WWW.IJRPR.COM

International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

Journal homepage: www.ijrpr.com ISSN 2582-7421

Evaluating Power Gating Efficacy for Minimizing Power Consumption in Deep Submicron Integrated Circuits

***Shaik Abdul Malik*[1] , *Dr. R. Naveen*[2]**

[1]Research Scholar , [2]Research Guide

Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering, Sunrise University, Alwar, Rajasthan

ABSTRACT

As the semiconductor industry advances towards smaller process nodes, such as deep submicron technologies, power efficiency becomes a paramount concern. Power gating, a technique that involves selectively shutting down inactive circuit blocks, has emerged as a promising strategy to mitigate power consumption in integrated circuits (ICs). This paper presents an in-depth evaluation of power gating efficacy in minimizing power consumption within deep submicron ICs. Through a comprehensive exploration of power gating techniques, benefits, challenges, and design considerations, this paper offers insights into the effectiveness of power gating in the context of modern semiconductor technology.

INTRODUCTION:

In the relentless pursuit of technological advancement, the semiconductor industry has continuously pushed the boundaries of integrated circuit (IC) miniaturization, resulting in the emergence of deep submicron technologies. These cutting-edge processes, characterized by feature sizes below 100 nanometers, have paved the way for unprecedented levels of performance, packing an ever-increasing number of transistors onto a single silicon chip. However, this exponential growth in transistor count has been accompanied by an equally exponential growth in power consumption, which has become a critical concern in modern electronic systems. As a response to this challenge, power gating has emerged as a promising technique to tackle the power conundrum and pave the way for energy-efficient designs in deep submicron ICs.

The Power Consumption Predicament:

In deep submicron ICs, the conventional relationship between performance and power consumption has taken an unexpected turn. As transistors shrink in size, their operating voltages must be lowered to maintain reliability and prevent excessive power dissipation. Paradoxically, this decrease in voltage is counterbalanced by an increase in leakage currents – a phenomenon known as the "leakage power crisis." Leakage currents, stemming from quantum mechanical effects, subthreshold conduction, and other physical phenomena, can result in substantial power consumption even when the IC is ostensibly idle. Thus, the power consumption landscape has shifted, with static power consumption often rivaling or surpassing dynamic power consumption in deep submicron ICs.

Enter Power Gating:

Power gating, an innovative strategy born from the desire to circumvent the limitations of traditional power management techniques, involves selectively shutting down inactive or less frequently used circuit blocks to mitigate power consumption. By isolating these sections from the power supply when they are not in use, power gating addresses the issues of leakage power and static power consumption. As a result, it offers a potential avenue for significantly reducing overall power consumption while enabling energy-efficient design methodologies.

A Multifaceted Approach:

Power gating techniques can be categorized into two primary levels: functional unit level and circuit block level. At the functional unit level, individual components or functional blocks within an IC are powered down when not in use. This fine-grained approach offers precision in power management but may introduce complexity in control logic design and management of transitions between powered and non-powered states. Conversely, circuit block level power gating involves shutting down larger sections of the circuitry, often in the form of modules or subsystems. This approach strikes a balance between power savings and control complexity, making it suitable for applications where power gating overhead needs to be minimized.

Balancing Benefits and Challenges:

The benefits of power gating are manifest. Foremost among these is the potential for substantial reduction in static power consumption, which directly translates to longer battery life, reduced heat generation, and increased reliability. Furthermore, power gating can contribute to enhanced energy efficiency by allowing designers to match power consumption with the computational demand, a feat that is particularly significant in battery-powered devices and energy-conscious environments. However, power gating is not without its challenges. The design of control logic for seamless power state transitions, the management of power noise during transitions, and concerns about signal integrity during power-up and power-down events represent some of the hurdles that must be overcome.

Navigating Design Considerations:

The success of power gating hinges on careful consideration of various design factors. Among the most pivotal is the identification of appropriate regions for power gating. Not all sections of an IC are amenable to power gating; thus, selecting the right regions to gate is critical for achieving optimal power savings. The design of efficient and robust control logic is equally important, as it dictates the effectiveness of power state transitions and the overall responsiveness of the system. Additionally, strategies like body biasing, where the threshold voltage of transistors is modulated, and adaptive power gating, where power gating decisions are made dynamically based on workload, contribute to further enhancing power gating efficacy.

Quantifying Efficacy:

To truly assess the impact of power gating, quantitative metrics are essential. Power reduction, leakage power savings, and energy efficiency are key parameters that must be evaluated. Careful consideration is required to balance the potential power savings with the overhead introduced by power gating implementation. This involves analyzing the additional circuitry required for control logic, the area penalties associated with power gating structures, and the impact of power gating on the overall performance of the IC.

