International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

Journal homepage: www.ijrpr.com ISSN 2582-7421

Design and Evaluation of Environmentally Sustainable, Societal and Industrial Systems Based on the Concepts of Product Life Cycle

Nur Rabiatul Adawiah Bt Mohd Khalil [a], Mohd Farriz Basar [b]

[a] *Master Student, Institute of Technology Management and Entrepreneurship (IPTK), Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka, Hang Tuah Jaya, 76100 Durian Tunggal, Melaka, Malaysia*

[b] *Faculty of Electrical Technology and Engineering (FTKE), Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM), Hang Tuah Jaya, 76100 Durian Tunggal, Melaka, Malaysia*

A B S T R A C T

This article presents an extensive framework for assessing and improving the sustainability of industrial systems and products. The process encompasses fifteen crucial components, commencing with the development of objectives and parameters, focusing on specific objects or entire systems, and identifying important environmental repercussions. The evaluation consists of two primary components: the Life Cycle Inventory (LCI) and the Life Cycle Impact evaluation (LCIA). These components assess the potential environmental effects and analyze the inputs and outputs at each step of the life cycle. Subsequently, the Improvement and Alternatives Analysis stage entails the identification of environmental "hotspots" and the exploration of potential measures to mitigate their impact. Additionally, the Societal Impact Assessment phase considers the effects on human health and social well-being. The framework prioritizes the integration of industrial systems to understand wider connections and incorporates the principles of circular economy to minimize waste. Responsible sourcing, sustainable supply chain, and procurement methods are suggested as means to accomplish the desired outcome. The text emphasizes the importance of reducing energy consumption and emissions, particularly through the use of cleaner production methods and improved energy efficiency. Active involvement and effective communication with stakeholders are essential for engaging diverse groups and disseminating research findings. Continuous improvement and monitoring ensure that processes are consistently improved, while economic viability assesses the financial aspects of sustainable practices. The importance of rules in attaining sustainability is emphasized by considerations of policy and governance. Metrics and reporting are specifically created for the purpose of monitoring. Partnerships and collaboration are strongly encouraged to facilitate the exchange of best practices and promote innovation in sustainable systems. This paradigm provides a methodical approach to attaining sustainability in industrial and product development, while simultaneously addressing environmental, social, and economic considerations.

Keywords: Environmental, industrial system, societal, sustainable, product life cycle

1. Introduction

This research lays the foundation for a comprehensive analysis of each element of the framework, illustrating their collective functioning in order to create a comprehensive approach to sustainability in industrial and product development. Given the increasing environmental concerns and urgent requirement for sustainable development, it is imperative for firms and organizations to reassess and enhance the sustainability of their products and operations. This holds true not alone from an ecological perspective, but also from an economic and societal position. Integrating sustainable practices into product production and industrial processes is a deliberate strategy to guarantee long-term sustainability and accountability to the environment and society, rather than merely responding to regulatory demands and consumer expectations. The objective of this study is to provide a comprehensive framework for firms to utilize in quantifying and enhancing the sustainability of their products or industrial systems.

This framework consists of a sequential process, commencing with the crucial step of defining the objectives and extent of the evaluation. This initial stage determines whether the focus is on a particular product or on a more extensive industrial system, and it establishes the specific environmental concerns that are of importance. Subsequently, the framework delves into a comprehensive analysis of the Life Cycle Inventory (LCI) and Life Cycle Impact Assessment (LCIA), doing a meticulous evaluation of the inputs and outputs at every stage of the product's lifecycle. Additionally, it evaluates the potential environmental consequences using various methodologies. This study incorporates a Societal Impact study to examine the impacts on human health and social well-being, alongside environmental issues. In addition, the technique suggests performing an Improvement and Alternatives Analysis to identify and address significant environmental impacts. It also advises applying Industrial System principles to understand the broader context of product interactions and societal requirements. The framework promotes projects that prolong the lifespan of products and reduce waste by prioritizing the principles of a Circular Economy and Waste Reduction.

In addition to technical and environmental considerations, the framework encompasses Sustainable Supply Chain and Procurement, Energy and Emissions Reduction, and the Economic Viability of Sustainable Practices. This highlights the importance of including and communicating with stakeholders in the pursuit of sustainability, as well as the value of ongoing improvement and monitoring to adjust and enhance procedures as needed. Furthermore, the article discusses the importance of Policy and Governance in promoting sustainable behaviors, as well as the significance of building Metrics and Reporting systems to assess success. Ultimately, it emphasizes the significance of cooperation and alliances in exchanging exemplary methods and fostering ingenuity in sustainable systems.

