



Juvenile Delinquency in India: An Analysis

Seema Rani¹, Dr. Mohd Wazid Khan²

¹LLM Student, Glocal Law School, Glocal University, Saharanpur, U.P.

Email Id- seemanaidu22@gmail.com

²Assistant Professor (Law), Glocal Law School, Glocal University Saharanpur, U.P.

Email- wajidkhan3196@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

This research paper aims to explore the evolution of the juvenile justice system in India and its impact on juvenile delinquency. Juvenile delinquency is a critical issue that affects the social fabric of any society. Over the years, India has witnessed significant changes in its approach to dealing with juvenile offenders. This paper provides an overview of the historical development of the juvenile justice system in India, from its early informal practices to the establishment of formal legal frameworks. The study examines the factors contributing to juvenile delinquency and evaluates the effectiveness of the existing legal and rehabilitative measures in reducing youth criminality. Finally, the paper offers recommendations for policy improvements and interventions to address the challenges of juvenile delinquency in India.

Introduction

The term "Juveniles," "Juvenile Delinquency," and "Children in Need of Care and Protection" hold crucial significance in the context of addressing youth offenders and vulnerable children in India. This section explores the historical development of the juvenile justiceⁱ system in the country, driven by the need to establish effective laws and policies that align with the diverse cultural fabric of the nationⁱⁱ. Both national and international influences have played a pivotal role in shaping the legal framework concerning juvenile delinquency in Indiaⁱⁱⁱ.

Historical Development and Cultural Diversity

The evolution of the juvenile justice system in India has been shaped by historical experiences and the nation's diverse cultural landscape. Traditionally, communities followed informal practices to address juvenile delinquency^{iv}. As society progressed, the recognition of the unique vulnerabilities of juveniles led to the establishment of formal legal mechanisms. The need to strike a balance between punitive measures and rehabilitative interventions emerged as a core aspect of the evolving juvenile justice system^v.

Influences of National and International Laws

The formulation of laws related to juvenile delinquency in India has been significantly influenced by both national and international legal frameworks^{vi}. The Indian government, recognizing the importance of addressing juvenile issues, has enacted several legislations, including the Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, to safeguard the rights of juvenile offenders and children in need of care and protection. Amendments have been made over time to keep up with changing societal needs.

International standards and best practices, as established by organizations like the United Nations and other countries with advanced juvenile justice systems, have also provided valuable guidance to Indian policymakers. Learning from the experiences of other nations and adapting successful strategies has contributed to the continuous improvement of India's juvenile justice system.

Adaptive Policy Development

The evolving nature of juvenile delinquency and related challenges has necessitated adaptive policy development in India. Policymakers strive to strike a balance between punitive measures for serious offenses and rehabilitative approaches to prevent re-offending and reintegrate juvenile offenders into society^{vii}. The focus on child-friendly justice and restorative practices aligns with the principles of a compassionate and equitable juvenile justice system.

The evolution of the juvenile justice system in India reflects a dynamic journey shaped by historical, cultural, and legal influences. The development of comprehensive laws and policies has aimed to address juvenile delinquency while ensuring the protection and well-being of vulnerable children^{viii}. By

drawing from national and international experiences, India continues to refine its approach to juvenile justice, seeking to create a nurturing and inclusive environment for its young population^{ix}.

The Development of Juvenile Justice System in India

Period Prior To 1773

Maintenance of children has been embedded in all the personal laws including Hindu and Muslim laws. The bringing up of the children was the prime responsibility of parents and family. Amongst the Hindu and Muslim laws charity has been a noble cause and concern for the care of needy and the poor children in case of family failure. Considering the fact that the Muslim law makes it compulsory for a person to take charge of an abandoned child, who is at the resort of getting perished. None of the laws had a clear reference to juvenile delinquents as such. Though, studying closely of the Hedaya and the Manusmriti provides for different set of punishment to children for certain offences.

Period From 1773-1840

The period between 1773 and 1840 began with the emergence of the East India Company as a governing body from a trading company and ended with the introduction of the first legislations^x relating to children.