Power Gating Techniques:

Power gating techniques can be broadly classified into two categories: functional unit level and circuit block level power gating. Functional unit level power gating focuses on disabling individual functional units within a circuit, while circuit block level power gating involves shutting down larger blocks or modules. The choice of technique depends on the granularity of power gating required and the trade-off between power savings and overhead.

Benefits and Challenges:

Power gating offers several benefits, including reduced static power consumption, improved energy efficiency, and enhanced thermal management. However, it introduces challenges such as power gating control logic design, leakage current issues, signal integrity concerns during power transitions, and potential impact on system performance due to power state transitions.

Design Considerations:

The effectiveness of power gating depends on various design considerations. These include the identification of appropriate power gating regions, the design of efficient control logic, optimized power gating state transition protocols, and managing power noise during transitions. Additionally, techniques like body biasing and adaptive power gating have emerged to enhance power gating efficiency.

Evaluating Efficacy:

To evaluate the efficacy of power gating, quantitative metrics such as power reduction, leakage power savings, and overall energy efficiency must be considered. The trade-off between power savings and overhead, both in terms of area and design complexity, plays a crucial role in determining the practicality of power gating implementations.

Conclusion:

Power gating has demonstrated its potential to significantly reduce power consumption in deep submicron ICs. However, its effectiveness depends on careful design, implementation, and consideration of associated challenges. By understanding the benefits and limitations of power gating techniques, designers can make informed decisions to optimize power efficiency in modern integrated circuits.

REFERENCES

1. Chodorowski, P & Chmiel, M 2016, in Proceedings of the2016 International Conference on Signals and Electronic Systems, September 5-7, IEC 61131-3 compliant PLC structure based on FPGA multi-core solution, Poland
2. Danielsson, PE 1984, 'Serial/parallel convolvers', IEEE Transactions on Computers, vol. 33, no. 7, pp. 652-667
3. Das Gautam, G, Akashe, S & Sharma, S 2011, 'Transistor Sizing for Low Power Cmos Circuits', International Journal of Power Elecronics and Technology, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 37-59
4. Deepak Subramanyan, BS & Nunez, A 2007, in Proceedings of the 2007 50th Midwest Symposium on Circuits and Systems, August 5-8, Analysis of subthreshold leakage reduction in CMOS digital circuits, Montreal
5. Dhiman, G & Rosing, TS 2006, in Proceedings of the 2006 IEEE/ACM international conference on Computer-aided design, November 5-9, Dynamic power management using machine learning, New York

6. Dilip, B & Surya Prasad, P 2012, 'Design of Leakage Power Reduced Static RAM using LECTOR', International Journal of Computer Science and Information Technologies, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 4127-4130

7. Dufour, C, Cense, S, Jalili-Marandi, V & Belanger, J 2013, in Proceedings of the 15th European Conference on Power Electronics and Applications , September 2-6, Review of state-of-the-art solver solutions for HIL simulation of power systems, power electronic and motor drives, Lille

8. Faruque, MDO, Strasser, T, Lauss, G, Jalili-Marandi, V, Forsyth, P, Dufour, C et al. 2015, 'Real-Time Simulation Technologies for Power Systems Design, Testingand Analysis', IEEE Power and Energy Technology Systems Journal, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 63-73

9. Gerez, SH 1999, Algorithms for VLSI Design Automation, 1 st edn, John Wiley & Sons, New York

10. Grailoo, M, Nikoubin, T & Navi, K 2009, 'Energy Consumption Optimization for Basic Arithmetic Circuits with Transistor Sizing Based on Genetic Algorithm', International Journal of Recent Trends in Engineering, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 425-429

11. Harish Dixit & Vikas Gupta 2012, 'IIR Filters using Xilinx System Generator for FPGA Implementation', International Journal of Engineering Research and Applications, vol. 2, no. 5, pp. 303-307

12. Hatamian, M & Parhi, KK 1992, 'An 85-MHz fourth-order programmable IIR digital filter chip', IEEE Journal of Solid- State Circuits, vol. 27, no. 2, pp. 175-183

13. Hosny, MS & Yuejian, W 2008, in Proceedings of the 2008 IEEE International Conference on Integrated Circuit Design and Technology and Tutorial, June 2-4, Low power clocking strategies in deep submicron technologies, Austin

14. Iqbal, SMA, Mekhilef, S, Soin, N & Omar, R 2011, in Proceedings of theInternational Conference and Seminar on Micro/Nanotechnologies and Electron Devices,June 30 - July 4, Buck and boost converter design optimization parameters in modern VLSI technology, Erlagol