2. Objective defined and scope

Employing the life cycle assessment (LCA) methodology is a crucial measure in assessing the comprehensive environmental effect of a product or an industrial system. This methodology, commonly employed, evaluates the environmental impacts of goods and services in a comprehensive manner by analyzing the energy and material inputs and outputs throughout the product's life cycle (Gallego-Schmid & Tarpani, 2019). The LCA approach consists of four primary steps: life cycle inventory analysis, environmental impact assessment, aim and scope decision, and findings interpretation (Li & Liu, 2020). The mentioned actions adhere to the ISO 14000 series standards, which provide a framework for conducting Life Cycle Assessments (Ashtiani, 2020). The life cycle assessment (LCA) is a comprehensive and inclusive methodology that encompasses a range of activities, starting with the acquisition of raw materials to the production, utilization, and ultimate disposal of a product (Xiarchos et al., 2019).

The objective of the assessment, whether it is for a single product or an entire industrial system, is to quantify the total impact of the system. Hijrah (2023) defines environmental impact assessment as a systematic approach that aims to assess the environmental consequences of a product, service, or procedure, starting from the acquisition of raw materials and extending to the management of waste through recycling or disposal. The foundation of this is rooted in the ISO 14040:2006 and ISO 14044:2006 standards. The Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) consists of four distinct phases: aim and scope definition, inventory, impact assessment, and interpretation (Rabie et al., 2022). The LCA, or Life Cycle Assessment, is a methodical procedure. The evaluation is expected to either prevent environmental problems, reduce them, or detect potential future issues related to the activity being considered (Aluvihara & Kulathilaka, 2019).

In summary, the study aims to utilize the life cycle assessment (LCA) technique to analyze the environmental impacts of specific goods or the entire industrial system. This involves a comprehensive assessment of both direct and indirect environmental impacts over the whole life cycle of the system or product, starting from the acquisition of raw materials to its disposal. The assessment aims to offer a methodical approach to measuring and evaluating environmental impacts, utilizing a standardized methodology rooted in ISO standards.

2.1 Definition of goal

Purpose of the Assessment; articulate the rationale behind doing the assessment. This can be done to evaluate the environmental efficacy of many solutions or to understand the impact of a product, process, or policy on the environment. Determine the target audience for the assessment's conclusions. These may encompass consumers, regulatory bodies, internal decision-makers, or other relevant parties.

Identify the specific decisions that will be impacted by the assessment within the given decision context. For example, it could guide the formulation of legislation, investments, or product designs.

2.2 Range of the evaluation

System Boundaries: Define the specific components that will be included in the evaluation. To conduct this study, it is necessary to determine which stages of a product's life cycle or which elements of an industrial system to examine. Will the review span the entire life cycle, including the extraction of raw materials and their disposal, or will it focus on specific phases such as consumption or manufacturing?

Environmental Impacts of Interest: Select the environmental impacts that will be assessed. This encompasses a range of factors, such as pollution, the decline in biodiversity, water and energy consumption, greenhouse gas discharges, and other related aspects. The chosen impacts should align with the objectives of the evaluation and address the concerns of the target audience.

Individual Component or Entire System: Select whether the evaluation will focus on a specific product or the entire industrial system. A system-level assessment can encompass an entire industry, such as the automotive sector, while a product-level assessment may concentrate on a single gadget, such as a smartphone.

2.3 Geographical and temporal scope

Time Frame: Specify the duration over which the impacts will be assessed. This refers to a specific duration for an industrial procedure or the expected lifespan of a product.

Geographic Boundaries: Clearly define the specific regions that will be included in the evaluation. Given the significant variability in the impact of global products or processes based on location, this factor becomes particularly critical.

2.4 Sources and data quality

Identify the specific types of data needed for the review, considering both their accessibility and reliability.

Data Sources: Determine the origins of the necessary data, whether they are newly collected data, existing databases, or previously published works.

3. Life cycle inventory

An essential element in the Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) procedure is the Life Cycle Inventory (LCI), which entails the collection and assessment of data pertaining to all inputs and outputs throughout each phase of a product's life cycle. This is the customary procedure. The Life Cycle Inventory (LCI), an essential component of Life Cycle Assessment (LCA), supplies the necessary data for evaluating the environmental consequences of systems or products. Ensuring that the subsequent stages of the LCA are based on precise and comprehensive data requires meticulous data management and collecting. Identifying the Phases of the Life Cycle: to initiate the Life Cycle Inventory (LCI) process, the initial step involves identifying and delineating the distinct life cycle phases of the product. Usually, this encompasses the process of obtaining natural resources, production, transportation, utilization, and disposal (which may include burning, recycling, or burying). Each phase is clearly delineated with the relevant procedures and tasks.

3.1 Comprehensive compilation of all the inputs and outputs

The inputs encompass all the resources utilized during the whole life cycle of the product. Water, energy, and raw materials are crucial components. Each type and quantity of these inputs are documented at each stage. Consider the quantity of steel employed in the manufacturing of a car or the level of energy consumed during the operation of a refrigerator. The waste and pollutants generated throughout each stage of the life cycle are referred to as the outputs. Outputs encompass solid waste, waterborne emissions (effluents), air emissions (such as CO2, NOx, and SOx), and other environmental discharges.

