WWW.IJRPR.COM

International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

Journal homepage: www.ijrpr.com ISSN 2582-7421

Environmental Impact of Steel and Wooden Scaffolds in Building Construction

Victor S. Udoh, Ifiok E. Mfon.

Department of Architecture, University of Uyo, Akwa Iibom State, Nigeria
Email: Ifiokifiokifiok@gamil.com

ABSTRACT:

This research study evaluates the environmental impact of steel and wooden scaffolds in building construction. The significance of scaffolding in construction projects is explored, highlighting its essential role in providing support and access during the construction process. The study reviews existing literature on the environmental impact of scaffold materials and identifies key environmental indicators and methodologies used in assessing their sustainability.

Comparative analysis of steel and wooden scaffolds is conducted to evaluate their environmental performance. The analysis considers indicators such as carbon emissions, energy consumption, resource depletion, and waste generation. Findings suggest that wooden scaffolds generally exhibit lower environmental impacts compared to steel scaffolds, owing to factors such as lower carbon emissions and energy consumption. However, factors like durability, reusability, and end-of-life disposal practices should also be considered in decision-making.

The research approach involves data collection through literature review, field studies, and supplier information, followed by analysis using methods like life cycle assessment (LCA) and comparative analysis. The study underscores the importance of considering a comprehensive set of environmental indicators and employing appropriate calculation methods and tools.

The results and discussions emphasize the trade-offs and decision-making considerations for builders, contractors, and policymakers. Recommendations are provided for stakeholders, including the promotion of sustainable scaffold materials, proper maintenance practices, policy interventions, industry collaborations, and research and development efforts.

Overall, this research contributes to the understanding of the environmental impact of steel and wooden scaffolds in building construction. It provides insights and recommendations to support sustainable construction practices, aiming to reduce the environmental footprint of the construction industry and foster a more environmentally responsible approach.

Keywords: **Environmental Impact, Steel scaffold ,Wooden Scaffolds, Construction**

Introduction

In building construction, scaffolding serves as a vital temporary structure that provides support and access for workers during construction activities. The environmental impact associated with scaffold materials, such as steel and wood, has gained significant attention due to the growing concern for sustainable construction practices. This introduction sets the stage for evaluating and comparing the environmental implications of steel and wooden scaffolds in building construction.

The construction industry is widely recognized as a major contributor to environmental degradation, consuming vast amounts of energy and resources while emitting greenhouse gases. As the industry strives to minimize its ecological footprint, evaluating and addressing the environmental impact of various construction materials becomes imperative.

Scaffolding materials, namely steel and wood, are commonly used due to their structural strength, durability, and versatility in accommodating different construction needs. However, their production, transportation, and disposal processes may exert considerable pressure on the environment. Consequently, it is crucial to assess and compare the environmental effects of steel and wooden scaffolds to make informed decisions that promote sustainable building practices.

This research aims to address the environmental implications associated with steel and wooden scaffolds in building construction. By evaluating key environmental indicators, such as carbon emissions, energy consumption, resource depletion, and waste generation, a comprehensive analysis of the sustainability aspects of these materials can be obtained. The findings will facilitate informed decision-making processes for stakeholders involved in construction projects, empowering them to choose scaffold materials that align with environmentally responsible practices.

The research problem centers around identifying, evaluating, and understanding the environmental impact of steel and wooden scaffolds. It seeks to quantify and compare the ecological consequences associated with each material, shedding light on their respective advantages and limitations. By conducting an in-depth examination of these factors, this research aims to provide valuable insights into the sustainability of steel and wooden scaffolds in building construction.

The objectives of this research are as follows:

1. Evaluate the environmental impact of steel scaffolds in building construction.
2. Assess the environmental impact of wooden scaffolds in building construction.
3. Compare and contrast the environmental performance of steel and wooden scaffolds based on key indicators.
4. Provide recommendations to mitigate and minimize the environmental impact of scaffolding in building construction.

By achieving these objectives, this research will contribute to the existing knowledge base on sustainable construction practices. It will enable stakeholders, including construction companies, contractors, architects, and policymakers, to make informed decisions regarding scaffold material selection, fostering environmentally conscious construction processes.

The subsequent sections of this research will comprise a comprehensive literature review, outlining existing studies on the environmental impact of scaffolding materials. The methodology section will describe the research approach, data collection methods, and analysis techniques employed in this study. The results and discussion section will present the findings, comparing the environmental impact of steel and wooden scaffolds. Finally, the implications of the research, along with recommendations for sustainable construction practices, will be provided, concluding with potential areas for future research in this field.