15. Kamaraju, M, Lal Kishore, K & Tilak, AVN 2010, 'Power Optimized Programmable Embedded Controller', International Journal of Computer Networks & Communications, vol. 2, no. 4, pp. 97-107

16. Kamaruzzaman, MD & Soumitra Kumar Mandal 2016, 'A Novel Approach For Leakage Power Reduction Techniques In CMOS VLSI Circuits', International Journal of Industrial Electronics and Electrical Engineering, vol. 4, no. 8, pp. 6-10

17. Kapisch, EB, Silva, LRM, Martins, CHN, Barbosa, AS, Filho, LMA, Duque, CA et al. 2016, 'An implementation of a Power System Smart Waveform Recorder using FPGA and ARM cores', Measurement, vol. 90, pp. 372-381.

18. Kaushik, PG, Gulhane, SM & Athar Ravish Khan 2013, 'Dynamic Power Reduction of Digital Circuits by Clock Gating', International Journal of Advancements in Technology, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 79-88

19. Kavali, K, Rajendar, S & Naresh, R 2015, in Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Nanomaterial's and Technologies, October 17-18, The design of Low Power Adaptive Pulse Triggered Flip-Flop Using Modified Clock Gating Schemeat 90nm Technology, Hyderabad

20. Kim, S, Kosonocky, S & Knebel, D 2003, in Proceedings of the 2003 International Symposium on Low Power Electronics and Design, August 27, Understanding and minimizing ground bounce during mode transition of power gating structures, Seoul.

21. Koorakula Thomas & Bujjibabu Garu 2017, in Proceedings of the ASAR International Conference, December 24, Design and Implementation of IIR Filter by Using Modified Wallace Tree Multiplier, Chennai

22. Lajolo, M, Raghunathan, A, Dey, S & Lavagno, L 2002, 'Costimulation-based power estimation for system-on-chip design', IEEE Transactions on Very Large Scale Integration, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 253-265.

23. Li-ChuanWeng, Xiao Jun Wang & Bin Liu 2003, in Proceedings of the 3rd IEEE International Workshop on System-on-Chip for Real-Time Applications, June 30 - July 2, A survey of dynamic power optimization techniques, Canada

24. Lim, Y & Liu, B 1992, 'Pipelined recursive filter with minimum order augmentation', IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, vol. 40, no. 7, pp. 1643- 1651

25. Loomis, HH & Sinha, B 1984, 'High-speed recursive digital filter realization', Circuits, Systems and Signal Processing, vol. 3, pp. 267-294

26. Luk, W & Jones, G 1988, 'Systolic recursive filters', IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems, vol. 35, no. 8, pp. 1067-1068.

27. Mahalingam, V & Ranganathan, N 2005, in Proceedings of the IEEE Computer Society Annual Symposium on VLSI: New Frontiers in VLSI Design, May 11-12, A nonlinear programming based power optimization methodology for gate sizing and voltage selection, Tampa

28. Manolakis, DG & Proakis, JG 1992, Digital Signal Processing: Principles, Algorithms, and Applications, 4th edn, Macmillan Publishing Company, Indianapolis

29. Matar, M & Iravani, R 2010, 'FPGA Implementation of the Power Electronic Converter Model for Real-Time Simulation of Electromagnetic Transients', IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 852-860

30. Meintanis, D & Papaefstathiou, I 2008, in Proceedings of the 2008 International Conference on Reconfigurable Computing and FPGAs, December 3-5, Power Consumption Estimations vs Measurements for FPGA-Based Security Cores, Mexico

31. Mrazek, V & Vasicek, Z 2015, in Proceedings of the IEEE 13th International Conference on Embedded and Ubiquitous Computing, October 21-23, Automatic Design of Low-Power VLSI Circuits: Accurate and Approximate Multipliers, Portugal

32. Muchnick, SS 1997, Advanced Compiler Design and Implementation, 1st edn, Elsevier Science & Technology, San Francisco

33. Mutoh, S, Douseki, T, Matsuya, Y, Aoki, T, Shigematsu, S & Yamada, J 1995, '1- V Power Supply High-Speed Digital Circuit Technology', IEEE Journal of SolidState Circuits, vol. 30, no. 8, pp. 847-854

34. Narender Hanchate & Nagaranjan Ranganathan 2004, 'LECTOR: A technique for leakage reduction in CMOS circuits', IEEE transactions on VLSI systems, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 196-200

35. Oklobdzija, VG, Stojanovic, VM, Markovic, DM & Nedovic, NM 2003, Digital System Clocking High-Performance and Low-Power Aspects, 1st edn, Wiley Interscience and IEEE Press, New Jersy