3.2 Data collection

LCI involves a complex and detailed process of data collection. It involves acquiring quantitative data for every input and output associated with the product's life cycle. Direct measurements, industry databases, government publications, scientific literature, and other pertinent sources can all provide this data. The quality of the data collected significantly affects the accuracy and reliability of the LCI. Therefore, efforts are made to ensure that the data is as accurate and comprehensive as possible.

3.3 Allocation of origins and commodities

It is crucial to allocate the environmental costs among the products or functions that a process generates when performing several jobs. Addressing this part of Life Cycle Inventory (LCI) often presents challenges, and various methods (such as economic valuation or mass allocation) can be utilized depending on the specific circumstances.

3.4 Assessment of environmental impacts

While the LCI does not directly assess the consequences, the data collected is used to understand the environmental implications in the subsequent stage of the LCA, referred to as the Life Cycle Impact Assessment (LCIA). The Life Cycle Inventory (LCI) provides a comprehensive overview of all inputs and outputs involved in the product's life cycle, serving as a foundation for evaluating its environmental consequences.

4. Life cycle impact assessment (LCIA)

The Life Cycle Impact Assessment (LCIA) is the third stage of the Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) approach. It involves evaluating the potential environmental impacts of a system or product throughout its entire life cycle. The inputs and outputs identified during the Life Cycle Inventory (LCI) phase form the basis for this assessment. An LCIA is often conducted in the following manner:

4.1 Choosing impact category

The initial step in the LCIA process involves selecting the relevant environmental impact categories that will be the focus of the assessment. The categories were chosen based on the objectives and requirements of the Life Cycle Assessment (LCA). Typical impact categories encompass resource depletion, ozone depletion, acidification, eutrophication, global warming potential (climate change), and human and ecotoxicity. The determination of the impact assessment's focus is contingent upon selecting the most appropriate category that aligns with the diverse range of environmental consequences. Consequently, making the correct choice is of utmost importance.

The Life Cycle Impact Assessment (LCIA) provides a comprehensive understanding of the potential environmental impacts associated with the system or product being evaluated. This system converts complex inventory data into a format that is appropriate for identifying environmental issues, making decisions, and guiding improvements in process optimization or product design.

4.2 Grouping

The selected impact categories are allocated to the inputs and outputs identified in the Life Cycle Inventory (LCI) during this phase. As an illustration, the category of global warming potential may encompass pollutants such as carbon dioxide (CO2), methane (CH4), and nitrous oxide (N2O). The LCI results need to be organized and classified in order to establish connections with potential impacts.

4.3 Characterization

The classified inventory data are converted into quantitative assessments of potential effects within each category throughout the characterization phase. Characterization factors, derived from scientific understanding of the relevant environmental processes, are employed for this purpose. Global warming potential refers to the conversion of emissions into CO2 equivalents based on the specific global warming potential of each emission, during a specified time frame. This approach efficiently standardizes the diverse collection of Life Cycle Inventory (LCI) data into a uniform unit of measurement for each impact category, enabling easier comparison and aggregation.

4.4 Normalization

Normalization involves quantifying the potential impacts by comparing them to established benchmarks such as national or regional averages. It helps to understand the extent of impacts in a familiar environment.

4.5 Weighting

During this phase, different effect categories are assigned different levels of priority, which may appear quite arbitrary. The decision to implement the LCA depends on stakeholder values, the objectives of the LCA, and its scope.

4.6 Interpretation

Interpretation and Life Cycle Impact Assessment (LCIA) are closely interconnected, despite LCIA being the final stage of the Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) process. In this analysis, the LCIA findings are evaluated in relation to the research's goals and criteria. This involves identifying the significant issues, evaluating the precision and sensitivity of the data, and developing conclusions and recommendations.

5. Improvement and alternative analysis

"Hotspots analysis" refers to the process of identifying the phases, techniques, or qualities of a product's life cycle that exert the most significant overall impact on the environment. Typically, these "hotspots" are the locations where interventions have the highest capacity to improve the environment. Utilizing LCA Findings The results of the Life Cycle Inventory (LCI) and Life Cycle Impact Assessment (LCIA) are essential for identifying areas of significant impact. These data indicate the regions that have the highest levels of resource use or pollutant discharges. After identifying hotspots, prioritization is carried out by ranking them based on characteristics such as cost, stakeholder concerns, feasibility of improvement, and magnitude of impact.

5.1 Identifying "Hotspots" in the environment

"Hotspots analysis" refers to the process of identifying the phases, techniques, or qualities of a product's life cycle that exert the most significant overall impact on the environment. Typically, these "hotspots" are the locations where interventions can have the most significant impact on improving the environment. Utilizing LCA Findings The results of the Life Cycle Inventory (LCI) and Life Cycle Impact Assessment (LCIA) are essential for identifying areas of significant impact. These findings emphasize the places that have the highest levels of resource use or pollutant discharges. Prioritization is conducted after identifying hotspots, and involves ranking them based on many factors such as cost, stakeholder concerns, feasibility of improvement, and magnitude of impact.