Background information on the significance of scaffolding in construction projects

Scaffolding plays a crucial role in construction projects, providing temporary structures that support workers and materials during various stages of building construction. It serves as a stable and secure platform for workers to carry out tasks at elevated heights, ensuring their safety and facilitating efficient workflow (Hassanain et al., 2019). Scaffolding is not only essential for construction workers' access and mobility but also for the installation of building systems, including electrical, plumbing, and HVAC (heating, ventilation, and air conditioning) components (Shaw et al., 2016).

The significance of scaffolding lies in its ability to create a stable and secure working environment, enabling construction workers to perform tasks safely and effectively. Without proper scaffolding, construction projects would face numerous challenges, such as limited access to different areas of the building, increased risks of accidents and injuries, and difficulties in transporting materials and equipment to higher levels (Yung et al., 2017). Scaffolding also enhances productivity by providing a stable and organized workspace, allowing workers to perform their tasks efficiently (Oladapo et al., 2019).

Moreover, scaffolding contributes to the overall structural integrity of a building during construction. It provides temporary support to the structure, ensuring stability and balance during the assembly of various building components (Hassanain et al., 2019). Properly installed and maintained scaffolding systems prevent structural damage, reduce the risk of collapse, and ensure the overall safety of the construction site (Shaw et al., 2016).

In addition to its functional significance, scaffolding also plays a crucial role in the aesthetics of the construction site. The appearance of scaffolding can influence the perception of the project, particularly in urban environments where construction projects are often visible to the public (Yung et al., 2017). Therefore, choosing the appropriate scaffold material and design is essential not only for practical purposes but also for maintaining a positive image and minimizing the visual impact on the surrounding environment.

Given the fundamental role of scaffolding in construction projects, it becomes imperative to consider various factors, including cost, safety, durability, and environmental impact, when selecting scaffold materials. The focus of this research is to evaluate and compare the environmental impact of steel and wooden scaffolds, as these materials are widely used in construction due to their specific properties and characteristics.

Literature Review:

Overview of existing studies on the environmental impact of scaffolding materials

Existing studies have focused on assessing the environmental impact of different scaffolding materials, including steel and wood, to determine their sustainability in construction projects. These studies have examined various environmental indicators, such as carbon emissions, energy consumption, resource depletion, and waste generation, to provide insights into the environmental implications of scaffold materials.

Research conducted by De la Rúa et al. (2020) compared the environmental performance of steel and wooden scaffolds. The study evaluated the life cycle environmental impacts of both materials, considering factors such as material production, transportation, and end-of-life disposal. The findings indicated that steel scaffolds had higher environmental impacts in terms of carbon emissions and energy consumption compared to wooden scaffolds. However, the study also highlighted the importance of considering the durability and reusability of steel scaffolds, which could potentially offset its higher initial environmental impact.

In a similar vein, a study by Wang et al. (2019) investigated the life cycle environmental impacts of steel and wooden scaffolds in residential construction projects. The research analyzed various environmental indicators, including global warming potential, acidification potential, and eutrophication potential. The results revealed that wooden scaffolds had lower environmental impacts across most categories compared to steel scaffolds. The study emphasized the need for sustainable forest management and responsible sourcing of wood to ensure the long-term environmental benefits of wooden scaffolds.

Additionally, a study by Guinée et al. (2021) conducted a comprehensive life cycle assessment of different scaffolding materials, including steel, aluminum, and wood. The research assessed the environmental impacts associated with material production, use, and end-of-life treatment. The findings demonstrated that steel scaffolds had higher environmental impacts in most impact categories compared to aluminum and wood. The study emphasized the importance of considering the entire life cycle of scaffolding materials to accurately evaluate their environmental performance.

These studies highlight the significance of assessing the environmental impact of scaffolding materials in construction projects. They provide valuable insights into the sustainability aspects of steel and wooden scaffolds, helping stakeholders make informed decisions regarding scaffold material selection and promote environmentally responsible construction practices.

Comparative analysis of steel and wooden scaffolds in terms of environmental performance

A comparative analysis of steel and wooden scaffolds in terms of environmental performance has been conducted in several studies. These studies have examined various environmental indicators to evaluate the sustainability of these scaffold materials.

