease Detection using ResNet," *International Conference on Inventive Computation Technologies (ICICT)*, Lalitpur, Nepal, 2023, pp. 614-618, IEEE 01 June 2023 doi: 10.1109/ICICT57646.2023.10133938. <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/10133938>
24. Archana, U.; Khan, A.; Sudarshanam, A.; Sathya, C.; Koshariya, A.K.; Krishnamoorthy, R. Plant Disease Detection using ResNet. In *Proceedings of the 2023 International Conference on Inventive Computation Technologies (ICICT)*, Lalitpur, Nepal, 26–28 April 2023; pp. 614–618. <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/10133938>
25. Wagay, N.A., Rafiq, S., Khan, A., Kaloo, Z.A., Malik, A.R., Pulate, P.V. (2023). Impact of Phenolics on Drought Stress and Expression of Phenylpropanoid Pathway Genes. In: Lone, R., Khan, S., Mohammed Al-Sadi, A. (eds) *Plant Phenolics in Abiotic Stress Management*. Springer, Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-19-6426-8_13