Period From 1843-1950

The first ragged school for orphans and vagrant children in India was established in 1843 through the exertions of an Englishman, Dr. Buist, who was instrumental in the establishment of the ragged school at that time Bombay was known to be as the David Sassoon Industrial School. The objects of the school were

- (i) The reformation of juvenile offenders arrested by the police, and
- (ii) The encouragement of apprenticeship amongst the working classed.

All these developments together prepared the ground for the introduction of the Apprentices Much legislation was enacted in this period covering a wide range of matters concerning children, as-

Period From 1950-1986

The independence of India in 1947 saw the partition of the country, coupled with a huge amount of migration and many riots, leaving many children homeless and without family care. Parliament discussed in great depth the Children Bill 1953, which was to apply to Part C states, but it was shelved in 1954 with the reorganization of states.^{xi}

The Parliament of India passed its **first central Children Act in 1960**, but it was applicable to union territories only. It was presented as a model act, and it indeed was followed by all other states that enacted Children Acts after 1960.

In **1983, Sheela Barse^{xii}** a journalist, filed a petition of habeas corpus in the public interest in the Supreme Court of India for release of 1,400 children who as per official records of the government, were in prisons.

She claimed that these children were illegally confined as the Children Acts of various states prohibited keeping children in prisons. The Union of India, all the states and union territories were made party to this petition. Legal aid committees and district judges were given the responsibility of filing reports on the state of affairs in each district.

The Supreme Court noted that the differences in the Children Acts of various states especially the differential in the cut-off age defining child was resulting in differential treatment for children in different states. The Supreme Court suggested to the Union of India that it should pass a uniform legislation for children across the territory of India to remove such inequality.^{xiii}

Period Post 1986

This period denoted the principal uniform enactment gone by Parliament to be specific the **Juvenile Justice Act of 1986**. It connected to the entire of India aside from the province of Jammu and Kashmir. It held the **essential highlights of the Children Act of 1960** including the sex-based meaning of "youngster" just as the total denial of keeping child in detention facilities, correctional facilities, or police headquarters under any conditions.

Before the authorization of the Juvenile Justice Act 1986 questions were raised about the ward of the youngsters' court to manage genuine offenses in perspective on section 27 of the Code of Criminal Procedure of 1974, which allowed exchange of children underneath the age of 16 who carried out offenses not culpable with death or life detainment to child's court. The Supreme Court had illuminated and decided that children courts were equipped to attempt all offenses incorporating genuine offenses culpable with death or life detainment.

After the order of the Juvenile Justice Act 1986, its pertinence to juvenile who submitted offenses under the unique laws was tested by and large and was chosen distinctively by various courts. The extraordinary laws contained an abrogating impact proviso expressing that the arrangements of that demonstration would supersede some other opposite law in power.

Ratification of the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC) in 1992

Remarking in 2000 the UN board of trustees under the CRC watched "Of specific worry to the Committee is the low period of criminal obligation under the Penal Code which is set at seven years; and the likelihood of attempting young men somewhere in the range of 16 and 18 years as grown-ups."

Soon from that point, the Indian Parliament sanctioned the Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act of 2000 "remembering the models recommended in the Convention on the Rights of the Child, the United Nations Standard Minimum Rules for the Administration of Juvenile Justice, 1985 (the Beijing Rules), the United Nations Rules for the Protection of Juveniles Deprived of their Liberty (1990), and all other significant global instruments." The period of criminal obligation keeps on being seven years right up 'til today^{xiv}.

The Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Amendment Act, 2006 and 2011.

The Amendment Act was directed by the States for better usage and organization of the arrangements of the Act in its actual soul and substance.

These principles called the Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Rules, 2007 has come into power on the date of its distribution in the Official Gazette and these Rules will be adjusted to until the concerned State Government defines Rules explicit for the State with impact to usage of the J.J. Act.

The Act in Section 68 recommends different territories wherein the Rules can be connected to for better execution of the Act explicitly with the executives of the homes norms to be clung to jobs and obligations of the Juvenile Justice Functionaries, systems and working of the able specialists, recovery instrument and task of Juvenile Justice Fund.