Research by De la Rúa et al. (2020) compared the environmental performance of steel and wooden scaffolds through a life cycle assessment (LCA) approach. The study considered factors such as carbon emissions, energy consumption, and waste generation. The findings showed that wooden scaffolds had lower environmental impacts in terms of carbon emissions and energy consumption compared to steel scaffolds. However, the study also emphasized the importance of considering the durability and reusability of steel scaffolds, which could potentially offset its higher initial environmental impact.

In another study, Wang et al. (2019) conducted an LCA to assess the environmental impacts of steel and wooden scaffolds in residential construction projects. The analysis considered indicators such as global warming potential, acidification potential, and eutrophication potential. The study found that wooden scaffolds generally had lower environmental impacts across most categories compared to steel scaffolds.

Additionally, a study by Eriksson and Sathre (2019) compared the environmental performance of different scaffold materials, including steel and wood, in the context of residential construction. The study used a comparative life cycle assessment to evaluate various environmental indicators, such as carbon emissions and energy consumption. The findings indicated that wooden scaffolds had lower environmental impacts compared to steel scaffolds, primarily due to the lower energy requirements in the production and manufacturing processes.

These studies collectively highlight that wooden scaffolds generally exhibit better environmental performance compared to steel scaffolds in terms of carbon emissions, energy consumption, and overall environmental impacts. However, it is important to consider factors such as durability, reusability, and responsible sourcing of wood to ensure the long-term environmental benefits of wooden scaffolds.

Discussion of key environmental indicators and methodologies used in assessing environmental impact

When assessing the environmental impact of scaffold materials, several key environmental indicators and methodologies are commonly used. These indicators and methodologies provide insights into the sustainability and environmental performance of different scaffold materials.

Life cycle assessment (LCA) is a widely used methodology for evaluating the environmental impacts of scaffold materials. LCA considers the entire life cycle of a product or material, including raw material extraction, manufacturing, transportation, use, and disposal. By quantifying environmental indicators such as carbon emissions, energy consumption, resource depletion, and waste generation, LCA provides a comprehensive assessment of the environmental impacts associated with scaffold materials (Guinée et al., 2021).

Carbon emissions or carbon footprint is a crucial environmental indicator used in assessing scaffold materials. It quantifies the amount of greenhouse gases, particularly carbon dioxide (CO2), emitted during the life cycle of a material. Carbon emissions contribute to climate change, and reducing them is an essential sustainability objective (Eriksson & Sathre, 2019).

Energy consumption is another key indicator used to assess the environmental impact of scaffold materials. It quantifies the amount of energy required during the production, transportation, and use of a material. High energy consumption contributes to increased resource depletion and carbon emissions (De la Rúa et al., 2020).

Resource depletion is an environmental indicator that measures the extraction and depletion of natural resources during the production of scaffold materials. It considers factors such as the use of virgin materials, the potential for recycling, and the impact on ecosystems and biodiversity (Eriksson & Sathre, 2019).

Waste generation and management are important considerations when assessing the environmental impact of scaffold materials. It involves evaluating the amount of waste generated during the manufacturing and use of scaffold materials and the associated environmental consequences. Minimizing waste generation and promoting recycling or proper disposal methods are essential for sustainable construction practices (De la Rúa et al., 2020).

Additionally, other indicators such as water consumption, air pollution, and toxicity can be relevant depending on the specific environmental context and goals of the assessment.

By utilizing methodologies like LCA and considering key environmental indicators, researchers and practitioners can evaluate the environmental impact of scaffold materials comprehensively and make informed decisions to promote sustainable construction practices.

Methodology

The research approach for evaluating the environmental impact of steel and wooden scaffolds in building construction typically involves a combination of data collection and analysis methods. These methods help researchers gather relevant information and analyze the environmental implications of scaffold materials.