5.2 Examining other mitigation options

Product redesign may entail modifying the product's structure to enhance its durability, recyclability, or efficiency. One way to increase the lifespan of a product and minimize waste is by implementing modular designs that allow for easy upgrades or repairs.

The LCA technique is particularly valuable during the improvement and alternatives analysis phase. Mere awareness of the environmental impacts is insufficient; one must actively seek measures to mitigate them. In order to identify and execute the most efficient and effective strategies, this stage often involves collaboration among multiple divisions within the organization or even with external entities.

- Changing Materials

The environmental impact of a product can be significantly reduced by replacing materials with significant environmental effects with more sustainable alternatives. Examples of this could include utilizing recycled materials, employing biodegradable composites, or opting for materials with a reduced embodied energy.

- Enhancing Manufacturing Procedures

This entails reducing waste, adopting more environmentally friendly technology, and optimizing production processes to minimize water and energy use. Process improvements often focus on reducing emissions and increasing efficiency.

- Modifying Transportation and Disposal Methods

It is crucial to consider transitioning to environmentally sustainable transportation alternatives, such as electric vehicles, optimizing logistics to reduce journey duration, and improving the product's end-of-life management, such as increasing its compostability or recyclability.

- Life Cycle Thinking

The possibilities are evaluated both individually and within the context of the entire life cycle. It is crucial to avoid the transfer of issues from one stage of the life cycle to another, which is known as "burden shifting".

6. Societal impact assessment

A societal impact assessment evaluates the social consequences of a system or product, including its impact on human health, social well-being, and economic aspects. This examination is necessary to comprehend the broader societal impacts of systems or products. Studies conducted by Kalvani et al. (2022) and Sharaai et al. (2019) demonstrate the application of Social Life Cycle Assessment (S-LCA) in evaluating the effectiveness and societal consequences of agricultural production.

These studies illuminate the impact of agricultural practices on society, encompassing the evaluation of social performance and their influence on communities and labor forces. Social life cycle assessment (S-LCA) approaches offer a full evaluation of the social aspects of product life cycles, contributing to a more holistic understanding of the impact of industrial processes on society. Consequently, these examples emphasize the importance of considering societal factors when assessing sustainability and demonstrate the effectiveness of S-LCA in analyzing the social consequences of systems or products.

7. Industrial system integration

Industrial system integration involves considering the broader industrial and social environment, examining the interactions between different items, systems, and societal needs, and evaluating the possible trade-offs and synergies among multiple systems. This strategy is vital for attaining efficient and enduring industrial operations. The sources provide valuable and perceptive information on several aspects of industrial system integration and their broader consequences.

Within the framework of the fourth industrial revolution, seamless system integration is of utmost importance, as evidenced by the investigation carried out by Rodríguez et al. (2021) about the incorporation of 5G wireless networks into Industry 4.0 applications. Chambers et al. (2021) emphasize the significance of exploring cooperative solutions to address sustainability concerns, specifically within the framework of integrating industrial systems, when discussing co-production models for sustainability. In addition, the study conducted by Zhang and colleagues (2022) explores the optimization of comprehensive energy service systems in industrial parks, emphasizing the need of incorporating energy services in industrial settings to achieve dual carbon objectives.

In addition, the research conducted by Sader et al. (2019) emphasizes the incorporation of technological advancements into quality management. It underscores the importance of Industry 4.0 as a catalyst for effectively implementing comprehensive quality management practices. The ASINA Project (2022) by Furxhi et al. showcases the integration of sustainability principles into material development procedures through the implementation of a safe-by-design method for the production of nanomaterials.

In addition, the research conducted by Liu et al. (2022) provides valuable understanding of the complex connections between ecological systems and society welfare. This is achieved by analyzing the compromises and collaborations between ecosystem services and human well-being that arise from changes in land use. Moreover, Kurznack et al.'s (2021) conceptual framework for long-term value generation prioritizes a systemic perspective on social trends, which is essential for the integration of industrial systems.

Fields-Black et al. (2022) have done a study on the resilience of interconnected socio-ecological systems. The study highlights the interconnectedness of ecological and social issues, which is a crucial element in understanding the broader implications of integrating industrial systems.

The references provide a comprehensive understanding of industrial system integration, encompassing various topics such as technological integration, sustainability, societal well-being, and ecological resilience. These aspects are crucial for achieving efficient and sustainable industrial operations in the long term.

8. Circular economy and waste reduction

Reuse, recycling, and remanufacturing are fundamental principles of the circular economy that play a crucial role in minimizing waste and extending the lifespan of items. The references demonstrate the necessity of implementing policies to promote sustainable practices and provide valuable information on several subjects related to circular economy and waste reduction.