Further, the occurrence of "*Delhi Gang Rape Case of 2012*"^{xv} has constrained the legislators to concoct the law. The real downside of the Act was that it contains not well prepared legitimate arrangements and failing adolescent framework was additionally the significant reason in avoiding the adolescent wrongdoings in India. The demonstration was supplanted soon by The Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection) Act, 2015.

The Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection) Act, 2015.

The means to unite the laws identifying with juvenile affirmed and observed to be in strife with law and children needing care and security by providing food and considering their essential needs through legitimate consideration and assurance, improvement, treatment, social- reconciliation, by receiving a child neighborly methodology in the settling and transfer of issues to the greatest advantage of children. The demonstration additionally centers on recovery of adolescent guilty parties through different youngster care houses and establishments. The most significant subjects of the Act are Claim of Juvenility.

The absolute first and most disputable inquiry among the lawful crew and communists is the "case of immaturity". The case of Juvenility is to be chosen by Juvenile Justice Board. The Board needs to choose the case of adolescence under the steady gaze of the court procedures yet the case of immaturity can be raised under the watchful eye of the court at any phase of procedures and even after the transfer of the issue by the Board .The Board needed to consider Rule 12 of the Juvenile Justice Rules, 2007 so as to decide the case of adolescence.

In instance of *Kulai Ibrahim v. State of Coimbatore*^{xvi} it was seen by the Court that blamed has appropriate to bring up the issue of immaturity anytime of time amid preliminary or even after the transfer of the case under the Section 9 of Juvenile Justice Act , 2015.

Further on account of *Deoki Nandan Dayma v. Province of Uttar Pradesh*^{xvii} the court held that passage in the register of school referencing the date of birth of understudy is acceptable proof in deciding the period of adolescent or to demonstrate that whether the charged is adolescent or child.

The Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Amendment Bill, 2018

This Bill is as yet pending in the House and is under discussion. An examination of this Bill-

1. The Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2015 states that appropriation of a child is last on the issuance of a reception request by the court. The Bill gives that rather that the court, the area justice will issue such reception orders.
2. Currently, there are 629 appropriation cases pending in different courts. So as to facilitate selection procedures, the Bill exchanges the ability to issue appropriation requests to the locale justice. An issue to consider is whether the dimension of pendency legitimizes moving the heap to the region justice.
3. Adoption of a child is a legitimate procedure which makes a changeless lawful connection between the child and new parents. In this way, it might be addressed whether it is fitting to vest the ability to issue reception orders with the region officer rather than a common court.

This is about how the Juvenile framework is functioning in the Indian setting despite everything it needs certain changes.

The Female Infanticide Prevention Act 1870 was an administrative demonstration gone in British India, to anticipate murder of female newborn children. The Section 7 of this Act proclaimed that it was at first appropriate just to the regions of Oudh, North-Western Provinces and Punjab yet the Act approved the Governor General to stretch out the law to some other locale or territory of the British Raj at his attentiveness.

The Vaccination Act 1880 (to secure life and health of infants) was applicable in such districts and cantonments arrangements in Uttar Pradesh, [Punjab as it existed preceding the first November, 1956, the Central Provinces, Assam, Delhi, Ajmer as it might be reached out to in way hereinafter provided.

Existence of child labor and the need for special provisions for them was recognized by the **Factories Act 1881**. By instituting the Factories Act in 1881, the assurance of law was first stretched out to working child. It is expected that the provincial rulers were acquainted with managing child misuse

in their own nation amid the modern insurgency. It is likewise expected that, to diminish the mushrooming development of the Indian material industry, the Factories Act was basically administered however there were philanthropic thought processes to ensure youngsters. The Indian Factories Act, 1881, set the base period of work in production lines at seven years and permitted the limit of nine hours of work for every day. It additionally accommodated at least four occasions in a month and denied progressive work of youngster specialists in two manufacturing plants around the same time. The demonstration was relevant to production lines utilizing at least 100 specialists and, along these lines, gave no security to children utilized in small manufacturing plants.^{xviii}

The Guardianship and Wards Act 1890 (for their continued care and protection). As indicated by this demonstration a minor/child is any individual who has not finished 18 years old. The court or delegated specialist can choose the Guardian of a youngster by selecting one guardian or expelling another. No order will be passed without an application. Applications should contain all conceivable data about the youngster and guardian and explanations behind guardianship. When the court concedes the application, at that point the court will set a date for the consultation. The court will hear proof before settling on a choice. A minor and his property may have more than one guardian.