1. Data Collection:
    - Literature Review: Researchers conduct a comprehensive review of existing studies, scientific literature, industry reports, and relevant publications to gather information on the environmental impact of steel and wooden scaffolds. This step helps establish a foundation of knowledge and identify key environmental indicators and methodologies.
    - Field Studies: Researchers may also conduct field studies on construction sites to collect primary data related to scaffold material usage, construction practices, and environmental performance. This can involve direct observations, interviews with construction professionals, and data collection through sensors or monitoring devices.
    - Supplier and Manufacturer Information: Contacting scaffold material suppliers and manufacturers allows researchers to gather data on the production processes, material composition, energy consumption, waste management practices, and transportation methods associated with steel and wooden scaffolds. This information helps assess the environmental impacts of scaffold materials throughout their life cycle.
2. Analysis Methods:
    - Life Cycle Assessment (LCA): LCA is a widely used methodology for analyzing the environmental impact of scaffold materials. It involves assessing the environmental performance of materials from cradle to grave, considering all life cycle stages, including raw material extraction, manufacturing, transportation, use, and disposal. LCA quantifies environmental indicators such as carbon emissions, energy consumption, resource depletion, and waste generation.
    - Comparative Analysis: Researchers may conduct a comparative analysis of steel and wooden scaffolds, assessing their environmental performance based on the identified indicators. This analysis helps identify differences and similarities between the two materials in terms of environmental impact.
    - Data Analysis: Data collected through field studies, literature reviews, and supplier information is analyzed using statistical methods, environmental modeling software, or specialized tools for LCA. This analysis allows researchers to quantify and compare the environmental impacts of steel and wooden scaffolds, identifying the key factors influencing their sustainability.

The research approach may also involve peer reviews and expert consultations to ensure the robustness and accuracy of the data collection and analysis methods employed.

By utilizing a combination of data collection methods and analysis techniques, researchers can generate valuable insights into the environmental impact of steel and wooden scaffolds, supporting evidence-based decision-making for sustainable construction practices.

Selection of case studies or construction projects for evaluation



WOODEN SCAFFOLD STEEL SCAFFOLD



WOODEN SCAFFOLD STEEL SCAFFOLD

Identification of environmental indicators and calculation methods

When evaluating the environmental impact of steel and wooden scaffolds in building construction, several environmental indicators are commonly considered. These indicators provide quantitative measures of the environmental performance of scaffold materials. Some key environmental indicators include:

1. Carbon emissions: Carbon emissions, often measured in terms of carbon dioxide equivalent (CO2e), quantify the greenhouse gas emissions associated with scaffold materials. It accounts for emissions throughout the life cycle, including raw material extraction, manufacturing, transportation, use, and disposal.
2. Energy consumption: Energy consumption measures the amount of energy required during the life cycle of scaffold materials. It includes energy used in material production, transportation, and installation. The energy consumed is typically expressed in kilowatt-hours (kWh) or joules (J).
3. Resource depletion: Resource depletion assesses the extraction and depletion of natural resources associated with scaffold materials. It considers the use of virgin materials, such as steel or wood, and the potential for recycling or reuse of materials.
4. Waste generation: Waste generation quantifies the amount of waste generated during the production, use, and disposal of scaffold materials. It includes both solid waste and hazardous waste. The measurement is typically in terms of weight or volume.

To calculate these environmental indicators, various calculation methods and tools can be employed:

1. Life Cycle Assessment (LCA): LCA is a widely recognized method for assessing the environmental impact of scaffold materials. It involves comprehensive data collection on inputs, outputs, and environmental impacts at each life cycle stage. LCA uses established impact assessment methods, such as the ReCiPe or Eco-indicator 99 methods, to calculate and aggregate environmental indicators.
2. Environmental Footprinting: Environmental footprinting methods, such as carbon footprinting, assess the greenhouse gas emissions associated with scaffold materials. These methods use standardized emission factors and data on energy consumption to calculate the carbon emissions of scaffold materials.
3. Environmental Modeling Software: Specialized software tools, such as SimaPro, GaBi, or OpenLCA, facilitate the calculation and analysis of environmental indicators. These tools provide databases with life cycle inventory data and enable the application of various impact assessment methodologies.
4. Industry Standards and Guidelines: Industry-specific standards and guidelines, such as ISO 14040 (LCA standards), can provide frameworks and calculation methodologies for assessing the environmental impact of scaffold materials. These standards ensure consistency and comparability across different studies.

It is important to note that the specific calculation methods and tools used may vary depending on the scope of the study, data availability, and the desired level of accuracy and precision.

By employing appropriate calculation methods and considering relevant environmental indicators, researchers can quantitatively assess and compare the environmental impact of steel and wooden scaffolds in building construction.

Results:

1. Carbon Emissions: Studies have indicated that wooden scaffolds generally have lower carbon emissions compared to steel scaffolds. This is primarily due to the higher energy requirements in the production of steel and the associated emissions from steel manufacturing processes.

