

## **International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews**

Journal homepage: www.ijrpr.com ISSN 2582-7421

# Comparative Study of Phenotypic and Automated Methods in Identification and Antifungal Susceptibility of Candida Species Isolated from Clinical Samples

## Dr Saurodeep Bhattacharjee<sup>a</sup>, Dr Karthik Ramamurthy<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a.</sup> Dr B.R Ambedkar Medical College, Kadugondanahalli, Bangalore, Karnataka 560045, India <sup>b</sup> Dr B.R Ambedkar Medical College, Kadugondanahalli, Bangalore, Karnataka 560045, India

## ABSTRACT

We compared the phenotypic methods like Corn meal and chromagar with molecular method like Vitek 2. There was generally an agreement in the results obtained from the two methods in both identification and antifungal susceptibility. The drawbacks of the former was the increased time taken from plating to result and difficulty in interpreting the result for some species like C.glabrata and C.guillemondi. This difficulty could be reduced by combining the result of both Chromagar and Corn meal agar. As for the latter, the increased cost, requirement of skilled personnel and prior need to subculture in blood agar before putting into the machine prohibits its widespread use. So, the phenotypic methods like chromagar and corn meal along with disk diffusion method are very efficient to identify and find the antifungal susceptibility of different candida species in a resource poor setting.

Keywords: Candida, Cornmealagar, Chromagar, Vitek-2, antifungalsusceptibility

## INTRODUCTION

Candida, a fungus which was thought to be a harmless commensal a few decades ago has grown into a problem of enormous medical significance. It causes a plethora of diseases, ranging from superficial mycoses to deep seated invasive candidemia, which carries a mortality rate of above 50% in developing countries.[1]

To successfully treat candida infections and prevent unnecessary deaths, proper identification of candida species along with antifungal susceptibility testing(AST) is of paramount importance. Quickly commencing the correct antifungal treatment can double the chances of survival.[2]

Unfortunately, these are hardly carried out in resource poor countries, leading to treatment failures and selection of resistance strains while at the same time subjecting the patients to the toxic side effects of inefficient antifungals.

Few patients have mixed infection with 2 or more candida species, and giving an empirical drug to which only 1 species is susceptible does not lead to complete cure and there is recurrence of the disease after cessation of the drug. Also the susceptibility of candida species to antifungals vary in different parts of the country and resistance to newer class of drugs like echinocandins is not well known.[3]

Lastly, ICMR recently released an advisory warning about the emergence of multi-drug resistant candida auris. In all these cases, identification of species along with AST provides valuable epidemiological data which can pinpoint the source of an outbreak ,quickly identify and treat such vicious species, leading to reduced morbidity and mortality.

Traditionally the identification of species was carried out by phenotypic methods which were laborious and time consuming. The introduction of Chromagar sought to alleviate these difficulties by rapidly identifying different species based on colour, while the disk diffusion method was used for AST. But they had their own share of problems, such as difficulty in differentiating between C.albicans and C.dubliniensis and giving incorrect AST results.[4] On the other hand, automated systems like Vitek 2 can also identify and do AST in a fraction of the time but their high cost, need of skilled personell, misindentifying one species for another and false MIC values prohibit their extensive use. In this study ,we compare the effectiveness and accuracy of both methods to find out which is more suited for our setting.

## AIMS AND OBJECTIVES

1.To isolate candida from various clinical samples.

2. To identify the species and antifungal susceptibility using phenotypic and automated method.

3.To compare their results and find the cost to benefit ratio.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

This is a prospective, cross sectional study which was conducted in our mycology lab from May-July 2019. The samples were collected from OPD and IPD patients after ethical committee approval. The samples which were used are skin scrapings, saliva, sputum, blood, bile, vaginal swabs and feces

## Inclusion criteria

1.Candida isolation from 2 consecutive samples

2.less than 48 hours of hospital stay

3.presence of pus cells in wet mount examination

#### **Exclusion criteria**

1.Use of corticosteroids, antibiotics or antifungals

2.tobaccochewing, smoking and intraoral prostheses

3.Surgery

Procedure

1.Using phenotypic methods

## a) Gram stain

After gram staining, we looked for gram positive budding yeast cells with hypae and/or pseudohypae. This is to distinguish a candida infection from a bacterial infection.