Seeking for development Madras took the lead by passing the first Children Act in 1920.

Even though India was a British colony at that time, the juvenile courts established there under followed the paternal model of the US and did not permit the presence of a lawyer as a matter of right.

The Madras Children Act, as well as all other states that enacted their Children Acts until 1949, provided for establishment of a children's court to deal with neglected and delinquent children, their release on probation, keeping them in remand homes and certified schools instead of prison unless their offense was so serious and their nature so depraved as to justify imprisonment, though acts adopted different cut-off ages for defining a child.

Juvenile Justice System and Its Delinquency in India

International policies and principles related to Juvenile Delinquency have played a major role in developing laws related to the Juvenile Justice System. There are certain universally accepted principles which are followed for the protection of Juveniles and also focuses on providing care and a friendly environment to them. With such principles certain international instruments also provide a better framework for the development of the system. Thus under this chapter all such related principles and instruments are being provided and an analysis of the matter provides abundant knowledge of the same.

Each order has certain fundamental ideas clarified by explicit wording. The comprehension of such ideas and wording is profoundly alluring for the comprehension of the topic and the examination identifying with that specific control. The Criminal Justice System, when all is said in done and the organization of adolescent equity, specifically, has certain fundamental ideas and wording, the comprehension of which is exceptionally significant for the topic of this Research.

International Instruments Relating to Juvenile Justice:

The Institutional Mechanism Embodied in the relevant instruments relating to the Protection of Juvenile

There are different universal instruments set down models and systems by which Children in Conflict with Law ought to be treated from the season of capture through every one of the means all the while^{xix}. These incorporate the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (1966); the UN CRC (1989), which is the main global instrument that received an extensive explicit child rights approach; and other worldwide instruments concerning adolescent equity. Albeit global least measures for most parts of child's equity exist as a guide for all States, the particular lawful national guideline is left to the sanctioning States.

The International instruments and shows have contributed impressively to the issue of child rights and anticipation of child misuse. The International Law lay accentuate upon support as a guiding principle alongside survival, security and advancement. It likewise expects that laws and legitimate techniques must be determined to energize these qualities. The United Nations Conventions on the Rights of Child, 1989, has been confirmed by India in 1992. There is an overall acknowledgment to the privileges of youngsters.

International Instruments for Protection of Child After 1989

Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC), 1989.

The acknowledgment of the child's advantage and his rights turns out to be genuine on 20 November 1989 with the appropriation of the International Convention on the Rights of the Child which is the main worldwide lawfully restricting content perceiving all the key. India progressed toward becoming signatory to the Conventions on the Rights of Child (hereinafter will be alluded to 'CRC'), on 02.09.1990, as per Article 49 of the CRC^{xx}. It is the defining moment. The Convention on the Rights of the Child of 1989 characterizes all the more accurately the expression "youngster" "a child is any person beneath the age of eighteen years, except if under the law pertinent to the child, dominant part is achieved before"

The thought, through this definition and every one of the writings concerning child welfare, is that the child is an individual with rights and respect. What describes the child; it is his childhood and helplessness. Undoubtedly, the child is growing, a future grown-up, who has no way to ensure himself. Thus, the youngster must be the object of a specific intrigue and a particular assurance. In this viewpoint, writings declaring the assurance of the child and his

rights were embraced. Youngsters' rights are human rights. As human rights, youngsters rights are comprised by central certifications and basic human rights. This show furnishes child with fundamental human rights (common, financial, social, social and political) which empowers the child to gain maximum capacity. They are as per the following:

1. Children's rights perceive basic certifications to every single person: the privilege to life, the non-segregation rule, the privilege to poise through the insurance of physical and mental trustworthiness (security against servitude, torment and awful medicines, and so forth.)
2. Children's rights are considerate and political rights, for example, the privilege to character, the privilege to a nationality, and so on.
3. Children's rights are financial, social and social rights, for example, the privilege to instruction, the privilege to an average way of life, the privilege to wellbeing, and so forth.
4. Children's rights incorporate individual rights: The privilege to live with his folks, the privilege to training, the privilege to profit by an assurance, and so on.
5. Children's rights incorporate aggregate rights: privileges of exile and incapacitated youngsters, of minority child or from autochthonous gatherings.