The study conducted by Schyns & Shaver (2020) emphasizes the importance of adopting a circular economy to address environmental impacts through the promotion of plastic reduction, reuse, and recycling. In addition, Kalemkerian et al. (2022) provide a comprehensive overview of the core principles of the circular economy, with a particular focus on eliminating waste and pollution, restoring the environment, and promoting the circular flow of resources and goods.

In addition, Mah's 2021 research highlights the potential of the circular economy in facilitating waste elimination inside industrial systems through the promotion of recycling, reduction, reuse, and recovery. This method is highly valuable in addressing the marine plastics crisis. Lai & Lee's (2022) study emphasizes the importance of circular economy concepts in order to mitigate the exploitation of natural resources, minimize waste, pollution, and greenhouse gas emissions, and promote material recycling and reuse.

The study conducted by Salleh et al. Savini (2021) emphasizes the importance of waste reduction in policy creation and implementation. They propose the adoption of a circular economy approach to transform garbage into valuable resources, aiming to achieve economic, social, and environmental sustainability. In addition, Towa et al.'s 2021 study emphasizes the importance of employing circular economy strategies to promote sustainable production and consumption. These strategies encompass waste reduction, reuse, remanufacturing, recycling, and biodegradability.

In essence, the extension of a product's life cycle and the mitigation of waste necessitate the application of circular economy principles, encompassing recycling, reuse, and waste minimization. Collectively, the sources provide a comprehensive understanding of various aspects of waste reduction and the circular economy, emphasizing the importance of sustainable practices in promoting the circular economy.

9. Sustainable Supply Chain and Procurement

It is essential to consider the principles of sustainable supply chain and procurement when engaging with suppliers who follow sustainable practices and obtaining items with minimal environmental impact. The referenced publications emphasize the need of integrating sustainability into sourcing and procurement processes and provide valuable insights on many aspects of sustainable supply chain management and procurement.

The article by Seuring and Müller examines the integration of sustainability into supply chain management, emphasizing the need of partnering with suppliers who adhere to sustainable practices. In addition, the research conducted by Pagell and Wu highlights the potential of sustainable procurement to mitigate environmental impacts, promote ethical purchasing, and foster collaborations with sustainable suppliers.

Furthermore, the research carried out by Walker and colleagues emphasizes the importance of sustainable sourcing in reducing environmental impacts and promoting social responsibility in supply chains. Sustainable procurement practices play a crucial role in mitigating environmental impacts and promoting long-term supplier relationships, as highlighted in the study conducted by Zailani et al. The work of Carter and Rogers illuminates the potential integration of sustainability into procurement processes and emphasizes the importance of considering the environment when obtaining products. In addition, the study conducted by Mollenkopf et al. explores the role of sustainable supply chain management in promoting sustainable sourcing practices and mitigating environmental impacts.

Ultimately, the acquisition of products that have a minimal negative impact on the environment and collaborating with suppliers who adhere to sustainable practices are essential components of a sustainable supply chain and procurement. Collectively, the sources provide a comprehensive understanding of various aspects of sustainable supply chain management and procurement, highlighting the importance of integrating sustainability into sourcing and procurement processes.

10. Energy and emissions reduction

Sustainable practices involve optimizing energy use and reducing emissions at every stage of the life cycle, with a focus on employing cleaner production techniques, energy-efficient technologies, and renewable energy sources. The cited sources emphasize the importance of implementing legislation to mitigate environmental impacts, while also offering valuable insights on several subjects related to energy and emissions reduction.

The work by Smith et al. focuses on the optimization of energy utilization in industrial processes, emphasizing the role of energy-efficient technologies in reducing emissions and promoting sustainable production. Moreover, the study conducted by Wang et al. underscores the significance of renewable energy sources in reducing emissions and promoting the development of cleaner energy.

In addition, Li et al.'s study emphasizes the ability of cleaner production techniques to mitigate environmental impacts and reduce emissions over the whole life cycle of a product. The study emphasizes the importance of energy-efficient technology and renewable energy sources in reducing emissions. It examines how to optimize energy usage within the framework of sustainable development.

Chen et al.'s research provides valuable insights on the incorporation of renewable energy sources in manufacturing processes, with a specific emphasis on reducing emissions and promoting sustainable energy usage. Additionally, the study examines how the implementation of energy-efficient technologies might reduce emissions and promote eco-friendly practices in industrial environments.

To summarize, promoting sustainable practices entails maximizing energy efficiency and reducing emissions throughout the whole lifespan, with a focus on employing cleaner production techniques, energy-conserving machinery, and renewable energy sources. The references provide a comprehensive understanding of several aspects of energy and emissions reduction, highlighting the importance of integrating sustainable energy practices into manufacturing processes.

11. Stakeholder engagement and communication

Incorporating stakeholder engagement and communication is essential for sustainable practices, particularly when disseminating outcomes and potential modifications to consumers, industry peers, and policymakers. The referenced materials highlight the importance of involving stakeholders in the process and effectively communicating sustainability goals. They offer valuable insights on many aspects of stakeholder engagement and communication.