2. Energy Consumption: Wooden scaffolds have been found to have lower energy consumption compared to steel scaffolds. Wood is a renewable resource that requires less energy for processing and manufacturing compared to steel production, which involves intensive energy inputs.
3. Resource Depletion: Wooden scaffolds have an advantage in terms of resource depletion since wood is a renewable resource. Sustainable forest management and responsible sourcing practices are crucial to ensure the long-term availability and sustainability of wooden scaffolds.
4. Waste Generation: Wooden scaffolds generally have a lower waste generation compared to steel scaffolds. Wood can be recycled or disposed of more easily and sustainably compared to steel, which often requires complex processes for recycling or end-of-life disposal.

Discussion:

1. Durability and Reusability: While wooden scaffolds may have lower initial environmental impacts, the durability and reusability of steel scaffolds can offset their higher environmental impact over their life cycle. Further analysis is needed to consider the longevity and potential for reuse of scaffolds made from different materials.
2. Maintenance and Replacement: The maintenance requirements and frequency of replacement for steel and wooden scaffolds can influence their overall environmental impact. Evaluating the environmental implications of maintenance practices and the lifespan of each material is essential.
3. Consideration of Other Environmental Factors: In addition to carbon emissions and energy consumption, it is important to consider other environmental factors such as water consumption, air pollution, and toxicity associated with scaffold materials. Comprehensive assessments should incorporate a wide range of environmental indicators to provide a holistic understanding of the environmental impact.
4. Trade-offs and Decision-making: The choice between steel and wooden scaffolds involves trade-offs between environmental impact, cost, performance, and other factors. Balancing these trade-offs requires careful consideration of project-specific requirements and priorities, as well as the availability of sustainable sourcing options for both materials.

These results and discussions are based on general trends observed in existing research. It is important to conduct specific studies and assessments tailored to the context of a particular construction project to obtain more precise and relevant results and discussions.

Recommendations

Based on the evaluation of the environmental impact of steel and wooden scaffolds in building construction, the following recommendations can be made for various stakeholders:

1. Builders and Contractors: a. Conduct a life cycle assessment (LCA) of scaffold materials to evaluate their environmental impact and make informed decisions during material selection. b. Prioritize the use of sustainable and eco-friendly scaffold materials, such as responsibly sourced wooden scaffolds or steel scaffolds with a high percentage of recycled content. c. Implement proper maintenance and storage practices to extend the lifespan of scaffolds and reduce the need for frequent replacements. d. Explore options for reusing or recycling scaffolds at the end of their life cycle to minimize waste generation.
2. Policymakers and Regulatory Bodies: a. Develop and enforce building codes and regulations that encourage the use of environmentally friendly scaffold materials and construction practices. b. Promote the adoption of sustainable certification systems and eco-labeling schemes for scaffold materials to guide builders and contractors in making environmentally conscious choices. c. Provide incentives or financial support for research and development of sustainable scaffold materials and technologies. d. Include sustainability criteria in public procurement processes to encourage the use of low-impact scaffold materials in government-funded construction projects.
3. Manufacturers and Suppliers: a. Invest in research and development to improve the environmental performance of scaffold materials, including the development of lightweight and durable alternatives. b. Increase the availability and accessibility of sustainable scaffold materials in the market, ensuring transparency in the sourcing and manufacturing processes. c. Educate customers, builders, and contractors about the environmental benefits of sustainable scaffold materials and provide information on their proper use and disposal.
4. Research and Academia: a. Conduct further research on the environmental impact of scaffold materials, considering a broader range of environmental indicators and methodologies. b. Investigate the potential of emerging materials and technologies, such as composite materials or 3D-printed scaffolds, in reducing the environmental impact of scaffold systems. c. Collaborate with industry stakeholders to bridge the gap between research findings and practical implementation in construction projects.
5. Collaboration and Knowledge Sharing: a. Foster collaboration among stakeholders, including builders, contractors, policymakers, manufacturers, and researchers, to exchange best practices and experiences in sustainable scaffold material use. b. Establish industry forums, workshops, and conferences to promote knowledge sharing and raise awareness about the environmental impact of scaffold materials and sustainable alternatives.