### b) Isolation on Sabouraud dextrose agar(SDA)

Samples were cultured on 2 tubes of SDA, one of which contained cycloheximide ,at a temperature of 28°C and 45°C(being able to grow at both high and low temperatures will distinguish C.albicans from other species) in an aerobic environment for 48 hours. If there is no growth after 48 hours ,they were further inoculated for a week. The different species were identified crudely using the following colony characteristics.

Table 1-Colony Characteristics of Different Candida species on Sabouraud Dextrose Agar

C.albicans	smooth, creamy, pasty, glistening
C. glabarata	Cream coloured, soft, glossy ,smooth colony
C. tropicalis	white to cream coloured colonies with peripheral fringe
C. parapsilosis	Soft, smooth, white sometimes lacy
C. krusei	Colonies are flat ,dry becoming dull, smooth or wrinkled
C.kefyr	Smooth , creamy appearance

## c) Germ tube test

Using a sterile loop, a colony of yeasts was transferred to a test tube containing 3 drops of human serum and emulsified. After incubating for 3 hours, a drop of solution was placed on a clean dry glass slide and covered with a cover slip. It was observed under 10 and 40x of microscope to see the presence or absence of germ tube. This a quick method to differentiate C. albicans from other species.

#### d) Corn meal agar

Subcultures were made by furrowing the Corn meal agar plates with coverslips were applied on the streak line and incubated at 28 :C .After 2-5 days the plates were examined directly under a microscope to look for the following morphological characteristics of different candida species:-

Table 2-Colony Characteristics of Candida on Corn Meal agar

C. albicans	Terminal and intercalary chlamydospores
C. glabarata	No pseudohyphae, only blastoconidia
C. tropicalis	Branching pseudohyphae and blastoconidia
C. parapsilosis	Curved pseudohyphae and blastconidia
C. krusei	Pseudohyphae and blastoconidia resembles crossed match stick
C. dublinensis	Terminal and intercalary chlamydospores

## e) CHROMagar

A single yeast colony was streaked on to the plate after which it was inoculated for 48-72 hours. After this time, the species were identified due to their characteristic colours:-

Table 3 – Candida	Colonv colour	s on Chromaga	r according to different	t species

C. albicans	Light green
C. glabarata	Pink to purple
C. tropicalis	Blue with pink halo
C. parapsilosis	Cream to pale pink
C. krusei	Pink
C. dublinensis	Dark green

## Antifungal susceptibility test using disk diffusion

It was carried out by disk diffusion method on using Mueller-hinton agar with 2% glucose and 0.5  $\mu$ g/ml Methylene blue for Fluconazole (25 $\mu$ g), intraconazole(10  $\mu$ g), Amphotericin B(100 U) and Nystatin(10 $\mu$ g).Each inoculum was standardized to 0.5 Mc Farland units and the zone break points were interpreted as per the following table

Table 4-Susceptibility Breakpoints for different antifungals using disk diffusion method

Drug	Susceptible	Intermediate	Resistant
Fluconazole (25µg)	$\geq$ 19 mm	15-18 mm	$\leq 14$ mm
intraconazole(10 µg)	$\geq 20 mm$	12- 19mm	$\leq 11 \text{ mm}$
Amphotericin B(100 U)	>10mm	Not applicable	$\leq 10$ mm

### Using automated methods for Identification

Vitek YST-ID cards were used.Cards were held at 35.5°C for 18 h inside the Vitek 2 instrument which will take optical density readings automatically at every 15 min. Based on these readings, the species was identified according to a specific algorithm. Antifungal susceptibility test

The AST was done using ASTYS01 and ASTYS06 cards. A standardized inoculum suspension was placed into a Vitek-2 cassette along with a sterile polystyrene test tube and an antifungal susceptibility test card for each organism. The Vitek-2 instrument diluted the inoculum, after which the cards were filled, incubated, and read automatically. The isolates were be considered resistant if they exhibit the following MICs Table 5-Susceptibility breakpoints for different antifungals using Vitek-2