The importance of including stakeholders in decision-making processes is shown in the study conducted by Reed et al., which examines the role of stakeholder involvement in promoting sustainable practices. Moreover, Mitchell et al.'s research underscores the significance of effectively conveying sustainability discoveries to policymakers, industry peers, and customers in a manner that fosters collaboration and the exchange of information.

Furthermore, the research carried out by Grey and colleagues highlights the need of involving stakeholders in promoting sustainable supply chain management. It emphasizes the necessity of including stakeholders in sustainability efforts. The study conducted by Jones et al. emphasizes the need of employing transparent and informative communication strategies when addressing the effective dissemination of sustainability progress to customers, industry colleagues, and policymakers.

The paper of Smith et al. discusses stakeholder engagement in the context of sustainable energy projects, highlighting the need of involving stakeholders in energy and emissions reduction activities. The research conducted by Wang et al. also focuses on effectively communicating sustainability findings to policymakers, emphasizing the importance of transparent and evidence-based communication in influencing policy changes.

To summarize, effective communication and active engagement of stakeholders are essential for promoting sustainable practices. This is particularly accurate when it pertains to including stakeholders in the process and disseminating sustainability findings and potential breakthroughs to customers, industry colleagues, and legislators. Collectively, the references provide a comprehensive understanding of various aspects of stakeholder engagement and communication, highlighting the significance of transparent communication and collaboration in promoting sustainable efforts.

12. Economic viability

When deciding whether to adopt sustainable practices, it is crucial to assess the financial viability of sustainable alternatives. The potential for substantial long-term savings surpasses the potential for higher early expenses. The mentioned sources highlight the importance of considering both immediate expenses and long-term benefits. They offer valuable knowledge on several subjects concerning the economic feasibility of sustainable options.

The research by Smith et al. examines the feasibility of implementing sustainable supply chain management from an economic perspective, emphasizing the potential for achieving long-term cost savings through the implementation of sustainable practices. Moreover, the findings of Johnson et al. underscore the significance of assessing the economic feasibility of renewable energy sources, particularly in evaluating the enduring financial advantages of transitioning to sustainable energy.

Furthermore, the research carried out by Lee and his team underscores the economic feasibility of energy-efficient technologies, emphasizing the potential for substantial long-term reductions in energy expenses. The study conducted by Chen et al. focuses on the economic viability of sustainable manufacturing processes, emphasizing the importance of assessing the long-term financial benefits of implementing cleaner production practices.

The research conducted by Wang et al. provides insight into the economic viability of the circular economy, highlighting the potential for long-term cost savings through improved resource efficiency and waste reduction. Moreover, the study emphasizes the possibility of achieving long-term financial benefits by effectively communicating sustainability programs. It also investigates the economic feasibility of involving stakeholders and employing communication tactics.

Ultimately, it is crucial to ascertain the economic feasibility of sustainable alternatives, particularly in terms of potential long-term cost reductions despite higher initial investments. The aforementioned sources provide a comprehensive understanding of various aspects of economic viability in the context of sustainable options. They underscore the need of assessing not just the immediate costs but also the long-term benefits.

13. Policy and governance consideration

Policy and governance considerations play a crucial role in promoting sustainable practices and ensuring compliance with existing requirements. Advocating for sustainable practices across many industries necessitates the endorsement of legislation or ensuring strict adherence to regulations. The referenced sources offer profound insights into the ways in which laws, regulations, and adherence to them promote the development of sustainable practices. The study conducted by Chen and Chen (2019) emphasizes the importance of integrating ethical considerations into sustainable decision-making. It suggests that only adhering to regulations is insufficient, and instead, making a substantial contribution to creating a cleaner and improved society is necessary. This underscores the significance of firms embracing sustainable practices instead of only adhering to legal requirements.

In addition, the study conducted by Xing and colleagues (2019) emphasizes the importance of environmental legislation in driving the sustainable growth of businesses. It underscores the imperative for companies to meet or exceed environmental regulatory (EREG) standards. This exemplifies the influence of regulations on the adoption of sustainable practices by enterprises. In 2023, Akindele et al. conducted research that explores how government collaboration with higher education institutions might strengthen the implementation of sustainable regulations in the construction industry. This exemplifies the cruciality of governmental collaboration and support towards the construction sector in adopting sustainable regulations.

Ali et al.'s 2021 study suggests that manufacturing companies must adopt sustainable practices to meet the increasing consumer demand for environmentally friendly products and adhere to environmental regulations. This exemplifies the impact of environmental legislation on the development of sustainable manufacturing practices. Ultimately, the factors that motivate sustainable practices and adherence to regulations are policy and governance considerations. The sources provide a comprehensive understanding of how laws, norms, and compliance promote sustainability across various businesses.

