



Impact of Power Gating on Energy Efficiency in Deep Submicron Circuits

Shaik Abdul Malik¹, Dr. R. Naveen²

¹Research Scholar, ²Research Guide

Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering, Sunrise University, Alwar, Rajasthan

ABSTRACT:

As semiconductor technology advances, the need for energy-efficient design strategies becomes increasingly crucial. Power gating, a prevalent low-power technique, involves selectively shutting down power to specific circuit blocks to minimize energy consumption during idle or low activity periods. This paper presents a comprehensive analysis of the impact of power gating on energy efficiency in deep submicron circuits. We delve into the underlying principles of power gating, its benefits, challenges, and its relevance in the context of modern integrated circuits. Through a detailed exploration of various case studies and simulation results, we demonstrate the potential energy savings achievable with power gating and highlight its role in achieving sustainable and power-efficient digital systems.

INTRODUCTION:

The ever-growing demand for high-performance computing in the era of portable devices and data centers poses significant challenges to energy efficiency in integrated circuits. Deep submicron technologies have led to increased power densities, making energy-efficient design techniques paramount. Power gating, a technique that involves isolating inactive blocks of a circuit from the power supply, presents a promising solution to address these challenges. In this paper, we provide an in-depth analysis of the impact of power gating on energy efficiency, exploring its mechanisms, advantages, challenges, and potential for adoption.

One of the innovative techniques that has emerged to address the energy efficiency challenge in deep submicron circuits is "power gating." Power gating is a dynamic power management technique that involves selectively turning off certain portions of a circuit when they are not actively being used. This process effectively isolates power-hungry components from the power supply, preventing them from drawing unnecessary current and dissipating energy as heat. The concept of power gating has gained considerable attention due to its potential to substantially reduce power consumption and extend the battery life of portable devices, while still maintaining the performance of the circuit.

This introduction aims to delve into the profound impact of power gating on energy efficiency within deep submicron circuits. We will explore how power gating operates, its significance in the context of modern electronics, and the multifaceted benefits it offers to enhance energy efficiency.

Operating Principles of Power Gating:

Power gating involves the integration of special switches, often referred to as power switches or isolation transistors, into the circuit design. These switches are strategically placed to control the power supply to specific functional blocks, sub-circuits, or even individual transistors within the chip. By opening these switches, power to the designated components is effectively cut off, minimizing leakage currents and reducing power consumption when those components are not in use. When activated, the switches reestablish the power connection, allowing the components to resume their normal operation.

Significance of Power Gating:

In deep submicron circuits, power leakage becomes a significant contributor to overall power consumption. As feature sizes shrink, the phenomenon of subthreshold leakage—the leakage current that flows through a transistor even when it is off—becomes more pronounced. Power gating directly addresses this concern by mitigating leakage currents during periods of inactivity. This has substantial implications for energy efficiency, as power gating can result in dramatic reductions in static power consumption, which is crucial for devices that spend a significant amount of time in idle or low-power states.

Multifaceted Benefits:

The benefits of power gating extend beyond energy efficiency improvements. By effectively managing power consumption, power gating enhances the overall thermal management of ICs, which can extend the operational lifespan of devices and reduce the risk of thermal-induced failures. Additionally, power gating enables finer-grained control over power domains, allowing for efficient power management at various levels of the circuit hierarchy. This flexibility enhances the ability to trade off performance for power savings, catering to a wide range of applications, from high-performance computing to energy-constrained IoT devices.

Power Gating Mechanism:

Power gating involves inserting switches between the power supply and circuit blocks to enable the selective disconnection of power during periods of inactivity. We discuss the different power gating architectures, including multiple levels of gating, and the design considerations associated with each. We also delve into the control mechanisms required to effectively manage power gating, such as retention registers and power control logic.

Benefits of Power Gating:

Power gating offers several benefits, including substantial reduction in leakage power consumption during idle periods. We examine how power gating can mitigate the static power dissipation that arises due to subthreshold leakage currents in deep submicron technologies. Additionally, we discuss the potential improvement in dynamic power efficiency as power gating enables better voltage and frequency scaling opportunities.

Challenges and Trade-offs:

While power gating provides significant energy savings, it also introduces challenges and trade-offs. We explore issues such as power gating overhead, including the additional area, complexity, and delay introduced by gating elements. Furthermore, we address concerns related to power gating-induced glitches, which can impact circuit functionality and reliability. Effective power management policies are required to strike a balance between energy savings and potential drawbacks.