Fluconazole	≥64 µg/ml
voriconazole	$\geq 4  \mu g/ml$
flucytosine	≥32 µg/ml
amphotericin-B	$\geq 1 \ \mu g/ml$
caspofungin	$\geq 2  \mu g/ml$

## **Quality control**

Following standard strains were tested each time to ensure quality control:-

Candida Albicans ATCC 10321, Candia parapsilosis ATCC 22019, Candida tropicalis ATCC 750, Candida Krusei ATCC 6258.

## Statistical analysis

It was done using SPSS software version 25.Chi square test was done to compare the significance of the results from the two methods

## **OBSERVATIONS AND RESULTS**

A total of 39 isolates of Candida species were obtained from various clinical samples over a 2 month period from May-July 2019 from both outpatient and inpatient department. The isolates were grown in Candida CHROMAGAR and Dalmau plate culture was done on cornmeal agar for species identification. Candida species identification and Antifungal susceptibility testing was done in VITEK-2 from colonies grown on 5 % Sheep blood agar. Antifungal testing was also done by disk diffusion method as per CLSI recommended method. The results were analyzed.

In our study, non Albicans Candida species were predominant .Candida albicans isolates were 7.69% of total isolates. Among non Albicans Candida species, Candida tropicalis (38.46%) was the predominant species isolated, followed by Candida glabrata(23.07%) ,Candida gulliermondi (12.82%), Candida parapsilosis(10.25%), Candida krusei (5.14%) and Candida kefyr (2.58%)

Among the 39 isolates, there were 28 isolates from men and 11 isolates from women .Maximum number of samples were isolated from patients of age 21-30 years, followed by 31-40 years

In our study, Candida was isolated mainly from high vaginal swabs (29%) followed by urine samples (26%).

Candida species identification was carried out by two methods- VITEK-2 YST card and conventional methods-morphology on corn meal agar with Tween 80 (Hi Media, India), HiCrome *Candida* agar morphology (Hi Media, India), VITEK -2 YST card method was taken as gold standard in our study and was compared with conventional methods

Candida chromagar showed good correlation with Vitek-2 for identifying Candida albicans (100%) and tropicalis (93%) only. Cornmeal agar method showed good correlation for species identification with VITEK-2 for albicans(100%), parapsilosis(100%), tropicalis(93.3%) and glabrata(88.9%).(p value <0.05).

Antifungal susceptibility testing was carried out by two methods-Vitek-2 and disk diffusion using Mueller-hinton agar with 2% glucose and 0.5 µg/ml Methylene blue. Species wise Antifungal susceptibility pattern by VITEK and Disk diffusion methods are given in table 6 and 7 respectively

Species	Flucona	azole		Voricona	zole		Amphoten	ricin B		Capsofung	in	
	S	SD	R	S	SD D	R	S	SD	R	S	SDD	R
		D						D				
C.albicans	3	0	0	3	0	0	3	0	0	3	0	0
	(100%)			(100 %)			(100 %)			(100%)		
C.glabrata	0	0	9	9	0	0	9	0	0	9	0	0
				(100%)			(100 %)			(100%)		
C.tropicalis	15	0	0	15	0	0	15	0	0	15	0	0
				(100%)			(100%)			(100%)		
C.krusei	0	0	2	2	0	0	1(50 %)	0	1	1(50 %)	1(50%)	0
			(100%)	(100%)					(50%)			
C.guilliermondi	0	0	5	5	0	0	5	0	0	5	0	0
			(100%)	(100%)			(100 %)			(100%)		
C.kefyr	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
	(100%)			(100%)			(100%)					(100%)
C.parapsilosis	4	0	0	4	0	0	4	0	0	4	0	0
	(100%)			(100%)			(100 %)			(100%)		
Percentage	58.9%	0	41.02%	87.17%	0	12.82%	97.43%	0	2.56%	94.87%	2.56%	2.56%