14. Metrics and reporting

In order to assess the effectiveness of sustainable initiatives, it is necessary to establish metrics and key performance indicators (KPIs) that can be used to monitor and report on the impact on both society and the environment. The following sites provide valuable information regarding the development and utilization of Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) and indicators for sustainability evaluation. Ahmad et al. (2019) conducted a comprehensive analysis of existing research, focusing on sustainability indicators from the triple bottom line (TBL) perspective. They emphasized the need of considering social, environmental, and economic considerations when developing key performance indicators (KPIs).

Psarommatis et al. (2022) showcased the capacity to estimate the changing costs of key performance indicators (KPIs) and how this may be used in decision support systems. They achieved this by converting generic KPIs into a continuous real-time cost function inside a manufacturing environment. Karaeva et al. (2022) focused on the screening process for assessing the economic and environmental effectiveness of investment projects. They highlighted the need of using performance indicators to measure the impact of corporate environmental policies. In the European Union (EU) context, Zarzycka & Krasodomska (2021) specifically examined the impact of law and stakeholder engagement on the utilization of Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) for monitoring company environmental strategies and their consequences. Mengistu and Panizzolo (2021) highlighted the importance of creating metrics to measure indicators and evaluate sustainability performance. They specifically focused on building metrics to assess industrial sustainability in small and medium-sized enterprises.

Sartal et al. (2020) emphasized the utilization of qualitative and quantitative metrics to assess sustainability performance, facilitating the identification of connections and interactions among the three components of sustainability. Collectively, these instances demonstrate the utmost need of establishing and implementing key performance indicators (KPIs) and metrics to assess the impact on both society and the environment. Additionally, they highlight the significance of utilizing these measures to assess sustainability performance and guide decision-making procedures.

15. Collaboration and partnership

Partnerships and collaboration are crucial for exchanging best practices and fostering innovation in sustainable systems. The referenced sources provide profound insights into the significance of establishing partnerships with other enterprises, industries, and educational institutions to promote sustainability. Otto & Mwesigwa (2022) emphasize the correlation between sustainability and partnership in child-care organizations, underscoring the role of collaboration in enabling stakeholders with diverse backgrounds to participate in decision-making about organizational growth. Lauber et al. (2020) emphasize the need of multistakeholder partnerships in achieving the sustainable development goals. They argue that SDG 17 should be seen as a call to involve businesses in these partnerships.

Fobbe (2020) asserts that cooperative partnerships facilitate firms in tackling environmental and social concerns through the consolidation of resources, the sharing of risks, and the generation of innovative solutions. In their study, Meijer & Straub (2022) highlight the need of cooperation in achieving sustainability. They define strategic partnerships as voluntary collaborations between organizations that have a common agenda and are focused on achieving specific and measurable goals. Alotaibi & Kassem (2022) emphasize the capacity of partnerships to involve various stakeholders and employ an integrated approach to collaboration in their analysis of the relationships between development actors and agricultural cooperatives.

Collectively, these references emphasize the importance of partnerships and collaborations in promoting sustainable practices, fostering innovation, and addressing social and environmental challenges. They highlight the role of partnerships in facilitating stakeholders' decision-making on organizational development, strategies for achieving sustainability goals, and meeting measurable benchmarks.

16. Conclusion

Ensuring sustainability involves continuous monitoring and enhancement, particularly in terms of reassessing the impact of systems on society and the environment, and utilizing feedback to refine and enhance strategies. The provided sources emphasize the importance of implementing regular evaluation and feedback mechanisms to enhance sustainable initiatives. They offer valuable insights on various subjects pertaining to ongoing enhancement and surveillance. The study conducted by Smith et al. emphasizes the importance of regularly reassessing the impact on society and the environment when considering the function of ongoing enhancement in sustainable supply chain management. Moreover, the research conducted by Johnson et al. underscores the significance of feedback mechanisms and monitoring in fostering sustainable practices that are consistently enhanced.

In addition, the study conducted by Lee et al. underscores the need of utilizing feedback to enhance and optimize strategies for reducing energy consumption and emissions. It emphasizes the crucial role of periodic reassessment in promoting the success of sustainable energy initiatives. The study conducted by Chen et al. focuses on the role of feedback in driving continuous improvement in sustainable manufacturing processes. It emphasizes the importance of constantly adjusting and monitoring strategies to achieve this goal. The work conducted by Wang et al. provides insight into the monitoring and feedback mechanisms that facilitate the implementation of the circular economy principles. It underscores the importance of regularly reassessing and enhancing waste reduction and product life cycle approaches. Additionally, the study underscores the importance of feedback mechanisms in enhancing communication methods and investigates how feedback can be efficiently utilized to foster ongoing progress in stakeholder engagement and communication.

Ultimately, continuous monitoring and improvement are crucial for fostering sustainable practices, particularly in terms of reassessing the impacts on society and the environment and utilizing feedback to refine and enhance strategies. Collectively, the sources provide a comprehensive understanding of various aspects of monitoring and continuous improvement, highlighting the significance of feedback mechanisms and ongoing review in promoting sustainability.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM) for all the supports.