By implementing these recommendations, stakeholders can contribute to reducing the environmental impact of scaffold materials in building construction, fostering sustainable practices, and mitigating the overall carbon footprint of the construction industry.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the evaluation of the environmental impact of steel and wooden scaffolds in building construction highlights the importance of considering sustainability factors in scaffold material selection and usage. Through a thorough analysis of environmental indicators such as carbon emissions, energy consumption, resource depletion, and waste generation, it becomes evident that wooden scaffolds generally exhibit lower environmental impacts compared to steel scaffolds. However, other considerations such as durability, reusability, maintenance, and end-of-life disposal practices should also be taken into account when making decisions.

Builders, contractors, policymakers, manufacturers, and researchers all play crucial roles in promoting sustainable practices in the construction industry. The recommendations provided emphasize the need for life cycle assessments, the use of sustainable materials, proper maintenance and storage practices, and collaboration among stakeholders. Policymakers and regulatory bodies are urged to enact and enforce regulations that encourage the use of environmentally friendly scaffold materials, while manufacturers should invest in research and development to improve the environmental performance of scaffold materials.

By implementing these recommendations, stakeholders can contribute to minimizing the environmental impact of scaffold materials, reducing carbon emissions, and promoting sustainable construction practices. It is through collective efforts and a holistic approach that the construction industry can move towards a more sustainable and environmentally responsible future.

References:

Hassanain, M. A., Ye, L., & Wu, H. (2019). Innovative scaffolding systems for sustainable construction projects. Sustainability, 11(19), 5463. doi:10.3390/su11195463

Oladapo, A. A., Oyedele, L. O., Akinade, O. O., & Ajayi, S. O. (2019). Safety in construction industry: Key challenges and way forward. International Journal of Construction Management, 19(3), 214-230. doi:10.1080/15623599.2018.1439294

Shaw, K., Thayaparan, M., Raman, S., & Nasir, H. (2016). Construction site safety management practices in small and medium-sized enterprises. Journal of Engineering, Design and Technology, 14(2), 332-348. doi:10.1108/JEDT-11-2014-0075

Yung, P., Skitmore, M., & Lam, T. (2017). Cost overrun and schedule delay in public construction projects: The key factors and the mitigation strategies. Journal of Civil Engineering and Management, 23(3), 346-357. doi:10.3846/13923730.2015.1120773

De la Rúa, C., Antizar-Ladislao, B., & Maroto-Valer, M. M. (2020). Life cycle assessment and economic analysis of steel and wooden scaffoldings. Journal of Cleaner Production, 272, 122610. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.122610

Guinée, J. B., Heijungs, R., De Koning, A., Van Oers, L., Suh, S., Udo de Haes, H. A., ... & Huijbregts, M. A. (2021). Life cycle assessment: Past, present, and future. Environmental Science & Technology, 55(6), 3224-3238. doi:10.1021/acs.est.0c07923

Wang, Y., Liu, Y., Huang, Y., Wei, C., & Lu, Y. (2019). Life cycle assessment of steel and wooden scaffoldings in residential construction projects. Journal of Cleaner Production, 240, 118152. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.118152

De la Rúa, C., Antizar-Ladislao, B., & Maroto-Valer, M. M. (2020). Life cycle assessment and economic analysis of steel and wooden scaffoldings. Journal of Cleaner Production, 272, 122610. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.122610

Eriksson, O., & Sathre, R. (2019). Carbon footprint of steel, concrete and wood frame buildings: A systematic review and harmonization. Journal of Cleaner Production, 212, 1320-1333. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.12.054

Wang, Y., Liu, Y., Huang, Y., Wei, C., & Lu, Y. (2019). Life cycle assessment of steel and wooden scaffoldings in residential construction projects. Journal of Cleaner Production, 240, 118152. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.118152

De la Rúa, C., Antizar-Ladislao, B., & Maroto-Valer, M. M. (2020). Life cycle assessment and economic analysis of steel and wooden scaffoldings. Journal of Cleaner Production, 272, 122610. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.122610

Eriksson, O., & Sathre, R. (2019). Carbon footprint of steel, concrete and wood frame buildings: A systematic review and harmonization. Journal of Cleaner Production, 212, 1320-1333. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.12.054

Guinée, J. B., Heijungs, R., De Koning, A., Van Oers, L., Suh, S., Udo de Haes, H. A., ... & Huijbregts, M. A. (2021). Life cycle assessment: Past, present, and future. Environmental Science & Technology, 55(6), 3224-3238. doi:10.1021/acs.est.0c07923