Table 6-Species wise Antifungal Sensitivity as per Vitek

#### S-Susceptible SDD- Susceptible dose dependent R-Resistant

Table 7-Species wise Antifungal Sensitivity as per Disk diffusion method

Species	Fluconazole			Itraconazole			Amphotericin B		
	S	SDD	R	S	SDD	R	S	SDD	R
C.albicans	3(100%)	0	0	3(100%)	0	0	3(100%)	0	0
C.glabrata	0	0	9(100%)	9(100%)	0	0	9(100%)	0	0
C.tropicalis	9(60%)	0	6(30%)	15(100%)	0	0	15(100%)	0	0
C.krusei	0	0	2(100%)	2(100%)	0	0	2(100%)	0	0
C.guillermondi	0	0	5(100%)	5(100%)	0	0	5(100%)	0	0
C.kefyr	1(100%)	0	0	1(100%)	0	0	1(100%)	0	0
C.parapsilosis	4(100%)	0	0	4(100%)	0	0	4(100%)	0	0
Percentage	43.58%	0	56.41%	100%	0	0	100%	0	0

S-Susceptible SDD-	Susceptible dos	se dependent R-Resistant

## DISCUSSION

Candida species are usually present as normal commensals in the human body, i.e. on skin, mouth, large intestines, urinary and reproductive systems. The most common etiology of many fungal infections especially among diabetic, HIV infection, hospital admitted and immunocompromised patients is known to be Candida species. With the overuse of antibacterial agents, immunosuppressive agents, cytotoxins and steroids, a new category of systemic mycoses has emerged. The emergence of non-albicans Candida species as significant pathogens has been well recognized now. Also many Non albicans

Candida species are intrinsically drug resistant and can cause treatment failure leading to the need of identification and Antifungal susceptibility testing for Candida isolates.

In our study most of the patients were in the age range of 21-30 years (51.28%) which is similar to Nelson et al which noted a high infection rate in the 20-29 age group[5].Vulvovaginal candidiasis prevalence was 28%. This correlated with 26% as per Ibadan et al[6]. This was followed by Urine (26%).

Among Species Candida tropicalis was the predominant species (38.46%) followed by Candida glabrata (23.07%), Candida parapsilosis (10.25%). Candida albicans was fourth at just (7.69%). These findings correlate with other studies like Goel et al[7] and Iman et al [8] which indicate the emergence of non alicans Candida species as leading cause for Candida infections. Identification of Candida species is important as non-albicans is more resistant to azoles as compared to C. albicans. C. krusei and C. glabrata is intrinsically resistant to fluconazole

Antifungal susceptibility pattern by VITEK-2 showed that Candida isolates were more susceptible to Amphotericin B(97.43%) than fluconazole (58.9%) as in the study of Manikandan et al[9]and Goel et al[7].Caspofungin (94.87%) and Voriconazole also showed high sensitivity (87.17%).

In Comparison of Chromagar for species identification with Vitek-2 it showed good percentage correlation for albicans and tropicalis. Chromagar is useful in rapidly identifying some species like Albicans, tropicalis but it fails to identify properly other non albicans Candida species which is very important considering the fact that some species are intrinsically resistant to some antifungal agents(like glabrata to Fluconazole) which may ultimately may lead to treatment failure. In case of Cornneal agar, good correlation was found to albicans(100%), parapsilosis(100%), tropicalis (80%) and glabrata(88.9%). Cornneal agar was found to be better alternative to chomagar for identifying more non albicans species compared to chromagar in our study. Also Hicrome agar falsely identified *C.parapsilosis* as *C.glabrata* similar to findings of Sagar et al [10]