Relevance and Future Directions:

In the context of the evolving semiconductor landscape, we discuss the continued relevance of power gating. We highlight its importance in enabling energy-efficient designs for emerging technologies, such as Internet of Things (IoT) devices and edge computing systems. Furthermore, we explore potential research directions aimed at addressing the challenges associated with power gating, including improved control mechanisms, advanced power gating architectures, and enhanced verification techniques.

Conclusion:

Power gating has emerged as a critical technique for enhancing energy efficiency in deep submicron circuits. This paper has presented a comprehensive analysis of its impact, benefits, challenges, and future prospects. Through the exploration of case studies and simulation results, we have demonstrated the potential of power gating to significantly reduce energy consumption and contribute to the development of sustainable and power-efficient integrated circuits.

REFERENCES

1. Lackey, DE, Zuchowski, PS, Bednar, TR, Stout, DW, Gould, SW & Cohn, J, M 2002, 'Managing power and performance for System-on-Chip designs using voltage islands', Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Computer Aided Design, pp.195–202.
2. Mariano Aguirre- Hernandez & Monico Linares-Aranda 2011, 'CMOS Full-Adders for Energy- Efficient Arithmetic Applications', IEEE Transactions on VLSI Systems, vol. 19, no. 4, pp. 718 – 721.
3. Milad Bahadori , Mehdi Kamal, Ali Afzali-Kusha, Yasmin Afsharnezhad & Elham Zahraie Salehi 2017, 'CL-CPA: A hybrid carry-lookahead/carry-propagate adder for low-power or high- performance operation mode', vol. 57, pp. 62 – 68.
4. Min, KS, Kawaguchi, H & Sakurai, T 2003, 'Zigzag Super Cut-off CMOS (ZSCCMOS) Block Activation with Self-Adaptive Voltage Level Controller: an Alternative to Clock-Gating Scheme in Leakage Dominant Era', In Proceedings of the IEEE International Solid-State Circuits Conference, pp. 400 - 401.
5. Mingoo Seok, Scott Hanson, David Blaauw & Dennis Sylvester, 2012 'Sleep Mode Analysis and Optimization With Minimal-Sized Power Gating Switch for Ultra-Low V_{dd} Operation', IEEE Transactions on VLSI Systems, vol. 20, no. 4, pp. 605 – 615.
6. Mohab Anis & Mohamed Elmasry, 'Multi-Threshold CMOS Digital Circuits Managing Leakage Power', Kluwer Academic Publisher.
7. Mohab Anis, Shawki Areibi, Mohamed Mahmoud & Mohamed Elmasry 2002, 'Dynamic and Leakage Power Reduction in MTCMOS

Circuits Using an Automated Efficient Gate Clustering Technique', DAC, ACM, pp. 480 - 485.