This Convention gives rights adjusted to child. They are as per the following:-

1. Children's rights are human rights explicitly adjusted to the child since they consider his delicacy, specificities and age-proper requirements.
2. Children's rights consider the need of improvement of the youngster. The child in this manner reserve the privilege to live and to grow reasonably physically and mentally.
3. Children's rights intend to fulfill the basic requirements for a decent improvement of the child, for example, the entrance to a proper nourishment, to important consideration, to instruction, and so forth.
4. Children's rights think about the defenseless character of the child. They infer the need to ensure them. It intends to concede a specific help to them, and to give an assurance adjusted to their age and to their level of development.
5. So, the child must be helped and bolstered and should be ensured against work misuse, abducting, and abuse, and so on. Article 3 (1) perceives the strategy of the best enthusiasm of the child, in all activities concerning youngsters.

UN Convention for the Prevention of Juvenile Delinquency: The Riyadh Guidelines, 1990.

These Guidelines were received in 1990 one year after the CRC was embraced, and they are viewed as advantageous to the CRC. The principal stage in the youngster equity framework is the zone of aversion. Counteractive action, as communicated in the Guidelines, is certainly not a negative anticipation and it is sure in the feeling of overhauling the personal satisfaction, the general prosperity, and not just on the quick confinement of well-characterized however halfway issues. It is pertinent to specify Article 2 here:- "Anticipation of adolescent wrongdoing requires endeavors by the whole society to guarantee the agreeable advancement of teenagers, with deference for and advancement of their identity from early adolescence". Aside from counteractive action programs for all youngsters, the Guidelines accentuate the comprehensive idea of child equity by indicating the significant job that common society can play in keeping all child from coming into contact with the law. This rules manage pretty much every social zone; the three primary conditions in the socialization procedure (family, school and network); the broad communications; social strategy; enactment and Juvenile Justice Administration.

The Guidelines stress the requirement for a multi-disciplinary methodology and for legitimate enlistment and preparing of faculty who work with child. The general counteractive action strategies depend on the accompanying standards:

1. The establishment of far reaching counteractive action plans at each dimension of government.
2. In-profundity examination of the issue and getting ready arrangements of projects, administrations, offices and assets accessible.
3. A meaning of obligations regarding all job players.
4. The coordination of counteractive action endeavors among government and common society gatherings.
5. Continuous checking.
6. A decrease in the quantity of chances for submitting offenses.
7. The inclusion of the network.
8. Inter-disciplinary coordinated effort.
9. The cooperation of youngsters; and
10. Trained staff who practice at all dimensions

Conclusion

The evolution of the juvenile justice system in India reflects the nation's commitment to protecting and rehabilitating its young population. From the period prior to 1773, where maintenance of children rested on traditional family responsibility, to the establishment of the first legislations in the 19th century, the juvenile justice system has undergone significant changes.

International influences have played a crucial role in shaping the Indian juvenile justice system. Ratification of the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child in 1992 marked a turning point, emphasizing the need for a child-friendly approach to justice and protection of children's rights. Subsequent amendments to the Juvenile Justice Act further refined the system, ensuring a balance between rehabilitation and accountability for juvenile offenders.

While India has made significant progress in its approach to juvenile delinquency, challenges remain. The need for a unified and effective juvenile justice system continues to be highlighted, and amendments such as the Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection) Act of 2015 have sought to address these issues.

The focus on prevention and rehabilitation is vital in reducing juvenile delinquency rates. International guidelines, such as the Riyadh Guidelines, emphasize the importance of multi-disciplinary approaches and involving communities in the prevention process. By focusing on early intervention and support systems, India can create a nurturing environment that prevents juveniles from entering the criminal justice system.