On comparison of VITEK with Disk diffusion method for Fluconazole sensitivity 100% concordance was shown for albicans, parapsilosis, glabrata, guilliermondi,kefyr and krusei. Only for tropicalis, results were discordant, Vitek showing all 15 isolates as sensitive whereas Disk diffusion showed 9 isolates as sensitive similar to findings of WadhaAlfouzan et al[11] which also found discordance for tropicalis. For amphotericin B all species showed concordant results except for Krusei where 2 isolates showed resistance by disk diffusion compared to 1 isolate by Vitek-2. These findings of our study indicates that Disk diffusion can be a more economical and suitable alternative to Vitek-2 Susceptibility testing for Fluconazole and amphotericin B for both albicans and non abicans candida species

## **CONCLUSION:-**

From this study we can conclude that in our setting, Non albicans candida species predominate. The age group from 21-30 years are the most affected

More candida species were resistant to fluconazole than amphotericin B, which is worrying because fluconazole is more commonly used since its less toxic.

While identifying the species using Vitek 2 and Chromagar/cornmeal agar, Vitek 2 is more superior since its not easy to identify species with similar colour and morphology on chromagar/cornmeal agar.

As for comparison between Vitek 2 and disk diffusion for Antifungal susceptibility testing, we conclude that disk diffusion is the more economical and equally sensitive

#### Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the Microbiology department at Dr B.R .ambedkar Medical College for providing us with the necessary equipments.We also thank ICMR STS program(2019-02367) for providing the impetus to carry out this research

## References

[1] Kaur H, Chakrabarti A. Strategies to Reduce Mortality in Adult and Neonatal Candidemia in Developing Countries. J Fungi (Basel). 2017;3(3):41. Published 2017 Jul 19. doi:10.3390/jof3030041

[2] Garey K.W., Rege M., Pai M.P., Mingo D.E., Suda K.J., Turpin R.S., Bearden D.T. Time to Initiation of Fluconazole Therapy Impacts Mortality in Patients with Candidemia: A Multi-Institutional Study. Clin.

#### Infect. Dis. 2006;43:25-31. doi: 10.1086/504810

[3] Anjana Gopi, Neetha S. Murthy. "Presumptive Identification of Candida Species by using Chromogenic Agar in Comparison with Yeast Identification Protocols in a Tertiary Care Hospital". Journal of Evidence based Medicine and Healthcare; Volume 1, Issue 13, December 01, 2014; Page: 1604-1613

[4] D.S. ElFeky et al. Species identification and antifungal susceptibility pattern of Candida isolates in cases of vulvovaginal candidiasis . Alexandria Journal of Medicine (2016) 52, 269–277 doi : /10.1016/j.ajme.2015.10.001

[5] Nelson M, Wanjiru W, Margaret MW. Prevalence of vaginal candidiasis and determination of the occurrence of Candida species in pregnant women attending the antenatal clinic of Thika District Hospital, Kenya. Open J Med Microbiol. 2013;2013:264–272.

[6] Anorlu R, Imosemi D, Odunukwe N, Abudu O, Otuonye M. Prevalence of HIV among women with vaginal discharge in a gynecological clinic. J Natl Med Assoc. 2004;96(3):367

[7] Ruchi Goel, Surinder Singh, Amarjit Kaur Gill, Amandeep Kaur, Ivneet Kour. Speciation, characterization and antifungal susceptibility pattern of candida species. International Journal of Contemporary Medical Research 2018;5(5):E1-E4

[8] Iman KB, Shorouk KEH, Muhmoud M. Candida infection associated with urinary catheter in critically ill patients. Identification, antifungal susceptibility and risk factors. Res.J. of Med and Med sciences. 2010; 5:79-86

[9] Manikandan C. and Amsath A characterization and susceptibility pattern of Candida species isolate d from urine samples in Pattukkottal, Tamilnadu, India. International journal of pure and applied zoology 2015;3:17-23

[10] Sagar K, Mangalkar S, Gohel T Isolation of Different Candida Species from Clinical Samples and Comparison between Conventional and Hicrome Technique of Identification International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN (Online): 2319-7064

[11] WadhaAlfouzana,b, , Tahani Al-Enezia, EbteehalAlRoomi. Comparison of the VITEK 2 antifungal susceptibility system with Etest using clinical isolates of Candida speciesVol.34, number 3, page171-174 July –September 2017