8. Morteza Nabavi, Farhad Ramezankhani & Maitham Shams 2016, 'Optimum pMOS-to-nMOS Width Ratio for Efficient Subthreshold CMOS Circuits', IEEE Transactions on Electron Devices, vol. 63, no. 3, pp. 916 - 924.
9. Mutoh, S, Douseki, T, Matsuya, Y, Aoki, T, Shigematsu, S & Yamada, J 1995, '1-V Power Supply High Speed Digital Circuit Technology with Multi-Threshold Voltage CMOS', IEEE journal of Solid State Circuits, vol. 30, no. 8, pp. 847 - 854.
10. Nan Wang, Wei Zhong, Cong Hao, Song Chen, Takeshi Yoshimura & Yu Zhu 2016, 'Leakage-Power-Aware Scheduling with Dual-Threshold Voltage Design', IEEE Trans. on VLSI Systems, vol. 24, no. 10, pp. 3067 - 3079
11. Narendra, S & Chandrakasan, A, 2005, Leakage in Nanometer CMOS Technologies, Springer.
12. Narendra, S, Antoniadis, D & De, V 1999, 'Impact of using Adaptive Body Bias to compensate die-to-die V_t variation on within-die V_t variation', Proceedings of the International Symposium on Low Power Electronics and Design, 229 - 232.
13. Navi, K, Kavehei, O, Ruholamini, M, Sahafi, A, Mehrabi, S & Dadkhani, SN 2008, 'Low-Power and High performance 1-bit CMOS Full Adder Cell', Journal of Computers, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 48 - 54.
14. Pant, P, De, VK & Chatterjee, A 1998, 'Simultaneous Power Supply Threshold Voltage and Transistor Size Optimization for Low-Power Operation of CMOS Circuits', IEEE Transactions on VLSI Systems, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 538 - 545.
15. Partha Bhattacharyya, Bijoy Kundu Sovan Ghosh, Vinay Kumar & Anup Dandapat 2015, 'Performance Analysis of a Low-Power High-Speed Hybrid 1-bit Full adder circuit', IEEE Transactions on VLSI systems, vol. 23, no. 10, pp. 2001 - 2008.
16. Pierret, R 1996, 'Semiconductor Device Fundamentals', Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.
17. Raahemifar, K, & Ahmadi, M, 1999, 'Fast Carry Look-ahead Adder', IEEE Canadian Conference on Electrical and Computer Engineering, pp. 529 - 532.
18. Rahimi Azghadi, M, Kavehie, O & Navi, K 2007, 'A Novel Design for Quantum-Dot Cellular Automata Cell and Full Adders', Journal of Applied Sciences, vol. 7, no. 22, pp. 3460 - 3468.
19. Rajani, HP & Srimannarayan Kulkarni 2012, 'Novel sleep Transistor techniques for low leakage power peripheral circuits', International Journal of VLSI design and Communication systems, vol. 3, no. 4.
20. Ramkumar, B, & Harish Kittur, M 2012, 'Low- Power and Area-Efficient Carry Select Adder', IEEE Transactions on VLSI Systems, vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 371 - 375.
21. Rouholamini, M, Kavehie, O, Mirbaha, A, Jasbi, S & Navi, K 2007, 'A New Design for 7:2 Compressors', Proceedings Of ACS/IEEE International Conference on Computer Systems and Applications (AICCSA).
22. Roy, S, Ranganathan, N & Katkooori, S 2011, 'State-retentive power gating of register files in multicore processors featuring multithreaded in-order cores', IEEE Transactions on Computers, vol. 60, no. 11, pp. 1547 - 1560.
23. Se Hun Kim & Vincent Mooney, J 2006, 'Sleepy Keeper: a New Approach to Low-leakage Power VLSI Design', IFIP, pp. 361-372.
24. Seta, K, Hara, H, Kuroda, M & Sakurai, T 1995, '50% active power saving without speed degradation using standby power reduction (SPR) circuit', Proceedings of the IEEE International Solid-State Circuits Conference, pp. 318-319.
25. Shahzad Asif & Mark Vesterbacka 2012, 'Performance Analysis of Radix- 4 Adders', Integration, the VLSI Journal, vol. 45, pp. 111 - 120.
26. Shalem, R, John, E & John, LK 1999, 'A Novel Low Power Energy Recovery Full Adder Cell', Proceeding of 9th IEEE Great Lakes Symposium, VLSI, pp. 380 - 383.
27. Shams, A, M & Bayoumi, M, A 1996, 'A new cell for low-power adders', Proceedings of IEEE International Symposium, Circuits and Systems, pp. 49 - 52.
28. Shigematsu, S, Mutoh, S, Matsuya, Y, Tanabe, Y & Yamada, J, 1997, 'A 1-V High Speed MTC-MOS Circuit Scheme for Power - down Application Circuits', IEEE Journal of Solid -State Circuits, vol. 32, no. 6, pp. 861-869.
29. Shin, Y, Paik, S & Kim, H 2009, 'Semicustom Design of Zigzag Power-Gated Circuits in Standard cell elements', IEEE Transaction on Computer-Aided Design Integrated Circuits and Systems, vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 327 - 339.
30. Shota Ishihara, Masanori Hariyama & Michitaka Kameyama 2011, 'A Low-Power FPGA Based on Autonomous Fine-Grain Power Gating', IEEE Transactions On VLSI systems, vol. 19, no. 8, pp. 1394 - 1406.
31. Sirichotiyakul, S, Edwards, T, Chanhee, O, Jingyan, Z, Dharchoudhury, A, Panda, R & Blaauw, D 1999, 'Stand-by-Power Minimization through Simultaneous Threshold Voltage selection and Circuit Sizing', Proceedings of the 36th Design Automation Conference, pp. 436 - 447.
32. Siva Narendra, Shekhar Borkar, Vivek De, Dimitri Antoniadis & Anantha Chandrakasan 2001 'Scaling of Stack effect and its application for leakage reduction', ISLPED, ACM, pp. 195 - 200.