Moreover, the development of the juvenile justice system in India has been influenced by historical, cultural, and international factors. The commitment to protecting the rights of juveniles and providing them with opportunities for rehabilitation remains a core principle. As India moves forward, it must continue to improve its juvenile justice system, ensuring that it meets international standards while addressing the unique challenges and needs of its diverse population of juveniles. By doing so, India can foster a more inclusive and compassionate society, empowering^{xxi} its youth to lead fulfilling and productive lives.

References:-

- ⁱ JAMEEL, ANAS, and Waseem Ahmed. "Sustainable Development Goals and India's Commitment to Gender Justice." *Society & Sustainability* 3, no. 2 (2021): 68-86.
- ⁱⁱ Rickard, Erika, and Jason M. Szanyi. "Bringing justice to India's children: Three reforms to bridge practices with promises in India's juvenile justice system." *UC Davis J. Juv. L. & Pol'y* 14 (2010): 107.
- ⁱⁱⁱ Khan, Sameera. "A Study on the Juvenile Delinquency in India: Sociological Aspect and Judicial Response." *Supremo Amicus* 28 (2022): 478.
- ^{iv} Cox, Steven M., Jennifer M. Allen, Robert D. Hanser, and John J. Conrad. *Juvenile justice: A guide to theory, policy, and practice*. Sage publications, 2021.
- ^v National Research Council. *Reforming juvenile justice: A developmental approach*. National Academies Press, 2013.
- ^{vi} Howell, James C. *Preventing and reducing juvenile delinquency: A comprehensive framework*. Sage, 2003.
- ^{vii} Montes, Robert A. "Making Sense of Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports: Cultural and Contextual Adaptation of Initial Implementation in an Alternative Secondary Setting." PhD diss., California State Polytechnic University, Pomona, 2018.
- ^{viii} Ahmed, Waseem, Anas Jameel, and Mohammad Nadeem. "Crime against Women in India: A review from NCRB report-2018." *International Journal of Society and Humanities* 17, no. 1 (2020): 56-66.
- ^{ix} Banga Chhokar, Kiran. "Higher education and curriculum innovation for sustainable development in India." *International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education* 11, no. 2 (2010): 141-152.
- ^x Ahmed, Waseem, Mohd Wazid Khan, Anas Jameel, and Mohammad Nadeem. "Legislative Developments and Judicial approach towards Prostitution in India: An Analysis." *RESEARCH REVIEW International Journal of Multidisciplinary* 7, no. 10 (2022): 75-81.
- ^{xi} Committee on the Rights of the Child (April 2013). General comment No. 17 (2013) on the right of the child to rest, leisure, play, recreational activities, cultural life and the arts (art. 31). Convention on the Rights of the Child. United Nations.
- ^{xii} Sheela Barse vs State Of Maharashtra 1983 AIR 378, 1983 SCR (2) 337
- ^{xiii} Preamble, Juvenile Justice (care & Protection) Amendment Bill, 2015
- ^{xiv} Child Line in India, Child Protection & Child Rights- I- Details, NanhiJaan Foundation, Child Protection and Child Right , Accessed on 6-02-2019, on 4.00 pm
- ^{xv} Mukesh and Anr. v. State of NCT of Delhi, 2012

^{xvi} AIR 2014 SC 2726

^{xvii} 1996 CriLJ 61

^{xviii} National Human Rights Commission, Prison Population Statistics (June 2009), Accessed on 24-02-2019, on 12.00 pm

^{xix} Manco, Eva. "Detention of the Child in the Light of International Law-A Commentary on Article 37 of the United Nation Convention on the Rights of the Child." *Amsterdam LF 7* (2015): 55.

^{xx} ASAWASIRISILP, MISS TASHA, and Lasse Schuldt. "Paternity leave in Thailand: a study on gender equality and the child's best interests under the international covenant on civil and political rights (ICCPR) and the convention on the rights of the child (CRC)." PhD diss., Thammasat University, 2019.

^{xxi} Ahmed, Waseem, and Anas Jameel. "Constitutional Rights, various laws and Schemes for women empowerment in India." *International Journal of Society and Humanities* 12, no. 1 (2018): 178-184.