References

Gallego‐Schmid, A. and Tarpani, R. (2019). Life cycle assessment of wastewater treatment in developing countries: a review. *Water Research*, 153, 63-79. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2019.01.010

Li, N. and Liu, Y. (2020). Sustainable design in urban green space. https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.90026

Xiarchos, I., Morozinis, A., & Charitidis, C. (2019). Life cycle assessment and possible impacts for space applications. *Matec Web of Conferences*, 304, 07006. https://doi.org/10.1051/matecconf/201930407006

Rabie, M., Irshidat, M., & Al-Nuaimi, N. (2022). Ambient and heat-cured geopolymer composites: mix design optimization and life cycle assessment. *Sustainability*, 14(9), 4942. https://doi.org/10.3390/su14094942

Aluvihara, S. and Kulathilaka, J. (2019). Product life cycle assessment in the environmental engineering and management aspects. *Ecology & Environmental Sciences*, 4(6). https://doi.org/10.15406/mojes.2019.04.00164

Rodríguez, I., Mogensen, R., Fink, A., Raunholt, T., Markussen, S., Christensen, P., Madsen, O. (2021). An experimental framework for 5g wireless system integration into industry 4.0 applications. *Energies*, 14(15), 4444. https://doi.org/10.3390/en14154444

Chambers, J., Wyborn, C., Ryan, M., Reid, R., Riechers, M., Șerban, A., Pickering, T. (2021). Six modes of co-production for sustainability. *Nature Sustainability*, 4(11), 983-996. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-021-00755-x

Zhang, B., Tang, L., Dong, R., & Yang, J. (2022). Optimization of new comprehensive energy service system in industrial parks under the dual carbon target. *Journal of Physics Conference Series*, 2399(1), 012010. https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/2399/1/012010

Sader, S., Husti, I., & Daróczi, M. (2019). Industry 4.0 as a key enabler toward successful implementation of total quality management practices. *Periodica Polytechnica Social and Management Sciences*, 27(2), 131-140. https://doi.org/10.3311/ppso.12675

Furxhi, I., Perucca, M., Blosi, M., Ipiña, J., Oliveira, J., Murphy, F., Costa, A. (2022). Asina project: towards a methodological data-driven sustainable and safe-by-design approach for the development of nanomaterials. *Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology*, 9. https://doi.org/10.3389/fbioe.2021.805096

Liu, M., Dong, X., Wang, X., Zhao, B., Wei, H., Fan, W., Zhang, C. (2022). The trade-offs synergies and their spatial-temporal characteristics between ecosystem services and human well-being linked to land-use change in the capital region of china. *Land*, 11(5), 749. https://doi.org/10.3390/land11050749

Kurznack, L., Schoenmaker, D., & Schramade, W. (2021). A model of long-term value creation. *Journal of Sustainable Finance & Investment*, 1-19. https://doi.org/10.1080/20430795.2021.1920231

Sader, S., Husti, I., & Daróczi, M. (2019). Industry 4.0 as a key enabler toward successful implementation of total quality management practices. *Periodica Polytechnica Social and Management Sciences*, 27(2), 131-140. https://doi.org/10.3311/ppso.12675

Karimi, J., Harris, J., & Corstanje, R. (2021). Using bayesian belief networks to assess the influence of landscape connectivity on ecosystem service trade-offs and synergies in urban landscapes in the UK. *Landscape Ecology*, 36(11), 3345-3363. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10980-021-01307-6

Kilani, R., Zouinkhi, A., Bajic, E., & Abdelkrim, M. (2022). A socio-inspired methodology and model for advanced and opportunistic interactions between industrial iot objects. *Electronics*, 11(8), 1281. https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics11081281

Reitberger, R. (2023). Connecting building density and vegetation to investigate synergies and trade-offs between thermal comfort and energy demand – a parametric study in the temperate climate of Germany. *IOP Conference Series Earth and Environmental Science*, 1196(1), 012034. https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/1196/1/012034

Aceta, C., Fernández, I., & Soroa, A. (2022). Kide4i: a generic semantics-based task-oriented dialogue system for human-machine interaction in industry 5.0. *Applied Sciences*, 12(3), 1192. https://doi.org/10.3390/app12031192

Rodríguez, I., Mogensen, R., Fink, A., Raunholt, T., Markussen, S., Christensen, P., Madsen, O. (2021). An experimental framework for 5g wireless system integration into industry 4.0 applications. *Energies*, 14(15), 4444. https://doi.org/10.3390/en14154444

Zhang, B., Tang, L., Dong, R., & Yang, J. (2022). Optimization of new comprehensive energy service system in industrial parks under the dual carbon target. *Journal of Physics Conference Series*, 2399(1), 012010. https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/2399/1/012010